Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy via strain-engineered oxygen vacancy ordering in epitaxial La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-\delta}$

Jeff Walter, Shameek Bose, Mariona Cabero, Guichuan Yu, Martin Greven, Maria Varela, and Chris Leighton

Phys. Rev. Materials 2, 111404 — Published 19 November 2018

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.2.111404
Revised version resubmitted to Phys. Rev. Materials as a Rapid Communication on 10/26/2018

**Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy via Strain-Engineered Oxygen Vacancy Ordering in Epitaxial La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-\delta}$**

Jeff Walter,$^1$ Shameek Bose,$^1$ Mariona Cabero,$^2$ Guichuan Yu,$^3$ Martin Greven,$^2$ Maria Varela,$^2$ and Chris Leighton$^1*$

$^1$Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

$^2$Dept. de Física de Materiales & Instituto Pluridisciplinar, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

$^3$School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

**Abstract:** Tuning oxygen vacancy concentrations is a well-established approach to controlling properties of complex oxides. Recent work on perovskite cobaltites has shown that ordering of oxygen vacancies can also be tuned, via heteroepitaxial strain, presenting new opportunities. Here we demonstrate that strain-engineered vacancy ordering can control and enhance magnetic anisotropy in La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-\delta}$. In particular, in-plane oxygen vacancy order induced by compressive strain is shown to result in remarkably strong perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, with anisotropy constant up to $6 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$. The anisotropy is thickness-independent, ruling out surface and film/substrate interface anisotropy, but strongly correlated with lateral coherence of defect order from electron microscopy. The results are discussed in terms of the unit-cell-level superlattice induced by the oxygen vacancy order, generating intriguing analogies with metal-based multilayer systems. Generally, this work highlights the significant potential of strain-based manipulation of oxygen vacancy ordering to control and enhance complex oxide properties.
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Complex oxide heterostructures offer a vast playground for new materials science, physics, and chemistry, with much appeal in science and technology [1–5]. ABO₃ perovskites are of particular interest in this context, due to extraordinary chemical flexibility, diverse functionality, and lattice matching capability [1–5]. Perovskite heterostructures have thus enabled numerous discoveries, such as new interfacial phenomena (e.g., two-dimensional electron gases at insulator/insulator interfaces [2,5,6]), strain-stabilized non-equilibrium states (e.g., ferroelectricity in paraelectric materials [7,8]), and electric-field-tuned insulator-metal-superconductor transitions [9,10]. Existing or potential applications are thus abundant, in ferroelectric random access memory, resistive memory, solid oxide fuel cells, catalysis, and oxide electronics in general [1–5]. Tuning of oxygen non-stoichiometry, i.e., \( \delta \) in ABO₃±δ, is a particularly powerful means to control perovskites. Enthalpies of formation of oxygen vacancies (V₀), for example, are frequently low, enabling tuning of \( \delta \) in ABO₃±δ via thermal treatment [11–16] or electric fields [17–20], often reversibly [11,12,16–18]. Due to the impact of \( \delta \) on doping, and thus electronic, magnetic, and optical properties, such stoichiometry tuning is highly effective for control of function [11–20].

