

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

First- and second-order magnetic anisotropy and damping of europium iron garnet under high strain

Víctor H. Ortiz, Bassim Arkook, Junxue Li, Mohammed Aldosary, Mason Biggerstaff, Wei Yuan, Chad Warren, Yasuhiro Kodera, Javier E. Garay, Igor Barsukov, and Jing Shi Phys. Rev. Materials **5**, 124414 — Published 22 December 2021 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.124414

First and second order magnetic anisotropy and damping of europium iron garnet under high strain

Víctor H. Ortiz¹, Bassim Arkook¹, Junxue Li¹, Mohammed Aldosary¹, Mason Biggerstaff¹, Wei Yuan¹, Chad Warren², Yasuhiro Kodera², Javier E. Garay², Igor Barsukov¹*, and Jing Shi¹*

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

² Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

Understanding and tailoring static and dynamic properties of magnetic insulator thin films is important for spintronic device applications. Here, we grow atomically flat epitaxial europium iron garnet (EuIG) thin films by pulsed laser deposition on (111)-oriented garnet substrates with a range of lattice parameters. By controlling the lattice mismatch between EuIG and the substrates, we tune the strain in EuIG films from compressive to tensile regime, which is characterized by X-ray diffraction. Using ferromagnetic resonance, we find that in addition to the first-order perpendicular magnetic anisotropy which depends linearly on the strain, there is a significant second-order one that has a quadratic strain dependence. Inhomogeneous linewidth of the ferromagnetic resonance increases notably with increasing strain, while the Gilbert damping parameter remains nearly constant ($\approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$). These results provide valuable insight into the spin dynamics in ferrimagnetic insulators and useful guidance for material synthesis and engineering of next-generation spintronics applications.

*: Corresponding authors: Igor Barsukov (igorb@ucr.edu) and Jing Shi (jing.shi@ucr.edu)

Ferrimagnetic insulators (FMIs) have played an important role in uncovering a series of novel spintronic effects such as spin Seebeck effect (SSE) and spin Hall magnetoresistance (SMR). In addition, FMI thin films have proved to be an excellent source of proximity-induced ferromagnetism in adjacent layers (e.g., heavy metals [1], graphene [1] and topological insulators [2]) and of pure spin currents [3–6]. FMIs have also been shown to be a superb medium for magnon spin currents with a long decay length [7,8]. Among FMIs, rare earth iron garnets (REIGs) in particular have a plethora of desirable properties for practical applications: high Curie temperature ($T_c > 550$ K), strong chemical stability, and relatively large band gaps (~ 2.8 eV).

Compared to other magnetic materials, REIGs are distinct owing to their magnetoelastic effect with the magnetostriction coefficient ranging from -8.5×10^6 to $+21 \times 10^6$ at room temperature [9] and up to two orders of magnitude increases at low temperatures [10]. This unique feature allows for tailoring magnetic anisotropy in REIG thin films via growth, for example, by means of controlling lattice mismatch with substrates, film thickness, oxygen pressure, and chemical substitution. In thin films, the magnetization usually prefers to be in the film plane due to magnetic shape anisotropy; however, the competing perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) can be introduced by utilizing magneto-crystalline anisotropy or interfacial strain, both of which have been demonstrated through epitaxial growth [11–14]. In the study of Tb₃Fe₅O₁₂ (TbIG) and Eu₃Fe₅O₁₂ (EuIG) thin films, the PMA field H_{21} was found to be as high as 7 T under interfacial strain [11], much stronger than the demagnetizing field. While using strain is proven to be an effective way of manipulating magnetic anisotropy, it often comes at a cost of increasing magnetic inhomogeneity and damping of thin films [15,16].

In this work, we investigate the effect of strain on magnetic properties of (111)-oriented EuIG thin films for the following reasons: (1) The spin dynamics in EuIG bulk crystals is particularly interesting but has not been studied thoroughly in the thin film form. Compared to other REIGs, the Eu³⁺ ions occupying the dodecahedral sites (c-site) should have the J = 0 ground state according to the Hund's rules, which do not contribute to the total magnetic moment; therefore, EuIG thin films can potentially have a ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) linewidth as narrow as that of Y₃Fe₅O₁₂ (YIG) [17,18] or Lu₃Fe₅O₁₂ (LuIG) [19]. In EuIG crystals, a very narrow linewidth (< 1 Oe) [20] was indeed observed at low temperatures, but it showed a nearly two orders of magnitude increase at high temperatures, which raises fundamental questions regarding the damping mechanism responsible for this precipitous change; (2) although it has been

shown that the uniaxial anisotropy can be controlled by moderate strain for different substrate orientations and even in polycrystalline form [21], the emergence of the higher-order anisotropy at larger strain, despite its technological significance, has remained elusive.

