

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Energy landscape in silver-bismuth-iodide rudorffites: Combining scanning tunneling spectroscopy and Kelvin probe force microscopy

Arpan Bera, Subham Paramanik, Abhishek Maiti, and Amlan J. Pal Phys. Rev. Materials **5**, 095404 — Published 22 September 2021 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.095404

Energy landscape in silver-bismuth-iodide rudorffites:

Combining scanning tunneling spectroscopy and Kelvin probe force microscopy

Arpan Bera,¹ Subham Paramanik,¹ Abhishek Maiti,¹ and Amlan J. Pal^{1,2,*}

¹School of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata, 700032, India

²UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research, University Campus, Khandwa Road, Indore 452001, India

We report energy-landscape of an upcoming solar cell material series, namely silver-bismuthiodide rudorffites ($A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$). We have formed the compounds through compositional engineering and characterized them. We have employed Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) to derive surface workfunction and thereby Fermi energy; scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS), on the other hand, provided conduction and valence band-edges with respect to Fermi energy of the rudorffites. By combining KPFM and STS studies, we have obtained a composition-dependent tuning of Fermi energy in the rudorffite thinfilms, namely Ag₃BiI₆, Ag₂BiI₅, AgBiI₄, AgBi₂I₇, and AgBi₃I₁₀ with a gradual change in electronic conductivity from a *p*-type to an *n*-type. While such a behavior has been correlated to point-defects, which are possible to form in the different members of the rudorffite series, we more importantly show that a combination of KPFM and STS studies can provide a complete energy landscape in a semiconductor.

Keywords: Rudorffites; energy levels; shift in Fermi energy; scanning tunneling spectroscopy; Kelvin probe force microscopy.

^{*}Electronic mail: sspajp@iacs.res.in

I. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of perovskite solar cells is considered to be one of the most significant achievements in photovoltaic research [1-3]. The success of methylammonium lead iodide (CH₃NH₃PbI₃) and its derivatives has provided additional impetus to researchers towards a search for compounds beyond the wonder material with nontoxic elements [4,5]. In this direction, compounds belonging to the rudorffite family with a generic formula of $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ have attracted a large interest due to their superior optoelectronic properties as compared to other lead-free perovskites in terms of a higher structural dimensionality, a favorable band gap, high absorption coefficients, low exciton binding energies, superior carrier transport properties, and environmental stability [6-14]. In the generic formula, *A* and *B* represent a monovalent and a trivalent element, respectively; while *X* corresponds to a halogen, *m* and *n* are integers. The solar-to-electricity power conversion efficiencies (PCE) in devices based on these compounds, which were first synthesized in 2016, have so far reached to 5 %. These results are quite promising and have implied significant scope for further improvement through (1) device-structure optimization and (2) materials-engineering, although the two processes are quite interlinked [7,9].

The process of device-structure optimization involved formation of regular (*n-i-p*) or inverted (*p-i-n*) heterojunctions followed by selection of suitable hole- and electron-transport materials, so that a type-II band-alignment is formed at both the interfaces [15-18]. A suitable band-aligned heterojunction is also a well-established key to suppress recombination of carriers at the defect-sites of rudorffites to achieve PCEs towards their radiative limit [19-21]. In regard to materials-engineering, the integers in $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ are varied in forming a series of compounds based on a set of elements. Amongst the rudorffites, the common series has so far been the one based on silver, bismuth, and iodine as the building-block elements; a variation in *m* and *n* has led to formation of a series of compounds which were considered in fabricating solar cells with suitable carrier-transporting materials [6-14]. Interestingly, optical band gap of compounds in the $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ series could be found to remain unaltered with a variation in *m* and *n*, while the device performance diverged widely presumably due to a change in the nature of band-alignments with carrier-transport layers.

It can hence be envisaged that conduction and valence band (CB and VB, respectively) edges of the compounds and/or Fermi energy (E_F) may depend on the composition of the rudorffites, that is *m* and *n* in the $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ series while retaining their band gap. In this direction, we have combined scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) and Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) in estimating the band-edges and E_F of the compounds with respect to vacuum level. Such measurements will be somewhat superior over optical spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry (CV), and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) [16,17,22] while visualizing the band-alignment at the interfaces and drawing a band-diagram of heterojunction devices with energies as actually encountered by charge carriers [17,23]. In this work, we first of all employed KPFM in determining surface work function (W_F); while evaluating W_F ($-E_F$), KPFM has an advantage over photoemission spectroscopy (PES), since the latter mode of measurement may have an influence due to additional surface photovoltage appearing upon illumination and also a light-induced band-bending process [24]. The absolute E_F in the rudorffite compounds can be probed as an equal and opposite of the W_F if surface effects are neglected. Hereafter, the CB and VB-edges were determined with respect to E_F in STS studies, although a shift in the bandedges may occur due to a tip-induced-band-bending (TIBB) in semiconductors that are not significantly doped [25]. Here, through combined KPFM and STS studies, we have drawn energy landscape of the rudorffite series and correlated the results with point-defects expected to exist in different members of the series.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials

Silver iodide (AgI, 99 %), bismuth iodide (BiI₃, 99 %), anhydrous N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF, 99.8 %), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and 1,2-dichlorobenzene (DCB, anhydrous 99 %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company. All the materials were kept inside a nitrogen-filled glovebox with well-maintained oxygen and moisture levels below 0.1 ppm and used without further purification.

B. Formation of the thin-films

Thin-films of the rudorffite compounds were formed from their precursors, namely AgI and BiI₃ in required proportions in a mixture of DMF and DMSO (1:4, v/v) following the work of Miyasaka and his group [10]. The solutions were kept at 70 °C under a continuous stirring condition until the precursors dissolved properly in forming a clear and homogeneous solution. The substrates for film-deposition, namely quartz, indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass, and *n*-type (arsenic doped) polished silicon were cleaned following a usual protocol followed by an UV-ozone treatment to remove organic residues. The substrates were then transferred to the glovebox; precursor solutions were spun separately on the substrates at 4000 rpm for 60 s followed by an antisolvent treatment of DCB during the last 10 s. The films were then dried in vacuum of the antechamber for a partial evaporation of solvent, followed by a two-step thermal annealing at 110 °C for 15 min and 160 °C for 15 min in sequence.

C. Characterization of the thin-films

Optical and structural characterization of the thin-films were performed through UV-visible optical absorption spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction studies using a Shimadzu UV-2550

spectrophotometer and a Bruker-D8 X-ray diffractometer (Cu K α radiation, $\lambda = 1.54$ Å), respectively. Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM) was carried out in a Nanosurf C3000 atomic force microscope with a Cr/Pt coated Si tip (Multi 75EG); for this purpose, the rudorffite films were formed on ITO substrates. The work function of the tip was calibrated to 4.77 ± 0.02 eV when measured with respect to a standard sample, namely highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG, $W_F = 4.65$ eV). Scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy (STM/S) was performed in a Nanosurf Easyscan2 scanning tunneling microscope. For such measurements, ultrathin-films were cast on the silicon substrates from a one-order dilute precursor solutions. The STM tips were formed through a mechanical cut of a Pt-Ir (80:20) wire having a diameter of 0.25 mm. The tip was brought into the tunneling region using a feedback loop; the tip-approach condition was 1.0 nA at 2.0 V. To record STS, the feedback loop was disabled to maintain a fixed tip-to-sample separation. Tunneling current versus voltage characteristics were recorded by swiping the tip voltage in the ± 2.0 V range. The derived dI/dV spectra had a direct correspondence to the DOS of the samples (*vide infra*).

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Characterization of rudorffite thin-films

In this work, we have considered thermodynamically-stable Ag₃BiI₆, Ag₂BiI₅, AgBiI₄, AgBi₂I₇, and AgBi₃I₁₀ rudorffites in the $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ series in determining their band-edges and electronic conductivity. As mentioned earlier, thin-films of the compounds were formed from their precursors, namely AgI and BiI₃ in required proportions following the work of Miyasaka and his group [10]. The films were characterized through optical absorption spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns in order to ensure phase-purity of the compounds. In Fig. 1(a), optical absorption spectra of different $A_{\rm m}B_{\rm p}X_{\rm m+3n}$ compounds in their thin-film forms have been presented. Tail states were additionally observed due to the scattering of light by rough film morphologies. Similar to conventional hybrid halide perovskites, rudorffites have exhibited a high absorbance over the entire spectral range; the spectra matched well with the reported results [7-11,14]. Optical band gap of the materials could be estimated from Tauc plots (Fig. 1b). In all the compounds, the gap was found to be identical ($\sim 1.80 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$), which matched closely to the literature, inferring that the band gaps of the rudorffite compounds were invariant with the composition [7-11,14]. Considering the difficulty of using Elliott formula [26] in calculating the optical bandgap more accurately when Tauc plots may not fit well, we have presented the $\frac{d(\alpha \Box \nu)^2}{d(\Box \nu)}$ versus $\Box \nu$ plots as an alternative to determine the bandgap Fig. 1c). The results show that the optical bandgap did not diverge upon a variation in the composition; the bandgap ranged within a small window of 1.93 - 2.02 eV.



