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Electrically driven light-emitting devices provide highly energy-efficient lighting at visible wavelengths, and they have transformed photonic and electronic lighting applications. Efficient infrared light-emitting devices, however, have been challenging because bandgap emission from semiconductors becomes inefficient in the mid- to far-infrared spectral range. Here we investigate infrared light-emitting devices (IRLEDs) based on Luttinger liquid (LL) plasmons in one-dimensional (1D) metallic carbon nanotubes. Elementary excitations in LL are characterized by collective charge and spin excitations, i.e. plasmons and spinons. Consequently, electrons injected into the nanotubes transform efficiently into LL plasmons, a hybrid excitation of electromagnetic fields and electrons. We design nanoantennas coupled to the carbon nanotube to radiate LL plasmons into the far-field. LL-based IRLEDs can be designed to selectively emit at wavelengths across the far- and mid-infrared spectra. An electrical-to-optical power conversion efficiency up to 3.2% may be achieved. Such efficient and narrowband LL-based IRLEDs can enable novel infrared nanophotonic applications.

Infrared light sources are important for a wide range of applications ranging from spectroscopy and molecular sensing to material processing because the infrared radiation interacts strongly with molecular vibration modes [1,2]. Efficient solid-state lighting, however, has been limited in the infrared spectral range, unlike its visible wavelength counterparts [1]. Solid-state infrared light-emitting devices (IRLEDs) have been explored using narrow-gap semiconducting materials such as III-V, II-VI, and lead-salt semiconductor alloys [1,3,4], but their bandgap emissions suffer from low quantum efficiency (<1%) because the non-radiative Auger process dominates the electron-hole recombination in narrow-gap semiconductors [5–10].

Rather than using narrow-gap semiconductors, we explore here IRLEDs based on a Luttinger liquid (LL), a strongly correlated electronic matter confined in one-dimensional (1D) metals with peculiar properties such as the spin-charge separation and the power-law decay of the...
correlation functions [11–15]. 1D LL does not have quasiparticle excitations, e.g. quasi-free electrons [11]. Instead, the elementary excitations of LL become plasmons and spinons, which are collective oscillations of charge and spin, respectively [16]. Once electrons are injected into LL, a substantial fraction of energy is directly converted to plasmons at finite frequencies. It enables efficient infrared lighting with electrically excited LL plasmons if the near-field plasmons can be coupled to the far-field. Many 1D metallic systems supports LL [12,17–21], but LL approximation in the 1D systems often breaks down for high energy excitations in the 1D systems due to the nonlinear dispersion. Contrasting, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) provide the linear dispersion up to ~1 eV, making them an ideal platform for the infrared LL plasmons [20–31], exhibiting the power-law scaling of the tunneling conductance [30,31], the shot noise currents [25,34,35], and high quality factor infrared plasmons [24,32,33].

We investigate theoretically high-efficiency IRLEDs using antenna-coupled LL plasmons in single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs). We estimate a 14% injection efficiency to excite...
infrared LL plasmons in SWNTs. We design a gold nanorod (AuNR) antenna to couple the deep-subwavelength LL plasmons to the far-field. It significantly mitigates the large wavelength mismatch between the LL plasmons and infrared photons and boosts the far-field radiation yield. Our calculation shows that an electrical-to-optical power conversion efficiency, *i.e.* the quantum efficiency, over 3.2% can be achieved. Such efficient IR LEDs based on 1D LL in SWNTs can enable a new type of infrared nanophotonic applications.

Figure 1a illustrates the AuNR-coupled SWNT IRLED (SWNT/AuNR IRLED). The metallic SWNT has *l*_{SWNT} and *R*=1 nm, which bridges the AuNR antennas with a gap of *d*. Each AuNR has *l*_{Au}=3.8 μm and *W*=60 nm, yielding an antenna resonance around *λ*_{o}=11 μm. This wavelength is in the middle of the infrared atmospheric window (*λ*_{o}=8~14 μm). Electrons can be injected into LL in SWNT via tunneling from AuNRs [30,31,36–38]. We assume air gap as a tunneling junction with *t*=1 nm and *l*=5 nm (Fig. 1a).

