

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics with Hyperbolic van der Waals Materials

Yuto Ashida, Ataç İmamoğlu, and Eugene Demler Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 216901 — Published 26 May 2023 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.216901](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.216901)

Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics with Hyperbolic van der Waals Materials

Yuto Ashida,^{1,2,*} Ataç İmamoğlu,³ and Eugene Demler⁴

¹*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

2 *Institute for Physics of Intelligence, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

³ Institute of Quantum Electronics, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

4 *Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland ¨*

The ground-state properties and excitation energies of a quantum emitter can be modified in the ultrastrong coupling regime of cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) where the light-matter interaction strength becomes comparable to the cavity resonance frequency. Recent studies have started to explore the possibility of controlling an electronic material by embedding it in a cavity that confines electromagnetic fields in deep subwavelength scales. Currently, there is a strong interest in realizing ultrastrong-coupling cavity QED in the terahertz (THz) part of the spectrum, since most of the elementary excitations of quantum materials are in this frequency range. We propose and discuss a promising platform to achieve this goal based on a two-dimensional electronic material encapsulated by a planar cavity consisting of ultrathin polar van der Waals crystals. As a concrete setup, we show that nanometer-thick hexagonal boron nitride layers should allow one to reach the ultrastrong coupling regime for single-electron cyclotron resonance in a bilayer graphene. The proposed cavity platform can be realized by a wide variety of thin dielectric materials with hyperbolic dispersions. Consequently, van der Waals heterostructures hold the promise of becoming a versatile playground for exploring the ultrastrong-coupling physics of cavity QED materials.

Strong coupling regime of cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED), where the emitter-cavity coupling strength exceeds decay rates, has played a central role in quantum information science. For instance, cavity-mediated interaction between qubits has allowed for implementing two-qubit gates with high fidelity [\[1,](#page-5-1) [2\]](#page-5-2). Moreover, cavity-mediated Raman transitions have the potential to realize all-to-all coupling with tunable range and strength, providing an indispensable tool for quantum simulators $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$. Recent studies have started to explore the possibility of further increasing the coupling strength so that it becomes comparable to elementary excitation energies. Many of the common simplifications in cavity QED fail in this regime, rendering theoretical analysis challenging [\[5–](#page-5-5) [8\]](#page-5-6). A remarkable feature is that ultrastrong coupling can alter the ground-state electronic properties due to virtual processes where both emitters and cavity photons are excited [\[9](#page-5-7)[–11\]](#page-5-8). Consequently, a natural question to address is if and when the ultrastrong coupling regime can be attained and used to control material properties simply by cavity confinement in the absence of external driving.

A back-of-the-envelope estimate suggests that the ultrastrong coupling regime is out of reach in cavities supporting purely photonic excitations due to the smallness of the fine structure constant $[12]$. However, this limit can be overcome in structured electromagnetic environments because hybridization with matter excitations enables one to control cavity frequencies independently of wavelengths. For instance, in superconducting circuits, a large kinetic inductance allows for high-impedance electromagnetic excitations, leading to the single-electron ultrastrong coupling in the microwave range [\[13](#page-5-10)[–16\]](#page-5-11).

Meanwhile, many of the elementary excitations in quantum materials are in the terahertz (THz) regime. Recent experimental and theoretical studies have shown the potential of utilizing cavity confinement as a means to control the phases

of matter and chemical reactivity [\[9–](#page-5-7)[11\]](#page-5-8). Specifically, earlier work demonstrated that cavity confinement modifies ele-mentary excitations of many-body systems [\[17](#page-5-12)[–38\]](#page-5-13) and is expected to influence superconductivity [\[39–](#page-5-14)[42\]](#page-6-0), ferroelectricity [\[43–](#page-6-1)[45\]](#page-6-2), magnetotransport [\[46](#page-6-3)[–48\]](#page-6-4), frustrated magnetism $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$, and topological properties $[51, 52]$ $[51, 52]$ $[51, 52]$. In view of the prospects of observing these intriguing phenomena in cavity QED materials, it is highly desirable to examine the feasibility of attaining the single-electron ultrastrong coupling at THz frequencies. This contrasts to the previous discussions of ultrastrong cavity QED that focused on multi-emitter systems, trastrong cavity QED that focused on multi-emitter systems,
in which the coupling is enhanced by the factor \sqrt{N} with N being the number of emitters.