We grow EuIG films by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) from a target densified by powders synthesized using the method described previously [22]. The films are deposited on (111)-oriented Gd₃Sc₂Ga₃O₁₂ (GSGG), Nd₃Ga₅O₁₂ (NGG), Gd_{2.6}Ca_{0.4}Ga_{4.1}Mg_{0.25}Zr_{0.65}O₁₂ (SGGG), Y₃Sc₂Ga₃O₁₂ (YSGG), Gd₃Ga₅O₁₂ (GGG), Tb₃Ga₅O₁₂ (TGG) and Y₃Al₅O₁₂ (YAG) single crystal substrates, with the lattice mismatch $\eta = \frac{a_{substrate} - a_{EuIG}}{a_{EuIG}}$ (where *a* represents the lattice parameter of the referred material) ranging from +0.45% (GSGG) to -3.95% (YAG) in the decreasing order (see Table I). After the standard solvent cleaning process, the substrates are annealed at 220 °C inside the PLD chamber with the base pressure lower than 10⁻⁶ Torr for 5 hours prior to deposition. Then the temperature is increased to ~ 600 °C in the atmosphere of 1.5 mTorr oxygen mixed with 12% (wt.) ozone for 30 minutes. A 248 nm KrF excimer pulsed laser is used to ablate the target with a power of 156 mJ and a repetition rate of 1 Hz. We crystalize the films by *ex situ* annealing at 800 °C for 200 s in a steady flow of oxygen using rapid thermal annealing (RTA).

Reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED) is used to evaluate the crystalline structural properties of the EuIG films grown on various substrates (Fig. 1a). Immediately after the deposition, RHEED displays the absence of any crystalline order. After ex situ rapid thermal annealing, all EuIG films turn into single crystals. We carry out atomic force microscopy (AFM) on all samples and find that they show atomic flatness and good uniformity with root-mean-square (RMS) roughness < 2 Å (Fig. 1b). In addition, we perform X-ray diffraction (XRD) on all samples using a Rigaku SmartLab with Cu Ka radiation with a Ni filter and Ge(220) mirror as monochromators, at room temperature in 0.002° steps over the 2θ range from 10° to 90° [23]. In a representative XRD spectrum (Fig. 1c), two (444) Bragg peaks are present, one from the 50 nm thick EuIG film and the other from the YSGG substrate, which confirms the epitaxial growth and single crystal structure of the film without evidence of any secondary phases. Other REIG films grown under similar conditions, i.e., by PLD in oxygen mixed with ozone at ~600 °C during followed by RTA, have shown no observable interdiffusion across the interface from high resolution transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (see Fig. S1 of the S.M. [24]). The EuIG Bragg peak ($a_0 = 12.497$ Å) is shifted with respect to the expected peak position of unstrained bulk crystal, indicating a change in the EuIG lattice parameter

perpendicular to the surface (a_{\perp}) . For the example shown in Fig. 1c, the EuIG (444) peak shifts to left with respect to its bulk value, indicating an out-of-plane tensile strain and therefore an in-plane compressive strain in the EuIG lattice.

A common approach for inferring the in-plane strain ε_{\parallel} of thin films from the standard $\theta - 2\theta$ XRD measurements involves the following equation [23],

$$\varepsilon_{\parallel} = -\frac{c_{11}+2c_{12}+4c_{44}}{2c_{11}+4c_{12}-4c_{44}} \varepsilon_{\perp}, \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_{\perp} = \frac{a_{\perp}-a_{o}}{a_{o}}, \tag{1}$$

where a_0 is the lattice parameter of the bulk material, and a_{\perp} can be calculated using $a_{\perp} = d_{hkl}\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$ from the interplanar distance d_{hkl} obtained from the XRD data (see Fig. S2 of the S.M. [24]), and c_{ij} are the elastic stiffness constants of the crystal which in most cases can be found in the literature [9]. However, due to the wide range of strain values studied in this work and the possibility that the films may contain different amounts of crystalline defects, we perform reciprocal space mapping (RSM) measurements on a subset of our EuIG samples (see Fig. S3 of the S.M. [24]) and compared the measured in-plane lattice parameters with the calculated ones using Eq. 1. We observe that the average in-plane strains measured by RSM has a systematic difference of 40% from the calculated values based on the elastic properties (see Fig. S4 of the S.M. [24]). Given this nearly constant factor for all measured films, we find that the elastic stiffness constants of our EuIG films may deviate from the literature reported bulk values, possibly due to stochiometric deviations or slight unit cell distortion in thin films. Here we adopt the reported lattice parameter value ($a_0 = 12.497$ Å) as the reference due to the difficulty of growing sufficiently thick, unstrained EuIG films using PLD.