Light emission in our IRLED is achieved via two-step process (Fig. 1a). In step I, we inject electrons into SWNT by tunneling from biased AuNRs, resulting in the efficient generation of the LL plasmons in a two-terminal geometry [39,40]. The SWNT length *l*_{SWNT} in our IRLED is comparable to the LL plasmon wavelength *λ*_{p,LL} to support the Fabry-Perot resonances (FPRs). In step II, AuNRs act as a nanoantenna converting the deep-subwavelength LL plasmons to the photons. We investigate the efficiency of each step and examine the quantum efficiency of our LL-based IRLEDs, characterizing the electrical-to-optical power conversion.

We first estimate the injection efficiency, characterizing the electrical-to-plasmonic power conversion, in step I. Using a LL theory [22], we calculate the tunneling density of states (TDOS) spectrum of peaks of the quantum numbers of plasmons (*n*_{p}) and spinons (*n*_{0}), *i.e.*

\[ A(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n_p,n_0=0} C_{n_p,n_0} \delta\{E_p + n_p \varepsilon_p + (n_0 + 1/2) \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon\} \]

with the spinon energy \( \varepsilon_0 = \pi \hbar \nu_F / l_{SWNT} \), the plasmon energy \( \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_0 / g \), and the Coulomb energy

\[ E_p = (\varepsilon_p^2 - \varepsilon_0^2) / 8 \varepsilon_0 \]  

\[ C_{n_p,n_0} / C_{00} = \frac{\varepsilon_0^{n_0}}{3} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n_p} \frac{n_p!}{n_p!} \frac{C_g^{n_p-i} C_g^{i}}{C_g^{n_p + i}} \]

determines a height of each TDOS peak, where \( g(\varepsilon) \equiv (\varepsilon - g/2) / 16 \) and \( C_g^{n} \equiv \Gamma(g + n) / \Gamma(g) \Gamma(1+n) \) with the Euler gamma function. TDOS spectrum (Fig. 2a) shows multipole plasmon resonances; dipole, quadrupole, and octupole (*n_0*=1, 2, and 3, respectively), while peaks of *n_0*=0 are the ground state. Each plasmon accompanies spinon resonances of *n_0*≥0. TDOS determines the current (*I*(*\varepsilon*), Fig. S1a) and the power (*P*(*\varepsilon*), Fig. S1b) of the tunnel electrons by

\[ I(\varepsilon) \propto dI(\varepsilon) / d\varepsilon = d^2P(\varepsilon) / d\varepsilon^2, \]

where \( I(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n_p,n_0=0} \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon} A_{n_p,n_0}(\varepsilon')d\varepsilon' \equiv \sum_{n_p,n_0=0} I_{n_p,n_0}(\varepsilon) \)

and

\[ P(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n_p,n_0=0} \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon} I_{n_p,n_0}(\varepsilon')d\varepsilon' \equiv \sum_{n_p,n_0=0} P_{n_p,n_0}(\varepsilon). \]

In the LL-based IRLEDs, the dipolar plasmon (*n_0=1*) alone contributes to the IR emission, while the higher plasmons (*n_0≠1*) and spinons (*n_0≠0*) are loss channels. The power of the
dipolar plasmons can be calculated as

We can define the injection efficiency as $\eta_{\text{inj}}$ can be achieved up to 14% at 590 meV, and it is significantly higher than the injection efficiency of narrow-gap LEDs (<1%) [5–10] and the inelastic tunneling efficiency through a metallic gap (~10⁻⁶/eV) [41].

To evaluate the radiation efficiency in step II, we perform electromagnetic calculations for
our IR LEDs. We model SWNT as a metallic cylinder with a radius of $R$ and the permittivity of $\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}$. By correlating a LL theory with an electromagnetic theory, we can express $\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}$ as (see Supplemental Material for the derivation):

$$\text{Re}\{\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega)\} = -\frac{16}{1-g^2} \frac{k_e e^2}{\varepsilon_0 \hbar v_F} \frac{v_F^2}{\pi R^2} \frac{1}{\omega^2},$$

with $k_e = 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0$ and the reduced Plank constant $\hbar$. We find $\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}$ can be written in terms of $g$ and $R$. $\text{Im}(\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}})$ can be obtained by $Q = \left\{\omega \text{Re}\left(\frac{d\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}}{d\omega}\right)\right\}/\left\{2 \text{Im}(\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}})\right\}$ [42].