The aim of this Letter is to propose and analyze a planar cavity consisting of polar van der Waals (vdW) crystals as a promising platform for exploring the ultrastrong-coupling physics of cavity QED materials (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)). We point out that

FIG. 1. Schematic figure illustrating the proposed planar cavity setup consisting of thin polar van der Waals crystals (green shaded) whose optical axis is along z direction. In the narrow air gap between the two slabs, a 2D material (red shaded) is inserted and the electron there can ultrastrongly couple to electromagnetic fields of tightly confined hyperbolic polaritons (blue solid curve). The thickness (lateral extension) of surrounding materials is $d(L)$. (b) Outof-plane (solid curve) and in-plane (dashed curve) permittivities $\epsilon_{z,t}$ of hyperbolic materials. The Restrahlen bands (red shaded) appear above each of the out-of-plane and in-plane phonon resonances $\Omega_{z,t}$.

one can attain a single-electron ultrastrong coupling by embedding a 2D material with electronic transitions in the THz regime into ultrathin hexagonal boron nitride (*h*-BN) layers. A special feature here is that electrons in the 2D material couple to the electric field component of tightly confined hyperbolic phonon polaritons. The strong photon-phonon hybridization together with the low frequencies of polaritons then results in the significantly enhanced coupling strength over a broad range of momenta. This is challenging to achieve in conventional polar dielectrics with an isotropic dispersion, where sizable hybridization takes place in a limited range of momenta since light and matter are almost decoupled at large momenta due to the fast speed of light.

The proposed setup should be contrasted to existing approaches in several crucial aspects. In metallic platforms such as nanoplasmonic cavities [\[34\]](#page-5-15), inevitable Ohmic losses severely limit quality factors in deep subwavelength scales, and achieving the (ultra)strong coupling regime requires the collective enhancement, i.e., the single-electron ultrastrong coupling remains out of reach. Metallic structures also lead to static screening that could itself affect the electronic ground state. In superconducting circuits, the single-electron ultrastrong coupling has been attained, but the need of a large kinetic inductance restricts it to the microwave region which is much lower than THz frequencies relevant to excitations in real materials. In contrast, the present platform supports the confined hyperbolic polaritons in the THz regime while behaving as simple dielectrics at low frequencies, thus allowing for the THz single-electron ultrastrong coupling in the absence of Ohmic losses and strong screening.

As a proof-of-concept demonstration, we will apply the proposed concept to a 2D electron gas with parabolic dispersion in the presence of the static magnetic field. We analyze the hybridization between hyperbolic polaritons and the cyclotron motion of the parabolic electrons. We show that the single-electron ultrastrong coupling regime is within the reach provided that the thicknesses of *h*-BN layers are chosen to be nanometer-scale. This consideration is motivated by recent advances demonstrating ultrasmall mode volumes of hyperbolic phonon polaritons in *h*-BN nanostructures [\[53](#page-6-9)[–58\]](#page-6-10). While we present quantitative estimates for *h*-BN nanocavities for the sake of concreteness, the proposed cavity scheme is applicable to the majority of polar vdW crystals [\[59\]](#page-6-11), which exhibit hyperbolic polaritons originating from distinct in- and out-of-plane infrared-active phonons.

Hyperbolic phonon polaritons.— We begin our analysis by reviewing the properties of a planar cavity made out of layered thin polar vdW materials. Due to the weakness of interlayer coupling, such materials naturally possess two types of optical phonons corresponding to in-plane and out-of-plane ionic oscillations in the THz or mid-infrared regimes. This leads to the uniaxial anisotropy characterized by the out-of-plane (inplane) dielectric permittivities ϵ_z (ϵ_t). In the frequency windows above each of the phonon resonances, the two dielectric responses can have opposite signs (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)). This unique feature leads to the hyperbolic isofrequency surfaces defined

by the dispersion relation of the transverse magnetic modes [\[60,](#page-6-12) [61\]](#page-6-13),

$$
\frac{|q|^2}{\epsilon_z} + \frac{|\kappa|^2}{\epsilon_t} = \frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon_0 c^2},\tag{1}
$$

where $q(\kappa)$ is the in-plane (out-of-plane) wavevector, ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, and c is the speed of light. The opposite signs of $\epsilon_{z,t}$ allow for excitations to have low frequencies even at large momenta. The resulting hybridized excitations are known as hyperbolic phonon polaritons. The corresponding dispersions are called the Reststrahlen bands of either Type I when $\epsilon_z < 0$, $\epsilon_t > 0$ or Type II when $\epsilon_z > 0$, $\epsilon_t < 0$.

As a representative hyperbolic material, *h*-BN has strong crystalline anisotropy leading to two spectrally distinct Reststrahlen bands [\[53](#page-6-9)[–58\]](#page-6-10). Below we focus on the electromagnetic couplings with Type I *h*-BN hyperbolic modes ω_{qn} lying in the narrow frequency window above the out-of-plane phonon frequency $\Omega_z = 41.1 \text{ THz}$ (cf. top panel in Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). As we discuss below, these modes exhibit several advantages for the purpose of attaining the ultrastrong couplings, such as their relatively low frequencies, sizable photon components over a broad range of momenta q , and ultraslow loss in deep subwavelength scales.

