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I. INTRODUCTION

Rare earth (R) intermetallic compounds have garnered a lot of interest in condensed matter systems due to their wide range of properties, such as unconventional superconductivity [1–3], Kondo behavior [4–6], and quantum criticality [7–9], through the interplay between magnetic interactions, the crystal field (CEF) anisotropy [10]. While the Kondo effect occurs mostly in Ce- and Yb-based compounds [11–14], RudermanKittelKasuyaYosida (RKKY) interactions and CEF effects are ubiquitous in magnetic intermetallics. These competing interactions (RKKY, CEF, Kondo) not only break the ground state CEF degeneracy and result in magnetic anisotropy, but also can result in unconventional magnetic order [15, 16].

Currently, several Kondo systems based on both Ce and Yb rare earths, YbNi$_4$P$_2$ [17], CeRuPO [18], CeAgSb$_2$ [19] and Co-doped YbRh$_2$Si$_2$ [20], have been shown to display complex magnetic ground state, where the moments ordered along the hard CEF axis. Such hard axis ordering in Kondo lattice systems [21–23] has been observed independent of the crystal symmetry [17–19], ordered moment size [24–26], or Kondo temperature scale $T_K$ relative to the ferromagnetic ordering temperature $T_C$ [17, 18, 27]. While this property was initially thought to be a result of electronic particle-hole fluctuations in ferromagnetic heavy fermion compounds [28], we recently discovered similar hard axis order in both ferro- (FM) and antiferro-magnetic (AFM) Kondo systems, in three isostructural Yb compounds, YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ [29], YbRh$_3$Si$_7$ [30] and YbIr$_3$Si$_7$ [31]. These observations on Kondo and non-Kondo magnetic systems lead to new questions: what is the exact mechanism for the hard axis ordering, and what are the roles of each of the competing energy scales, RKKY, CEF and Kondo, in driving the hard axis ordering?

The most suitable strategy is to deconvolute the effect of each interaction. To this end, we performed a systematic study of the magnetic and electronic properties of isostructural RRh$_3$Si$_7$ compounds, where only RKKY interactions are present in the $R = \text{Gd}$ member, RKKY and CEF effects compete in the $R = \text{Tb-Tm}$ systems, while all three energy scales (RKKY, CEF, Kondo) are at play. Moreover, we extend the comparison to two other isostructural Kondo systems YbIr$_3$Si$_7$ and YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$, where hard axis magnetic order is also observed. The non-Kondo compounds RRh$_3$Si$_7$ ($R = \text{Tb-Tm}$) lack the complexity of magnetic order along the hard CEF axis, pointing to the dominant role of the Kondo effect in driving this magnetic order. However, the CEF-RKKY competition is still responsible for complex magnetic ground states, and it appears that the electronic and magnetic degrees of freedom are entangled in all magnetic members of this series of compounds.
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expected de Gennes scaling [32] of the magnetic ordering temperature in the $RRh_3Si_7$ series, with the $R = \text{Yb}$ showing the highest ordering temperature at 7.5 K, and extremely low ordering temperatures in the $R = \text{Tb}$, Ho and Er compounds ($< 0.5$ K).

II. METHODS

Single crystals of $RRh_3Si_7$ ($R = \text{Gd}-\text{Tm}$) have been grown from a Rh-Si liquid solution, similar to the growth of $\text{YbRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ [30]. The resulting crystals (shown in the inset, Fig. 1) have typical dimensions of $6 \times 6 \times 4$ mm$^3$. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed in a Bruker D8 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. The Rietveld structural refinement was achieved by the TOPAS software. Single crystal XRD measurements were performed on Bruker D8 Quest Kappa diffractometer equipped with an IμS microfocus source (Mo Kα, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), a HELIOS optics monochromator, and a PHOTON II CPAD detector. The integration of the diffraction data was performed using the Bruker SAINT program and the absorption correction was done using the Bruker program SADABS2016/2 (multiscan method). Structure models were generated using intrinsic phasing methods in SHELXT program, and anisotropically refined using SHELXL2014 program. The LuRh$_3$Si$_7$ compound was synthesized in polycrystalline form by arc-melting stoichiometric amounts of the constituent elements [30].

DC magnetization measurements were performed in a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (QD MPMS) with a $^3$He option. Specific heat measurements were carried out in a QD Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) with a $^3$He option. Resistivity was measured in a four-point contact geometry in a Dynacool with electrical transport option with AC current with frequency of 9.1 Hz and driving amplitude of 1 mA.