FIG. 1. (a) Optical absorption spectra, (b) Tauc plots, and (c) $\frac{d(\alpha h\nu)^2}{d(h\nu)}$ versus $h\nu$ plots of $A_{\rm m}B_{\rm n}X_{{\rm m}+3{\rm n}}$ thinfilms. Composition of the compounds are shown in legends.

Due to a strong absorbance of the rudorffites in the visible region, optical spectroscopy is often considered inadequate to establish phase-purity of the compounds. Hence, we have recorded their XRD patterns (Fig. 2). The patterns of silver-rich rudorffites (Ag₂BiI₅ and Ag₃BiI₆) exhibited a highly crystalline rhombohedral phase with R-3m symmetry [7,9,14,27,28]. A trace amount of unreacted AgI bearing a hexagonal phase could be observed in these compounds [9]. On the other end, bismuth-rich rudorffites, namely AgBiI₄, AgBi₂I₇, and AgBi₃I₁₀ possessed a cubic crystal structure with a Fd-3m space group symmetry [6,8-11,27-31]. In AgBiI₄ thin-films, peaks arising from Ag₂BiI₅ having a rhombohedral phase which are indistinguishable through XRD studies [31]. It may also be stated that the bismuth-rich rudorffites (AgBi₂I₇ and AgBi₂I₇ and AgBi₃I₁₀) contained peaks from unreacted BiI₃ as

well that has a rhombohedral phase [9,10]. In Table I, we have presented experimentally-observed crystallographic data of different rudorffite compounds used to identify their crystal structures and phase-groups.



FIG. 2. XRD patterns of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ compounds. Intensity-axis has been presented in a logarithmic scale for better visualization of weak peaks as well. Patterns arising out of unreacted AgI and BiI₃ are marked with asterisk (*) and hash (#) symbols, respectively.

TABLE I. XRD peaks and crystallographic data of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ compounds in their thin-film form.

$A_{\rm m}B_{\rm n}X_{{\rm m}+3{\rm n}}$	Reflections (<i>hkl</i>)	20	Crystal Structure and Space Group	Impurity (angle)	References	
Ag_3BiI_6 $(m = 3, n = 1)$	(003)	12.8°	Phombohadral	AgI (23.9°)		
	(006)	25.7°	$(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{3m})$		Oldag et al. [28]	
	(104)	29.1°	(K-511)			
Ag_2BiI_5 $(m = 2, n = 1)$	(003)	12.9°	Dhombohodral	AgI (23.9°)	Mashadieva et al. [27]	
	(006)	25.9°	$(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{3m})$			
	(104)	29.2°	(K-511)			
AgBiI ₄ (m = 1, n = 1)	(111)	12.7°	Defect cubic spinel	Ag ₂ BiI ₅ (41.5°, 42.2°)	Oldag et al. [28]	
	(311)	24.2°	(Fd-3m) and rhombohedral		Sansom et al. [31]	
	(222)	25.3°	(R-3m)		Dzeranova et al. [29]	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{AgBi}_{2}\text{I}_{7} \\ (m = 1, n = 2) \end{array} \xrightarrow[(222)]{(111)} \\ \hline (222) \end{array}$	(111)	12.6°	Defect which arised	BiI ₃ (38.4°)	Fourcroy et al. [30] Mashadieva et al. [27]	
	(311)	24.2°	(Ed 3m)			
	(222)	25.3°	(Fu-5111)			
AgBi ₃ I ₁₀ (m = 1, n = 3)	(111)	12.6°	Defect cubic spinel	BiI ₃ (38.4°)	Kim et al. [6]	
	(311)	24.2°				
	(222)	25.3°	(1/0-311)			

B. Estimation of work function from Kelvin probe force microscopy

In order to determine the energy levels of the rudorffites, it is imperative to determine E_F of the compounds. As such, a direct analysis of E_F can be performed by studying surface work function (W_F) of the compounds [17,23,24,32]. In a semiconductor, the energy difference between E_F and vacuum level (E_{Vac}) corresponds to W_F ; hence, a change in E_F is expected to result in an equal and opposite change in W_F if surface effects are neglected [24]. In this direction, we have carried out KPFM measurements in an amplitude-modulated single-pass mode where the cantilever passes over every line while simultaneously recording topography and contact potential difference (CPD) between the tip and the sample [33-34]. In order to obtain a better sensitivity and resolution in KPFM measurements, the amplitude of cantilever oscillation was kept small, so that the tip remains closer to the film surface. In principle, as the DC bias was applied to the tip, CPD between the sample and tip is defined as [34,35]:

$$CPD = W_F(Tip) - W_F(Sample)$$

(1)

where $W_F(\text{Tip})$ and $W_F(\text{Sample})$ are the work function of the Pt/Cr-coated tip and the sample, respectively. At first, the $W_F(\text{Tip})$ was calibrated to 4.77 ± 0.02 eV when measured with respect to a standard sample, namely HOPG ($W_F = 4.65 \text{ eV}$); the measured CPD values of the rudorffites were then converted to $W_F(\text{rudorffite})$ as [34,35]:

 $W_{\rm F}({\rm rudorffite}) = 4.77 - {\rm CPD}({\rm rudorffite})$

(2)

In Fig. 3a, we have presented topographies (upper panel) and corresponding CPD maps (lower panel) of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ thin-films. After converting a CPD histogram (Fig. 3b) to W_F (rudorffite), we observed that the W_F (rudorffite) and hence E_F (= $-W_F$) varied with the composition (Fig. 3c). The variation was as large as 0.5 eV when we scanned from silver-rich to bismuth-rich perovskites (from Ag₃BiI₆ to AgBi₃I₁₀). Full width at half maxima (FWHM) of the CPD-histograms was a measure of the disorders/defects in the materials that turned out to be higher for AgBi₂I₇, AgBiI₄, and Ag₂BiI₅.



FIG. 3. (a) Topography (upper panel) and contact potential images (lower panel), (b) distribution of contact potential difference (CPD), and (c) average surface work function (W_F) and Fermi energy (E_F) from KPFM measurements of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ thin-films. Error-bars in (c) represent FWHM of the CPD-histograms.

C. Estimation of band-edges from scanning tunneling spectroscopy

Ensuring W_F and the energy of E_F , we have characterized the rudorffites in an STM to estimate their band edges with respect to E_F , so that a complete energy landscape of the materials can be achieved. Tunneling current was recorded and differential tunnel conductance (dI/dV) spectrum was derived in each of the compounds. In principle, STS provided dI/dV spectrum which has a correspondence to the density of states (DOS) of the semiconductor under study:

$$(dI/dV) \propto DOS_{sample}(E_F - E) \tag{3}$$

As bias was applied to the tip, the first peak in the positive voltage nearest to 0 V (E_F) of a dI/dV spectrum inferred withdrawal of electrons and hence the VB-edge of the semiconductor. In a similar manner, the first peak in the negative voltage closest to 0 V implied injection of electrons to an available energy level of the compound, that is, the CB-edge [17,23,32]. Accordingly, each dI/dV spectrum provided CB- and VB-edges with respect to E_F and thereby their nature of electronic conductivity. Since STS is an extremely localized mode of measurement, band-edges of the material at the exact point of tipapproach are obtained. Hence, tunneling current versus voltage characteristics were recorded at many different points on the surface of each rudorffite ultrathin-film. A set of VB- and CB-energies was obtained from each measurement; the results for a rudorffite compound were then collated to draw histogram of the band-energies. The histograms finally provided the band-energies of a compound with respect to E_F . Typical dI/dV spectra and histograms of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ compounds have been presented in Figs. 4a-b, respectively. As can be seen from the spectra, for a AgBiI₄ thin-film formed with balanced combination of AgI and BiI₃, CB-and VB-edges appeared in the form of peaks at -0.84 ± 0.05 and 0.98 \pm 0.05 eV, respectively, away from the E_F. In addition, the histograms in Fig. 4b infer that CBenergy in AgBiI₄ was slightly nearer to E_F than the VB-edge suggesting a quasi *n*-type electronic conductivity of the material. (Previously, AgBiI4, when synthesized in a powder form, was reported to possess a *p*-type nature with a low carrier-density [31]; the little deviation in the type of conductivity may have appeared due to a different synthesis route used in this work.) On the other hand, in silver-rich rudorffites (Ag₃BiI₆ and Ag₂BiI₅), while VB-edge moved towards E_F, the CB drifted away inferring a ptype conductivity in these materials; the upward shift of band-edges was somewhat counterbalanced by a shift of $E_{\rm F}$ towards the vacuum level and hence forbade yielding of a strong p-type character in these compounds. In bismuth-rich rudorffites, shift of the two bands was opposite as compared to those in silver-rich ones leading to an *n*-type conductivity in $AgBi_2I_7$ and $AgBi_3I_{10}$; the shift in band-edges in these compounds was again counterbalanced by the movement of $E_{\rm F}$ away from the vacuum level. In other words, the shift in band-edges was always partly compensated by a swing in $E_{\rm F}$. This interesting observation may need further deliberation through studies in other similar series.



FIG. 4. (a) dI/dV versus tip voltage, (b) histogram of CB-and VB-energies, and (c) absolute band-edges with respect to vacuum ($E_{vac} = 0 \text{ eV}$) from combined KPFM and STS studies of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ thin-films as stated in the legends.