In many ABO₃±δ perovskites, the V₀ are essentially randomly located, i.e., disordered. In some, however, oxygen vacancy ordering occurs, the V₀ crystallizing into short- or long-range structures. SrCoO₃±δ provides a prototypical example [21–23]. The cubic perovskite structure is maintained in this compound to only modest \( \delta \) (0.125-0.25 [21,23]), beyond which oxygen-vacancy-ordered (OVO) phases occur, most notably orthorhombic brownmillerite Sr₂Co₂O₅ [21–23]. The latter is a defect-ordered form of SrCoO₂.₅ (i.e., SrCoO₃±δ with \( \delta = 0.50 \)), derived from SrCoO₃ by forming V₀ in lines along the [101] cubic direction, in alternating (100) planes, creating the superlattice in Fig. 1(a) [22]. This results in alternating octahedrally- and
tetrahedrally-coordinated Co, as shown in Fig. 1(a), and a decrease in formal valence from 4+ to 3+ [21–23]. The instability of Co$^{4+}$ is in fact a key factor in the relative stability of brownmillerite SrCoO$_{2.5}$, similar to other OVO compounds such as CaFeO$_{2.5}$ [24]. Illustrating this, at typical bulk synthesis temperatures, O$_2$ pressures of hundreds of atmospheres are required to decrease $\delta$ to the point that perovskite SrCoO$_{3-\delta}$ is stabilized over brownmillerite SrCoO$_{2.5}$ [25]. As $\delta$ is tuned in SrCoO$_{3-\delta}$, multiple OVO superstructures occur, at $\delta = 1/8$, $1/4$, $1/2$, with very different properties [23]. Cubic SrCoO$_3$ is a ferromagnetic (F) metal with Curie temperature $T_C \approx 305$ K [25], for example, whereas orthorhombic brownmillerite SrCoO$_{2.5}$ is an insulating (2.1 eV band gap) antiferromagnet (AF) [17].

In light of the above, the ability to precisely tune V$_O$ order, in addition to V$_O$ concentration, would provide unique opportunities. Recent publications report exciting progress in this direction. Reversible topotactic transformations between perovskite SrCoO$_{3-\delta}$ and brownmillerite SrCoO$_{2.5}$ have been demonstrated in films, for example, driven by controlled atmosphere annealing (at mildly elevated temperatures) [11,12], as well as electrolyte gating (at modest voltages, even near room temperature) [17,26]. Metal-insulator and F-AF phase transitions have been thus controlled, with wide dynamic range in resistivity and magnetization ($M$) [11,12,17]. It is therefore established that V$_O$ order/disorder transitions can be driven by temperature- or electric-field-stimulated redox in cobaltites, i.e., by tuning $\delta$.

Complementary to this, our recent work on the related La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-\delta}$ (LSCO) has demonstrated tuning, via heteroepitaxial strain, of the orientation of OVO superstructures [27,28]. This is enabled by a novel lattice mismatch accommodation mechanism in epitaxial LSCO, based on V$_O$ order [27]. Specifically, when $x = 0.5$ LSCO (pseudocubic bulk lattice parameter, $a_{LSCO} = 3.83$ Å) films are grown under tension (e.g., on SrTiO$_3$(001), $a_{STO} =$
3.91 Å), the lattice mismatch is accommodated by ordered oxygen-deficient planes oriented perpendicular to the interface [27,29], expanding the in-plane lattice parameter without misfit dislocations (Fig. 1(a)) [27]. In essence, the oxygen-deficient planes (light gray, Fig. 1(a)) have an expanded local lattice spacing compared to oxygen sufficient planes (red, Fig. 1(a)), the sum of the spacings matching twice the STO lattice parameter [27]. Conversely, under compression (e.g., on LaAlO$_3$(001), $a_{\text{LAO}} = 3.79$ Å) the mismatch is accommodated by ordered oxygen-deficient planes parallel to the interface [27,29], decreasing the average in-plane lattice spacing (Fig. 1(b)) [27]. Importantly, unlike the SrCoO$_{3-\delta}$ discussed above [11,12,17,26], in this case $\delta < 0.5$, the brownmillerite-like ordering not being complete. At the SrTiO$_3(001)$/LSCO interface for example, $\delta \approx 0.13$, indicating coexistence of perovskite and brownmillerite structures [30]. This reflects the nominal Co valence of 3.5+ in $x = 0.5$ LSCO (compared to 4+ in SrCoO$_3$), increasing the relative stability of the perovskite phase.