Single-electron ultrastrong coupling with hyperbolic materials.— We consider the planar cavity setup where a 2D material (e.g., a bilayer graphene) is inserted into the narrow

FIG. 2. (Top) Dispersions ω_{qn} of the hyperbolic phonon polaritons in the planar cavity setting. The inset shows the spatial profiles of the in-plane electric fields along z direction for each mode at $qd = 4$. For the sake of visibility, only the three lowest modes are plotted. (Bottom) Inverse of the square root of the effective dimensionless confinement length, $\sqrt{d/d_{qn}}$, characterizing the dimensionless single-electron coupling strength for each mode. The maximum value in the principal branch $n = 1$ is reached at $q = q^*$.

air gap between two thin *h*-BN slabs whose thickness and lateral extensions are denoted by d and L , respectively (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)). Our starting point is the following cavity QED Hamiltonian of the 2D parabolic electron interacting with hyperbolic phonon polaritons:

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\left[\hat{p} + e\mathbf{A}_s(\hat{r}) + e\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\hat{r})\right]^2}{2m} + \hat{H}_{\text{pol}},\tag{2}
$$

which can be derived from an effective theory of uniaxial polar dielectrics coupled to quantized electromagnetic fields in the Coulomb gauge $[62, 63]$ $[62, 63]$ $[62, 63]$. Here, *e* is the elementary charge, m is the electron mass, \hat{r} and \hat{p} are the electron position and momentum operators in the 2D lateral directions, respectively, A_s represents an arbitrary static field, and \hat{H}_{pol} is the free polariton Hamiltonian,

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{pol}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}n} \hbar \omega_{\boldsymbol{q}n} \hat{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{q}n}^{\dagger} \hat{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{q}n},\tag{3}
$$

where $\hat{\gamma}_{qn}$ ($\hat{\gamma}_{qn}^{\dagger}$) annihilates (creates) a hyperbolic polariton with the in-plane wavevector q in the branch $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The 2D vector field $A(r)$ is obtained by projecting the vector potential onto the 2D plane where the electron is placed, and can be expanded in terms of the polariton operators by

$$
\hat{A}(\hat{r}) = \sum_{qn} A_{qn} e_q \left(\hat{\gamma}_{qn} e^{iq \cdot \hat{r}} + \hat{\gamma}_{qn}^{\dagger} e^{-iq \cdot \hat{r}} \right), \tag{4}
$$

where $A_{qn} \simeq \sqrt{\hbar/(2L^2 \epsilon_0 \omega_{qn} d_{qn})}$ is the amplitude with the effective confinement length d_{qn} whose value is characterized by the polariton mode function. The effective polarization vector becomes $e_q \equiv q/|q|$ because, for the symmetric arrangement we assumed, the electric fields of the polaritons only have in-plane components along the propagation direction. While the vector potential is originally a 3D transverse vector field in the Coulomb gauge, it can effectively acquire longitudinal components when projected onto the 2D tangential plane.

Figure [2](#page-2-0) shows the results for Type I *h*-BN hyperbolic modes. The dispersions (top panel) start from the longitudinal phonon frequency and saturate to Ω_z at high $q \equiv |\mathbf{q}|$. Naturally, these hybridized modes are almost purely longitudinal (transverse) phonon excitations in the limit $q \to 0$ ($q \to \infty$), which do not allow for a strong coupling to electrons in the air gap. Crucially, however, except for these two limits, there still exist the nonvanishing photon contributions leading to the sizable electric-dipole couplings at intermediate momenta (bottom panel). This is because the in-plane component, which couples to the 2D electron, has almost equal photonic and phononic contents while the out-of-plane component is predominantly phonon-like [\[63\]](#page-6-15).

To further proceed, we use the unitary transformation \hat{U} = $e^{-i\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{P}}_b/\hbar}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_b = \sum_{\mathbf{q}n} \hbar \hat{\mathbf{q}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}n}^{\dagger} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}n}$ [\[64\]](#page-6-16), resulting in the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_U \equiv \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{H} \hat{U}$ given by

$$
\hat{H}_U = \frac{\left[\hat{p} + e\mathbf{A}_s(\hat{r}) + e\hat{A}(\mathbf{0}) - \hat{P}_b\right]^2}{2m} + \hat{H}_{\text{pol}}.\tag{5}
$$

In this way, the electron-coordinate dependence in the quantized vector potential can be eliminated at the expense of generating the polariton momentum \hat{P}_b , leading to the nonlocal interaction among polaritons mediated by the electron. The dimensionful coupling strength between the electron and each of the dynamical quantized electromagnetic modes is given by

$$
g_{qn} = eA_{qn}\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{qn}}{m\hbar}}.
$$
 (6)

Since g_{qn} characterizes the single-electron coupling strength rather than the collective one, it depends on the lateral size L through $g_{qn} \propto L^{-1}$. Consequently, a natural measure for the effective coupling strength between the 2D electron and the continuum of polariton modes is given by the integrated value $g_{\text{eff}} \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{\bm{q}n} g_{\bm{q}n}^2}$, which scales as $g_{\text{eff}} \propto \mathcal{O}(L^0)$ [\[65\]](#page-6-17).

