

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Majorization Theory for Unitary Control of Optical Absorption and Emission Cheng Guo and Shanhui Fan Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 146202 — Published 7 April 2023 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.146202](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.146202)

Majorization theory for unitary control of optical absorption and emission

Cheng Guo^{1,*} and Shanhui Fan^{2,[†](#page-5-1)}

¹Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA 2 Ginz Laboratory and Department of Electrical Engineering,

Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

(Dated: March 24, 2023)

Unitary control changes the absorption and emission of an object by transforming the external light modes. It is widely used and underlies coherent perfect absorption. Yet two basic questions remain unanswered: For a given object under unitary control, what absorptivity α , emissivity e , and their contrast $\delta = e - \alpha$ are attainable? How to obtain given α , e, or δ ? We answer both questions using the mathematics of majorization. We show that unitary control can achieve perfect violation or preservation of Kirchhoffs law in nonreciprocal objects, and uniform absorption or emission for any object.

Thermal radiation represents a ubiquitous aspect of nature [\[1–](#page-5-2)[7\]](#page-5-3). The control of thermal radiation is therefore of fundamental interest and has wide applications in technologies such as renewable energy [\[8](#page-5-4)[–17\]](#page-5-5), imaging [\[18\]](#page-5-6), and sensing [\[19,](#page-5-7) [20\]](#page-5-8).

Conventional thermal radiators are often approximated as blackbodies since their emission typically covers a broad frequency and angular range [\[1,](#page-5-2) [4\]](#page-5-9). In recent years, however, with the advancement of nanophotonics concepts, it has become possible to design thermal photonic structures with absorption and emission properties that are drastically different from that of a blackbody [\[21](#page-5-10)[–28\]](#page-6-0). For example, one can now achieve thermal radiators with emissivity and absorptivity spectra that are narrow in either spectral or angular domain [\[21\]](#page-5-10). There are also significant recent developments in nonreciprocal thermal radiators that strongly violate Kirch-hoff's law [\[29–](#page-6-1)[34\]](#page-6-2). In these non-reciprocal devices, the key figure of merit is the contrast between absorptivity and emissivity [\[15,](#page-5-11) [30,](#page-6-3) [35–](#page-6-4)[37\]](#page-6-5).

In addition to the design of a photonic structure itself, the absorption and emission properties can also be designed by controlling the external modes that the photonic structures interact with. In this paper, we refer to such control as unitary control since mathematically the design process can be described by a unitary transformation in the space of the external modes. As practical examples that illustrate the concept of unitary control, Fresnel lenses can be used as concentrators to boost the absorption of a solar cell [\[36\]](#page-6-6). Curved reflecting mirrors can be used to redirect thermal emission, as exploited in fan heaters and more recently in radiative cooling experiments [\[2,](#page-5-12) [38–](#page-6-7)[42\]](#page-6-8). In these examples, the Fresnel lens or the curved mirror shapes the external modes to influence the absorption and emission properties of a device. The concept of unitary control is also directly connected to the effects of coherent perfect absorption [\[43–](#page-6-9)[52\]](#page-6-10), where the complete absorption of light can be achieved due to the interference of multiple incident waves.

Despite its fundamental and practical importance, there still lacks a systematic theory of unitary control. The theory should answer two basic questions: (1) Given an object, what are all the attainable absorptivity, emissivity, and nonreciprocal contrast for each mode under unitary control? (2) How to obtain given absorptivity, emissivity, or nonreciprocal contrast for each mode via unitary control? The first question asks about the capability and limitation of unitary control. The second question asks for implementation. Here we provide complete answers to both questions using the mathematics of majorization [\[53\]](#page-6-11).

We first briefly discuss the notations used in the math-ematics of majorization [\[53\]](#page-6-11). For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in$ \mathbb{R}^n , we define $\boldsymbol{x}^{\downarrow}$ = $(x_1^{\downarrow}, x_2^{\downarrow}, \ldots, x_n^{\downarrow})$ and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\uparrow}$ = $(x_1^{\uparrow}, x_2^{\uparrow}, \ldots, x_n^{\uparrow}),$ where $x_1^{\downarrow} \ge x_2^{\downarrow} \ge \cdots \ge x_n^{\downarrow}$ reorder the components of x in non-increasing order, and $x_1^{\uparrow} \le x_2^{\uparrow} \le$ $\cdots \leq x_n^{\uparrow}$ in non-decreasing order. For $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^{\downarrow} \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i^{\downarrow}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \tag{1}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i,
$$
\n(2)

we say that x is majorized by y, written as $x \prec y$. See Supplemental Material (SM) [\[54\]](#page-6-12), Sec. I for more details.