In the thickness-tuned magnetic anisotropy study [11], the anisotropy field in REIG films is found to be proportional to $\eta/(t+t_0)$, which was attributed to the relaxation of strain as the film thickness *t* increases. Here in EuIG samples with small lattice mismatch η (e.g., NGG/EuIG), the strain is mostly preserved in 50 nm thick films (pseudomorphic regime), whereas for larger η (e.g., YAG/EuIG), the lattice parameter of EuIG films shows nearly complete structural relaxation to the bulk value. For this reason, in the samples with larger η (YAG = -3.95 %, GSGG = 0.45%), we grow thinner EuIG films (20 nm) in order to retain a larger in-plane strain (compressive for YAG, tensile for GSGG). For EuIG films grown on TGG and GGG substrates, the paramagnetic background of the substrates is too large to obtain a reliable magnetic moment measurement of the EuIG films; therefore, the results of thinner films on these two substrates are not included in this study.

Room-temperature magnetic hysteresis curves for YSGG/EuIG sample are shown in Fig. 1d with the magnetic field applied parallel and perpendicular to the film [26]. The saturation field for the out-of-plane loop (~1100 Oe) is clearly larger than that for the in-plane loop, indicating that the magnetization prefers to lie in the film plane. Moreover, since the demagnetizing field $4\pi M_s$ (\approx 920 Oe) is less than the saturation field in the out-of-plane loop (see Fig. S5 of the S.M. [24]), it suggests the presence of additional easy-plane anisotropy resulting from the magnetoelastic effect due to interfacial strain. As shown in this example, we can qualitatively track the evolution of the magnetic anisotropy in samples with different strains. However, this approach cannot provide a quantitative description when high-order anisotropy contributions are involved.

To quantitatively determine magnetic anisotropy in all EuIG films, we perform polar angle $(\theta_{\rm H})$ -dependent FMR measurements using an X-band microwave cavity with frequency f = 9.32 GHz and field modulation. The samples are rotated from $\theta_{\rm H} = 0^{\circ}$ to $\theta_{\rm H} = 180^{\circ}$ in 10° steps, where $\theta_{\rm H} = 90^{\circ}$ corresponds to the field parallel to the sample plane (Fig. 2a). The spectra at $\theta_{\rm H} = 0^{\circ}$ for all samples are displayed in Fig. 2b and show a single resonance peak which can be well fitted by a Lorentzian derivative. Despite different strains in all samples, the resonance field $H_{\rm res}$ is lower for the in-plane direction ($\theta_{\rm H} = 90^{\circ}$) than for the out-of-plane direction ($\theta_{\rm H} = 0^{\circ}$). A quick inspection reveals that the out-of-plane $H_{\rm res}$ shifts to larger values as η increases in the positive direction (e.g., from YAG/EuIG to GSGG/EuIG), corresponding to stronger easy-plane anisotropy. Furthermore, the $H_{\rm res}$ values at $\theta_{\rm H} = 0^{\circ}$ show a large spread among the samples. Fig. 2c shows a comparison of FMR spectra at different polar angles between two representative samples: NGG/EuIG (small η) and YAG/EuIG (large η).

Figs. 3a-c show H_{res} vs. θ_{H} for three representative EuIG films. To evaluate magnetic anisotropy, we fit the data using the Smit-Beljers formalism by considering the first-order $-K_1 \cos^2 \theta$ and the second-order $-\frac{1}{2}K_2 \cos^4 \theta$ uniaxial anisotropy energy terms [26]. From this fitting, we extract the parameters $4\pi M_{eff} = 4\pi M_s - \frac{2K_1}{M_s} = 4\pi M_s - H_{2\perp}$ and $H_{4\perp} = \frac{2K_2}{M_s}$ (see Table I), here $H_{2\perp}$ and $H_{4\perp}$ being the first- and second- order anisotropy fields, respectively, and favoring out-of-plane (in-plane) orientation of magnetization when they are positive (negative). The