Previously, the deep strong coupling regime has been experimentally realized in superconducting circuits, where g_{eff} becomes comparable to the microwave photon frequency. In the present setting, the use of ultrathin *h*-BN slabs with nanometer-scale thicknesses enables one to reach those regimes in the THz range, where g_{eff} becomes comparable to or even exceeds ω_{qn} . For instance, using the *h*-BN parame-ters [\[53\]](#page-6-9), we estimate coupling strengths of order $g_{qn}/\Omega_z =$ $1.7 \times \sqrt{(10 \text{ nm})^3/(L^2 d_{\mathbf{q}n})}$, which, together with the results of the effective confinement length d_{qn} in Fig. [2b](#page-2-0), can lead to $g_{\text{eff}} \sim \Omega_z$ in nanoscale heterostructures. More specifically, one can attain $g_{\text{eff}} \simeq 2.0 \Omega_z$ for the $n = 1$ principal branch when the cavity thickness (in-plane momentum cutoff) is set to be $d = 5 \text{ nm } (\Lambda = 2 \text{ nm}^{-1})$. As demonstrated below, one important consequence of attaining $g_{\text{eff}} \sim \Omega_z$ is that the electron mixes the otherwise independent cavity modes and creates a localized state at a frequency below the cavity resonance. We emphasize that this key feature is largely insensitive to a choice of the lateral size L and thus remains even in the 2D thermodynamic limit $L/d \rightarrow \infty$.

Application to a 2D electron under the magnetic field.— As a proof-of-concept demonstration, we now focus on a prototypical setting of ultrastrong-coupling physics, namely, a 2D parabolic electron subject to a static perpendicular magnetic field. From now on, we consider the $n = 1$ principal hyperbolic mode that most strongly couples to the cyclotron motion of the electron, and abbreviate the subscript n for the sake of notational simplicity. We choose the symmetric gauge, $A_s(r) = (-By/2, Bx/2)^T$, with the magnetic field B and introduce the annihilation operator of Landau levels by

$$
\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{l_B}{\hbar} \left(\hat{p}_x - i \hat{p}_y \right) - \frac{i}{2l_B} \left(\hat{x} - i \hat{y} \right) \right],\tag{7}
$$

where $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/(eB)}$ is the magnetic length. Using the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c = eB/m$ and the operator $\hat{\pi}$ =

FIG. 3. Magnetoabsorption spectra $A(\omega)$ of the 2D electron in the cavity plotted against a cyclotron resonance $\omega_c = eB/m$ at different cavity thicknesses d. The blue solid curve in each panel corresponds to the bound-state energy of the Landau polariton. We impose the periodic boundary conditions on the lateral directions and fix the aspect ratio $L/d = \pi$. We use the *h*-BN parameters in Ref. [\[53\]](#page-6-9) where the out-ofplane and in-plane phonon frequencies are $\Omega_z = 23.3$ THz and $\Omega_t = 41.1$ THz, the corresponding oscillator strengths are $\eta_z = 0.37\Omega_z$ and $\eta_t = 0.61\Omega_t$, and the infinite-frequency permittivities are $\epsilon_{z\infty} = 2.95$ and $\epsilon_{t\infty} = 4.87$ [\[63\]](#page-6-15). We set the in-plane momentum cutoff $\Lambda = 2 \,\mathrm{nm}^{-1}$.

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}, i(\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{\dagger}) \right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \text{ we obtain}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{H}_U = \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{2} \left(\hat{\pi} + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} e_{\mathbf{q}} \left[c_{\mathbf{q}} \left(\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}} + \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \right) - q l_B \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{q}} \right] \right)^2 + \hat{H}_{\text{pol}},
$$
\n(8)

where $c_{\bf q} = g_{\bf q}/\sqrt{\omega_{\bf q}\omega_c}$ is the dimensionless coefficient characterizing the coupling strength of the cyclotron motion to the hyperbolic polaritons with momentum q . In the longwavelength limit $q l_B \rightarrow 0$, the polariton-polariton interaction in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-4-0) disappears and the problem reduces to the quadratic one. As demonstrated below, however, this interaction term can in general contribute to the dynamics and affect the absorption spectrum especially in the ultrastrong coupling regimes. This is because the electron couples most strongly with the electromagnetic modes at a finite momentum around $q \sim q^*$ (cf. Fig. [2b](#page-2-0)) whose corresponding length scale $1/q^*$ is comparable to the magnetic length l_B near the cyclotron resonance.