For subsequent use, we also summarize some notations related to matrices. We denote by M_n the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices and $U(n)$ the set of $n \times n$ unitary matrices. For $M \in M_n$, we denote by $d(M) =$ $(d_1(M),\ldots,d_n(M))^T, \ \boldsymbol{\lambda}(M) \ = \ (\lambda_1(M),\ldots,\lambda_n(M))^T,$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(M) = (\sigma_1(M), \ldots, \sigma_n(M))^T$ the vectors of diagonal entries, eigenvalues, and singular values of M. (A singular value of M is the nonnegative square root of an eigenvalue of $M^{\dagger}M$ [\[55\]](#page-6-13).) We also denote

$$
\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(M) \equiv \left(1 - \sigma_1^2(M), \dots, 1 - \sigma_n^2(M)\right)^T. \tag{3}
$$

We introduce the self-concommutator of $M: M^{\dagger}M M^*M^T$, and denote its eigenvalues as

$$
\mathbf{c}(M) \equiv \mathbf{\lambda}(M^{\dagger}M - M^*M^T). \tag{4}
$$

See SM, Sec. II for an introduction to the selfconcommutator.

FIG. 1. (a) A general *n*-port passive system. (b) Illustration of unitary control.

We consider a general passive linear time-invariant electromagnetic system (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)). It is connected to its exterior by n ports. Each port supports an input mode $|\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle$ and an output mode $|\phi_j^{(o)}\rangle$. We adopt the usual convention that the output mode is the time-reversal of the input mode [\[56\]](#page-6-14), i.e.,

$$
|\phi_j^{(o)}\rangle = (|\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle)^*, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5}
$$

Using these modes as bases, we describe the incoming and outgoing waves as

$$
\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T, \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T,
$$
 (6)

where a_i and b_i are the input and output wave amplitudes in the i-th port, respectively. The system is described by a scattering matrix $S \in M_n$ such that

$$
\mathbf{b} = S\mathbf{a},\tag{7}
$$

where S_{ij} is the transport coefficient from the j-th to the i -th port. In general, S can be any complex matrix that satisfies the passivity condition: $I - S^{\dagger}S$ is positive semidefinite. If the system is reciprocal, S needs to be symmetric: $S = S^T$ [\[56](#page-6-14)-58].

Now we introduce unitary control. Unitary control refers to unitarily transforming the input and output modes (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)):

$$
|\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle \to \sum_{k=1}^n U_{kj} |\phi_k^{(i)}\rangle, \quad |\phi_j^{(o)}\rangle \to \sum_{k=1}^n U_{kj}^* |\phi_k^{(o)}\rangle, \quad (8)
$$

where $U \in U(n)$. Under the new mode bases, the scattering matrix is modified by

$$
S \to U^T S U. \tag{9}
$$

Hence, unitary control corresponds to a unitary congruence [\[55\]](#page-6-13) of a S-matrix.

Unitary control also transforms the absorption and emission properties of a system. The absorption and emission properties are described by three real vectors:

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^T, \quad \boldsymbol{e} = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)^T, \qquad (10)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\delta} \equiv \boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)^T, \tag{11}
$$

where α_i and e_i are the absorptivity and emissivity in the *i*-th port, respectively, and $\delta_i = e_i - \alpha_i$ is the nonreciprocal contrast that measures the violation of Kirchhoff's law. α , e, and δ can be determined from S. We define

$$
A \equiv I - S^{\dagger} S, \quad E \equiv I - S S^{\dagger}, \tag{12}
$$

$$
\Delta \equiv E^T - A = S^{\dagger} S - S^* S^T,\tag{13}
$$

which are referred to as the absorptivity, emissivity, and nonreciprocal contrast matrices, respectively. All three matrices are Hermitian. Using the laws of thermodynamics, one can prove [\[31\]](#page-6-16):

$$
\alpha = d(A), \quad e = d(E), \quad \delta = d(\Delta). \tag{14}
$$

Under the unitary control as defined in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1), the absorptivity, emissivity, and nonreciprocal contrast matrices are modified via unitary similarity [\[55\]](#page-6-13):

$$
A \to U^{\dagger} A U, \quad E \to U^T E U^*, \quad \Delta \to U^{\dagger} \Delta U. \tag{15}
$$

The absorptivity, emissivity, and nonreciprocal contrast vectors become:

$$
\alpha[U] = d(U^{\dagger}AU), \quad e[U] = d(U^T EU^*), \qquad (16)
$$

$$
\delta[U] = d(U^{\dagger} \Delta U),\tag{17}
$$

which are all explicitly U-dependent.

The concept of unitary control is closely related to the effect of coherent perfect absorption [\[43–](#page-6-9)[52\]](#page-6-10). A coherent perfect absorber is a multi-port system that can completely absorb incident light with an appropriate modal profile. In SM, Sec. III, we analyze a concrete example of a two-port coherent perfect absorber to illustrate the concept of unitary control. Our theory generalizes the study of coherent perfect absorbers by highlighting many other effects that are achievable through unitary control, including nonreciprocal effects. Also, the mathematics of majorization has not been applied in the previous study of coherent perfect absorbers.