spectroscopic g-factor is treated as a fitted parameter which is found as a nearly constant, g =1.40 (see Fig. S6 of the S.M. [24]), in accordance with the previous results obtained by Miyadai [27]. In Figs. 3d and 3e, we present $H_{2\perp}$ and $H_{4\perp}$ as functions of the measured out-ofplane strain ε_{\perp} and in-plane strain ε_{\parallel} . Clearly, the magnitude of $4\pi M_{eff}$ is greater than the demagnetizing field for EuIG $4\pi M_s = 920 \ Oe$; therefore, $H_{2\perp}$ is negative for all samples, i.e., favoring the in-plane orientation. As shown in Fig. 3d, $|H_{2\perp}|$ increases linearly with increasing inplane strain η . This is consistent with the magnetoelastic effect in (111)-oriented EuIG films [9]. As briefly discussed earlier, due to the constant scaling factor between the calculated and measured ε_{\parallel} , we rewrite the magnetoelastic contribution to the first-order perpendicular anisotropy as $-\frac{9\Xi}{3M_c}\varepsilon_{\perp}$, with the parameter Ξ containing the information related to the magnetoelastic constant λ_{111} and elastic stiffness c_{ii} . We fit the magnetoelastic equation in Ref. [11] using the parameter Ξ and obtain $\Xi = -(7.06 \pm 0.95) \times 10^4 \frac{dyne}{cm^2}$ from the slope. On the other hand, based on the reported literature values ($\lambda_{111} = +1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, $c_{11} = 25.10 \times 10^{11}$ dyne/cm², $c_{12} = 10.70 \times 10^{11}$ $\frac{dyne}{cm^2}$, $c_{44} = 7.62 \times 10^{11} \frac{dyne}{cm^2}$ [10], we obtain $\Xi_{lit} = -6.12 \times 10^4 \frac{dyne}{cm^2}$. This result suggests that even though the actual elastic properties of our EuIG films may be different from the ones reported in for EuIG crystals due to the thin film unit cell distortion [32], the pertaining parameter Ξ appears to be relatively insensitive to variations of stoichiometry. The intercept of the straight-line fit should give the magneto-crystalline anisotropy coefficient of EuIG K_c . We find $K_c = (+62.76 \pm$ $(0.18) \times 10^3$ erg/cm³, which is different from the previously reported values for EuIG bulk crystals in both the magnitude and sign ($K_c = -38 \times 10^3 \text{ erg/cm}^3$) [28]. Similar growth-modified magnetocrystalline anisotropy was observed in EuIG films grown with relatively low temperatures (requiring post-deposition annealing to crystalize) [10]. In the absence of interfacial interdiffusion, the anomalous anisotropy may be related to partial deviation from the chemical ordering of the garnet structure [28].

By comparing the first- and second-order anisotropy fields $H_{2\perp}$ and $H_{4\perp}$ vs. ε_{\parallel} plotted in Figs. 3d and 3e, we find that the former dominates over the entire range of ε_{\parallel} (except for YAG/EuIG). In contrast to the linear dependence for $H_{2\perp}$, $H_{4\perp}$ can be fitted well with a quadratic ε_{\parallel} dependence, which is not surprising for materials with large magnetostriction constants (such as EuIG) under large strains. For relatively small ε_{\parallel} , the linear strain term in the magnetic anisotropy energy dictates. For large ε_{\parallel} , higher-order strain terms may not be neglected. By including the $(\varepsilon_{\parallel}\cos^2\theta)^2$ term, we obtain excellent fitting to the FMR data, indicating that the second-order expansion in ε_{\parallel} is adequate. In contrast to $H_{2\perp}$, $H_{4\perp}$ is always positive, thus favoring out-of-plane magnetization orientation. It is worth pointing out that for YAG and TGG, the magnitude of the $H_{2\perp}$ becomes comparable with that of the $H_{4\perp}$, but the sign differs. Comparison of $H_{4\perp}$ with $4\pi M_{eff}$ reveals that a coexistence (bi-stable) magnetic state can be realized when $H_{4\perp} > 4\pi M_{eff}$ [28, 29-31]. The results are summarized in Table I.

The above magnetic anisotropy energy analysis only deals with the polar angle dependence, but in principle, it can also vary in the film plane and therefore depend on the azimuthal angle. To understand the latter, we perform azimuthal angle dependent FMR measurements on all samples. We indeed observe a six-fold in-plane anisotropy in H_{res} due to the crystalline symmetry of EuIG (111). However, the amplitude of the six-fold H_{res} variation is less than 15 Oe, about two orders of magnitude smaller than the average value of H_{res} for most samples, thus we omit the in-plane anisotropy in our analysis.

Besides the $H_{\rm res}$ information, the FMR spectra in Fig. 2c reveals significant variations in FMR linewidth, which contains information of magnetic inhomogeneity and Gilbert damping. To investigate these properties systematically, we perform broad-band (up to 15 GHz) FMR measurements with magnetic field applied in the film plane, using a coplanar waveguide setup. From the frequency dependence of $H_{\rm res}$, we obtain $4\pi M_{eff}$ and g independently via fitting the data with the Kittel equation. These values agree very well with those previously found from the polar angle dependence. We plot the half width at half maximum, ΔH , as a function of frequency f in Fig. 4a. While ΔH varies significantly across the samples, the data for each sample fall approximately on a straight line and the slope of ΔH vs. f appears to be visibly close to each other. For a quantitative evaluation of ΔH , we consider the following contributions: the Gilbert damping $\Delta H_{Gilbert}$, two-magnon scattering ΔH_{TMS} , and the inhomogeneous linewidth ΔH_0 [32],