The above mechanism couples lattice mismatch, strain, and $V_O$ concentration in LSCO films, necessarily impacting electronic and magnetic properties. In SrTiO$_3$(001)/LSCO, for instance, the substantial $\delta$ required near the interface for $V_O$-order-based mismatch accommodation leads to lowering of the effective doping, $x_{\text{eff}} = x - 2\delta$ [28,31]. At thickness $t = 70$ Å, $x_{\text{eff}}$ is decreased from 0.5 to as low as 0.22, triggering a crossover from a uniform long-range-ordered F metal to a magneto-electronically phase-separated state with F clusters in a non-F insulating matrix [28], as expected from bulk behavior [32–34]. Strain-induced $V_O$ order is thus the origin of the magnetic dead layer effect in LSCO, where $M$, $T_C$, and conductivity are suppressed in very thin films [28,31]. Coercivity ($H_c$) is similarly impacted. $H_c$ in STO(001)/LSCO films is enhanced over bulk (up to 100-fold), increasing rapidly as $t$ is reduced to the 70 Å dead layer thickness [35]. This is due to domain wall pinning by the magnetically-
phase-separated interfacial layer, maximizing $H_C$ [35]. In all cases, the magnetization in SrTiO$_3$(001)/LSCO films retained typical in-plane orientation [28,31,35].

While strain-engineering of the orientation of OVO superstructures in epitaxial cobaltites is thus established, the impact of this orientation on magnetism remains unexplored. Tensile-strained LSCO on SrTiO$_3$(001) (with out-of-plane OVO superstructure, Fig. 1(a)), is in fact the only case studied in detail [28,31,35]. This is a notable void in the literature, particularly given the unique opportunity to understand how V-O-order-induced symmetry lowering could impact magnetism and transport. Here, we thus study the impact of tensile and compressive lattice mismatch on magnetism in thoroughly-characterized V-O-ordered LSCO epilayers. The results reveal typical in-plane magnetic anisotropy under tension, but perpendicular anisotropy under compressive strain, i.e., when an in-plane OVO superstructure forms. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) is rare in perovskites, and occurs here with large anisotropy constant, up to 6 $\times$ 10$^6$ erg/cm$^3$. The PMA is $t$-independent, eliminating surface and interface anisotropies, but strikingly correlated with lateral coherence of V-O order from Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). An origin related to the unit-cell-level OVO superlattice is thus implicated, with intriguing parallels with conventional PMA systems such as ultra-short-period Co/Pt and Co/Ni multilayers.

Epitaxial LSCO films (0.05 < $x$ < 0.50) were deposited via high-pressure-oxygen reactive sputtering at 600 °C, in 1.4 Torr of O$_2$, at 15 Å/min. Details on growth, and extensive characterization, have been published [19,20,28,30,31,35]. The substrates employed, with resulting in-plane lattice mismatches (and thus in-plane strains ($\varepsilon_{xx}$) if fully-strained to the substrate) were (001)-oriented SrTiO$_3$ (STO, 1.8%), La$_{0.18}$Sr$_{0.82}$Al$_{0.59}$Ta$_{0.41}$O$_3$ (LSAT, 0.8%), LaAlO$_3$ (LAO, -1.2%), and SrLaAlO$_4$ (SLAO, -2.1%); this spans from 1.8% tensile to 2.1%
compressive. Structural characterization was achieved through high-resolution Wide-Angle X-Ray Diffraction (WAXRD) at the Advanced Photon Source beamline 33-ID (using a six-circle Kappa-type goniometer, a Pilatus II 100K area detector, and 0.62 Å wavelength), as well as high-angle annular dark field (Z-contrast) cross-sectional scanning TEM (STEM). STEM data were acquired in a Cs aberration corrected JEOL ARM200cF operated at 200 kV. Cross-sectional samples were prepared by mechanical grinding, polishing, and Ar ion milling, in both pseudocubic [110] and [010] orientations. The final Ar cleaning used low voltage (0.5 kV) to avoid sample damage, while beam currents for imaging were kept in the 10’s of pA range for the same reason. This is a known important issue in OVO cobaltites. Magnetometry was done in a Quantum Design MPMS from 5-300 K in magnetic fields (H) to 70 kOe. When in-plane, these fields were applied along substrate [100] directions.

