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Abstract

We investigate recently reported ferromagnetism in a new form of amorphous carbon. We use spin
constrained first-principles simulations to obtain amorphous carbon structures with the desired
magnetization. We show the existence of $sp^2$-like 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms plays an
important role in obtaining magnetism in amorphous carbon. We find detailed geometries of 3-fold
carbon atoms that induce the magnetic order in amorphous carbon.
I. INTRODUCTION

Diamond and graphite are abundant allotropes of carbon that exhibit orbital diamagnetism.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, other types of magnetism in carbon materials have been investigated. For example, nano-scale graphene nanoribbons are predicted to exhibit magnetic order coming from their localized edge electronic states,\textsuperscript{3-6} although experimentally no direct observation of this prediction has been reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{7,8} In its bulk form, graphite can exhibit ferromagnetism, for example, when graphite is irradiated with high energy protons,\textsuperscript{9} or from point defects of grain boundary,\textsuperscript{10} or from vacancies.\textsuperscript{11-13} Theoretical studies have shown that carbon nanotubes can also be magnetic, for example, when line defects are introduced,\textsuperscript{14,15} when nanotubes form composites with other nanotubes,\textsuperscript{16} or when graphene-nanotube complexes are created under pressure.\textsuperscript{17}

Recently, a new amorphous form of carbon (Q-carbon) has been reported as a room-temperature ferromagnetic phase of carbon.\textsuperscript{18,19} The reported magnetic moment may be as large as 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom (where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton) with a Curie temperature of 500 K. Q-carbon interestingly exhibits superconductivity when it is boron doped owing to the large proportion (75-85 \%) of $sp^3$-hybridized carbon atoms.\textsuperscript{20-22} Ferromagnetism in amorphous-like carbon nanofoams has also been reported,\textsuperscript{23,24} but the magnetization and the fraction of $sp^3$-hybridized carbon atoms is significantly larger in Q-carbon. These magnetic and superconducting properties are specific to Q-carbon; they are not observed in amorphous carbon. Nonetheless, theoretical investigations of magnetism in amorphous or disordered carbon should allow us to better understand the magnetic and structural characteristics ascribed to Q-carbon.

We perform a computational investigation of magnetic amorphous carbon. A fixed magnetization on carbon atoms is imposed as we construct a model structure of amorphous carbon from liquid-like carbon. Our constrained structure has more 3-fold ($sp^2$) coordinated carbon atoms than those without spin constraints, indicating the importance of unpaired electrons for obtaining magnetic carbon. We also study the effect of the mass density and constrained magnetization on structures and the total energies of amorphous carbon. Relatively low constrained magnetization does not yield high energy structures when compared with nonmagnetic cases particularly in low density amorphous carbon. However, imposing the experimentally measured magnetization (0.4 $\mu_B$/atom) is found to be energetically unfa-
vorale. When we release the magnetic constraint, we find that some spin magnetic moments are retained. The possible magnetic order among these remaining spins are discussed.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

We employ a total energy pseudopotential approach with both Troullier-Martins norm-conserving pseudopotentials and Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotentials\textsuperscript{25–29} constructed within density functional theory (DFT)\textsuperscript{30,31} using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional.\textsuperscript{32} The real-space pseudopotential DFT code PARSEC is used for molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.\textsuperscript{33–36} The plane-wave DFT package Quantum ESPRESSO\textsuperscript{37} is used for a performing spin-constrained structural relaxation. A real-space grid of 0.3 Bohr (1 Bohr = 0.52918 Å) and a plane-wave energy cutoff of 65 Ry are used to obtain converged total energies. Only the Γ point is sampled for a Brillouin-zone integration.

MD simulations are performed to construct a model structure of amorphous carbon. First we prepare a 216 carbon atom supercell in a simple cubic structure. Next we increase the system temperature to 7500 K and perform MD simulation at 7500 K in an NVT ensemble to randomize the atomic coordinates. The temperature is controlled by using a Langevin thermostat with a friction constant of $10^{-3}$ a.u. We terminate the simulation at 500 MD steps ($\Delta t = 1$ fs) and relax the atomic coordinates of the resulting structure.