Now we reformulate our key questions mathematically. Question 1: Given S, what are the sets

$$
\{ \alpha \} \equiv \{ \alpha[U] \mid U \in U(n) \}, \tag{18}
$$

$$
\{ e \} \equiv \{ e[U] | U \in U(n) \}, \tag{19}
$$

$$
\{\delta\} \equiv \{\delta[U] \mid U \in U(n)\}?
$$
 (20)

Question 2: Given $S, \alpha_0 \in {\{\alpha\}}, e_0 \in {\{e\}}, \text{ and } \delta_0 \in {\{\delta\}},$ what are the sets

$$
\{U[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0]\}\equiv\{U\in U(n)\mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}[U]=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0\},\qquad(21)
$$

$$
\{ U[e_0] \} \equiv \{ U \in U(n) \mid e[U] = e_0 \}, \qquad (22)
$$

$$
\{U[\boldsymbol{\delta}_0]\} \equiv \{ U \in U(n) \mid \boldsymbol{\delta}[U] = \boldsymbol{\delta}_0 \} ? \tag{23}
$$

We comment on the mathematical aspects of Eqs. (18) -[\(23\)](#page-3-0). $\alpha[U]$, $e[U]$, and $\delta[U]$ as defined in Eqs. [\(16\)](#page-2-3) and [\(17\)](#page-2-4) are different smooth maps from $U(n)$ to \mathbb{R}^n . Question 1 asks for the range of each map. Since $U(n)$ is compact, each range is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Question 2 asks for the preimage of each element of the range under each map. These maps are not one-to-one; for example, $\alpha[U] = \alpha[U']$, $e[U] = e[U']$, and $\delta[U] = e[U']$ with $U' = UD$ where D is any diagonal unitary matrix.

FIG. 2. Numerical experiment for $n = 2$. Shown here are scatter plots for (a) $\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}[U_i] \}$, (b) $\{ \boldsymbol{e}[U_i] \}$, (c) $\{ \boldsymbol{\delta}[U_i] \}$.

We start with Question 1. To hint at the solution, we perform two numerical experiments. In the first experiment, we consider a random 2×2 scattering matrix:

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 - 0.2i & 0.3 + 0.7i \\ 0.1 + 0.3i & 0.5 - 0.1i \end{pmatrix};
$$
 (24)

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.92 \\ 0.41 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{c}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.60 \\ -0.60 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (25)

We generate 1000 random $U_i \in U(2)$ and calculate $\boldsymbol{\alpha}[U_i], \boldsymbol{e}[U_i]$, and $\boldsymbol{\delta}[U_i]$ by Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-3). Figs. [2\(](#page-3-1)a-c) show the results. We see that $\{\alpha\} = \{e\}$ is a line segment with endpoints obtained by permuting the coordinates of $\mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(S) = (0.15, 0.83)^T$, while $\{\boldsymbol{\delta}\}\$ is a line segment with endpoints obtained by permuting the coordinates of $c(S)$.

FIG. 3. Numerical experiment for $n = 3$. Shown here are scatter plots for (a) $\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} | U_i \}$, (b) $\{ \boldsymbol{e} | U_i \}$, (c) $\{ \boldsymbol{\delta} | U_i \}$.

In the second experiment, we consider a random 3×3 scattering matrix:

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0.06 - 0.15i & 0.21 + 0.12i & 0.15 + 0.01i \\ 0.12 + 0.35i & -0.02 + 0.04i & 0.21 + 0.55i \\ 0.09 - 0.43i & -0.15 - 0.09i & 0.20 + 0.21i \end{pmatrix}; (26)
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0.78 \\ 0.39 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.18 \\ 0.48 \\ 0.25 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{c}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ -0.44 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (27)

We generate 100 000 random $U_i \in U(3)$ and calculate $\boldsymbol{\alpha}[U_i], \boldsymbol{e}[U_i],$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}[U_i]$ by Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-3). Figs. [3\(](#page-3-2)a-c) show the results. We see that $\{\alpha\} = \{e\}$ is a convex hexagon with vertices obtained by permuting the coordinates of $1 - \sigma^2(S) = (0.39, 0.77, 0.93)^T$, while $\{\delta\}$ is a convex hexagon with vertices obtained by permuting the coordinates of $c(S)$.