In Fig. 4c, we have finally compiled the absolute band-energies of different rudorffite compounds as observed from combined KPFM and STS studies. In addition, the absolute energy levels, transport gap, and optical band gap of different rudorffites have been summed in Table II. Alike optical band gap, transport gap also remained invariant in the compounds. A small difference between the optical bandgap and the transport gap could be witnessed. Such a difference may arise from (1) involvement of exciton binding energy during optical absorption spectroscopy resulting in a smaller optical bandgap and/or (2) presence of defect-states during a tunneling process (STS) and consequent lowering of the transport gap.

As exciton binding energy is small in such compounds (~150 meV) as compared to organic molecules, which involve Frenkel excitons, contribution of midgap defect-states would be larger during the tunneling process resulting in a smaller transport gap [36]. The band-edges and E_F however varied strongly across the compounds. The individual band-energies that could be brought out from the measurements in effect allow one to view the nature of band-alignment at the *p-i* and *i-n* interfaces. The results hence bring out the importance of individual band-energies in designing both regular (*n-i-p*) and inverted (*p-i-n*) heterojunction solar cells based on different rudorffite thin-films and charge transport layers [17,23].

TABLE II. Band-energies with respect to E_F , absolute band-energies, transport gap, and optical band gap of different $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ thin-films.

Rudorffites	Fermi energy,	Termi energy, Valence band, E_V (eV)		Conduction band, $E_{\rm C}$ (eV)		Transport	Optical band
	$E_{\rm F}({\rm eV})$	$E_{\rm F} + E_{\rm V}$	Absolute E_V	$E_{ m F}$ - $E_{ m C}$	Absolute $E_{\rm C}$	gap (eV)	gap (eV)
Ag ₃ BiI ₆	-4.63	0.63	-5.26	-1.15	-3.48	1.78	1.80
Ag ₂ BiI ₅	-4.76	0.73	-5.49	-1.07	-3.69	1.80	1.81
AgBiI ₄	-4.83	0.98	-5.81	-0.84	-3.99	1.82	1.90
AgBi ₂ I ₇	-4.94	1.12	-6.06	-0.63	-4.31	1.75	1.88
AgBi ₃ I ₁₀	-5.15	1.17	-6.32	-0.62	-4.53	1.79	1.87

D. Role of point-defects in the composition-dependent tuning of $E_{\rm F}$

It may be seen that upon a change in the composition of the rudorffite, the $E_{\rm F}$ shifted monotonically. The results also infer a change in the type of conductivity (from *p*-type in Ag₃BiI₆ to *n*type in AgBi₃I₁₀). Such a change might have caused a superior solar cell performances in Ag-rich rudorffites as compared to the Bi-rich ones [37] upon achieving a better band-alignment with charge transport layers. It is imperative to know the rationale for such a composition-induced shift in electronic conductivity in rudorffite thin-films. The shift can be correlated to the presence of point-defects generated during thin-film formations. Due to a lack of theoretical calculations, identification of point-defects in rudorffites remained challenging; that is, formation energy of different defects that may form in the materials is not known at the moment. However, based on the defect landscape of silver and/or bismuth halides, such as Cs₂AgBiBr₆ and Cs₃Bi₂I₉, we could propose possible point-defects that can tune the electronic conductivity of rudorffite thin-films from a *p*-type to an *n*-type as a function of composition [38-40]. We have observed that compounds formed with silver-rich precursors, such as Ag₃BiI₆ and Ag₂BiI₅, exhibit *p*-type conductivity inferring involvement of acceptor-like point-defects. In Table III, we have proposed possible acceptor-like defects accountable for this nature. As such, we have observed that these compounds are formed with an unreacted AgI, although these compounds were formed with stoichiometric precursors (AgI and BiI₃). Hence, formation of these compounds with silver-site vacancies (V_{Ag}) is quite plausible leading to their *p*-type nature. On the other hand, AgBiI₄ formed with stoichiometric AgI and BiI₃ showed a quasi *n*-type behavior. We presume that donor-type iodine vacancies (V_1) are primarily responsible to impose an *n*-type conductivity in the compound. Similarly, the bismuth-rich rudorffites, such as AgBi₂I₇ and AgBi₃I₁₀, demonstrated a stronger *n*-type nature signifying presence of more V_1 in these compounds. In this regard, we have considered the role of residual BiI₃, which was present in these compounds, in facilitating donor-type V_1 instead of V_{Bi} [41-43]. Secondary contributions of other donor-type point-defects cannot however be ruled out and have been appended in Table III. In view of this, this discussion might open further opportunities to investigate point-defects with least formation energies as a function of growth environment.