III. RESULTS

A. STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

$RRh_3Si_7$ belong to the ScRh$_3$Si$_7$ class of compounds, which crystallizes in a rhombohedral structure with space group: R3c [33]. A representative powder XRD data is shown in Fig. 1a for TbRh$_3$Si$_7$. The Rietveld refinement confirms the R3c crystal structure, with a few minute impurity peaks (marked by “*” in Fig. 1a) due to small amounts of remnant Rh-Si flux, while small intensity differences between measured (red circles) and calculated (black line) patterns may be due to prefered orientation. The structure, stoichiometry, crystal purity and orientation of the crystals are also confirmed by single crystal XRD. The RRh$_3$Si$_7$ structure is comprised of Si$_7$ bipyramids (light blue, Fig. 1b) and RRh$_6$ octahedra (green).

The lattice parameters of $RRh_3Si_7$ obtained from powder crystal XRD are summarized in Table 1. The unit cell volume decreases linearly with the ionic radius of $R^{3+}$, which is in line with the expected lanthanide contraction (Fig. 1c).
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FIG. 1: (a) Powder x-ray diffraction (symbols) and Rietveld refinement (black line) at 300 K for TbRh$_3$Si$_7$, with an image of a TbRh$_3$Si$_7$ crystal in the inset. (b) Crystal structure of $RRh_3Si_7$ ($R = \text{Gd}-\text{Tm}$). (c) Unit cell volume $V$ vs. ionic radius of $R^{3+}$ for $RRh_3Si_7$ ($R = \text{Gd}-\text{Lu}$), with the dashed line showing the expected lanthanide contraction [34].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$ in $RRh_3Si_7$</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>unit cell volume ($Å^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>7.596</td>
<td>19.891</td>
<td>994.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>7.583</td>
<td>19.853</td>
<td>988.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>7.574</td>
<td>19.866</td>
<td>987.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>7.566</td>
<td>19.822</td>
<td>982.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>7.555</td>
<td>19.817</td>
<td>979.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>7.552</td>
<td>19.827</td>
<td>979.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu [30]</td>
<td>7.542</td>
<td>19.806</td>
<td>975.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Lattice parameters of $RRh_3Si_7$ ($R = \text{Gd}-\text{Lu}$) determined from powder x-ray diffraction refinements.
B. TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT MAGNETIZATION

The low-temperature magnetic susceptibility $M/H$, measured with an applied field $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T parallel to the $a$ and $c$ axes of the equivalent hexagonal unit cell, are shown in Fig. 2. For $R =$ Gd and Dy, a peak is observed below $T = 5$ and $2.5$ K, respectively, likely due to long-range antiferromagnetic order (Fig. 2a,c). For $R =$ Ho, Er and Tm, $M/H$ increases monotonically upon cooling, with no obvious sign of magnetic order down to the lowest measured temperature of $T = 1.8$ K (Fig. 2d-f). The susceptibility for the Tb compound plateaus at the lowest temperatures (Fig. 2b, where a broad peak in the specific heat (discussed below) signals possible short range correlations.

The inverse average magnetic susceptibility $H/M_{\text{ave}}$ is shown in Fig. 3, where the average magnetization $M_{\text{ave}}$ is calculated as $M_{\text{ave}} = (2M_a + M_c)/3$. For $R =$ Gd-Ho, $H/M_{\text{ave}}$ follows a Curie-Weiss behavior as $H/M_{\text{ave}} = (T - \theta_W)/C$, where $\theta_W$ is the Weiss temperature and $C$ is the Curie constant, between $T = 300$ and $50$ K, as shown by the solid black lines in Fig. 3. For $R =$ Er and Tm, deviations from Curie-Weiss behavior are observed starting at higher temperatures, at around $150$ K. From the linear fit at high temperatures, the effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ obtained for all compounds is consistent with $R^{3+}$ state, while the negative Weiss temperatures $\theta_W$ point to antiferromagnetic correlations across the series. Table II summarizes $\theta_W$, $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{th}}$, $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}}$, the ordering temperature $T_{\text{ord}}$ as well as the de Gennes factor $dG = (g_J - 1)^2 J(J+1)$, a scaling parameter for the ordering temperature (discussed below).