$$\Delta H = \Delta H_{Gilbert} + \Delta H_{TMS} + \Delta H_0 . \tag{3}$$

The Gilbert term, $\Delta H_{Gilbert} = \frac{2\pi\alpha f}{|\gamma|}$, depends linearly on f, where α is the Gilbert damping

parameter; the two-magnon term is described through $\Delta H_{TMS} = \Gamma_0 \arcsin \sqrt{\sqrt{f^2 + \left(\frac{f_0}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{f_0}{2}}} \sqrt{\int f^2 + \left(\frac{f_0}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{f_0}{2}}$ [33],

where Γ_0 denotes the magnitude of the two-magnon scattering, $f_0 = 2\gamma M_{\text{eff}}$; and ΔH_0 , the inhomogeneous linewidth which is frequency independent.

By fitting Eq. (3) to the linewidth data, we obtain quantitative information on magnetic damping through the Gilbert parameter and two-magnon scattering magnitude as well as the magnetic inhomogeneity [34–36]. In Fig. 4a, the overall linear behavior for all samples is an indication of a relatively small two-magnon scattering contribution ΔH_{TMS} which therefore may be disregarded in the fitting process. Figs. 4b and 4c show both ΔH_0 and α vs. ε_{\parallel} . It is clear that four of the samples with the smallest ΔH_0 (~ 10 Oe) are those with relatively low in-plane strain $(|\varepsilon_{\parallel}| < 0.30\%)$. In the meantime, the XRD spectra of these samples show fringes characteristic of well conformed crystal planes (see Fig. S2 of the S.M. [24]), and moreover, the RSM plots (see Fig. S3 of the S.M. [24]) reveal a uniform strain distribution in the films [37]. On the compressive strain side, ΔH_0 increases steeply to 400 Oe at $\varepsilon_{\parallel} \sim -0.40$ %, and their XRD spectra show no fringes and the RSM graphs indicate non-uniform strain relaxation in the samples [25,26]. In sharp contrast to the ΔH_0 trend, the Gilbert damping α remains about 2×10^{-2} over the entire range of ε_{\parallel} , suggesting that the intrinsic magnetic damping of EuIG films is nearly unaffected by the inhomogeneity. In fact, the magnitude of α is significantly larger than that of YIG [17,18] or LuIG films [19], which is somewhat unexpected for Eu^{3+} in EuIG with J = 0. A possible reason for this enhanced damping is that other valence states of Eu such as Eu^{2+} (J =7/2) may be present, which leads to non-zero magnetic moments of Eu ions in the EuIG lattice and thus results in a larger damping constant, common to other REIG with non-zero 4f-moments [38]. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data taken on YSGG(111)/EuIG(50 nm) (see Fig. S7 of the S.M. [24]) indicates such a possibility. While the FMR linewidth presents large variations across the sample set, we have identified that the non-uniform strain relaxation process caused by large lattice mismatch with the substrate is a main source of the inhomogeneity linewidth ΔH_0 , but it does not affect the Gilbert damping α . The results raise interesting questions on the mechanisms of intrinsic

damping and the origin of magnetic inhomogeneity in EuIG thin films, both of which warrant further investigations.

In summary, we find that uniaxial magnetic anisotropy in PLD-grown EuIG(111) thin films can be tuned over a wide range via magnetostriction and lattice-mismatch induced strain. The first-order anisotropy field depends linearly on the strain and the second order anisotropy field has a quadratic dependence. While non-uniform strain relaxation significantly increases the magnetic inhomogeneity, the Gilbert damping remains nearly constant over a wide range of in-plane strain. The results demonstrate broad tunability of magnetic properties in REIG films and provide guidance for implementation of EuIG for spintronic applications. Further studies to elucidate the role of Eu^{2+} sites in magnetic damping are called upon.

We thank Dong Yan and Daniel Borchardt for their technical assistance. This work was supported as part of the SHINES, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by DOE BES Award No. SC0012670. J.S. acknowledges support by DOE BES Award No. DE-FG02-07ER46351 and I.B. acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation under grant number NSF-ECCS-1810541.