The numerical results above suggest the following observation on the geometry of $\{ \alpha \}, \{ \alpha \}$ and $\{ \delta \}$: For an n-port system, each set is a convex subset of an $(n-1)$ dimensional hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n . $\{ \alpha \} = \{ e \}$ is the convex hull spanned by the $n!$ points obtained by permuting the coordinates of $\mathbf{1} - \sigma^2(S)$, while $\{\delta\}$ is the convex hull spanned by the *n*! points obtained by permuting the coordinates of $c(S)$. (The convex hull of a set is the smallest convex set that contains it.) We show that this observation is true as a result of the main theorem of our paper:

Theorem. Given a passive scattering matrix $S \in M_n$,

$$
\{\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}\,\}=\{\,\boldsymbol{e}\,\}=\{\,\boldsymbol{u}\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid\boldsymbol{u}\prec\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(S)\,\}\,,\qquad(28)
$$

$$
\{\boldsymbol{\delta}\} = \{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \boldsymbol{v} \prec \boldsymbol{c}(S) \}.
$$
 (29)

Proof. We prove $\{\alpha\} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n | u \prec 1 - \sigma^2(S) \}.$ First, we show $\alpha[U] \in {\alpha} \} \implies \alpha[U] \prec 1 - \sigma^2(S)$. We use Schur's theorem [\[59\]](#page-6-17)(see SM, Theorem I.2):

$$
\alpha[U] = d(U^{\dagger}AU) \prec \lambda(U^{\dagger}AU) = \lambda(A) = 1 - \sigma^2(S).
$$
\n(30)

Second, we show $u \prec 1-\sigma^2(S) \implies u \in {\alpha}$, i.e., there exists $U \in U(n)$ such that $\alpha|U| = u$. We use Horn's theorem [\[60\]](#page-7-0) (see SM, Theorem I.3): As $u \lt 1 - \sigma^2(S)$, there exists a Hermitian matrix H with $d(H) = u$ and $\lambda(H) = 1 - \sigma^2(S)$. Since $\lambda(A) = 1 - \sigma^2(S) = \lambda(H)$, H and A are unitarily similar (see SM, Theorem $V(1(b))$). Hence there exists $U \in U(n)$ such that $H = U^{\dagger} A U$. Now we can check

$$
\alpha[U] \equiv d(U^{\dagger}AU) = d(H) = u. \tag{31}
$$

This completes the proof for $\{\alpha\}$. The proofs for $\{e\}$ and $\{\delta\}$ are similar. □

The geometric observation above is a direct consequence of our theorem. We use Rado's theorem [\[61\]](#page-7-1) (see SM, Theorem I.1), which states that for a given $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \prec y\}$ is the convex hull of points

obtained by permuting the components of y . The geometric observation is an application of Rados theorem to Eqs. [\(28\)](#page-3-3) and [\(29\)](#page-3-4).

Eqs. [\(28\)](#page-3-3) and [\(29\)](#page-3-4) are our first main results. Eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-3) shows that $\{\alpha\}$ and $\{e\}$ are completely determined by $\sigma(S)$, which is invariant under unitary control: $\sigma(U^T SU) = \sigma(S)$. We can classify all passive linear time-invariant systems by their σ . Two systems exhibit the same $\{\alpha\}$ and $\{e\}$ if and only if they belong to the same σ class. Eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-4) shows that $\{\delta\}$ is completely determined by $c(S)$, which is also invariant under unitary control: $\mathbf{c}(U^T S U) = \mathbf{c}(S)$. We can classify all passive linear time-invariant systems by their c . Two systems exhibit the same $\{\delta\}$ if and only if they belong to the same c class.

We now turn to Question 2. For illustrative purposes, we consider only the problem of $\{U[\alpha_0]\}\$. The solution to the problem of $\{U[\mathbf{e}_0]\}\$ and $\{U[\mathbf{\delta}_0]\}\$ is similar. The problem corresponds to the following physical scenario. Suppose we have a photonic structure characterized by a scattering matrix S and therefore an absorptivity matrix $A = I - S^{\dagger} S$. Given an absorptivity vector $\alpha_0 \prec 1 - \sigma^2(S)$, how do we construct the set of all possible unitary control schemes as described by unitary matrices $\{U[\alpha_0]\}\$ that achieve α_0 ? Or alternatively, a simpler question, how to construct one unitary control scheme as described by a unitary matrix $U[\alpha_0]$ that achieves α_0 ?

These two problems can be solved by the following algorithms. We first perform a preparatory step that is common in both algorithms: Suppose A have p distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$, with respectively multiplicities n_1, \ldots, n_p . Let $\Lambda = \lambda_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \lambda_p I_{n_p}$. We find a $V \in U(n)$ such that $A = V \Lambda V^{\dagger}$. Now we provide the two algorithms:

Algorithm 1 (Constructing $\{U[\alpha_0]\}\)$.

- 1. Use Fickus' algorithm [\[62\]](#page-7-2) (see SM, Algorithm IV.2) to construct all Hermitian matrices H_i with eigenvalues $\lambda(A)$ and diagonal entries $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$. For each H_i , find a $V_i \in U(n)$ such that $H_i = V_i \Lambda V_i^{\dagger}$.
- 2. We claim that $U_i \in U(n)$ such that $H_i = U_i^{\dagger} A U_i$ if and only if

$$
U_i = V(W_1 \oplus \dots W_p) V_i^{\dagger} \tag{32}
$$

where $W_k \in U(n_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, p$, are arbitrary. Denote the set of all such U_i as $\{U_i\}$.