Fig. 2(a-d) shows representative specular synchrotron WAXRD near the 002 reflections of 90-Å-thick x = 0.5 LSCO films on all four substrates. Well-defined 002 LSCO peaks occur, with clear Laue fringes, demonstrating (001)-oriented epitaxy and low roughness. Scherrer lengths from the 002 reflections of all films (85 ± 6 Å), agree with thicknesses from Laue fringes (86 ± 5 Å) and X-ray reflectivity (90 ± 6 Å). Out-of-plane lattice parameters (c_{op}) were extracted from LSCO peak positions to determine out-of-plane strains, \( \varepsilon_{zz} = (c_{op} - a_{LSCO})/a_{LSCO} \). As shown in Fig. 2(e), the deduced \( \varepsilon_{zz} \) vs. \( \varepsilon_{xx} \) is linear, with a slope near -1, consistent with a Poisson ratio of 1/3; this is common in strained cobaltites, e.g.,[36]. A representative reciprocal space map around the 013 reflections of a 380-Å-thick x = 0.5 LSCO film on LAO is provided in Fig. 2(f), showing the film and substrate peaks, and multiple Laue fringes. The LSCO film peak occurs at identical \( 1/d_{010} \) to the substrate (the \( d_{hkl} \) here are lattice spacings), but at decreased \( 3/d_{001} \), confirming fully-strained pseudomorphic growth. The critical thickness for strain relaxation
varies with substrate, but is >380 Å (the maximum $t$ studied here) for all substrates. All films in this work, on all substrates, are thus fully-strained, supported by reciprocal space maps (e.g., Fig. 2(f)), thickness dependence of lattice parameters, and the behavior in Fig. 2(e) [27,28].

We begin the discussion of magnetic properties with the temperature ($T$) dependence of $M$ for representative 90-Å-thick $x = 0.5$ LSCO films on STO, LSAT, LAO, and SLAO (Fig. 3(a-d)). The two curves shown here are for out-of-plane (OoP, solid lines) and in-plane (IP, dashed lines) 1 kOe measuring and cooling fields. Films on STO and LSAT (i.e., under tensile strain, Fig. 3(a,b)) exhibit the expected F behavior, with $T_C \approx 215$ K at this $t$ [28] (as estimated from $d^2M/dT^2$), and in-plane $M$ well in excess of out-of-plane $M$, indicating in-plane anisotropy. This is consistent with 5 K $M(H)$ loops on STO and LSAT (Fig. 3(e,f)), which, for in-plane $H$, reveal substantial $H_c$ (up to 11.2 kOe) and remnant magnetization ($M_r$, up to 1.3 $\mu_B$/Co, or 0.65$M_s$, where $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization). Out-of-plane $H$ instead results in negligible $H_c$ and $M_r$, but large saturation fields up to $\sim 70$ kOe. $M(T)$ and $M(H)$ thus indicate clear in-plane magnetic anisotropy in tensile-strained $x = 0.5$ LSCO films, consistent with prior work [28,31,35,37].

Very different behavior is found on LAO and SLAO (i.e., under compression, Fig. 3(c,d) and (g,h)). The expected F behavior is preserved, with a slightly enhanced $T_C \approx 221$ K on LAO, but with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. This is evidenced by out-of-plane $M$ exceeding in-plane $M$ in $M(T)$ (Fig. 3(c,d)), as well as the largest $H_c$ and $M_r$ values occurring out-of-plane (Fig. 3(g,h)). Fig. 3(g), for LAO, in fact shows exemplary PMA, the out-of-plane $M_r$ and $H_c$ reaching 1.6 $\mu_B$/Co ($0.8M_s$) and 6.1 kOe, while the in-plane $M(H)$ displays small $H_c$ and large saturation field (36 kOe). This tendency to perpendicular magnetization under compression is maintained on SLAO ($\varepsilon_{xx} = -2.1\%$), albeit with weaker PMA. In Fig. 3(h), for example, $M(H)$ in- and out-of-plane is similar, although $H_c$ and $M_r/M_s$ are larger out-of-plane. This indicates a perpendicular
component to the anisotropy on SLAO (compare Fig. 3(f and h), for example), but of insufficient strength to completely dominate the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and demagnetizing field. As a final comment on \(M(H)\), note that in all cases (Fig. 3(e,f,g,h)), \(M_s = 2\ \mu_B/\text{Co}\), as expected at this \(x\) and \(t\) [28,31].

