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**Abstract**

The interfacial coupling between the switchable polarization and neighboring magnetic order makes ferroelectric/ferromagnetic composite structures a versatile platform to realize voltage control of magnetic anisotropy. Here, we report the nonvolatile ferroelectric field effect modulation of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA) in epitaxial PbZr$_{0.2}$Ti$_{0.8}$O$_3$ (PZT)/La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) heterostructures grown on (001) SrTiO$_3$ substrates. Planar Hall effect measurements show that the in-plane magnetic anisotropy energy in LSMO is enhanced by about 22% in the hole accumulation state compared to the depletion state, in quantitative agreement with our first-principles density functional theory calculations. Modeling the spin-orbit coupling effect with second-order perturbation theory points to the critical role of the $d$-orbital occupancy in controlling MCA. Our work provides new insights into the effect of ferroelectric polarization on the magnetic anisotropy at the composite multiferroic interfaces, paving the path for their implementation into novel low-power spintronic applications.
Multiferroic heterostructures consisting of ferroelectric and ferromagnetic layers have garnered significant research interest over the last decade as a model system for examining the interfacial magnetoelectric (ME) coupling [1-4]. Compared to single phase multiferroics, where the intrinsic ME coupling coefficients are often weak, the composite heterostructures can be engineered to achieve orders of magnitude enhancement in the coupling strength [2], making them a viable material platform for developing high performance, low power spintronic devices, such as voltage-controlled magnetic memories and spin field effect transistors (FETs) [4-6]. The nonvolatile, bi-stable polarization and large bound charge density intrinsic to ferroelectrics also present them distinct advantages over the conventional dielectrics in building the composite ME devices.

For spintronic applications, it is of particular interest to realize voltage control of magnetic anisotropy [7], a critical parameter for engineering the spin switching dynamics and optimizing the data retention [8-10]. It has been theoretically predicated that ferroelectric polarization reversal can induce sizable changes in the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA) in a neighboring magnetic material [11-13]. The epitaxial heterostructure based on the perovskite ferroelectric (or multiferroic) oxide and strongly correlated manganite is a promising material candidate for achieving such control, leveraging the highly tunable magnetic states in manganites via charge and/or strain modulation and the large interfacial ME coupling coefficient [14-23]. Compared to the strain induced modulation of MCA [24], the polarization effect is mediated by charge without altering the strain state in the system, thus minimizing the mechanical stress in the composite multiferroic for operation in the two nonvolatile states. In previous studies, ferroelectric field effect tuning of magnetic anisotropy associated magnetotransport properties, such as the anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR), has been reported in epitaxial (Pb,Zr)TiO$_3$
(PZT)/La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) heterostructures [15, 22]. However, due to the high carrier density in the correlated oxides, pronounced modulation can only be achieved in ultrathin channels [4], and it is highly challenging to map out the magnetic energy landscape in samples with such limited volumes. To date, direct realization of ferroelectric polarization controlled magnetic anisotropy has not been experimentally demonstrated, and a microscopic understanding of the interfacial coupling mechanism is yet to be gained.

In this work, we report the nonvolatile ferroelectric field effect modulation of the in-plane MCA in an ultrathin La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$MnO$_3$ film via switching the polarization of an interfacial PbZr$_{0.2}$Ti$_{0.8}$O$_3$ layer. Planar Hall effect (PHE) measurements reveal biaxial magnetic anisotropy in LSMO, with the magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) in the hole accumulation state about 22% higher than in the depletion state. Comparing the results to those obtained in single layer La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ films with different chemical compositions reveals the charge-mediated nature of the coupling. Our theoretical modeling based on first-principles density functional theory (DFT) combined with second-order perturbation to spin-orbit coupling (SOC) indicates the critical role of $d$-orbital occupancy in the doping dependence of MCA. As LSMO is widely exploited as the spin injection layer for novel multiferroic tunnel junctions [5, 6], our results provide critical insights into engineering the performance of these composite multiferroic structures for spintronic applications.