The parameters needed for obtaining amorphous carbon structures are the density and magnetization. The density of amorphous carbon is adjusted by changing the lattice parameter of the cubic supercell. We fix the total magnetic moment of the system to a specified value as we perform a structural relaxation. Our constrained magnetization calculations are performed by imposing two different Fermi energies (i.e., two different numbers of electrons) for spin up and down electrons as implemented in Quantum ESPRESSO.\textsuperscript{38} We examined zero, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom for magnetic constraints.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Dependence on magnetization

Initially, we analyzed a specific density case of 3.4 g/cm$^3$ (corresponding to a cubic cell with a lattice parameter of 20.445 Bohr) to observe the effect of magnetic constraints on the
structure of amorphous carbon. Figure 1(a) shows the relaxed structure and the spin charge density of $0.4 \mu_B$/atom constrained magnetization case, corresponding to the experimentally-measured magnetic moment.\textsuperscript{18} Here 44\% of carbon atoms are 3-fold coordinated. These 3-fold carbon atoms (illustrated by orange spheres) exhibit spin polarization (green isosurface). Virtually no spin density can be found in the vicinity of 4-fold atoms (illustrated by gray spheres). Figure 1(a) qualitatively shows that unpaired electrons associated with 3-fold coordinated atoms are required to induce magnetism in amorphous carbon.

In Fig. 1(a), one find two types of 3-fold atoms with unpaired electrons. The first type of 3-fold atoms are surrounded by three 4-fold coordinated atoms as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(b). This creates unpaired electrons since the surrounding 4-fold atoms do not have electrons to form additional bonds with the extra electron at a 3-fold atom. In fact, a model with alternating $sp^2$ and $sp^3$-hybridized carbon atoms was predicted to be ferromagnetic\textsuperscript{39} However, it has been found that such a structure transforms to a more stable phase with less magnetic order when fully relaxed using first-principles methods.\textsuperscript{40,41} Such a separation of $sp^2$ and $sp^3$ hybridized atoms could occur and could be a source of magnetic moment in amorphous carbon.

The second type of 3-fold atoms is connected to another 3-fold atom, but still possesses unpaired electrons. These 3-fold atoms are bonded to each other, but do not form a $\pi$ bond because their extra $p$ electrons are not in parallel [(see Fig. 1(c)]. Even though we impose a magnetic constraint, neighboring 3-fold carbon atoms forms $\pi$ bond when their unpaired $p$ electrons align in parallel and cannot contribute to the magnetic moment. Therefore, a relative rotation between two unpaired $p$ electrons is necessary for having unpaired electrons, although the energy loss due to this rotation is not negligible as the formation of a $\pi$ bond lowers the energy. We expect that these structural characteristics could be observed in magnetic amorphous carbon.

Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of local magnetic moments on carbon atomic sites with constraint magnetization of $0.4 \mu_B$/atom (i.e. 86.4 $\mu_B$/cell). The 3-fold (green) and 4-fold (red) atomic sites show clear separation in their local moments. On average, the 3-fold site has approximately $0.8 \mu_B$/atom while the moments at 4-fold sites are less than $0.1 \mu_B$/atom. Some 3-fold sites exhibit small magnetic moments but these moments are artifacts of our use of a supercell with a constrained magnetization. The number of 3-fold sites is 96 and all the 3-fold sites cannot carry magnetic moments to retain the imposed
FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Structure and spin charge density of amorphous carbon with constrained magnetization of $0.4 \mu_B$/atom and density of $3.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Gray and orange spheres represent 4-fold and 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms, respectively. Here the bonding threshold distance between two carbon atoms is 1.8 Å. A green isosurface illustrates the spin charge density. Schematic illustrations of (b) a 3-fold carbon atom surrounded by 4-fold atoms and (c) two 3-fold atoms bonded but their $p$ orbitals are rotated relative to each other.

The total magnetization of $86.4 \mu_B$. Our analysis confirms that unpaired electrons are required to obtain magnetic amorphous carbon.