A summary of the ferromagnetic properties of these 90-Å-thick \(x = 0.5\) LSCO films is provided in Fig. 3(i-k), which plots the \(\varepsilon_{xx}\) dependence of \(T_C, H_c,\) and \(M_r/M_s\). \(T_C\) (Fig. 3(i)) is relatively constant, but with a minor increase at negative \(\varepsilon_{xx}\), previously ascribed to decreased average Co-O bond lengths [37]. The response of \(H_c\) and \(M_r/M_s\) to \(\varepsilon_{xx}\) is more striking (Fig. 3(j,k)), reflecting the clear transition from in-plane to perpendicular anisotropy. In essence, the relative in-plane and out-of-plane \(H_c\) values invert from \(\varepsilon_{xx} > 0\) (in-plane \(M\)) to \(\varepsilon_{xx} < 0\) (out-of-plane \(M\)). Correspondingly, \(M_r/M_s\) is largest for in-plane \(H\) at \(\varepsilon_{xx} > 0\), but largest for out-of-plane \(H\) at \(\varepsilon_{xx} < 0\). PMA under compressive strain is thus confirmed for \(x = 0.5\) LSCO films. As noted, this PMA is slightly diminished at \(\varepsilon_{xx} = -2.1\%\) (SLAO) compared to -1.2% (LAO). This may be a consequence of lower film quality at large strain, evidenced by slightly higher residual resistivity on SLAO than LAO (72 vs. 60 \(\mu\Omega\)cm).

These data enable quantitative estimation of an effective magnetic anisotropy constant, or energy density, \(K_{\text{eff}}\). We first do this for the exemplary case in Fig. 3(g), \(i.e.,\) LAO(001)/LSCO, with PMA. Assuming uniaxial anisotropy, \(K_{\text{eff}}\) can be estimated from the hard-axis (in-plane in this case) saturation field, \(i.e.,\) the anisotropy field, \(H_a\), using \(K_{\text{eff}} \approx M_s H_a/2\) [38]. Estimating \(H_a\) as the point where 0.9\(M_s\) is reached in Fig. 3(g) (36 kOe) then gives \(K_{\text{eff}} \approx 6.0 \times 10^6\) \(\text{erg/cm}^3\). \(K_{\text{eff}}\) can also be estimated from the maximum \(H_c\) as a function of \(t\), assuming Stoner-Wohlfarth behavior. This assumes the maximum \(H_c\) results from coherent magnetization rotation, giving \(K_{\text{eff}} \approx (M_s H_{c,\max})/2\) [35,38]. Using \(H_c = 8\) kOe at \(t = 35\) Å (Fig. 3(m)) then yields \(K_{\text{eff}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^6\)
erg/cm$^3$. Considering the latter is a lower bound [35], the two estimates are in good agreement. To put $6.0 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ in context, note that estimates for $K_{\text{eff}}$ in bulk LSCO, which are already far larger than in manganites [35,39], lie at 0.3-2.1 $\times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ [35,39,40]. Taking LSAT(001)/LSCO (Fig. 3(f)) as exemplary of in-plane anisotropy, the hard-axis saturation field (taking into account the demagnetizing field) gives $K_{\text{eff}} = M_s(H_a - 4\pi M_s)/2 \approx 7.0 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$. $K_{\text{eff}}$ is thus essentially constant at $6-7 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ in these LSCO films, 3-20 times higher than bulk, with clear rotation of the easy-axis from in-plane to perpendicular under compression. For context, note that, despite the absence of heavy elements, these anisotropy constants are only one order of magnitude below well-known high anisotropy ferromagnets such as SmCo$_5$ ($7 \times 10^7$ erg/cm$^3$ at 4.2 K [38]).