We deposited \textit{in situ} epitaxial PZT/LSMO heterostructures on (001) SrTiO$_3$ (STO) substrates using off-axis radio frequency magnetron sputtering (see Supplemental Material [25] for growth and characterization details). Figure 1(a) shows the x-ray $\theta$–$2\theta$ scan of a 250 nm PZT/4 nm LSMO heterostructure, which reveals high crystallinity with no appreciable impurity phase. These samples possess smooth surface morphology with a typical root mean square surface
roughness of ~5 Å [Fig. 1(a) inset]. In the as grown state, the PZT layer is uniformly polarized in the up orientation ($P_{up}$), as characterized by the piezo-response force microscopy (PFM) measurements [Fig. 1(b)]. The heterostructure was fabricated into FET devices [Fig. 1(c)] using optical lithography followed by Au deposition, where the LSMO channel was patterned into the Hall-bar configuration. The current channel is along the [100] direction, using the pseudo-cubic notation, with the channel length/width of 10–40 μm and the aspect ratio of 1 or 2. The magnetotransport measurements were performed using a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System combined with Keithley 2400 SourceMeters.

Figure 1(d) shows the room temperature sheet resistance ($R_{\Box}$) of LSMO as a function of gate voltage $V_g$ across the PZT layer taken on a FET device. LSMO exhibits a well-defined resistance hysteresis that follows the ferroelectric polarization switching. The $P_{up}$ ($P_{down}$) state corresponds to hole accumulation (depletion), leading to lower (higher) channel resistance [14]. The resistance switching occurs at about -2.0 V and +3.8 V for the $P_{up}$ and $P_{up}$ states, respectively. The lower coercive voltage suggests that the $P_{up}$ state is energetically more favorable, in agreement with the as grown polarization. Such polarization asymmetry has been widely observed in epitaxial ferroelectric thin films and can be attributed to the asymmetric screening electrodes [26, 27].

We then characterized the effect of ferroelectric polarization on the temperature dependence of resistance in LSMO. Figure 1(e) shows $R_{\Box}(T)$ of a device after the PZT gate was polarized to the $P_{up}$ ($P_{down}$) state by a -6 V (+6 V) voltage pulse. At this composition ($x = 0.2$), LSMO exhibits semiconducting behavior at high temperature followed by metallic behavior at low temperature. The change of metallicity can be correlated with the magnetic transition from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic state upon cooling [28], even though the resistance peak
temperature $T_p$ may not precisely match the Curie temperature $T_C$ in ultrathin LSMO films, likely due to the different length scales of the electrical and magnetic dead layers [29, 30]. The $T_p$ is about 220 K for the accumulation state ($P_{up}$) and 195 K for the depletion state ($P_{down}$), similar to previous reports on PZT/LSMO heterostructures with the same composition [16, 17] and comparable to that of the single layer 4 nm LSMO films (Supplemental Material [25]). The 25 K shift of $T_p$ is a strong indication of the modulation of the magnetic state in the sample.

Previous studies of the interfacial ME coupling in PZT/LSMO heterostructures have focused on the modulation of the global magnetic order, such as $T_C$ and magnetization [14, 16, 17]. Quantitative assessment of the change in magnetic anisotropy requires probing the angular dependence of magnetic energy, which is challenging for conventional magnetometry techniques due to the limited volume of the interfacial LSMO layer that can be tuned by the field effect. The PHE technique, on the other hand, probes directly the magnetization direction while being insensitive to the magnetoresistance background, presenting a powerful tool to quantify the magnetic anisotropy in LSMO thin films and nanostructures [31, 32]. In the ferromagnetic state, LSMO exhibits sinusoidal dependences of the longitudinal ($R_{xx}$) and transverse ($R_{xy}$) resistance on the angle $\varphi$ between current and in-plane magnetization [Fig. 2(a)]:

$$R_{xx} = R_\perp + (R - R_\perp) \cos \varphi^2 ,$$

$$R_{xy} = (R - R_\perp) \sin \varphi \cos \varphi ,$$

(1)

known as the AMR and PHE, respectively [33, 34]. Here, $R (R_\perp)$ is the resistance value measured with current parallel (perpendicular) to the magnetization. To investigate the effect of magnetic field $H$ on the AMR and PHE, we first applied an in-plane $H$ of 6 kOe to set LSMO in a single magnetic domain state, and then measured $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ as functions of the angle $\theta$ between the field $H$ and current $I$ at different magnetic fields [Fig. 2(b)]. The measurements were
performed at 125 K to achieve optimal signal-to-noise ratio for the planar Hall resistance [25]. At a high magnetic field, where the Zeeman energy exceeds the MAE, magnetization follows the magnetic field direction, i.e. $\theta \approx \varphi$. This is the case at $H = 1000$ Oe, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Both the AMR ($R_{\text{AMR}}$) and PHE ($R_{\text{PHE}}$) resistances, defined as the oscillatory terms in $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$, respectively, exhibit sinusoidal $\theta$-dependence that can be well depicted by Eq. (1). The amplitudes of $R_{\text{AMR}}$ and $R_{\text{PHE}}$ are higher in the depletion state, with the ratio between the two states to be about 3.5±0.2.

At a lower magnetic field, the MAE starts to dominate the Zeeman energy, and the magnetization prefers to be aligned with the easy axis rather than following the magnetic field direction, i.e. $\theta \neq \varphi$. When strained on (001) STO substrates, LSMO thin films exhibit biaxial in-plane MCA, with the easy axes along the <110> directions [34, 35]. At 50 Oe, $R_{\text{PHE}}$ reveals abrupt resistance jumps between two distinct resistance levels at $\theta = \pm n\pi/2$, with each level reflecting the magnetization pinning to one of the two easy axes, i.e., [110] and [1̅10]. This effect is also clearly manifested in the switching hysteresis in $R_{\text{PHE}}$ while sweeping $H$ along the direction close to the [010] axis ($\theta \approx 95^\circ$) [Fig. 2(c)]. As the applied field changes sign, the magnetization reversal is accomplished via two 90° rotations. Each rotation flips the magnetization to a different pinning axis, leading to a sharp change in the $R_{\text{PHE}}$ level. The switching hysteresis closely resembles that of magnetic/multiferroic tunnel junctions [5] without involving a multilayer structure. Besides manganites [34, 36], such magnetic field controlled bistable signals have been realized in the AMR and PHE resistances in a wide range of magnetic materials, including the ferromagnetic semiconductors [37], magnetite [38], and noncollinear magnetic oxide superlattices [39], and can be utilized to represent the binary logic in magnetic data storage applications [39, 40].
Comparing the switching hystereses for the two polarization states [Fig. 2(c)], we note that the resistance switching in the $P_{\text{up}}$ state occurs at much higher magnetic fields, signaling a change in the magnetic energy in LSMO. This change can be quantified by identifying the anisotropy field $H_1$ in both polarization states, defined as the critical field over which the magnetization follows the magnetic field in coherent rotation [41]. To evaluate the angular relation between the magnetization and magnetic field, we normalized the $\theta$-dependence of $R_{\text{PHE}}$ at different magnetic fields, and extracted the angle $\varphi$ between the magnetization and current using $\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1}(R_{\text{PHE}}/R_{\text{PHE,max}})$ [Eq. (1)]. The relation between $\varphi$ and $\theta$ is a direct manifestation of the energy competition between the Zeeman energy and anisotropy energy, and can be used to quantify the MCA [31]. Compared to previous studies exploiting the AMR to evaluate the magnetic anisotropy [15, 22], the PHE has distinct advantages as it is not susceptible to complicating factors associated with spin scattering [33] and the pronounced magnetoresistance background. Figure 3(a) shows $\varphi(\theta)$ extracted from $R_{\text{PHE}}(\theta)$ within the $\theta = [-45^\circ, 45^\circ]$ quadrant at different magnetic fields for the $P_{\text{up}}$ and $P_{\text{down}}$ states, where $\varphi$ exhibits strong pinning to the [110] and [110] directions ($\varphi = \pm 45^\circ$) at low fields. With increasing magnetic field, the nonlinearity of $\varphi(\theta)$ gradually diminishes, while at 1000 Oe a linear relation is recovered for both polarization states. To quantify the nonlinearity, we calculated the residual sum of squares ($RSS$) of the linear fit to $\varphi(\theta)$: $RSS = \sum[(\varphi(\theta_i) - \theta_i)^2$. As shown in Fig. 3(b), $RSS$ first decreases with increasing $H$ until the value saturates beyond the anisotropy field, at which we considered as the $\varphi \approx \theta$ condition reached. We thus identified the anisotropy fields to be about 400±12 Oe for the $P_{\text{down}}$ state and 500±25 Oe for the $P_{\text{up}}$ state.