We also simulate amorphous carbon with a low constrained magnetization to study the change in structure. By reducing the constraint from 0.4 to 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom, the proportion of 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms are reduced from 44% to 19%. The reduction of 3-fold carbon atoms can be clearly recognized as the small number of orange spheres in Fig. 3 when compared with those in Fig. 1. The spin charge density is still distributed around 3-fold...
FIG. 2. (Color online) Distribution of local magnetic moments at 216 carbon atomic sites in amorphous carbon (0.4 $\mu_B$/atom and 3.4g/cm$^3$). Green and red circles represent 3-fold and 4-fold coordinated carbon atoms, respectively. The local magnetic moments are estimated based on the Lowdin charge analysis of spin up and down charge densities.

Restricted coordinated atoms, but most of these atoms are surrounded by 4-fold carbon atoms owing to the increase (decrease) in 4-fold (3-fold) coordination. The formation of 3-fold carbon atoms is not favored in amorphous carbon with such a high density of carbon atoms, and the proportion of 3-fold atoms is reduced as the constrained magnetization is decreased. In fact, the total energy is 543 meV/atom higher in the 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom case compared with 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom case, implying the difficulty of the formation of 3-fold atoms in high density amorphous carbon.$^{42-44}$

We compute the radial distribution function to quantitatively compare the structures of amorphous carbon with several different constrained magnetization (Fig. 4). At zero magnetization (black curve), the peak position is close to the bond length of diamond (1.54 Å), indicating the dominant $sp^3$ hybridization. The density of 3.4 g/cm$^3$ is slightly smaller than that of diamond (3.5 g/cm$^3$). Four-fold $sp^3$-like hybridization is naturally favored in such a high density amorphous carbon.

On the other hand, the peak position of radial distribution function moves toward the bond length of graphene (1.42 Å) as we increase the constrained magnetization. The number of 3-fold coordinated atoms must increase in order to have unpaired electrons, which contribute to the magnetism. The resulting 3-fold atom proportions of zero, 0.1, 0.2, and
FIG. 3. (Color online) Structure and spin charge density of amorphous carbon with constrained magnetization of 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom. The mass density is 3.4 g/cm$^3$.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Radial distribution functions of 3.4 g/cm$^3$ amorphous carbon with different constrained magnetization. Structures are relaxed under nonmagnetic (black lines) and constrained magnetization of 0.1 (green), 0.2 (blue), and 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom (red). Two vertical dashed lines indicate the optimized bond lengths of graphene (1.424 Å) and diamond (1.540 Å) using the same computational method. The inset shows the magnified view around the first peak.
0.4 $\mu_B$/atom cases are 13, 19, 28, and 44 %, respectively. The bond length is close to 1.42 Å when a large magnetization is imposed, again indicating that $sp^2$-like hybridization is necessary for the realization of spin polarization. The change in the radial distribution is not significantly large, but the peak should be close to $sp^2$ bonding when amorphous carbon exhibits sizable amount of magnetic moment.

B. Role of density on magnetization

We consider amorphous carbon with a relatively low density. Figure 5 shows the structure and spin charge density for a constrained simulation of a density of 2.6 g/cm$^3$ and a magnetization of 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom. The structure shows more 3-fold coordinated atoms than in the high density 3.4 g/cm$^3$ case (see Fig. 1). Another structural characteristic is the appearance of 2-fold coordinated atoms (red spheres), which are not seen in the high density amorphous carbon. The 2-fold and 3-fold proportion here is 6 % and 64 % (22 % higher than the previous case), respectively. The appearance of 2-fold coordination and the increase in the 3-fold proportion indicate the lower-coordination is not surprisingly favored in the low density case. In fact, the 3-fold proportion is 58 % even when the system is not under a magnetic constraint.

The spin charge density is distributed on 2-fold and 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms, where 2-fold atoms have more unpaired electrons than 3-fold atoms. The 3-fold atoms are a majority in this structure with most of the 3-fold atoms bonded to each other. As discussed above, the remaining $p$ orbitals in two bonded 3-fold atoms must be rotated relative to each other by 90° to avoid the formation of a $\pi$ bond. This structural distortion increases the energy of amorphous carbon (358 meV/atom compared with the nonmagnetic case) although the formation of 3-fold coordinated atoms is favored in the low density case.