Common origins of PMA in metal-based systems include surface and/or interface anisotropies that overcome magnetocrystalline anisotropies and demagnetizing fields with decreasing $t$ [41,42]. A full $t$ dependence (30-380 Å) was thus measured for $x = 0.5$ LSCO on LAO (Fig. 3(l-n)). $T_C$ is constant above $\sim 75$ Å, below which it decreases, before plummeting near 25 Å (Fig. 3(l)). This is consistent with the dead layer critical thickness, $t_c$, for LAO(001)/LSCO which is 6 unit cells (23 Å) based on the metal-insulator transition and loss of the anomalous Hall effect [20,43]. $t_c$ for $x = 0.5$ LSCO is thus substantially lower on LAO(001) than on STO(001) (18 unit cells) [28,31], as will be discussed elsewhere [44]. Significantly, the $t$ dependencies of the 5 K $H_c$ and $M_r/M_s$ (Fig. 3(m,n)) are far weaker. The in-plane $H_c$ increases by a factor of only 1.37 on decreasing $t$, while the out-of-plane $H_c$ increases by only 1.57. The overall trend of $H_c$ increasing as $t$ is lowered is unsurprising [35], the important observation being the dominance of out-of-plane $H_c$ over in-plane $H_c$ at all $t$. Similarly, $M_r/M_s$ remains 2-3 times larger out-of-plane than in-plane at all $t$, the decreases in $M_r/M_s$ as $t \to t_c$ being expected,
due to the crossover from long-range F to nanoscopic F clusters [28]. Based on Fig. 3(l-n), PMA in LAO(001)/LSCO is thus maintained over a wide $t$ range, with no indication of a crossover to in-plane anisotropy with increasing $t$, ruling out surface and/or film/substrate interface anisotropies. This qualitative $t$ independence of anisotropy was found on all substrates.

With surface and/or substrate/film interface anisotropies ruled out, we next turn to $x$ dependence for clues to the origin of the PMA under compression. Fig. 4(a-d) thus shows 5 K $M(H)$ loops for ~100-Å-thick LAO(001)/La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-δ}$ with $x = 0.5$, 0.28, 0.15 and 0.05. As $x$ is lowered, $M_s$ decreases, followed by $H_c$, eventually yielding a sheared, low $H_c$ loop at $x = 0.15$ and 0.05. This is expected based on bulk crystals, where the F volume fraction falls from unity at $x = 0.22$, dropping rapidly at the percolation threshold, $x_c = 0.18$ [34]. More important here, however, is the anisotropy. The strong PMA at $x = 0.5$ diminishes at $x = 0.28$, although $H_c$ and $M_r/M_s$ remain larger out-of-plane (11.3 kOe and 0.25) than in-plane (6.1 kOe and 0.23), indicating a significant perpendicular component. At $x = 0.15$ and 0.05, however, below $x_c$, $M(H)$ becomes essentially isotropic. The PMA at high $x$, in the long-range F phase, thus disappears below $x_c$, as might be expected. Fig. 4(e-h) shows corresponding Z-contrast STEM. The $x = 0.5$ image (Fig. 4(e)) is as expected, the OVO superstructure being in-plane, as anticipated under compression [27,29]. At $x = 0.28$, however, the lateral coherence of V$_O$ order is considerably reduced. Short (~10 nm) sections of oxygen-deficient planes (red arrows) are visible, but with antiphase boundaries and regions where V$_O$ order is not apparent. At $x = 0.15$ the OVO contrast further decreases, becoming undetectable at $x = 0.05$. While quantification of OVO volume fraction from STEM is challenging [27,28,45], Fig. 4(e-h) nevertheless reveals a clear evolution with $x$, which can be simply rationalized. As $x$ is decreased in Fig. 4 the nominal Co valence drops from 3.50+ to 3.05+, thus decreasing the concentration of V$_O$, along with the OVO volume fraction.
We note that OVO superstructures have been reported at $x = 0$, however [46,47], meaning that non-monotonic $x$ dependence is possible. While surprising, this is in agreement with some magnetic data [48].