The low-energy excitations can be studied by analyzing the magnetoabsorption spectrum

$$
A(\omega) = \text{Re}\left[\int_0^\infty e^{i\omega t} \langle \text{GS} | \hat{a} e^{-i\hat{H}t} \hat{a}^\dagger | \text{GS} \rangle\right],\tag{9}
$$

where $|GS\rangle$ is the ground state. To reveal its qualitative features, we perform a simple variational analysis as follows. Specifically, we first determine the variational ground state of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-4-0) in the form of a product of coherent states. We then expand the Hamiltonian around this state, obtain the fluctuations up to the quadratic terms, and determine the excitation spectrum via the exact diagonalization of the effective quadratic Hamiltonian [\[63\]](#page-6-15).

Figure [3](#page-4-1) shows the obtained magnetoabsorption spectra, where the cavity thickness d is varied while the aspect ratio L/d is kept constant. The blue solid curve in each panel shows the bound-state energy of the Landau polariton. As the

thickness is decreased, the spectrum starts to exhibit the anticrossings around the cyclotron resonance. In particular, when the cavity length becomes a few nanometers, there emerge the large separations between the branches that are comparable to the elementary excitation energies; this is a hallmark of the ultrastrong coupling regime.

As discussed before, a key feature here is the formation of the dressed bound state consisting of the electron and the localized polaritons, which manifests itself as the anticrossed lower branch in the spectrum (cf. the blue curve in Fig. [3\)](#page-4-1). Importantly, this feature remains independently of lateral size L, while the effect of increasing L/d is the appearance of a continuum of cavity modes above the lower branch [\[63\]](#page-6-15). It is worthwhile to note that the positions of the anticrossings are shifted above the bare resonances $\omega_c/\Omega_z \simeq 1$. This upward shift originates from the renormalization of the effective polariton energies due to the repulsive polariton-polariton interaction. Since the latter comes from the spatial dependence of the vector potential, this effect is absent in the longwavelength limit. We also remark that the appearance of the multiple anticrossed branches in Fig. [3](#page-4-1) are due to the discretized in-plane momentum q , whose value is set by the periodic boundary conditions in the lateral directions.

Discussions.— The proposed platform for ultrastrongcoupling cavity QED materials can be realized by various polar vdW materials exhibiting hyperbolic phonon polaritons, including $Bi₂Se₃$, $Bi₂Te₃$, $MoS₂$ and $MoO₃$ as well as *h*-BN [\[59,](#page-6-11) [66–](#page-6-18)[70\]](#page-6-19). Thus, by confining materials in the cavity consisting of these vdW structures, one can strongly couple electronic excitations to quantized electromagnetic modes in a wide spectral range from mid- or far-infrared to THz regimes. Moreover, the layered nature of vdW crystals should readily allow one to tune the cavity coupling strengths by controlling the thickness of the surrounding crystals.

While polaritons in these materials exhibit ultralow loss originating from multi-phonon or disorder-induced scatterings [\[53](#page-6-9)[–58,](#page-6-10) [68](#page-6-20)[–70\]](#page-6-19), it merits further study to explore if and when one could engineer such dissipation to realize phases or dynamics unique to open systems [\[71,](#page-6-21) [72\]](#page-6-22). Our analysis focused on 2D materials and it remains an interesting open problem how one can realize ultrastrong coupling between light and 3D bulk materials if at all possible. Finally, in quantum information science, a material excitation consisting of localized polaritons might find applications in photon storage, quantum memory, or nondissipative emitter interactions [\[73,](#page-6-23) [74\]](#page-6-24).

In summary, we showed that the planar cavity consisting of vdW heterostructures provides a promising platform to attain the single-electron ultrastrong coupling in the THz or midinfrared regions. As a proof-of-concept demonstration, we presented the analysis of the magnetoabsorption spectrum for the 2D electron confined in the *h*-BN cavity, where the coupling can be ultrastrong provided that the thicknesses are judiciously controlled. We expect that our results open a way to study a variety of recent predictions in the emerging field of cavity QED materials.

We are grateful to Iliya Esin, Ilya Esterlis, Tim Kaxiras, Igor Khanonkin, Frank Koppens, Kanta Masuki, Gil Refael, Tao Shi, and Kenji Yasuda for fruitful discussions. Y.A. acknowledges support from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science through Grant No. JP19K23424 and from JST FOREST Program (Grant Number JPMJFR222U, Japan). A.I. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under Grant Number 200020 207520. E.D. acknowledges support from the ARO grant "Control of Many-Body States Using Strong Coherent Light-Matter Coupling in Terahertz Cavities" and the SNSF project 200021₋₂₁₂₈₉₉.