References

- [1] Z. Wang, C. Tang, R. Sachs, Y. Barlas, and J. Shi, *Proximity-Induced Ferromagnetism in Graphene Revealed by the Anomalous Hall Effect*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 016603 (2015).
- [2] Z. Jiang, C.-Z. Chang, C. Tang, P. Wei, J. S. Moodera, and J. Shi, Independent Tuning of Electronic Properties and Induced Ferromagnetism in Topological Insulators with Heterostructure Approach, Nano Lett. 15, 5835 (2015).
- [3] K. Uchida, J. Xiao, H. Adachi, J. Ohe, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, T. Ota, Y. Kajiwara, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, G. E. W. Bauer, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Spin Seebeck Insulator*, Nat. Mater. 9, 894 (2010).
- [4] Y. Kajiwara, K. Harii, S. Takahashi, J. Ohe, K. Uchida, M. Mizuguchi, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, K. Ando, K. Takanashi, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Transmission of Electrical Signals by Spin-Wave Interconversion in a Magnetic Insulator*, Nature 464, 262 (2010).
- [5] J. Li, Y. Xu, M. Aldosary, C. Tang, Z. Lin, S. Zhang, R. Lake, and J. Shi, Observation of Magnon-Mediated Current Drag in Pt/Yttrium Iron Garnet/Pt(Ta) Trilayers, Nat. Commun. 7, 10858 (2016).
- [6] V. H. Ortiz, M. J. Gomez, Y. Liu, M. Aldosary, J. Shi, and R. B. Wilson, Ultrafast Measurements of the Interfacial Spin Seebeck Effect in Au and Rare-Earth Iron-Garnet Bilayers, Phys. Rev. Mater. 5, 074401 (2021).
- [7] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Liu, R. A. Duine, J. Ben Youssef, and B. J. van Wees, *Long-Distance Transport of Magnon Spin Information in a Magnetic Insulator at Room Temperature*, Nat. Phys. 11, 1022 (2015).
- [8] B. L. Giles, Z. Yang, J. S. Jamison, and R. C. Myers, *Long-Range Pure Magnon Spin Diffusion Observed in a Nonlocal Spin-Seebeck Geometry*, Phys. Rev. B 92, 224415 (2015).
- [9] S. Iida, Magnetostriction Constants of Rare Earth Iron Garnets, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 22, 1201 (1967).
- [10] P. Hansen, Magnetic Anisotropy and Magnetostriction in Garnets, in Rendiconti Della Scuola Internazionale Di Fisica "Enrico Fermi" (1978), pp. 56–133.
- [11] V. H. Ortiz, M. Aldosary, J. Li, Y. Xu, M. I. Lohmann, P. Sellappan, Y. Kodera, J. E. Garay, and J. Shi, *Systematic Control of Strain-Induced Perpendicular Magnetic*

Anisotropy in Epitaxial Europium and Terbium Iron Garnet Thin Films, APL Mater. 6, 121113 (2018).

- [12] M. Kubota, K. Shibuya, Y. Tokunaga, F. Kagawa, A. Tsukazaki, Y. Tokura, and M. Kawasaki, Systematic Control of Stress-Induced Anisotropy in Pseudomorphic Iron Garnet Thin Films, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 339, 63 (2013).
- [13] E. R. Rosenberg, L. Beran, C. O. Avci, C. Zeledon, B. Song, C. Gonzalez-Fuentes, J. Mendil, P. Gambardella, M. Veis, C. Garcia, G. S. D. Beach, and C. A. Ross, *Magnetism* and Spin Transport in Rare-Earth-Rich Epitaxial Terbium and Europium Iron Garnet Films, Phys. Rev. Mater. 2, 094405 (2018).
- [14] Y. Krockenberger, K. S. Yun, T. Hatano, S. Arisawa, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, *Layer-by-Layer Growth and Magnetic Properties of Y₃Fe₅O₁₂ Thin Films on Gd₃Ga₅O₁₂,* J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 108 (2009).
- [15] H. Wang, C. Du, P. C. Hammel, and F. Yang, *Strain-Tunable Magnetocrystalline* Anisotropy in Epitaxial Y₃Fe₅O₁₂ Thin Films, Phys. Rev. B 89, 134404 (2014).
- B. Bhoi, B. Kim, Y. Kim, M. Kim, J. Lee, and S.-K. Kim, *Stress-Induced Magnetic Properties of PLD-Grown High-Quality Ultrathin YIG Films*, J. Appl. Phys. **123**, 203902 (2018).
- [17] C. Tang, M. Aldosary, Z. Jiang, H. Chang, B. Madon, K. Chan, M. Wu, J. E. Garay, and J. Shi, *Exquisite Growth Control and Magnetic Properties of Yttrium Iron Garnet Thin Films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, (2016).
- [18] Y. Sun, Y. Y. Song, H. Chang, M. Kabatek, M. Jantz, W. Schneider, M. Wu, H. Schultheiss, and A. Hoffmann, *Growth and Ferromagnetic Resonance Properties of Nanometer-Thick Yttrium Iron Garnet Films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, (2012).
- [19] C. L. Jermain, H. Paik, S. V. Aradhya, R. A. Buhrman, D. G. Schlom, and D. C. Ralph, Low-Damping Sub-10-Nm Thin Films of Lutetium Iron Garnet Grown by Molecular-Beam Epitaxy, Appl. Phys. Lett. 109, (2016).
- [20] R. C. LeCraw, W. G. Nilsen, J. P. Remeika, and J. H. Van Vleck, *Ferromagnetic Relaxation in Europium Iron Garnet*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, 490 (1963).
- [21] J. J. Bauer, E. R. Rosenberg, and C. A. Ross, *Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy and Spin Mixing Conductance in Polycrystalline Europium Iron Garnet Thin Films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **114**, 052403 (2019).