3. We claim that $\{U[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0]\} = \bigcup_i \{U_i\}.$ (See SM, Sec. V for proof of the two claims.)

Algorithm 2 (Constructing a $U[\alpha_0]$).

1. Use Chu's algorithm [\[63\]](#page-7-3) (see SM, Algorithm IV.1) to construct a Hermitian matrix A' with eigenvalues $\lambda(A)$ and diagonal elements α_0 . Find a $V' \in U(n)$ such that $A' = V'\Lambda V'^{\dagger}$.

2. We obtain a $U[\alpha_0] = V V^{'\dagger}$.

Algorithms [1](#page-4-0) and [2](#page-4-1) are our second main results.

To illustrate the usage of our algorithms, we provide a numerical example in SM, Sec. VI. We consider a 5-port lossy system characterized by a random S-matrix. Our task is to construct a $U[\alpha_0]$, $U[e_0]$, and $U[\delta_0]$ with randomly assigned goals α_0 , e_0 , and δ_0 . We use Algorithm [2](#page-4-1) and complete the task. Importantly, our algorithms allow us to achieve the prescribed absorptivity (or emissivity, or non-reciprocal contrast) in all ports with a single unitary matrix that performs unitary control.

Finally, we discuss physical applications of our theory.

First, we provide the criterion for k-fold degenerate co-herent perfect absorption [\[52\]](#page-6-10), i.e., the effect that a system exhibits coherent perfect absorption for k independent input modes. From Eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-3), we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition: $\sigma_1^{\uparrow} = \ldots = \sigma_k^{\uparrow} = 0$.

Second, we propose the concept of unitary perfect violation of Kirchhoff 's law, which refers to the effect that a system exhibits complete violation of Kirchhoff's law $(|\delta_i| = 1$ for some *i*) under some unitary control. From Eq. [\(29\)](#page-3-4), we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for unitary perfect violation of Kirchhoff's law for k independent inputs: $|c|_1^{\downarrow} = \ldots = |c|_k^{\downarrow} = 1$. As an example, consider a nonreciprocal two-port system with

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{33}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (34)

With this modal basis, Kirchhoffs law is satisfied, i.e. the absorptivities and emissivities are equal in every port. However, the system is non-reciprocal since its S-matrix is not symmetric. We show that with the appropriate modal basis, this system can exhibit a perfect violation of Kirchhoffs law. We apply a unitary transformation

$$
S \to U^T S U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}
$$

Then

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}[U] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}[U] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}[U] = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (36)
$$

the system exhibits perfect violation of Kirchhoff's law.

Third, we propose the concepts of unitary uniform absorption, unitary uniform emission, and unitary detailed balance, which refer to the effects that a system exhibits uniform absorptivity (α_i = const), uniform emissivity $(e_i = \text{const})$, and zero nonreciprocal contrast $(\delta_i = 0)$, respectively, under some unitary control. We claim that any n-port lossy system exhibits unitary uniform absorption, unitary uniform emission, and unitary detailed balance. We prove this by showing that for any $S \in M_n$,

there exist $U, U', U'' \in U(n)$ such that

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}[U] = \boldsymbol{e}[U'] = (a, \dots, a)^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\delta}[U''] = (0, \dots, 0)^T, (37)
$$

where

$$
a = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2(S).
$$
 (38)

This is because for any $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$
(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x})^T \prec (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T, \ \ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.
$$
 (39)

Thus, for any $S \in M_n$, we have

$$
(a, ..., a)^T
$$
 \prec **1** $-\sigma^2(S)$, $(0, ..., 0)^T$ \prec **c** (S) , (40)

hence they are attainable under unitary control. So, any system can exhibit uniform absorption or emission over any number of ports under suitable unitary control. This fact can be useful in applications such as thermal camouflage. Any nonreciprocal thermal emitter can behave just like a reciprocal emitter under some mode bases. Therefore, to maximize the nonreciprocal response of a nonreciprocal thermal emitter, one must carefully shape the external modes in addition to optimizing the photonic structure itself.

In conclusion, we provide a systematic theory for unitary control of optical absorption and emission. We reveal that majorization theory provides the mathematical structure to describe the physics of unitary control. Our results deepen the understanding of unitary control of absorption and emission and provide practical guidelines for its implementation.

C.G. thanks Dr. Zhaoyou Wang for helpful discussions. This work is funded by a Simons Investigator in Physics grant from the Simons Foundation (Grant No. 827065) and by a MURI grant from the U. S. Army Research Office (Grant No. W911NF-19-1-0279).