With respect to the mechanism for perpendicular anisotropy under epitaxial compression, we first note that PMA has also been reported in some compressively-strained La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ films [49,50]. This was ascribed to a bulk magnetostrictive mechanism, based on opposite signs of longitudinal (positive) and transverse (negative) magnetostrictions [49,51]. While the magnetocrystalline anisotropy to overcome is over an order-of-magnitude larger in LSCO [35,39,40], magnetostrictions are also approximately an order-of-magnitude larger [51,52], making this a significant potential factor. The obvious symmetry lowering due to V$_O$ order, however, cannot be ignored in LSCO. Fig. 4 in fact exposes clear correlations between the OVO superstructure and PMA in LAO(001)/La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-δ}$. The $x = 0.28$ data are particularly important here, as at $x > 0.22$ such 100-Å-thick films are safely in the uniform long-range F phase [32–34]. The only significant difference between $x = 0.50$ and 0.28 films (including the magnetostriction, which is similar at these $x$ [52]) is then the diminished V$_O$ order (Fig. 4(f)), which has a major impact on PMA (Fig. 4(b)). Note that while the differing bulk lattice parameters at $x = 0.50$ and 0.28 does lead to slightly different strains in the two cases, this amounts to only 0.2% difference. Neither the magnetostrictions nor their $x$ dependence in this composition range [52] are large enough (by one order of magnitude) to account for the significant difference in PMA between Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) based on only 0.2% strain difference. $x$-dependent data are thus crucial in establishing a definitive link between V$_O$ order and PMA, as opposed to other potential origins.
The picture we thus advance is that the OVO superlattice, whether in- or out-of-plane, generates a uniaxial magnetic anisotropy perpendicular to oxygen-deficient planes. The $x = 0.5$ films in Fig. 4(e) are thus essentially a unit-cell-level superlattice of oxygen-sufficient/oxygen-deficient planes, with maximal interfacial density. When these features orient in the plane of the substrate/film interface, under compression, this results in PMA, the $V_O$ interfacial anisotropy overcoming the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and in-plane demagnetizing field. While establishing the exact origin of this new anisotropy will require further work, particularly theory, it is likely that interfaces between oxygen-deficient tetrahedrally-coordinated and oxygen-sufficient octahedrally-coordinated Co are critical. This suggests clear parallels with other PMA systems, such as transition metal/MgO interfaces, where interfacial bonding is key [42], as well as metallic superlattices such as Co/Pt [41,53–55], Co/Pd [41,53], and Co/Ni [41,56]. PMA in the latter requires ultra-short superlattice periods (i.e., high interface density) [41,53–56], analogous to single-unit-cell OVO superstructures. As a final comment, we note that recent work on La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$/SrIrO$_3$ superlattices reported PMA promoted by specific Ir-O-Mn interface bond angles [57], potentially relevant to the octahedral/tetrahedral interfaces mentioned above. Notably, the anisotropy constants in the current work are near identical to those in ref. 57, despite the lack of heavy elements such as Ir in the current case.