![ FIG. 2: Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility with $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T for $H \parallel a$ (full symbols) and $H \parallel c$ (open symbols) of RRh$_3$Si$_7$ ($R =$ Gd-Tm).](image)

![ FIG. 3: Inverse magnetic susceptibility $H/M_{\text{ave}}$ (symbols) of RRh$_3$Si$_7$ ($R =$ Gd-Tm) for $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T. Solid lines are Curie-Weiss fits.](image)

C. FIELD-DEPENDENT MAGNETIZATION

The $R$Rh$_3$Si$_7$ $M(H)$ isotherms measured at $T = 1.8$ K (Fig. 4) are consistent with the anisotropy revealed by the temperature-dependent magnetization data. Not surprisingly, the $H \parallel a$ (full symbols) and $H \parallel c$ (open symbols) $M(H)$ curves for GdRh$_3$Si$_7$ (Fig. 4a) are nearly identical, and saturate at $7\mu_B$ when $\mu_0 H = 7$ T, in line with the Gd$^{3+}$ ground state $J = 7/2$ multiplet. For $R =$ Tb, Dy, and Ho, the magnetization fails to reach the theoretical saturation value, $\mu_{\text{sat}} = 9.10, 10 \mu_B$, respectively, at $\mu_0 H = 7$ T. This result confirms that CEF splits the ground state multiplet, with easy plane ordering, since $M_a = M(H \parallel a) > M(H \parallel c) = M_c$, consistent with the high $T$ magnetic susceptibility data (Fig. 2). The ratio of the saturated magnetization between the two field
directions, \((M_a/M_c)_{TT}\), decreases in moving across the series from light to heavy rare earths. In the case of \(R = \text{Er} \) and \(\text{Tm}\), the anisotropy switches, and it is \(M_c\) that plateaus at fields above \(\sim 1-2\ T\) (open symbols, Fig. 4d,f), while \(M_a\) remains very small \((\leq 1\ \mu_B)\) for the entire measured field range. This is consistent with an easy CEF axis along the \(c\) crystallographic direction based on the high temperature susceptibility measurements (Fig. 2e-f).

D. SPECIFIC HEAT MEASUREMENTS

Figure 5 shows temperature-dependent specific heat measurements. Sharp peaks in \(C_p(T)\) indicate long-range magnetic order in \(RR\text{Rh}_3\text{Si}_7\) with \(R = \text{Gd}, \text{Dy}, \text{Ho}, \text{Er}\) and \(\text{Tm}\). \(\text{TbRh}_3\text{Si}_7\) shows sign of long-range magnetic order just below \(0.062\ \text{K}\). For \(R = \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}\) and \(\text{Ho}\), additional broad \(C_p\) peaks are observed above \(T_{\text{ord}}\), at temperatures denoted as \(T^*\) listed in Table II. As discussed below, the broad specific heat peaks are likely associated with CEF splitting, consistent with the departures from Curie-Weiss behavior observed in the average inverse susceptibilities (Fig. 3).

We further estimate the magnetic entropy \(S_m\) from the specific heat data by subtracting the values for the non-magnetic analogue \(\text{LuRh}_3\text{Si}_7\). The magnetic specific heat \(C_m\) for \(\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7\) \((R = \text{Gd}-\text{Tm})\) is evaluated as \(C_m = C_p(\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7) - C_p(\text{LuRh}_3\text{Si}_7)\), and the magnetic entropy is calculated from \(S_m = \int_{0}^{T} C_m/T\ dT\). The results are shown in Fig. 6. The arrows mark the ordering temperature for each compound, except \(\text{TbRh}_3\text{Si}_7\) for which no magnetic ordering temperature is just below the minimum measured temperature \(0.062\ \text{K}\). The magnetic entropy at \(T_{\text{ord}}\) in \(\text{GdRh}_3\text{Si}_7\) is close to \(\text{Rln8}\), indicating an eight-fold degenerate ground state as expected for its \(J = 7/2\) ground state. For the rest of \(\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7\), the magnetic entropy release at \(T_{\text{ord}}\) is less than \(\text{Rln}(2J+1)\), which indicates the presence of excited CEF levels. Further inelastic neutron scattering measurements are required to clarify the exact CEF configurations in each compound.

FIG. 5: Zero-field specific heat for \(\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7\). The insets in (b), (d) and (e) show the lower temperature range of the corresponding specific heat curve. The dashed line indicates the specific heat of the non-magnetic analogue \(\text{LuRh}_3\text{Si}_7\).