From the coherent rotation model [35, 41], the anisotropy field $H_1$ is related to the biaxial magnetic anisotropy constant $K_1$ as $H_1 = 2K_1/M$, where $M$ is the magnetization. For bulk La$_{1-x}$...
$x\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$, the saturation magnetization is $4-x\ \mu_B/\text{Mn}$, and $x$ corresponds to the hole concentration [28]. As the polarization field modulates the carrier density in LSMO, it also changes the magnetization. Previous studies of PZT/LSMO heterostructures of the same composition have shown that the polarization reversal leads to a change of the Mn valence state by about 0.1 hole/Mn [17]. Assuming that the $P_{\text{up}}$ and $P_{\text{down}}$ states induce the same amount of doping change in LSMO, i.e., 0.05 hole/Mn, we expect the low temperature magnetization of the LSMO layer to be about $3.85\ \mu_B/\text{Mn}$ ($3.75\ \mu_B/\text{Mn}$) for the depletion (accumulation) state. SQUID measurements taken on single layer LSMO show that the magnetization at 125 K is about 79% of the 10 K value [25]. Using this ratio, we estimated the magnetization value at 125 K to be $3.04\ \mu_B/\text{Mn}$ in depletion and $2.96\ \mu_B/\text{Mn}$ in accumulation. Based on the $H_1$ and magnetization values, we deduced the biaxial MAE density $E_{\text{MAE}}$ to be $9.7(3) \times 10^4$ erg/cm$^3$ in the depletion state, which increases by about 22% to $1.18(6) \times 10^5$ erg/cm$^3$ in the accumulation state. Note that we assumed the polarization control of magnetization can extend to the entire LSMO thickness (about 2.5 nm) above the magnetic dead layer, which is reasonable considering the reported magnetic modulation lengths at ferroelectric/LSMO interfaces [19, 23]. The enhanced MAE with increasing hole doping is consistent with previous results obtained using ionic liquid gating [42].

To gain a microscopic understanding of the experimental observations, we performed first-principles DFT calculations of the MAE in LSMO with various doping levels using the plane-wave ultrasoft pseudopotential [43] method implemented in the Quantum-ESPRESSO [44], with the exchange-correlation functional treated using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [45]. To mimic the experimental condition for the ferroelectric polarization doping, we exploited the atomic structure of bulk $\text{La}_{0.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{MnO}_3$ in the calculations, with the in-plane lattice constant
strained to the theoretical value of STO and the out-of-plane lattice constant and other atomic coordinates fully relaxed. The details of the modeling can be found in the Supplemental Material [25] and Refs. [46, 47]. The total energies were calculated self-consistently for magnetization pointing along different in-plane orientations, which reveals a uniaxial anisotropy with the easy axis along the orthorhombic <100>o directions, corresponding to one of the pseudo-cubic <110> axes. The experimentally observed biaxial anisotropy is likely resulting from the presence of crystal twinning to conform to the cubic symmetry of the STO substrate [31, 48]. The MAE was calculated as the total energy difference between the orthorhombic <100>o and <110>o directions for doping levels ranging from \( x = 0.15 – 0.3 \). Given that the presence of crystal twinning would affect the magnitude of the energy density extracted from a global transport measurement, we have focused on the relative change of MAE, assuming the twinning structure is unchanged during the polarization reversal [25]. Figure 4(a) shows the calculated MAE normalized to the value at \( x = 0.2 \) as a function of the doping level. The MAE increases almost linearly with increasing \( x \), with \( E_{\text{MAE}}/E_{\text{MAE}}(x = 0.2) \) changing from 90.2% at \( x = 0.15 \) to 109.8% at \( x = 0.25 \). The enhancement \( \Delta E_{\text{MAE}}/E_{\text{MAE}}(x = 0.15) \) is about 22%, in striking agreement with the experimental result.