Hereinafter, we use experimental values [24,32], $g=0.3$ and $Q=20$.

In Fig. 3 we compare the radiation properties of a bare SWNT and our SWNT/AuNR IRLEDs. As shown in Fig. 3a, the radiation resistance $R_{\text{rad}} = 2P_{\text{rad}}/I_0^2$ is determined by the spectral proximity of SWNT FPR (blue line) and AuNR FPR (black line). Inheriting the linearity of LL plasmon dispersion (Fig. 1b), the SWNT FPR follows a linear FPR dispersion, $\lambda_0 = 2l_{\text{SWNT}} (g \omega F)/(1-\phi_{\text{SWNT}}/\pi)$ with $\phi_{\text{SWNT}}/\pi \approx 0.36$ [43,44]. The AuNR FPR is almost constant over $l_{\text{SWNT}}$ changes due to the AuNR FPR condition $\lambda_0 = 2l_{\text{Au}} (1-\phi_{\text{Au}}/\pi)$. The maximum radiation resistance $R_{\text{rad}} \approx 44.4$ k$\Omega$ appears at the crossing point of two modes, namely, the maximum radiation point, i.e. $(l_{\text{SWNT}}, \lambda_0) = (31 \text{ nm}, 12 \mu\text{m})$. Contrastingly, the bare SWNT in Fig. 3e shows poor $R_{\text{rad}}$, originating from the wavelength mismatch.

Figure 3 also shows the optical currents,
FIG. 4. (a) The antenna circuit model consists of two RLC circuits with the resistance $R$, inductance $L$, and capacitance $C$. $C_{\text{int}}$ connects two RLC circuits characterized by the resonant impedances $Z_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega)$ and $Z_{\text{Au}}(\omega)$, respectively. Model results of (b) $|I_{\text{SWNT}}|/|I_0|$, (c) $|I_{\text{Au}}|/|I_0|$, and (d) $(|I_{\text{Au}}|/|I_{\text{SWNT}}|)^2$ (Black and blue dashed lines: FPR modes of AuNR and SWNT, respectively.)

$|I_{\text{rad}}| = \frac{\text{area}}{\text{length}} \int_{\text{rad}} dV \left| -i\omega \left( \varepsilon_{\text{rad}}(\omega) - \varepsilon_0 \right) \right|$, in SWNT and AuNR [45]. They show how FPR modes of SWNT and AuNR (Figs. 3b, c, and f). In Figs. 3b&c, we find that $|I_{\text{Au}}|/|I_0|$ is maximized, while $|I_{\text{SWNT}}|/|I_0|$ slightly decreases when two FPRs meet. This implies AuNRs take the current from SWNT to radiate the LL plasmons to the far-field. Comparing $R_{\text{rad}}$ (Fig. 3a) and $|I_{\text{Au}}|/|I_0|$ (Fig. 3c), we can find that they exhibit the same pattern. This shows that AuNRs play a dominant role in the far-field radiation in our IRLEDs.

Figures 3d&g compare the radiation efficiency $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ of our SWNT/AuNR IRLED and the bare SWNT. We define $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ as

$$\eta_{\text{rad}} = \frac{P_{\text{rad}}}{P_{\text{tot}}} = \left( \frac{2P_{\text{rad}}}{|I_{\text{Au}}|^2} \right) \left\{ \left( \frac{2P_{\text{rad}}}{|I_{\text{Au}}|^2} \right) + R_{\text{SWNT}} + \left( \frac{|I_{\text{rad}}|}{|I_{\text{Au}}|} \right)^2 R_{\text{SWNT}} \right\}$$