∗ ashida@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

- [1] L. DiCarlo, J. M. Chow, J. M. Gambetta, L. S. Bishop, B. R. Johnson, D. Schuster, J. Majer, A. Blais, L. Frunzio, S. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature 460[, 240 \(2009\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature08121)
- [2] A. Blais, A. L. Grimsmo, S. M. Girvin, and A. Wallraff, [Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.93.025005) Mod. Phys. 93[, 025005 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.93.025005)
- [3] A. Stute, B. Casabone, P. Schindler, T. Monz, P. Schmidt, B. Brandstätter, T. Northup, and R. Blatt, [Nature](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11120) 485, 482 [\(2012\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11120)
- [4] V. D. Vaidya, Y. Guo, R. M. Kroeze, K. E. Ballantine, A. J. Kollár, J. Keeling, and B. L. Lev, *[Phys. Rev. X](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevX.8.011002) 8, 011002* [\(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevX.8.011002)
- [5] D. Hagenmüller, S. De Liberato, and C. Ciuti, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.235303)* 81, [235303 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.235303)
- [6] D. De Bernardis, P. Pilar, T. Jaako, S. De Liberato, and P. Rabl, Phys. Rev. A 98[, 053819 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.98.053819)
- [7] A. Le Boite, [Adv. Quant. Tech.](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qute.201900140) 3, 1900140 (2020).
- [8] Y. Ashida, A. Imamoğlu, and E. Demler, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.153603)* 126, [153603 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.153603)
- [9] F. J. Garcia-Vidal, C. Ciuti, and T. W. Ebbesen, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abd0336) 373, [eabd0336 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abd0336)
- [10] F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, and M. A. Sentef, [Appl. Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0083825) 9[, 011312 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0083825)
- [11] J. Bloch, A. Cavalleri, V. Galitski, M. Hafezi, and A. Rubio, Nature 606[, 41 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-022-04726-w)
- [12] M. Devoret, S. Girvin, and R. Schoelkopf, [Ann. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.200751910-1109) 519, 767

[\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.200751910-1109)