For comparison, in Fig. 4(b) we plot the doping dependence of experimentally extracted MAE, which includes both the ferroelectric polarization doping results and those of chemical doping obtained from single layer samples (a 4 nm LSMO with \( x = 0.2 \) and a 6 nm LSMO with \( x = 0.33 \)). The general trend of the experimental results is consistent with our theoretical modeling, indicating that the MAE increases with increasing doping level, independent of whether this doping is induced via electrostatic or chemical means. On the other hand, while the MAE for the \( x = 0.2 \) sample is fully in line with those for the polarization doping results, \( E_{\text{MAE}} \) for the \( x = 0.33 \)
single layer sample is only 17% higher than that of the $x = 0.2$ sample, considerably lower than the theoretically projected value of 26% for this doping change. Such discrepancy can be understood by taking epitaxial strain into account. To mimic the field effect condition, the DFT calculations were performed on the bulk La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$MnO$_3$ structure at a fixed strain level. In contrast, the lattice parameter for bulk LSMO varies for different compositions. The $x = 0.33$ sample is subjected to a larger tensile strain on STO [28], which suppresses the in-plane MAE, as shown both experimentally [35, 49] and theoretically (Supplemental Material [25]).

In previous experimental studies, the doping dependence of MAE has been attributed to the change in $d$-orbital occupancy [22, 42, 50]. We employed second-order perturbation theory to model the orbital effect on MAE. The MAE is determined by the matrix elements of the SOC Hamiltonian $H_{SOC} = \xi \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ between the occupied and unoccupied states, with $\xi$ being the SOC constant. As the exchange splitting between the majority- and minority-spin bands is sufficiently large in LSMO, we assume for simplicity that only transitions between the majority-spin states play a role. In this case the MAE can be written as follows [51]:

$$E_{MAE} = \sum_{n,n'} \left| \langle \psi_n | H_{SOC} | \psi_{n'} \rangle \right|^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\psi_n$ ($\psi_{n'}$) and $\varepsilon_n$ ($\varepsilon_{n'}$) are the majority-spin wave functions and energies of occupied (unoccupied) states, respectively. The matrix elements of $H_{SOC}$ between different $d$ orbitals ($d_{xy}, d_{yz}, d_{xz}, d_{x^2-y^2}$) within the orthorhombic coordinates are given in the Supplemental Material [25]. Note that in the conventional cubic coordinate system, the $x'$ and $y'$ axes are aligned along the Mn-O bonding direction, and the $d_{x'z'}$ and $d_{y'z'}$ states are degenerate due to the two-fold rotation symmetry about the axis $x$, which makes 45° angle with $x'$ [Fig. 4(c)]. This degeneracy, however, is lifted in the orthorhombic structure with the MnO$_6$ octahedral tilting along [100].
which yields a uniaxial magnetic anisotropy [31]. To calculate the energy splitting between the 
\( d_{xz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) orbitals, we rotated the coordinate system by \( 45^\circ \) and calculated the local density of 
states (LDOS) in the \( x-y \) coordinate system. After rotation, the \( d_{xy} \) and \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbitals are 
interchanged compared with those in the cubic coordinates.