- ∗ guocheng@stanford.edu
- † shanhui@stanford.edu
- [1] M. Planck, The Theory of Heat Radiation (Dover Publications, New York, 1991).
- [2] G. Chen, Nanoscale Energy Transport and Conversion: A Parallel Treatment of Electrons, Molecules, Phonons, and Photons (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005).
- [3] Z. M. Zhang, Nano/Microscale Heat Transfer (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2007).
- [4] J. R. Howell, M. P. Mengüç, and R. Siegel, Thermal Radiation Heat Transfer, sixth ed. (CRC Press, London, 2016).
- [5] S. Fan, Thermal Photonics and Energy Applications, Joule 1[, 264 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2017.07.012)
- [6] J. C. Cuevas and F. J. García-Vidal, Radiative Heat Transfer, [ACS Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.8b01031) 5, 3896 (2018).
- [7] Y. Li, W. Li, T. Han, X. Zheng, J. Li, B. Li, S. Fan, and C.-W. Qiu, Transforming heat transfer with thermal metamaterials and devices, [Nature Reviews Materials](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00283-2) 6, [488 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00283-2)
- [8] R. S. Ottens, V. Quetschke, S. Wise, A. A. Alemi, R. Lundock, G. Mueller, D. H. Reitze, D. B. Tanner, and B. F. Whiting, Near-Field Radiative Heat Transfer between Macroscopic Planar Surfaces, Physical Review Letters 107, 014301 (2011).
- [9] B. Guha, C. Otey, C. B. Poitras, S. Fan, and M. Lipson, Near-Field Radiative Cooling of Nanostructures, Nano Letters 12, 4546 (2012).
- [10] A. Babuty, K. Joulain, P.-O. Chapuis, J.-J. Greffet, and Y. De Wilde, Blackbody Spectrum Revisited in the Near Field, Physical Review Letters 110, 146103 (2013).
- [11] A. P. Raman, M. A. Anoma, L. Zhu, E. Rephaeli, and S. Fan, Passive radiative cooling below ambient air temperature under direct sunlight, Nature 515, 540 (2014).
- [12] S. V. Boriskina, M. A. Green, K. Catchpole, E. Yablonovitch, M. C. Beard, Y. Okada, S. Lany, T. Gershon, A. Zakutayev, M. H. Tahersima, V. J. Sorger, M. J. Naughton, K. Kempa, M. Dagenais, Y. Yao, L. Xu, X. Sheng, N. D. Bronstein, J. A. Rogers, A. P. Alivisatos, R. G. Nuzzo, J. M. Gordon, D. M. Wu, M. D. Wisser, A. Salleo, J. Dionne, P. Bermel, J.-J. Greffet, I. Celanovic, M. Soljacic, A. Manor, C. Rotschild, A. Raman, L. Zhu, S. Fan, and G. Chen, Roadmap on optical energy conversion, Journal of Optics 18, 073004 (2016).
- [13] B. Raj, M. H. van de Voorde, and Y. R. Mahajan, eds., Nanotechnology for Energy Sustainability. Volume 3 (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2017).
- [14] A. Fiorino, L. Zhu, D. Thompson, R. Mittapally, P. Reddy, and E. Meyhofer, Nanogap near-field thermophotovoltaics, Nature Nanotechnology 13, 806 (2018).
- [15] Y. Park, V. S. Asadchy, B. Zhao, C. Guo, J. Wang, and S. Fan, Violating Kirchhoff's Law of Thermal Radiation in Semitransparent Structures, [ACS Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c00612) 8, 2417 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c00612)
- [16] L. Zhu, A. Fiorino, D. Thompson, R. Mittapally, E. Meyhofer, and P. Reddy, Near-field photonic cooling through control of the chemical potential of photons, Nature 566, 239 (2019).
- [17] T. Li, Y. Zhai, S. He, W. Gan, Z. Wei, M. Heidarinejad, D. Dalgo, R. Mi, X. Zhao, J. Song, J. Dai, C. Chen, A. Aili, A. Vellore, A. Martini, R. Yang, J. Srebric, X. Yin, and L. Hu, A radiative cooling structural material, Science 364, 760 (2019).
- [18] A. Kittel, W. Müller-Hirsch, J. Parisi, S.-A. Biehs, D. Reddig, and M. Holthaus, Near-Field Heat Transfer in a Scanning Thermal Microscope, Physical Review Letters 95, 224301 (2005).
- [19] A. V. Muraviev, V. O. Smolski, Z. E. Loparo, and K. L. Vodopyanov, Massively parallel sensing of trace molecules and their isotopologues with broadband subharmonic mid-infrared frequency combs, [Nature Photon](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-018-0135-2)ics 12[, 209 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-018-0135-2)
- [20] X. Tan, H. Zhang, J. Li, H. Wan, Q. Guo, H. Zhu, H. Liu, and F. Yi, Non-dispersive infrared multi-gas sensing via nanoantenna integrated narrowband detectors, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19085-1) [Communications](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19085-1) 11, 5245 (2020).
- [21] J.-J. Greffet, R. Carminati, K. Joulain, J.-P. Mulet, S. Mainguy, and Y. Chen, Coherent emission of light by thermal sources, Nature 416, 61 (2002).
- [22] Y. Guo, C. L. Cortes, S. Molesky, and Z. Jacob, Broad-

band super-Planckian thermal emission from hyperbolic metamaterials, Applied Physics Letters 101, 131106 (2012).