- [22] P. Sellappan, C. Tang, J. Shi, and J. E. Garay, An Integrated Approach to Doped Thin Films with Strain Tunable Magnetic Anisotropy: Powder Synthesis, Target Preparation and Pulsed Laser Deposition of Bi:YIG, 3831, 1 (2016).
- [23] E. Anastassakis, Strained Superlattices and Heterostructures: Elastic Considerations, J. Appl. Phys. 68, 4561 (1990).
- [24] See Supplemental Material at [url] for more details on material characterization, magnetic hysteresis, ferromagnetic resonance and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy results, and calculated parameters of the rhombohedral distorted unit cell which includes Ref. [25].
- [25] B. D. Cullity, Elements of X-Ray Diffraction. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., 1956.
- [26] I. Barsukov, Y. Fu, A. M. Gonçalves, M. Spasova, M. Farle, L. C. Sampaio, R. E. Arias, and I. N. Krivorotov, *Field-Dependent Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy in CoFeB Thin Films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 152403 (2014).
- [27] T. Miyadai, *Ferrimagnetic Resonance in Europium-Iron Garnet*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 15, 2205 (1960).
- [28] F. B. Hagedorn, Annealing Behavior and Temperature Dependence of the Growth-Induced Magnetic Anisotropy in Epitaxial Sm-YIGG, J. Appl. Phys. 45, 3123 (1974).
- [29] Y. Fu, I. Barsukov, J. Li, A. M. Gonçalves, C. C. Kuo, M. Farle, and I. N. Krivorotov, *Temperature Dependence of Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy in CoFeB Thin Films*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, (2016).
- [30] R. Skomski, H. P. Oepen, and J. Kirschner, *Unidirectional Anisotropy in Ultrathin Transition- Metal Films*, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 138 (1998).
- [31] J. M. Shaw, H. T. Nembach, M. Weiler, T. J. Silva, M. Schoen, J. Z. Sun, and D. C. Worledge, *Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy and Easy Cone State in Ta/Co₆₀Fe₂₀B₂₀/MgO*, IEEE Magn. Lett. 6, 1 (2015).
- [32] I. Barsukov, P. Landeros, R. Meckenstock, J. Lindner, D. Spoddig, Z. A. Li, B. Krumme,
 H. Wende, D. L. Mills, and M. Farle, *Tuning Magnetic Relaxation by Oblique Deposition*,
 Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 85, 1 (2012).
- [33] J. Lindner, K. Lenz, E. Kosubek, K. Baberschke, D. Spoddig, R. Meckenstock, J. Pelzl, Z. Frait, and L. Mills, Non-Gilbert-Type Damping of the Magnetic Relaxation in Ultrathin Ferromagnets: Importance of Magnon-Magnon Scattering, Phys. Rev. B Condens.

Matter Mater. Phys. 68, 6 (2003).

- [34] A. Navabi, Y. Liu, P. Upadhyaya, K. Murata, F. Ebrahimi, G. Yu, B. Ma, Y. Rao, M. Yazdani, M. Montazeri, L. Pan, I. N. Krivorotov, I. Barsukov, Q. Yang, P. Khalili Amiri, Y. Tserkovnyak, and K. L. Wang, *Control of Spin-Wave Damping in YIG Using Spin Currents from Topological Insulators*, Phys. Rev. Appl. 11, 1 (2019).
- [35] A. Etesamirad, R. Rodriguez, J. Bocanegra, R. Verba, J. Katine, I. N. Krivorotov, V. Tyberkevych, B. Ivanov, and I. Barsukov, *Controlling Magnon Interaction by a Nanoscale Switch*, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13, 20288 (2021).
- [36] I. Barsukov, H. K. Lee, A. A. Jara, Y. J. Chen, A. M. Gonçalves, C. Sha, J. A. Katine, R.
 E. Arias, B. A. Ivanov, and I. N. Krivorotov, *Giant Nonlinear Damping in Nanoscale Ferromagnets*, Sci. Adv. 5, 1 (2019).
- [37] X. Guo, A. H. Tavakoli, S. Sutton, R. K. Kukkadapu, L. Qi, A. Lanzirotti, M. Newville,
 M. Asta, and A. Navrotsky, *Cerium Substitution in Yttrium Iron Garnet: Valence State, Structure, and Energetics*, (2013).
- [38] C. Tang, P. Sellappan, Y. Liu, Y. Xu, J. E. Garay, and J. Shi, Anomalous Hall Hysteresis in Tm₃Fe₅O₁₂/Pt with Strain-Induced Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy, Phys. Rev. B 94, 140403 (2016).