Figure 4(d) shows the calculated orbital-resolved LDOS of bulk LSMO. In LSMO the 
majority-spin \( t_{2g} \) orbitals are fully occupied and form relatively narrow bands, while the 
majority-spin \( e_g \) orbitals are partly occupied and form relatively broad bands. As expected, the 
\( d_{xz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) orbitals are now split, with the \( d_{xz} \) orbital LDOS lying at lower energy. In Eq. (2), the 
only matrix elements of SOC that need to be considered when evaluating the MAE are those 
between the occupied (\( d_{yz}, d_{xz} \)) and unoccupied (\( d_{xy}, d_{x^2} \)) orbitals (Eq. (S1) in Supplemental 
Material [25]). To further simplify the description, we assumed that the occupied \( d_{yz} \) and \( d_{xz} \) 
orbitals are located at fixed energies \( \varepsilon_{yz} \) and \( \varepsilon_{xz} \), respectively, and the \( d_{xy} \) and \( d_{x^2} \) bands formed 
from the \( e_g \) orbitals have the same DOS \( \rho(\varepsilon) \). In this case, the MAE can be written as follows:

\[
E_{\text{MAE}} = \xi^2(K + 3K') \sin^2 \phi + \xi^2(K' + 3K) \cos^2 \phi ,
\]

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle [Fig. (4c)], \( K \equiv \int_{E_F}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon_{yz}-\varepsilon} d\varepsilon, K' \equiv \int_{E_F}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon_{xz}-\varepsilon} d\varepsilon, \) and \( E_F \) is the 
Fermi energy. Eliminating the terms independent of \( \phi \), Eq. (3) can be rewritten as:

\[
E_{\text{MAE}} = 2\xi^2(K' - K) \sin^2 \phi .
\]

As the \( d_{xz} \) band lies at lower energy than the \( d_{yz} \) band, \( \varepsilon_{yz} - \varepsilon_{xz} > 0 \) and therefore \( K' - K > 0 \). 
This implies that \( E_{\text{MAE}} \) reaches the lowest value at \( \phi = 0^\circ \), and thus the [100]_o direction of 
LSMO is the easy axis.

In this scenario, the doping dependence of the MAE originates from the shift of the Fermi 
energy. With increasing hole concentration, \( E_F \) moves down, which enhances \( K' - K \) and hence
the MAE. Due to the weak energy dependence of $\rho(\varepsilon)$ around $E_F$, the variation in MAE

$$\Delta E_{\text{MAE}} \equiv E_{\text{MAE}}(x) - E_{\text{MAE}}(x_0)$$

can be written as follows:

$$\Delta E_{\text{MAE}} \approx 2\xi^2 (x - x_0) \frac{\varepsilon_{yz} - \varepsilon_{xz}}{(\varepsilon_{xz} - E_F)(\varepsilon_{yz} - E_F)} \sin^2 \phi,$$

where $x_0$ is the reference doping level. Our simple model based on second-order perturbation theory thus predicts a $\sin^2 \phi$ dependence of the MAE, with $\Delta E_{\text{MAE}}$ scaling linearly with the doping level $x$, which is consistent with the experimental results and the explicit DFT calculations (Fig. 4(a)-(b) and Supplemental Material [25]). This decisive role of the $d$-orbital population in voltage controlled magnetic anisotropy has also been predicted in transition metal based magnetic tunnel junctions [51].