The total energies and 3-fold proportion of amorphous carbon are summarized in Table I. A high density amorphous carbon favors low 3-fold proportion in both with and without magnetic constraints. The energy difference between nonmagnetic carbon and magnetic carbon constrained to 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom monotonically increases as a function of density (from 358 in 2.6 g/cm$^3$ to 578 meV/atom in 3.4 g/cm$^3$), indicating the difficulty of the formation of 3-fold coordinated atom. Considering that the experimental $sp^3$ proportion in Q-carbon is more than 75 %, the density of Q-carbon is predicted to be around 3.2 g/cm$^3$ or denser.
FIG. 5. (Color online) Structure and spin charge density of amorphous carbon (mass density of 2.6 g/cm$^3$) with constrained magnetization of 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom. Red spheres represent 2-fold coordinated carbon atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>2.6</th>
<th>2.8</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>3.2</th>
<th>3.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonmagnetic</td>
<td>48 (58 %)</td>
<td>70 (43 %)</td>
<td>0 (42 %)</td>
<td>135 (35 %)</td>
<td>66 (13 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 ($\mu_B$/atom)</td>
<td>86 (57 %)</td>
<td>133 (56 %)</td>
<td>53 (38 %)</td>
<td>169 (31 %)</td>
<td>101 (19 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 ($\mu_B$/atom)</td>
<td>155 (58 %)</td>
<td>149 (51 %)</td>
<td>233 (48 %)</td>
<td>257 (30 %)</td>
<td>318 (28 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 ($\mu_B$/atom)</td>
<td>406 (64 %)</td>
<td>420 (56 %)</td>
<td>442 (51 %)</td>
<td>550 (42 %)</td>
<td>644 (44 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, a high magnetic moment constraint yields a high energy state and a large proportion of 3-fold sites. The total energies of the structures with a constrained magnetization of 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom are significantly higher than those without magnetic constraints.
Interestingly, the relative energies of the 0.1 and 0.2 $\mu_B$/atom cases are not substantially high when compared with the high energy for the 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom case. For instance, the energy difference between nonmagnetic and 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom cases are 35 meV/atom even in amorphous carbon with a high density of 3.4 g/cm$^3$. Similarly, the energy is 122 meV/atom higher in the 0.2 $\mu_B$/atom and 3.2 g/cm$^3$ density case. Considering the calculated energy difference between diamond (calculated by using a 64 atom supercell, with a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ $k$-grid) and the lowest-energy amorphous carbon (3.0 g/cm$^3$) is 745 meV/atom, the energy difference of 35 and 122 meV/atom is not large. A small magnetization should be realizable in amorphous carbon. However, a high energy state is required to obtain the experimentally reported value of 0.4 $\mu_B$/atom.

C. Releasing magnetic constraints

We released the magnetic constraint to determine the unconstrained nature of magnetic moments in amorphous carbon. The magnetization is reduced from the constrained value when we release the constraint and perform a standard spin-polarized calculation. For example, the total magnetization and the sum of the absolute values of spin up and down moments are 0.044 and 0.051 $\mu_B$/atom, for the 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom constraint and 3.4 g/cm$^3$ density case (see Fig. 6 for the spin charge densities). The proportion of 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms is also reduced from 19 % to 14 % as well because 4-fold coordination is favored in high density amorphous carbon. The total energy is 5 meV/atom higher when the same structure is calculated with spin unpolarized DFT, indicating a weak magnetic order among unpaired electrons. The change in the total energy is less than 1 meV/atom when we flip the direction of a spin moment.

The blue isosurface in Fig. 6 shows the minority spin charge density. This implies the existence of finite antiferromagnetic order since the spin spontaneously becomes opposite in direction using a self-consistent calculation, even though we start the simulation with the same spin direction on each carbon atom. The distance between two carbon atoms with these two opposite spins is 2.24 Å. The atoms are separated by two 4-fold coordinated carbon atoms. Here two $p$ orbitals have a slight overlap with each other, and this is believed to cause the antiferromagnetic order between the two spins.