FIG. 6: Magnetic entropy \(S_m\) for \(\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7\) \((R = \text{Gd}-\text{Tm})\). The vertical arrows indicate the ordering temperatures defined by the sharp peak in specific heat measurement, as discussed in the main text.
TABLE II: Magnetic and transport properties of RRh$_3$Si$_7$ compounds. $\theta_W$ is the Curie-Weiss temperature, $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{th}}$ and $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}}$ are the theoretical and experimental effective moment values for $R^{3+}$, respectively. $dG$ is the de Gennes factor $dG = (g_j - 1)^2J(J + 1)$, $T_{\text{ord}}$ is the magnetic ordering temperature, and $T^*$ is the CEF temperature where a broad peak is observed in $C_m$ for $R = \text{Tb, Dy and Ho.}$. $RRR = \rho_{\text{300K}}/\rho_{2K}$ is the residual resistivity ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$ in RRh$_3$Si$_7$</th>
<th>$\theta_W (K)$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{th}} (\mu_B)$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}} (\mu_B)$</th>
<th>$dG$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{ord}}(K)$</th>
<th>$T^*(K)$</th>
<th>$RRR$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>&lt; 0.062, 11.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>-13.3</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>2.0, 13.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.11, 2.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb [30]</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. RESISTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

The electrical resistivities $\rho(T)$ of the RRh$_3$Si$_7$ ($R = \text{Gd-Tm})$ compounds show metallic behavior, with $\rho$ decreasing on cooling (Fig. 7). The complex anisotropic magnetic properties of the RRh$_3$Si$_7$ compounds can therefore be attributed to the competition between CEF effects and RKKY interactions, as expected in metallic systems [38]. Following the linear decrease of $\rho(T)$ from room temperature, a drop in the resistivity is observed for $R = \text{Gd, Dy, and Tm below} T_{\text{ord}}$ (inset, Fig. 7a-f), consistent with loss of spin disorder scattering. In comparison, the low-$T$ resistivity in ErRh$_3$Si$_7$ remains nearly temperature independent down to 1.8 K, consistent with the lack of magnetic ordering above this temperature. The residual resistivity ratio $RRR$, defined as $RRR = \rho_{\text{300K}}/\rho_{2K}$, is between 6 (for $R = \text{Tm}$) and 27 (for $R = \text{Tb}$) (Table II), suggesting overall good crystal quality. An unexpected upturn in $\rho(T)$ occurs on cooling just above the magnetic ordering temperatures, in the $R = \text{Gd, Dy and possibly Tm members of the series. This feature will be revisited in the Discussion section.}$

The semi-log plot in Fig. 7g compares the normalized resistivities of all RRh$_3$Si$_7$, including the Kondo antiferromagnet $R = \text{Yb (light blue triangle) and the non-magnetic} R = \text{Lu (dashed line), together with those of the isostructural compounds YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ (purple hexagon) and YbIr$_3$Si$_7$ (blue star). The resistivity of LuRh$_3$Si$_7$ is metallic, in line with the values of the other compounds in this series. In stark contrast, the three Yb compounds stand out as a poor or low-carrier metals [29, 30] or insulator [31]. While YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ (purple hexagon) appears metallic, it shows a weak temperature dependence. The resistivity for YbRh$_3$Si$_7$ (light blue triangle) increases on cooling and yields a local maximum associated with Kondo resonance around 20 K. A nearly 4 orders of magnitude increase in $\rho(T)$ is apparent in YbIr$_3$Si$_7$ (dark blue star), which we rationalized elsewhere [31] within a Kondo exhaustion scenario.
IV. DISCUSSION