TABLE III. Possible point-defects	present in $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$ this	in-films. The most probable	defect-states are
identified in bold.			

$A_{\rm m}B_{\rm n}X_{{\rm m}+3{\rm n}}$	Compounds	Conductivity	Acceptor-like defects	Donor-like defects
composition			(<i>p</i> -type)	(<i>n</i> -type)
Silver-rich			(1) silver vacancies (V_{Ag})	
	Ag ₃ BiI ₆	<i>p</i> -type	(2) silver on bismuth	
			substitution (Ag _{Bi})	
			- (3) iodine on cation	
	Ag ₂ BiI ₅ p-	<i>p</i> -type	antisite substitution (I _{Bi})	
	0		(4) iodine interstitial (I_i)	
Balanced	AgBiI ₄	quasi <i>n</i> -type	silver vacancies (V_{Ag})	iodine vacancies ($V_{\rm I}$)
Bismuth-rich	$\Delta \alpha Bi_2 I_7$ <i>n</i> -type			(1) iodine vacancies (V _I)
	11601217	<i>n</i> type		(2) bismuth on iodine
	AgBiaLia	n tuno	-	substitution (Bi _I)
	AgD131]0	n-type		(3) bismuth interstitial (Bi _i)

III. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, by combining STS and KPFM, we have estimated the electronic energy landscape of a series of rudorffite compounds, $A_m B_n X_{m+3n}$. Such information is important to form band-diagrams of heterojunctions for solar cell applications. Through a control over precursor-stoichiometry, Ag₃BiI₆, Ag₂BiI₅, AgBiI₄, AgBi₂I₇, and AgBi₃I₁₀ were formed and characterized; optical band gap of these compounds remained around 1.8 eV. KPFM measurements showed a linear shift in work-function and hence Fermi energy in these compounds. STS studies, on the other hand, evidenced a gradual shift in CB and VB-edges with respect to E_F and hence a change in electronic conductivity from a *p*-type to an *n*-type. The results (a change in the type of conductivity) have been correlated to point-defects existing in different members of the rudorffite series. We also show that a combination of KPFM and STS studies can provide a complete energy landscape in a semiconductor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.J.P. acknowledges the JC Bose National Fellowship of SERB (SB/S2/JCB-001/2016 and JBR/2021/000001) and Core Research Grant (CRG/2018/000183) of SERB. A.B. and A.M. acknowledge the DST INSPIRE Fellowship, IF 190551 and IF 170922, respectively. S.P. acknowledges CSIR Fellowship No. 09/080 (1107)/2019-EMR-I (Roll No. 528223).

AVAILABILITY OF DATA

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Jena, A. Kulkarni and T. Miyasaka, Halide perovskite photovoltaics: background, status, and future prospects, Chem. Rev. **119**, 3036 (2019).
- [2] J. Y. Kim, J.-W. Lee, H. S. Jung, H. Shin and N.-G. Park, High-efficiency perovskite solar cells, Chem. Rev. 120, 7867 (2020).
- [3] A. Fakharuddin, F. D. Rossi, T. M. Watson, L. Schmidt-Mende and R. Jose, Research update: behind the high efficiency of hybrid perovskite solar cells, APL Mater. **4**, 091505 (2016).
- [4] R. Wang, M. Mujahid, Y. Duan, Z.-K. Wang, J. Xue and Y. Yang, A review of perovskites solar cell stability, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29, 1808843 (2019).
- [5] Z. Xiao, Z. Song and Y. Yan, From lead halide perovskites to lead-free metal halide perovskites and perovskite derivatives, Adv. Mater. **31**, 1803792 (2019).
- [6] Y. Kim, Z. Yang, A. Jain, O. Voznyy, G.-H. Kim, M. Liu, L. N. Quan, F. P. García de Arquer, R. Comin, J. Z. Fan and E. H. Sargent, Pure cubic-phase hybrid iodobismuthates AgBi₂I₇ for thin-film photovoltaics, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 9586 (2016).
- [7] I. Turkevych, S. Kazaoui, E. Ito, T. Urano, K. Yamada, H. Tomiyasu, H. Yamagishi, M. Kondo and S. Aramaki, Photovoltaic rudorffites: lead-free silver bismuth halides alternative to hybrid lead halide perovskites, ChemSusChem 10, 3754 (2017).
- [8] C. Lu, J. Zhang, H. Sun, D. Hou, X. Gan, M.-h. Shang, Y. Li, Z. Hu, Y. Zhu and L. Han, Inorganic and lead-free AgBiI₄ rudorffite for stable solar cell applications, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 1, 4485 (2018).
- [9] N. Pai, J. Lu, T. R. Gengenbach, A. Seeber, A. S. R. Chesman, L. Jiang, D. C. Senevirathna, P. C. Andrews, U. Bach, Y.-B. Cheng and A. N. Simonov, Silver bismuth sulfoiodide solar cells: tuning optoelectronic

properties by sulfide modification for enhanced photovoltaic performance, Adv. Energy Mater. **9**, 1803396 (2019).