- [13] P. Forn-Díaz, J. J. García-Ripoll, B. Peropadre, J.-L. Orgiazzi, M. Yurtalan, R. Belyansky, C. M. Wilson, and A. Lupascu, [Nat.](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphys3905) Phys. 13[, 39 \(2017\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphys3905)
- [14] F. Yoshihara, T. Fuse, S. Ashhab, K. Kakuyanagi, S. Saito, and K. Semba, Nat. Phys. 13[, 44 \(2017\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphys3906)
- [15] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano, [Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/RevModPhys.91.025005) Mod. Phys. 91[, 025005 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/RevModPhys.91.025005)
- [16] A. Frisk Kockum, A. Miranowicz, S. De Liberato, S. Savasta, and F. Nori, [Nat. Rev. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s42254-018-0006-2) 1, 19 (2019).
- [17] G. Scalari, C. Maissen, S. Cibella, R. Leoni, P. Carelli, F. Valmorra, M. Beck, and J. Faist, New J. Phys. 16[, 033005 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/3/033005)
- [18] S. Smolka, W. Wuester, F. Haupt, S. Faelt, W. Wegscheider, and A. Imamoglu, Science 346[, 332 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1258595)
- [19] C. Maissen, G. Scalari, F. Valmorra, M. Beck, J. Faist, S. Cibella, R. Leoni, C. Reichl, C. Charpentier, and W. Wegscheider, Phys. Rev. B 90[, 205309 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.205309)
- [20] Q. Zhang, M. Lou, X. Li, J. L. Reno, W. Pan, J. D. Watson, M. J. Manfra, and J. Kono, Nature Phys 12[, 1005 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3850)
- [21] A. Bayer, M. Pozimski, S. Schambeck, D. Schuh, R. Huber, D. Bougeard, and C. Lange, Nano Lett. 17[, 6340 \(2017\).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b03103)
- [22] J. Keller, G. Scalari, F. Appugliese, S. Rajabali, M. Beck, J. Haase, C. A. Lehner, W. Wegscheider, M. Failla, M. Myronov, D. R. Leadley, J. Lloyd-Hughes, P. Nataf, and J. Faist, Phys. Rev. B 101[, 075301 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.075301)
- [23] A. Thomas, E. Devaux, K. Nagarajan, G. Rogez, M. Seidel, F. Richard, C. Genet, M. Drillon, and T. W. Ebbesen, [Nano](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00973) Lett. 21[, 4365 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00973)
- [24] S. Rajabali, S. Markmann, E. Jöchl, M. Beck, C. A. Lehner, W. Wegscheider, J. Faist, and G. Scalari, [Nat. Commun.](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-29974-2) 13, [2528 \(2022\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-29974-2)
- [25] K. Kuroyama, J. Kwoen, Y. Arakawa, and K. Hirakawa, [arXiv:2204.10522 \(2022\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10522)
- [26] J. Flick, M. Ruggenthaler, H. Appel, and A. Rubio, [Proc. Natl.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1615509114) [Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1615509114) 114, 3026 (2017).
- [27] V. Rokaj, M. Penz, M. A. Sentef, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123[, 047202 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.047202)
- [28] D. M. Juraschek, T. Neuman, J. Flick, and P. Narang, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.L032046)* Rev. Research 3[, L032046 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.L032046)
- [29] J. Román-Roche and D. Zueco, [SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes , 50](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.50) [\(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.50)
- [30] S. P. Kelly, J. K. Thompson, A. M. Rey, and J. Marino, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.L042032)* Rev. Res. 4[, L042032 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.L042032)
- [31] J. B. Curtis, A. Grankin, N. R. Poniatowski, V. M. Galitski, P. Narang, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Res. 4[, 013101 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013101)
- [32] E. Orgiu, J. George, J. A. Hutchison, E. Devaux, J. F. Dayen, B. Doudin, F. Stellacci, C. Genet, J. Schachenmayer, C. Genes, G. Pupillo, P. Samorì, and T. W. Ebbesen, [Nat. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat4392) 14, 1123 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat4392)
- [33] C.-R. Mann, T. J. Sturges, G. Weick, W. L. Barnes, and E. Mariani, [Nat. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-018-03982-7) 9, 2194 (2018).
- [34] N. S. Mueller, Y. Okamura, B. G. Vieira, S. Juergensen, H. Lange, E. B. Barros, F. Schulz, and S. Reich, [Nature](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2508-1) 583, [780 \(2020\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2508-1)
- [35] M. Halbhuber, J. Mornhinweg, V. Zeller, C. Ciuti, D. Bougeard, R. Huber, and C. Lange, [Nat. Photon.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41566-020-0673-2) 14, 675 (2020).
- [36] D. Hagenmüller, J. Schachenmayer, S. Schütz, C. Genes, and G. Pupillo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119[, 223601 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223601)
- [37] N. Bartolo and C. Ciuti, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**[, 205301 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.205301)
- [38] J. Li, D. Golez, G. Mazza, A. J. Millis, A. Georges, and M. Eckstein, Phys. Rev. B 101[, 205140 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.205140)
- [39] F. Schlawin, A. Cavalleri, and D. Jaksch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.133602) 122, [133602 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.133602)
- [40] J. B. Curtis, Z. M. Raines, A. A. Allocca, M. Hafezi, and V. M.

Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122[, 167002 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.167002)