Our thermodynamic and electrical transport data reveal CEF anisotropy, magnetic ordering below $T_{\text{ord}}$ and metallic behavior for the $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ compounds with $R = \text{Gd-Tm}$. In most $R$-based intermetallic series, mean field theory based on RKKY interaction predicts the scaling of the magnetic ordering temperature with the de Gennes factor $dG = (g_J - 1)^2 J(J+1)$, where $g_J$ is the Lande $g$ factor and $J$ is the total angular momentum of the ground-state multiplet dictated by the Hund’s rule for $R^{3+}$. This prediction holds unless frustration and other unconventional effects exist. In $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ ($R = \text{Gd-Yb}$), table II indicates a non-monotonous change of $T_{\text{ord}}$ across the series, leading to the breakdown of de Gennes scaling: all compounds with $R = \text{Gd-Tm}$ order below $3.4 \, \text{K}$. While $\text{GdRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ has the highest $T_{\text{ord}}$ at $3.4 \, \text{K}$, it is still much lower than the $T_{\text{ord}}$ of $\text{YbRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ at $7.5 \, \text{K}$, even in the absence of CEF interaction in the former compound. Extremely low ordering temperatures $T_{\text{ord}} < 0.5 \, \text{K}$ are observed in some members of the series ($R = \text{Tb, Ho and Er}$). All compounds except $\text{GdRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ show clear magnetic anisotropy, and the saturated magnetization values are less than the theoretical $\mu_{\text{sat}}$ for $R = \text{ Tb-Ho}$, which suggests canted moments in the ordered state, or metamagnetic transitions at higher fields, or both. The anisotropy decreases from light to heavy $R$, resulting in a nearly isotropic $\text{HoRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ (Fig. 4d). This trend fits within a simplified uniaxial anisotropy model [37], where the $B_{20}$ Stevens coefficient decreases down to nearly $0 \, (R = \text{ Ho})$ in moving from light to heavier $R$. For even heavier $R$, the anisotropy changes from easy plane to easy axis when $R = \text{ Er, Tm}$, and their $c$ axis magnetization reaches the expected saturation value at $\mu_0 H = 7 \, \text{T}$.

The specific heat data reveals the presence of CEF effects, with low lying energy levels in the $R = \text{ Tb, Dy}$ and $\text{Ho}$ compounds, indicated by a broad peak around $T^*$ in $C_p$ (Fig. 5). Additionally, the magnetic entropy $S_m$ is less than $\text{Rln}(2J+1)$ at the respective magnetic ordering temperature for all $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ (Fig. 6). $\text{GdRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ is the exception, since no CEF anisotropy is expected for $L = 0$, as is the case for $\text{Gd}^{3+}$. In rare earth intermetallic systems, a broad maximum in $C_p$ and a reduced entropy at their magnetic ordering temperature (Fig. 5b and d) could be either due to the existence of low-lying CEF levels or Kondo effects, or both. Usually, Kondo effect is weak, if not absent, in the $f$-electron systems with more than one electron or hole in the $f$ shell. Therefore, the broad $C_p$ peaks and the reduced $S_m$ in $R = \text{ Tb, Dy}$ and $\text{Ho}$ could only be attributed to CEF splitting of the ground state multiplet together with possible short range correlations at higher temperatures. The same mechanism can be applied to the smaller magnetic entropy ($< \text{Rln}(2J+1)$), which appears in $R = \text{ Tm}$ and $\text{Er}$.

While less affected by CEF, the electrical resistivities of the $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ compounds underscore the RKKY coupling, which contributes to the complex energy competition in this series. All the non-Kondo $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ compounds are good metals, showing linear decrease of $\rho(T)$ on cooling, and signatures of spin disorder scattering at $T_{\text{ord}}$ when it is in the measured temperature range (Fig. 7). An unexpected upturn in $\rho(T)$ precedes the magnetic order in the $R = \text{ Gd, Dy}$ and possibly $\text{Tm}$ members of the series. This is a rare occurrence in intermetallic compounds: such a peak in $\rho(T)$ at $T_{\text{ord}}$ was attributed to a pseudogap formation in $\text{CeAgAs}_2$ [39], or enhanced spin fluctuations due to spin wave excitations in $\text{MnBi}_2\text{Te}_4$ [40] and $\text{Fe}_{1.9}\text{Te}$ [41]. Another possible explanation is a small structural distortion associated with the magnetic order. Other plausible albeit less likely scenarios are a density wave formation, where the removal of states from the Fermi surface competes with the loss of spin disorder scattering, or Kondo screening. Band structure calculations will be necessary to verify the possible pseudogap formation, while low temperature (below $T_{\text{ord}}$) structural analysis is required to evaluate any structural distortions. Low temperature neutron scattering could shed light on the possibility of spin wave excitations and the interaction of the local moments with the itinerant carriers.