with the total power $P_{\text{tot}} = P_{\text{rad}} + P_{\text{SWNT}} + P_{\text{SWNT}}$, the absorption power of SWNT (AuNR) $P_{\text{SWNT}} = |I_{\text{SWNT}}|/|I_0|$, and the Ohmic resistance of SWNT (Au) $R_{\text{SWNT}} = (\text{length/area}) \text{Im} \{1/\varepsilon_{\text{rad}} - 1/\varepsilon_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega)\}$ (Figs. S2c&d). $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ of the bare SWNT (Fig. 3g) is poor because of the wavelength mismatch. SWNT/AuNR IRLED (Fig. 3f) has higher $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ with the enhancement along AuNR FPR. The maximum efficiency point with $\eta_{\text{rad}} = 3\%$ appears at $(L_{\text{SWNT}}, \lambda_0) = (40 \text{ nm}, 12 \mu\text{m})$ away from the maximum radiation point at $(31 \text{ nm}, 12 \mu\text{m})$ with $\eta_{\text{rad}} = 7\%$. The resultant quantum efficiencies at the two points reach $\eta_R = \eta_{\text{rad}} \times 3\%$ and 2.4%, respectively. These are higher than other light sources using mid-infrared bandgap emission, whose quantum efficiencies are limited to <1\% [1,8–10].

To understand the emission properties, we develop a circuit model shown in Fig. 4a (see Supplemental Material for details). It consists of two parallel RLC circuits describing the resonances in SWNT and AuNRs, respectively. Two RLC circuits are capacitively coupled through the interaction capacitance $C_{\text{int}}$. The antenna impedance, $Z_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega) = i\omega L_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega) \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} \left( \frac{\omega^2 + i\gamma_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) + \frac{i\gamma_{\text{SWNT}}(\omega)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}$, characterizes each RLC circuit, and it includes the resonance information of SWNT (AuNR) with $\omega_0 = \sqrt{1/L_{\text{SWNT}}C_{\text{SWNT}}}$ and $\gamma = R_{\text{SWNT}}/L_{\text{SWNT}}$. Solving the antenna circuit in Fig. 4a, we can obtain $I_{\text{SWNT}}$ and $I_{\text{Au}}$ (Figs. 4b&c) that reproduce Figs. 3b&c.

Fig. 4a also explains $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ in Fig 3d. In $\eta_{\text{rad}}$, the ratio $(|I_{\text{SWNT}}|/|I_{\text{Au}}|)^2$ (Fig. S2a) is responsible for $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ because $(2P_{\text{rad}}/|I_{\text{Au}}|^2)$, $R_{\text{Au}}$, and $R_{\text{SWNT}}$ (Figs. S2b-d) do not show $\eta_{\text{rad}}$ behavior in Fig. 3d. We obtain...
from Fig. 4a. Fig. 4d shows that $|I_{Au}|^2/|I_{SWNT}|^2$ is enhanced at the AuNR FPR not at the SWNT FPR because it does not have $Z_{SWNT}(\omega)$. It also has $(L_{SWNT})^2 \propto (l_{SWNT})^2$, explaining why the maximum efficiency point (Fig. 3d) differs from the maximum radiation point (Fig. 3a).

In conclusion, we suggested IRLED based on antenna-coupled LL plasmons. Our IRLED can operate with the quantum efficiency of more than 3.2% with the combination of the highly efficient LL plasmon excitation and nanoantenna. Further improvement of the IRLED efficiency can be achieved by further optimization of the nanoantenna design and a semiconducting injection electrode. Also, our scheme can be applied to a broad spectral range from terahertz to near-infrared frequencies where the emission wavelength can be tuned by geometry of SWNT and AuNR lengths.

\[
\left(\frac{|I_{Au}|}{|I_{SWNT}|}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{L_{SWNT}}{L_{Au}}\right)^2 \left\{\frac{(\omega^2 + \gamma_{SWNT}^2)}{(\omega^2 + \gamma_{Au}^2)}\right\}^2 \left|\frac{Z_{Au}(\omega)}{i\omega C_{int} + Z_{Au}(\omega)}\right|^2
\]
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