- [41] M. A. Sentef, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, [Sci. Adv.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau6969) 4, [eaau6969 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau6969)
- [42] H. Gao, F. Schlawin, and D. Jaksch, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.L140503) 104, L140503 [\(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.L140503)
- [43] Y. Ashida, A. İmamoğlu, J. Faist, D. Jaksch, A. Cavalleri, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. X 10[, 041027 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevX.10.041027)
- [44] S. Latini, D. Shin, S. A. Sato, C. Schäfer, U. De Giovannini, H. Hübener, and A. Rubio, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.2105618118) 118, [e2105618118 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.2105618118)
- [45] K. Lenk, J. Li, P. Werner, and M. Eckstein, arXiv: 2205.05559 [\(2022\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.05559)
- [46] G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, F. Appugliese, E. Richter, F. Valmorra, J. Keller, M. Beck, N. Bartolo, C. Rössler, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, C. Ciuti, G. Scalari, and J. Faist, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0346-y) 15, 186 [\(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0346-y)
- [47] F. Appugliese, J. Enkner, G. L. Paravicini-Bagliani, M. Beck, C. Reichl, W. Wegscheider, G. Scalari, C. Ciuti, and J. Faist, Science 375[, 1030 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.abl5818)
- [48] V. Rokaj, M. Penz, M. A. Sentef, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Rubio, Phys. Rev. B 105[, 205424 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.205424)
- [49] K. Masuki and Y. Ashida, [arXiv:2302.11582 \(2023\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11582)
- [50] E. V. Boström, A. Sriram, M. Claassen, and A. Rubio, [arXiv:2211.07247 \(2022\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.07247)
- [51] X. Wang, E. Ronca, and M. A. Sentef, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.235156) 99, 235156 [\(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.235156)
- [52] K. Masuki and Y. Ashida, [arXiv:2209.01363 \(2022\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.01363)
- [53] J. D. Caldwell, A. V. Kretinin, Y. Chen, V. Giannini, M. M. Fogler, Y. Francescato, C. T. Ellis, J. G. Tischler, C. R. Woods, A. J. Giles, M. Hong, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. A. Maier, and K. S. Novoselov, [Nat. Commun.](https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms6221) 5, 1 (2014).
- [54] S. Dai, Z. Fei, Q. Ma, A. S. Rodin, M. Wagner, A. S. McLeod, M. K. Liu, W. Gannett, W. Regan, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Thiemens, G. Dominguez, A. H. C. Neto, A. Zettl, F. Keilmann, P. Jarillo-Herrero, M. M. Fogler, and D. N. Basov, [Sci](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1246833)ence 343[, 1125 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1246833)
- [55] A. J. Giles, S. Dai, I. Vurgaftman, T. Hoffman, S. Liu, L. Lindsay, C. T. Ellis, N. Assefa, I. Chatzakis, T. L. Reinecke, J. G. Tischler, M. M. Fogler, J. H. Edgar, D. N. Basov, and J. D. Caldwell, Nat. Mater. 17[, 134 \(2018\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nmat5047)
- [56] S. Dai, W. Fang, N. Rivera, Y. Stehle, B.-Y. Jiang, J. Shen, R. Y. Tay, C. J. Ciccarino, Q. Ma, D. Rodan-Legrain, P. Jarillo-
- [57] E. Y. Ma, J. Hu, L. Waldecker, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, F. Liu, and T. F. Heinz, Nano Lett. 22[, 8389 \(2022\).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c02819)
- [58] H. H. Sheinfux, L. Orsini, M. Jung, I. Torre, M. Ceccanti, R. Maniyara, D. B. Ruiz, A. Hotger, R. Bertini, S. Castilla, ¨ N. C. H. Hesp, E. Janzen, A. Holleitner, V. Pruneri, J. H. Edgar, G. Shvets, and F. H. L. Koppens, [arXiv:2202.08611 \(2022\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.08611)
- [59] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, Nature 499[, 419 \(2013\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nature12385)
- [60] Z. Jacob, Nat. Mater. **13**[, 1081 \(2014\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nmat4149)
- [61] P. Li, M. Lewin, A. V. Kretinin, J. D. Caldwell, K. S. Novoselov, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, F. Gaussmann, and T. Taubner, [Nat.](https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms8507) Commun. 6[, 7507 \(2015\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms8507)
- [62] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and Atoms* (Wiley, New York, 1989).
- [63] See Supplemental Materials for further details on the statements and the derivations.
- [64] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, *Phys. Rev.* 90[, 297 \(1953\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.90.297)
- [65] Y. Ashida, T. Yokota, A. İmamoğlu, and E. Demler, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.023194)* Research 4[, 023194 \(2022\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.023194)
- [66] M. Esslinger, R. Vogelgesang, N. Talebi, W. Khunsin, P. Gehring, S. De Zuani, B. Gompf, and K. Kern, [ACS Pho](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/ph500296e)tonics 1[, 1285 \(2014\).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/ph500296e)
- [67] J. Sun, N. M. Litchinitser, and J. Zhou, [ACS Photon.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ph4000983) 1, 293 [\(2014\).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/ph4000983)
- [68] W. Ma, P. Alonso-Gonzalez, S. Li, A. Y. Nikitin, J. Yuan, ´ J. Martín-Sánchez, J. Taboada-Gutiérrez, I. Amenabar, P. Li, S. Vélez, *et al.*, Nature 562[, 557 \(2018\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0618-9)
- [69] Z. Zheng, J. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Wang, X. Chen, P. Liu, J. Xu, W. Xie, H. Chen, S. Deng, and N. Xu, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705318) 30, 1705318 [\(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705318)
- [70] Z. Zheng, N. Xu, S. L. Oscurato, M. Tamagnone, F. Sun, Y. Jiang, Y. Ke, J. Chen, W. Huang, W. L. Wilson, A. Ambrosio, S. Deng, and H. Chen, Sci. Adv. 5[, eaav8690 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.aav8690)
- [71] Y. Ashida, Z. Gong, and M. Ueda, Adv. Phys. 69[, 249 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2021.1876991)
- [72] F. Mivehvar, F. Piazza, T. Donner, and H. Ritsch, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1080/00018732.2021.1969727) 70[, 1 \(2021\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1080/00018732.2021.1969727)
- [73] A. I. Lvovsky, B. C. Sanders, and W. Tittel, [Nat. Photon.](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphoton.2009.231) 3, 706 [\(2009\).](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphoton.2009.231)
- [74] A. González-Tudela, C.-L. Hung, D. E. Chang, J. I. Cirac, and H. Kimble, [Nat. Photon.](https://www.nature.com/articles/nphoton.2015.54) 9, 320 (2015).