Once we turn to the Kondo compounds in our comparison ($\text{YbIr}_3\text{Si}_7$, $\text{YbIr}_3\text{Ge}_7$, and $\text{YbRh}_3\text{Si}_7$) several observations come to fore: their normalized resistivity at low temperature (open symbols in Fig. 7g) is much larger than that of the non-Kondo compounds in this structure; the low-temperature magnetic susceptibility data (Fig. 8) show a crossover on cooling below $12 \, \text{K}$, indicating hard-CEF axis magnetic order. The relative energy scales of the three interactions in $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ family can be estimated in the following way: The RKKY energy should be $\sim 0.10 \, \text{K}$, close to the magnetic ordering temperatures (listed in table II). Note that for this series of compounds, the magnetic ordering temperatures are consistently smaller than the Curie-Weiss temperatures, particularly for $R = \text{ Tb, Ho}$, and $\text{Er}$ where $T_{\text{ord}}$ is below $0.5 \, \text{K}$, implying a certain degree of frustration. Meanwhile, the CEF energy scale $\Delta$ can be estimated from hard-axis magnetic susceptibility, to be further confirmed by neutron experiments [42, 43]. For most $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ ($R = \text{ Tb-Tm}$), $\Delta$ appears to be in the range of $\sim 10-50 \, \text{K}$ based on the hard axis temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility data and the broad peak in specific heat data, and likely higher than $300 \, \text{K}$ only for $R = \text{ Yb}$. These values are common for $R$-based intermetallics [44]. However, the exact CEF splitting in the series of $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ compounds, with the $R$ in a trigonal point symmetry, is more difficult to pinpoint (see for example our detailed study of the CEF splitting in an isostuctural compound $\text{CeIr}_3\text{Ge}_7$ [42, 43]) and this is beyond the scope of the current study. At last, the Kondo energy was estimated to be around $15 \, \text{K}$ for $\text{YbRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ [30].

While $\text{YbRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ with all three interactions shows magnetic crossing in the low- $T$ magnetization (Fig. 8) for the two field directions, no such crossover appears (Fig. 2) for the non-Kondo systems $\text{RRh}_3\text{Si}_7$ ($R = \text{ Gd-Tm}$). These results point to the Kondo effect as an essential ingredient for the formation of hard-axis magnetic ordering in.
the YbRh$_3$Si$_7$ and the other Kondo analogues. Beyond the anisotropy, the interplay of Kondo and CEF is also remarkable considering that YbRh$_3$Si$_7$ shows nearly record-high ordering temperature $T_N \sim 8$ K, with the other two Kondo compounds YbIr$_3$Si$_7$ and YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ also having larger $T_{\text{ord}}$ than what is often observed in Yb heavy-fermion compounds [45–47]. This recalls the possibility of yet another relevant scale, spin-orbit coupling (SOC), playing a role, given that Ir is much heavier than (while otherwise chemically quite similar to) its 4d analogue Rh. Detailed spectroscopy experiments are required to elucidate the correlation-SOC interplay, and these are underway.

![Graphs showing magnetic ordering temperature](attachment:image.png)

**FIG. 8:** Hard axis ordering of YbIr$_3$Si$_7$, YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ and YbRh$_3$Si$_7$, $H \parallel a$ (full symbols) and $H \parallel c$ (open symbols). The dashed lines indicate the magnetic ordering temperature.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have successfully synthesized single crystals of the novel intermetallic compounds $R$Rh$_3$Si$_7$ ($R = $ Gd-Tm). We investigate their thermodynamic and electrical properties by contrast to three Kondo isostructural systems YbIr$_3$Si$_7$, YbIr$_3$Ge$_7$ and YbRh$_3$Si$_7$, to understand the respective roles of CEF, RKKY and Kondo interactions towards hard-axis magnetic ordering. Such a comparison suggests that the Kondo effect is the main mechanism behind the hard axis ordering. In addition, the abnormally high magnetic ordering temperature in the three Kondo systems mark the competition between three energy scales (and possibly SOC) in the systems. When Kondo effect is removed, the competition between RKKY and CEF is reflected in the magnetic ordering temperature which do not follow the expected de Gennes scaling (Table II). Furthermore, the $R = $ Tb, Ho and Er members of this series have extremely low $T_{\text{ord}}$ below 0.5 K. The resistivity measurements reveal that all the non-Kondo $R$Rh$_3$Si$_7$ compounds are good metals, and show signs of spin disorder scattering at $T_{\text{ord}}$, although an unexpected upturn occurs before the $T_{\text{ord}}$ in $R = $ Gd, Dy and possibly Tm. This can be caused by multiple mechanisms and can be verified using approaches such as band structure calculations or low temperature neutron diffraction. When CEF is removed and only RKKY effect is at play, which is the case in GdRh$_3$Si$_7$, the magnetic properties become relatively simple, and no apparent magnetic anisotropy is observed. From light to heavy $R$, decreasing CEF anisotropy is observed, and this ultimately makes the anisotropy change from easy plane to easy axis for $R = $ Er, Tm, with the latter two compounds reaching saturation at low fields ($\sim$ 1-2 T).
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