- [23] M. De Zoysa, T. Asano, K. Mochizuki, A. Oskooi, T. Inoue, and S. Noda, Conversion of broadband to narrowband thermal emission through energy recycling, Nature Photonics 6, 535 (2012).
- [24] Z. Yu, N. P. Sergeant, T. Skauli, G. Zhang, H. Wang, and S. Fan, Enhancing far-field thermal emission with thermal extraction, Nature Communications 4, 1730 (2013).
- [25] M. Pelton, Modified spontaneous emission in nanophotonic structures, Nature Photonics 9, 427 (2015).
- [26] D. Thompson, L. Zhu, R. Mittapally, S. Sadat, Z. Xing, P. McArdle, M. M. Qazilbash, P. Reddy, and E. Meyhofer, Hundred-fold enhancement in far-field radiative heat transfer over the blackbody limit, Nature 561, 216 (2018).
- [27] D. G. Baranov, Y. Xiao, I. A. Nechepurenko, A. Krasnok, A. Alù, and M. A. Kats, Nanophotonic engineering of farfield thermal emitters, Nature Materials 18, 920 (2019).
- [28] C. Guo, Y. Guo, B. Lou, and S. Fan, Wide wavelengthtunable narrow-band thermal radiation from moiré patterns, Applied Physics Letters 118, 131111 (2021).
- [29] G. Kirchhoff, Ueber das Verhältniss zwischen dem Emissionsvermögen und dem Absorptionsvermögen der Körper für Wärme und Licht, [Annalen der Physik](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.18601850205) 185, [275 \(1860\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.18601850205)
- [30] L. Zhu and S. Fan, Near-complete violation of detailed balance in thermal radiation, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.220301) 90, [220301 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.220301)
- [31] D. A. B. Miller, L. Zhu, and S. Fan, Universal modal radiation laws for all thermal emitters, [Proceedings of](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701606114) [the National Academy of Sciences](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701606114) 114, 4336 (2017).
- [32] J.-J. Greffet, P. Bouchon, G. Brucoli, and F. Marquier, Light Emission by Nonequilibrium Bodies: Local Kirchhoff Law, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021008) 8, 021008 (2018).
- [33] C. Guo, B. Zhao, and S. Fan, Adjoint Kirchhoff's Law and General Symmetry Implications for All Thermal Emitters, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.12.021023) 12, 021023 (2022).
- [34] Z. Zhang and L. Zhu, Nonreciprocal Thermal Photonics for Energy Conversion and Radiative Heat Transfer, [Physical Review Applied](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.18.027001) 18, 027001 (2022).
- [35] P. T. Landsberg and G. Tonge, Thermodynamic energy conversion efficiencies, [Journal of Applied Physics](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.328187) 51, R1 [\(1980\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.328187)
- [36] M. A. Green, Third Generation Photovoltaics: Advanced Solar Energy Conversion (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- [37] B. Zhao, C. Guo, C. A. C. Garcia, P. Narang, and S. Fan, Axion-Field-Enabled Nonreciprocal Thermal Radiation in Weyl Semimetals, Nano Letters 20[, 1923 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b05179)
- [38] G. B. Smith, Amplified radiative cooling via optimised combinations of aperture geometry and spectral emittance profiles of surfaces and the atmosphere, [Solar En](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2009.05.015)[ergy Materials and Solar Cells](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2009.05.015) 93, 1696 (2009).
- [39] I. Haechler, H. Park, G. Schnoering, T. Gulich, M. Rohner, A. Tripathy, A. Milionis, T. M. Schutzius, and D. Poulikakos, Exploiting radiative cooling for uninterrupted 24-hour water harvesting from the atmosphere, [Science Advances](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf3978) 7, eabf3978 (2021).
- [40] L. Zhou, H. Song, N. Zhang, J. Rada, M. Singer, H. Zhang, B. S. Ooi, Z. Yu, and Q. Gan, Hybrid concentrated radiative cooling and solar heating in a single system, [Cell Reports Physical Science](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100338) 2, 100338 (2021).
- [41] M. Dong, L. Zhu, B. Jiang, S. Fan, and Z. Chen, Con-

centrated radiative cooling and its constraint from reciprocity, [Optics Express](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.445544) 30, 275 (2022).