In summary, exploiting the planar Hall technique, we have quantitatively assessed the ferroelectric polarization control of the in-plane magnetic anisotropy in LSMO thin films, which allows us to unambiguously separate the effects of charge doping and lattice distortion to the MCA. Our DFT calculations combined with second-order perturbation theory show that the anisotropy energy increases linearly with hole doping, agreeing well with the experimental observations and pointing to the critical role of the $d$ orbital population in controlling the MCA. Our work provides new insights into the effect of electrostatic doping on magnetic anisotropy in strongly correlated magnetic oxide materials, which can facilitate the development of novel low-power spintronic devices.
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Captions:

FIG. 1. (a) X-ray $\theta$-2$\theta$ scan of a 250 nm PZT/4 nm LSMO on STO. Inset: AFM topography of the sample. (b) PFM phase image of a domain structure written with -6 V ($P_{\text{up}}$) and +6 V ($P_{\text{down}}$). (c) Device schematic. (d) $R_{\text{E}}(V_{\text{g}})$ hysteresis taken at 300 K. (e) $R_{\text{E}}(T)$ for both polarization states, with the corresponding $T_p$ marked with the arrows.

FIG. 2. AMR and PHE taken on a PZT/4 nm LSMO heterostructure. (a) Schematic of the measurement setup. (b) $\theta$-dependence of $R_{\text{AMR}}$ at 1k Oe (upper panel), $R_{\text{PHE}}$ at 1k Oe (middle panel) and 50 Oe (lower panel) at 125 K for both polarization states of PZT. (c) $R_{\text{PHE}}(H)$ at 125 K for both polarization states.

FIG. 3. (a) Extracted $\varphi$ vs. $\theta$ at different magnetic fields, and (b) $RSS$ vs. $H$ for the PZT/4 nm LSMO heterostructure in both polarization states of PZT. The arrows mark the corresponding anisotropy fields.

FIG. 4. (a) DFT calculations of normalized MAE as a function of hole doping $x$, and (b) experimental values extracted from the PZT/4 nm LSMO heterostructure in the $P_{\text{down}}$ (open circle) and $P_{\text{up}}$ (open square) states, a 4 nm LSMO film with $x = 0.2$ (solid triangle), and a 6 nm LSMO film with $x = 0.33$ (solid diamond). The dotted line is projected based on the theoretical results in (a). (c) Top view of LSMO crystal structure. The $x - y$ coordinate system is rotated by 45° with respect to $x' - y'$. (d) LDOS projected onto the Mn-3$d$ orbitals for bulk La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$MnO$_3$ in the orthorhombic structure, with the $d_{xy}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals interchanged. The Fermi level lies at zero energy.
FIG. 1. (a) X-ray $\theta$-2$\theta$ scan of a 250 nm PZT/4 nm LSMO on STO. Inset: AFM topography of the sample. (b) PFM phase image of a domain structure written with -6 V ($P_{\text{up}}$) and +6 V ($P_{\text{down}}$). (c) Device schematic. (d) $R_{\Box}(V_g)$ hysteresis taken at 300 K. (e) $R_{\Box}(T)$ for both polarization states, with the corresponding $T_p$ marked with the arrows.
FIG. 2. (a) Schematic of the measurement setup. (b) $\theta$-dependence of $R_{AMR}$ at 1k Oe (upper panel), $R_{PHE}$ at 1k Oe (middle panel) and 50 Oe (lower panel) at 125 K for both polarization states of PZT. (c) $R_{PHE}(H)$ at 125 K for both polarization states.
FIG. 3. (a) Extracted $\phi$ vs. $\theta$ at different magnetic fields, and (b) $RSS$ vs. $H$ for both polarization states of PZT. The arrows mark the corresponding anisotropy fields.
FIG. 4. (a) DFT calculations of normalized MAE as a function of hole doping $x$, and (b) experimental values extracted from the PZT/LSMO heterostructure for both polarization states (open symbols) and single layer LSMO with different compositions (solid symbols). The dashed line is projected based on the theoretical results in (a). (c) Top view of LSMO crystal structure. The $x$–$y$ coordinate system is rotated by 45° with respect to $x'–y'$. (d) LDOS projected onto the Mn-$3d$ orbitals for bulk La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$MnO$_3$ in the orthorhombic structure, with the $d_{xy}$ and $d_{x^2−y^2}$ orbitals interchanged. The Fermi level lies at zero energy.