Figures



Figure 1: Structural and magnetic property characterization of EuIG 50 nm film grown on TGG(111) substrate. (a) Reflection high energy electron diffraction (RHEED) pattern along the $\langle 11\overline{2} \rangle$ direction, displaying single crystal structure after rapid thermal annealing process. (b) 5 mm × 5 mm atomic force microscope (AFM) surface morphology scan, demonstrating a root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of 1.8 Å. (c) Intensity semi-log plot of θ - 2θ X-ray diffraction (XRD) scan. The dashed line corresponds to the XRD peak for bulk EuIG. (d) Magnetization hysteresis loops for field out-of-plane and in-plane directions.



Figure 2 Polar angle dependent ferromagnetic resonance (FMR). (a) Coordinate system used for the FMR measurement. (b) Room temperature FMR derivative absorption spectra for $\theta_{\rm H} = 0^{\circ}$ (out-of-plane configuration) for EuIG on different (111) substrates. (c) FMR derivative absorption spectra for 50 nm EuIG grown on NGG(111) ($\epsilon_{\parallel} \approx 0$) and 20 nm EuIG on YAG(111) ($\epsilon_{\parallel} < 0$) with polar angle $\theta_{\rm H}$ ranging from 0° (out-of-plane) to 90° (in-plane) at 300 K, where ϵ_{\parallel} is in-plane strain between the EuIG film and substrate.



Figure 3 Polar angle dependent ferromagnetic resonance field H_{res} for (a) tensile in-plane strain ($\varepsilon_{\parallel} > 0$), (b) in-plane strain close to zero ($\varepsilon_{\parallel} \approx 0$), and (c) compressive in-plane strain ($\varepsilon_{\parallel} < 0$). Solid curves represent the best fitting results. In-plane strain dependence of the anisotropy fields $H_{2\perp}(d)$ and $H_{4\perp}(e)$.



Figure 4 FMR linewidth and magnetic damping of EuIG films as a function of in-plane strain. (a) Half width at half maximum ΔH vs. frequency *f* for EuIG films grown on different substrates, with the corresponding fitting according to Eq. (3). In-plane strain dependence of inhomogeneous linewidth ΔH_0 (b) and Gilbert parameter α (c).

Substrate	asubstrate	η	t	\mathcal{E}_{\parallel} (%)	\mathcal{E}_{\perp} (%)	g	$H_{2\perp}$	$H_{4\perp}$	α (×10 ⁻²)	ΔH_o	$\Gamma_{\rm o}({\rm Oe})$
	(Å)	(%)	(nm)	п · ·	_ · ·		(Oe)	(Oe)		(Oe)	
GSGG	12.554	0.45	50	0.34	-0.16	1.40	-1394.2	339.79	$2.46 \pm$	21.4 ±	2.61
							± 44.9	± 6.59	0.03	1.3	
			25	0.46	-0.21	1.41	-1543.6	709.47	$1.58 \pm$	10.2 ±	6.05
							± 39.7	± 27.5	0.06	1.7	
NGG	12.508	0.06	50	0.12	-0.06	1.38	-1224.4	$18.34 \pm$	2.41	$8.9 \pm$	0.20
							± 5.7	0.05	±0.01	0.7	
SGGG	12.480	-	50	-0.13	0.06	1.40	-909.6	$164.8 \pm$	2.13 ±	5.6 ±	0.50
		0.14					± 15.2	1.36	0.01	0.4	
YSGG	12.426	-	50	-0.27	0.12	1.37	-709.4	377.3 ±	$2.47 \pm$	9.9 ±	2.47
		0.57					± 22.0	5.09	0.03	1.8	
GGG	12.383	-	50	-0.45	0.21	1.38	-1015.0	$887.2 \pm$	$2.20 \pm$	412.2	3.35
		0.92					± 81.3	37.27	0.14	± 8.4	
TGG	12.355	-	50	-0.38	0.18	1.38	-393.4	$245.0 \pm$	2.29 ±	253.4	0.20
		1.14					± 53.6	10.00	0.20	± 11.8	
YAG	12.004	-	20	-0.42	0.20	1.37	-36.8 ±	424.8 ±	1.86 ±	217.0	0.20
		3.95					47.1	20.91	0.20	± 22.6	

Table 1 Structural and magnetic parameters for the EuIG thin films grown on different substrates.