- [10] A. Kulkarni, A. K. Jena, M. Ikegami and T. Miyasaka, Performance enhancement of AgBi₂I₇ solar cells by modulating a solvent-mediated adduct and tuning remnant BiI₃ in one-step crystallization, Chem. Commun. 55, 4031 (2019).
- [11] Z. Yi, T. Zhang, H. Ban, H. Shao, X. Gong, M. Wu, G. Liang, X. L. Zhang, Y. Shen and M. Wang, AgBi₃I₁₀ rudorffite for photovoltaic application, Sol. Energy **206**, 436 (2020).
- [12] T. Miyasaka, A. Kulkarni, G. M. Kim, S. Öz and A. K. Jena, Perovskite solar cells: can we go organic-free, lead-free, and dopant-free?, Adv. Energy Mater. 10, 1902500 (2020).
- [13] C. Wu, Q. Zhang, G. Liu, Z. Zhang, D. Wang, B. Qu, Z. Chen and L. Xiao, From Pb to Bi: a promising family of Pb-free optoelectronic materials and devices, Adv. Energy Mater. 10, 1902496 (2020).
- [14] A. Crovetto, A. Hajijafarassar, O. Hansen, B. Seger, I. Chorkendorff and P. C. K. Vesborg, Parallel evaluation of the Bil₃, BiOI, and Ag₃Bil₆ layered photoabsorbers, Chem. Mater. **32**, 3385 (2020).
- [15] G. K. Liyanage, A. B. Phillips and M. J. Heben, Role of band alignment at the transparent front contact/emitter interface in the performance of wide bandgap thin film solar cells, APL Mater. 6, 101104 (2018).
- [16] T. Hellmann, C. Das, T. Abzieher, J. A. Schwenzer, M. Wussler, R. Dachauer, U. W. Paetzold, W. Jaegermann and T. Mayer, The electronic structure of MAPI-based perovskite solar cells: detailed band diagram determination by photoemission spectroscopy comparing classical and inverted device stacks, Adv. Energy Mater. 10, 2002129 (2020).
- [17] U. Dasgupta, A. Bera and A. J. Pal, Band diagram of heterojunction solar cells through scanning tunneling spectroscopy, ACS Energy Lett. 2, 582 (2017).
- [18] S. Wang, T. Sakurai, W. Wen and Y. Qi, Energy level alignment at interfaces in metal halide perovskite solar cells, Adv. Mater. Interfaces 5, 1800260 (2018).
- [19] A. Klein, Energy band alignment in chalcogenide thin film solar cells from photoelectron spectroscopy, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 134201 (2015).
- [20] C. Ding, Y. Zhang, F. Liu, Y. Kitabatake, S. Hayase, T. Toyoda, K. Yoshino, T. Minemoto, K. Katayama and Q. Shen, Effect of the conduction band offset on interfacial recombination behavior of the planar perovskite solar cells, Nano Energy 53, 17 (2018).
- [21] M. Stolterfoht, P. Caprioglio, C. M. Wolff, J. A. Márquez, J. Nordmann, S. Zhang, D. Rothhardt, U. Hörmann, Y. Amir, A. Redinger, L. Kegelmann, F. Zu, S. Albrecht, N. Koch, T. Kirchartz, M. Saliba, T. Unold and D. Neher, The impact of energy alignment and interfacial recombination on the internal and external open-circuit voltage of perovskite solar cells, Energy Environ. Sci. 12, 2778 (2019).
- [22] L. Bertoluzzi, L. Badia-Bou, F. Fabregat-Santiago, S. Gimenez and J. Bisquert, Interpretation of cyclic voltammetry measurements of thin semiconductor films for solar fuel applications, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 4, 1334 (2013).