In summary, control over the orientation of OVO superstructures in LSCO films afforded by $V_O$-order-mediated lattice mismatch accommodation has been shown to enable precise control of magnetic anisotropy. In particular, in-plane $V_O$ ordering induced by compressive strain generates strong PMA ($6 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$), a rarity in perovskites. The PMA is independent of thickness, ruling out surface and/or film/substrate interface anisotropies, but closely correlated with lateral coherence of defect ordering from STEM. This highlights the key role for the unit-
cell-level superlattice associated with the $V_O$ order, raising intriguing analogies with metallic multilayers. Generally, this work highlights the significant potential for engineering $V_O$ order to control function in complex oxide films and heterostructures.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Fig. 1.** Schematic structures of fully oxygen-vacancy-ordered (brownmillerite) La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_3$ films under (a) tensile and (b) compressive lattice mismatch and strain ($\varepsilon_{xx}$). Shown are Co ions (green), La/Sr ions (blue), O ions (red), oxygen vacancies (white), and substrate ions (bottom, shaded black). Transparent polyhedra emphasize the O-stoichiometric (red) and O-deficient (gray) planes. Pseudocubic axes are shown.

**Fig. 2.** (a-d) Specular synchrotron wide-angle X-ray diffraction (intensity vs. out-of-plane scattering vector magnitude, $Q$) from 90-Å-thick La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$CoO$_{3-\delta}$ (LSCO) films on 001-oriented SrTiO$_3$ (STO, purple), La$_{0.18}$Sr$_{0.82}$Al$_{0.59}$Ta$_{0.41}$O$_3$ (LSAT, blue), LaAlO$_3$ (LAO, red), and SrLaAlO$_4$ (SLAO, green). The 002 LSCO peak is marked with the black dashed line. (e) Out-of-plane strain ($\varepsilon_{zz}$) vs. in-plane lattice mismatch ($\varepsilon_{xx}$) for the films in (a-d), using a bulk LSCO lattice parameter of 3.833 Å. The red dashed line is the expectation for biaxial strain with Poisson ratio 1/3. (f) Asymmetric 013 reciprocal space map from 380-Å-thick LAO(001)/LSCO. Expected positions for LAO, fully-strained LSCO, and fully-relaxed LSCO are shown.

**Fig. 3.** Magnetometry on 90-Å-thick La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$CoO$_{3-\delta}$ (LSCO) films on 001-oriented SrTiO$_3$ (STO, purple), La$_{0.18}$Sr$_{0.82}$Al$_{0.59}$Ta$_{0.41}$O$_3$ (LSAT, blue), LaAlO$_3$ (LAO, red), and SrLaAlO$_4$ (SLAO, green). (a-d) Temperature ($T$) dependence of magnetization ($M$) for out-of-plane (OoP, solid) and in-plane (IP, dashed) cooling and measuring magnetic fields, $H = 1$ kOe. (e-h) $M$ vs. $H$ at 5 K for OoP (solid) and IP (dashed) orientations. In-plane lattice mismatch ($\varepsilon_{xx}$) dependence of: (i) Curie temperature ($T_C$), (j) 5 K coercivity ($H_c$), and (k) 5 K remnant magnetization normalized to saturation ($M_r/M_s$). (l-n) Thickness ($t$) dependence of $T_C$, 5 K $H_c$, and 5 K $M_r/M_s$, for LAO(001)/LSCO. The vertical dotted line marks the dead layer thickness, $t_c$. All
magnetometry data required careful subtraction of relatively large contributions from the substrate, sample holder, etc.

**Fig. 4.** 5 K Magnetization ($M$) vs. magnetic field ($H$) loops for out-of-plane (OoP, red) and in-plane (IP, black) orientations for ~100-Å-thick La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$CoO$_{3-δ}$ films on LaAlO$_3$(001) with $x$ of (a) 0.5, (b) 0.28, (c) 0.15, and (d) 0.05. Corresponding Z-contrast scanning transmission electron microscopy images are shown in (e-h), in the pseudocubic [110] or [010] orientations. Red arrows mark oxygen deficient planes; blue arrows mark the film/substrate interface. All magnetometry data required careful subtraction of relatively large contributions from the substrate, sample holder, etc.
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