- [42] J. Peoples, Y.-W. Hung, X. Li, D. Gallagher, N. Fruehe, M. Pottschmidt, C. Breseman, C. Adams, A. Yuksel, J. Braun, W. T. Horton, and X. Ruan, Concentrated radiative cooling, [Applied Energy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.118368) 310, 118368 (2022).
- [43] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Cao, and A. D. Stone, Coherent Perfect Absorbers: Time-Reversed Lasers, [Physical](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.053901) Review Letters 105[, 053901 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.053901)
- [44] W. Wan, Y. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone, and H. Cao, Time-reversed lasing and interferometric control of absorption., Science 331[, 889 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200735)
- [45] Y. Sun, W. Tan, H.-q. Li, J. Li, and H. Chen, Experimental Demonstration of a Coherent Perfect Absorber with PT Phase Transition, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143903) 112, [143903 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143903)
- [46] D. G. Baranov, A. Krasnok, T. Shegai, A. Alù, and Y. Chong, Coherent perfect absorbers: Linear control of light with light, [Nature Reviews Materials](https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2017.64) 2, 1 (2017).
- [47] A. Müllers, B. Santra, C. Baals, C. Baals, J. Jiang, J. Benary, R. Labouvie, D. A. Zezyulin, V. V. Konotop, and H. Ott, Coherent perfect absorption of nonlinear matter waves, Science Advances 4 (2018).
- [48] K. Pichler, M. Kühmayer, J. Böhm, A. Brandstötter, P. Ambichl, U. Kuhl, and S. Rotter, Random antilasing through coherent perfect absorption in a disordered medium, Nature 567[, 351 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0971-3)
- [49] W. R. Sweeney, C. W. Hsu, S. Rotter, and A. D. Stone, Perfectly Absorbing Exceptional Points and Chiral Absorbers, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.093901) 122, 093901 (2019).
- [50] L. Chen, T. Kottos, and S. M. Anlage, Perfect absorption in complex scattering systems with or without hidden symmetries, [Nature Communications](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19645-5) 11, 5826 (2020).
- [51] C. Wang, W. R. Sweeney, A. D. Stone, and L. Yang, Coherent perfect absorption at an exceptional point, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj1028) 373[, 1261 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj1028)
- [52] Y. Slobodkin, G. Weinberg, H. Hörner, K. Pichler, S. Rotter, and O. Katz, Massively degenerate coherent perfect absorber for arbitrary wavefronts, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq8103) 377, [995 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq8103)
- [53] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, *Inequali*ties: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications, 2nd ed. (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York, 2011).
- [54] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for majorization, self-concommutators, a coherent perfect absorber in the language of unitary control, Chu's and Fickus' algorithms, proof of the completeness of Algorithm 1, and a numerical demonstration of Algorithm 2, which includes Refs. [\[64–](#page-7-4)[68\]](#page-7-5).
- [55] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012).
- [56] H. A. Haus, Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1984).
- [57] Z. Zhao, C. Guo, and S. Fan, Connection of temporal coupled-mode-theory formalisms for a resonant optical system and its time-reversal conjugate, [Physical Review](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033839) A 99[, 033839 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033839)
- [58] C. Guo, Z. Zhao, and S. Fan, Internal transformations and internal symmetries in linear photonic systems, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.023509) 105, 023509 (2022).
- [59] I. Schur, Uber eine klasse von mittelbildungen mit anwendungen auf die determinantentheorie, Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft 22, 51 (1923).
- [60] A. Horn, Doubly Stochastic Matrices and the Diagonal of a Rotation Matrix, [American Journal of Mathematics](https://doi.org/10.2307/2372705) 76[, 620 \(1954\).](https://doi.org/10.2307/2372705)
- [61] R. Rado, An Inequality, [Journal of the London Mathe](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-27.1.1)[matical Society](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-27.1.1) 27, 1 (1952).
- [62] M. Fickus, D. G. Mixon, M. J. Poteet, and N. Strawn, Constructing all self-adjoint matrices with prescribed spectrum and diagonal, [Advances in Computational](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-013-9298-z) [Mathematics](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-013-9298-z) 39, 585 (2013).
- [63] M. T. Chu, Constructing a Hermitian Matrix from Its Diagonal Entries and Eigenvalues, [SIAM Journal on Matrix](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479893243177) [Analysis and Applications](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479893243177) 16, 207 (1995).
- [64] F. Zhang, Matrix Theory: Basic Results and Techniques, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 2011).
- [65] H. Faßbender and K. Ikramov, Conjugate-normal matrices: A survey, [Linear Algebra and its Applications](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2008.03.009) 429, [1425 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2008.03.009)
- [66] T. G. Mackay and A. Lakhtakia, [The Transfer-Matrix](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-02022-3) [Method in Electromagnetics and Optics](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-02022-3) (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020).
- [67] N. D. Mermin, Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [68] M. T. Chu and G. H. Golub, Inverse Eigenvalue Problems: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005).