- [23] L. Qiu, S. He, L. K. Ono and Y. Qi, Progress of surface science studies on ABX₃-based metal halide perovskite solar cells, Adv. Energy Mater. 10, 1902726 (2020).
- [24] A. Kahn, Fermi level, work function and vacuum level, Mater. Horiz. 3, 7 (2016).
- [25] W. Du, B. Wang, J. Yang, K. Zhang, Y. Zhao, C. Xiong, J. Ma, L. Chen and X. Zhu, Tip-induced band bending on Sr/Si(100)-2×3 reconstructed surface, AIP Adv. 7, 125124 (2017).
- [26] H. C. Sansom, G. Longo, A. D. Wright, L. R. V. Buizza, S. Mahesh, B. Wenger, M. Zanella, M. Abdi-Jalebi, M. J. Pitcher, M. S. Dyer, T. D. Manning, R. H. Friend, L. M. Herz, H. J. Snaith, J. B. Claridge and M. J. Rosseinsky, Highly absorbing lead-free semiconductor Cu₂AgBiI₆ for photovoltaic applications from the quaternary CuI-AgI-BiI₃ phase space, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **143**, 3983 (2021).
- [27] L. F. Mashadieva, Z. S. Aliev, A. V. Shevelkov and M. B. Babanly, Experimental investigation of the Ag-Bi-I ternary system and thermodynamic properties of the ternary phases, J. Alloys Compd. 551, 512 (2013).
- [28] T. Oldag, T. Aussieker, H. L. Keller, C. Preitschaft and A. Pfitzner, Solvothermal synthesis and crystal structure determination of AgBiI₄ and Ag₃BiI₆, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem **631**, 677 (2005).
- [29] K. B. Dzeranova, N. I. Kaloev and G. A. Bukhalova, The BiI₃ AgI system., Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 30, 1700 (1985).
- [30] P. H. Fourcroy, M. Palazzi, J. Rivet, J. Flahaut and R. Céolin, Etude du systeme AgIBiI₃, Mater. Res. Bull. 14, 325 (1979).
- [31] H. C. Sansom, G. F. S. Whitehead, M. S. Dyer, M. Zanella, T. D. Manning, M. J. Pitcher, T. J. Whittles, V. R. Dhanak, J. Alaria, J. B. Claridge and M. J. Rosseinsky, AgBiI₄ as a lead-free solar absorber with potential application in photovoltaics, Chem. Mater. 29, 1538 (2017).
- [32] S. Sahare, P. Ghoderao, S. B. Khan, Y. Chan and S.-L. Lee, Recent progress in hybrid perovskite solar cells through scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy, Nanoscale 12, 15970 (2020).
- [33] M. Nonnenmacher, M. P. O'Boyle and H. K. Wickramasinghe, Kelvin probe force microscopy, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 2921 (1991).
- [34] W. Melitz, J. Shen, A. C. Kummel and S. Lee, Kelvin probe force microscopy and its application, Surf. Sci. Rep. 66, 1 (2011).
- [35] W. Melitz, J. Shen, S. Lee, J. S. Lee, A. C. Kummel, R. Droopad and E. T. Yu, Scanning tunneling spectroscopy and Kelvin probe force microscopy investigation of Fermi energy level pinning mechanism on InAs and InGaAs clean surfaces, J. Appl. Phys. 108, 023711 (2010).
- [36] J.-L. Bredas, Mind the gap!, Mater. Horiz. 1, 17 (2014).
- [37] A. Kulkarni, F. Ünlü, N. Pant, J. Kaur, C. Bohr, A. K. Jena, S. Öz, M. Yanagida, Y. Shirai, M. Ikegami, K. Miyano, Y. Tachibana, S. Chakraborty, S. Mathur and T. Miyasaka, Concerted ion migration and diffusion-induced degradation in lead-free Ag₃BiI₆ rudorffite solar cells under ambient conditions, Sol. RRL 5, 2100077 (2021).
- [38] B. Ghosh, S. Chakraborty, H. Wei, C. Guet, S. Li, S. Mhaisalkar and N. Mathews, Poor photovoltaic performance of Cs₃Bi₂I₉: an insight through first-principles calculations, J. Phys. Chem. C 121, 17062 (2017).

- [39] A. Maiti, S. Chatterjee, L. Peedikakkandy and A. J. Pal, Defects and their passivation in hybrid halide perovskites toward solar cell applications, Sol. RRL **4**, 2000505 (2020).
- [40] Z. Xiao, W. Meng, J. Wang and Y. Yan, Thermodynamic stability and defect chemistry of bismuth-based lead-free double perovskites, ChemSusChem 9, 2628 (2016).
- [41] W.-J. Yin, T. Shi and Y. Yan, Unusual defect physics in CH₃NH₃PbI₃ perovskite solar cell absorber, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 063903 (2014).
- [42] Q. Wang, Y. Shao, H. Xie, L. Lyu, X. Liu, Y. Gao and J. Huang, Qualifying composition dependent p and n self-doping in CH₃NH₃PbI₃, Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 163508 (2014).
- [43] J. M. Ball and A. Petrozza, Defects in perovskite-halides and their effects in solar cells, Nat. Energy 1, 16149 (2016).