To examine possible magnetic order between the opposite spins, we construct a “molecule”
FIG. 6. (Color online) Spin charge density of amorphous carbon obtained with normal spin-polarized calculations without a magnetic constraint (density of 3.4 g/cm$^3$). The initial structure is 0.1 $\mu_B$/atom constrained structure and relaxed without a constraint. Red and blue isosurfaces represent majority and minority spin charge densities, respectively. See Fig. 3 for comparison with the spin-constrained structure.

by cutting out the amorphous structure around the two spins and terminate all dangling bonds with hydrogen atoms (C-H bond length is adjusted to 1 Å). This “molecule” has 286 meV lower energy in the antiferromagnetic case than in the ferromagnetic case, similar to the fact that antiferromagnetic order spontaneously occurs in the amorphous structure. However, the energy difference changes as we rotate the angle of one $p$ orbital as described in Fig. 7(a). In general, the antiferromagnetic phase [the green line in Fig. 7(a)] has lower energy, but the ferromagnetic phase [the red line in Fig. 7(a)] becomes more stable around 60° and 240°. For example, the energy is 43 meV lower than the antiferromagnetic case at 60°. The energy in the ferromagnetic phase is significantly low around 150° and 300°. This indicates that the system prefers an antiferromagnetic solution even when we start the simulation from a ferromagnetic initial condition. Here the antiferromagnetic phase is in a relatively low energy state and at local minimum as opposite spin configuration is favored.

The structure and spin charge densities at the rotation angles of 60° and 150° are illus-
FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Relative energy as a function of rotation angle of a \( p \) orbital in a “molecule” constructed from amorphous carbon. Green and red lines represent antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic phases, respectively. The energy is measured from the total energy of the nonmagnetic phase at 0°. Here 0° indicates the original structure without modification, which appears in the amorphous structure. Structures and spin charge densities at rotation angles of (b) 60° and (c) 150°. Gray and white spheres represent carbon and hydrogen atoms. The structures are not relaxed and the only difference is the rotation of the \( p \) orbital.

Illustrated in Figs. 7(b) and 7(c). The two \( p \) orbitals are close to orthogonal and the overlap between the orbitals is limited in the 60° case [Fig. 7(b)]. This orbital geometry enables these orbitals to be localized and ferromagnetic. On the other hand, as we rotate the orbital by 90°, two \( p \) orbitals are almost in the same plane and have substantial overlap with each other [Fig. 7(c)]. Because of this large overlap, the system prefers opposite spin directions. Although ferromagnetic phases are not in an energy local minimum with respect to the rotation angle, we expect that such a geometry could appear and could be a source of magnetism in amorphous carbon synthesized in an extreme condition.
IV. SUMMARY

In summary, our first-principles MD with constrained magnetization demonstrates that unpaired electrons in 3-fold $sp^2$-hybridized carbon atoms are necessary for producing magnetic behavior in amorphous carbon. These 3-fold coordinated carbon atoms should be isolated by 4-fold carbon atoms or should have 90° rotated $p$ orbitals when bonded to 3-fold atoms, to retain unpaired electrons. We also show that $p$ orbitals at 3-fold atoms in the former case can exhibit ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) order in amorphous carbon when they are close and orthogonal (in the same plane) to each other. Our findings impose limitations on magnetically constrained amorphous carbon. Structures with large magnetic moments are energetically unfavorable when compared with nonmagnetic structures. Our results also indicate that a large magnetic moment requires a large proportion of $sp^2$-hybridized atoms. This is not consistent with the experimentally observed coexistence of a high $sp^3$ proportion and a large magnetic moment in Q-carbon. To achieve the experimentally reported magnetization in Q-carbon, a special disordered carbon structure outside a merely random distribution of carbon atoms should be considered. Our work should be useful for explaining magnetic properties of amorphous carbon systems and for designing new magnetic carbon materials.
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The introduction of two Fermi energies can be considered as a special case of more generalized constrained DFT.\textsuperscript{45}