



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

SU(4) Chiral Spin Liquid, Exciton Supersolid, and Electric Detection in Moiré Bilayers

Ya-Hui Zhang, D. N. Sheng, and Ashvin Vishwanath

Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 247701 — Published 9 December 2021

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.247701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.247701)

SU(4) chiral spin liquid, exciton supersolid and electric detection in moiré bilayers

Ya-Hui Zhang¹, D. N. Sheng², and Ashvin Vishwanath¹

¹*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA and*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, California State University, Northridge, CA 91330*

(Dated: November 19, 2021)

We propose moiré bilayer as a platform where exotic quantum phases can be stabilized and electrically detected. Moiré bilayer consists of two separate moiré superlattice layers coupled through the inter-layer Coulomb repulsion. In the small distance limit, an SU(4) spin can be formed by combining layer pseudospin and the real spin. As a concrete example, we study an SU(4) spin model on triangular lattice in the fundamental representation. By tuning a three-site ring exchange term $K \sim \frac{t^3}{U^2}$, we find SU(4) symmetric crystallized phase and an SU(4)₁ chiral spin liquid (CSL) at the balanced filling. We also predict two different exciton supersolid phases with inter-layer coherence at imbalanced filling under displacement field. Especially, the system can simulate an SU(2) Bose-Einstein-condensation (BEC) by injecting inter-layer excitons into the magnetically ordered Mott insulator at the layer polarized limit. Smoking gun evidences of these phases can be obtained by measuring the pseudo-spin transport in the counter-flow channel.

Introduction It is now well appreciated that spin plays an important role in strongly correlated systems. In addition to simple ferromagnetic or anti-ferromagnetic ordered phases, electronic spins can form non-ordered phases such as spin liquids[1–6]. Spin liquids have been found numerically in many spin 1/2 lattice models[7–21], but there is still no well-established evidence in real experiments. One important reason is the difficulty of probing neutral spin excitation. A direct probe of spin transport could provide smoking gun evidence of certain spin liquids, such as spinon Fermi surface state and chiral spin liquid. Alas, measuring spin transport in traditional solid state systems is unfeasible. Here, we propose to measure the transport of a pseudospin formed by the layer degree of freedom in an electronic material based on two Coulomb coupled moiré superlattices, which we dub as moiré bilayer.

To build a moiré bilayer, we wish to stack two 2D lattices and forbid their inter-layer tunneling. The total charge N_a of each layer $a = 1, 2$ is separately conserved and we can label two quantum numbers as $Q = N_1 + N_2$ and $P_z = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2)$. P_z can be viewed as a pseudo-spin. Actually, in the limit that the inter-layer distance d is much smaller than the lattice constant a_M , there is a good SU(2) symmetry in the layer pseudospin space, similar to the well studied quantum Hall bilayer[22–24]. Superlattices with $a_M \sim 10$ nm have been recently created in several moiré systems based on graphene[25–37] and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMD)[38–40]. The moiré systems based on graphene generically exhibit ferromagnetic spin coupling due to band topology[29, 31–37]. To search for spin liquid, we will use moiré superlattice based on TMD as a building block, where anti-ferromagnetic spin coupling was demonstrated[38]. We propose two different ways to generate double moiré layers with two triangular moiré superlattices stacked to-

gether, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

At integer total filling ν_T , the system is in a Mott insulating phase if U/t is large. There is a SU(4) spin formed by the layer pseudospin \vec{P} and the real spin \vec{S} . Just as a concrete illustration, we focus on filling $\nu_T = 1, 3$ and map out the phase diagram of a SU(4) spin model generated by t/U expansion up to $O(\frac{t^3}{U^2})$. We find large N mean field calculation is in surprisingly good agreement with DMRG simulation, suggesting that mean field calculation is justified for $N \geq 4$. This paves the way to applying mean field calculation to models in more complicated lattices, especially in three dimension. One interesting phase we found is an SU(4)₁ chiral spin liquid stabilized by a three-site ring exchange term. Chiral spin liquids[3, 41] have been found to be the ground state for various spin 1/2 lattice models[17–21, 42–49] and also in SU(N) model with $N > 2$ [50–56]. Compared to the early studies, the CSL in our model has a large spin gap (at order of J) and is stabilized in a wide range of t/U . More importantly, in the moiré bilayer setting up, smoking gun evidence of it can be obtained by measuring a quantized Hall effect of the layer pseudospin in counter-flow. In moiré bilayer, it is also easy to control the layer polarization P_z continuously. When varying P_z from 0 to fully layer polarized, we also find two different supersolid phases with inter-layer coherence (exciton condensation) at small P_z and large P_z limit respectively.

Realization of SU(4) Hubbard model We first derive an SU(4) Hubbard model for moiré bilayer based on WSe₂-WS₂-WSe₂ or twisted AB stacked WSe₂ homobilayer, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Both systems will host two triangular superlattices in the two WSe₂ layers. In the supplementary we derive the lattice Hubbard model on triangular lattice by explicitly constructing Wannier orbitals and projecting the Coulomb interaction. One key ingredient is the suppression of the inter-layer tun-

neling due to either insulating barrier (WSe₂-WS₂-WSe₂) or spin conservation (twisted AB stacked WSe₂ bilayer). Our modeling is a straightforward generalization of the previous study of spin 1/2 Hubbard model in single moiré layer[57, 58], which is now well-established by experiments[38, 39]. In the end we have four flavors by combining layer pseudospin and the real spin (see the supplementary material[59] for more discussions, which includes Ref. 60–64). The Low energy model is

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (c_{i;\alpha}^\dagger c_{j;\alpha} + h.c.) + \frac{U}{2} n_i (n_i - 1) \quad (1)$$

with $\alpha = a, \sigma$. $a = t, b$ is the pseudo-spin index which labels the top and bottom layer. $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ labels the real spin (locked to the valley)[65]. $c_{i;a,\sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron on moiré site i [66]. There are also small easy-plane anisotropy terms due to finite layer-separation. We will ignore them for now.




FIG. 1: Two ways of obtaining double moiré superlattice: (a) WSe₂-WS₂-WSe₂ sandwich with both WSe₂ layers aligned with WS₂. A triangular moiré superlattice can be generated for each WSe₂ due to the lattice mismatch between WSe₂ and WS₂[38, 39, 57].

WS₂ also acts an insulating barrier to suppress inter-layer tunneling between the two WSe₂ layers. (b) Twisted AB stacked TMD homo-bilayer. The top figure is a side view of the AB stacked bilayer WSe₂ system.

The blue and yellow atom label W and Se atoms respectively. One can see that the W and Se atom of the two layers are aligned vertically. The bottom figure illustrates the spin of the valence bands for the two TMD layers at the same valley, which leads to suppression of inter-layer tunneling for the low energy moiré band generated at small twist angle.

In this paper we will focus on the large U/t regime at $\nu_T = 1, 3$, where there is a $SU(4)$ spin in the fundamental representation at each site. At filling $\nu_T = 3$, at the large U/t limit, the spin physics of the Mott insulator is captured by the following $J - K$ model:

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} P_{ij} + 3K \cos \Phi \sum_{\langle ijk \rangle \in \Delta/\nabla} (P_{ijk} + P_{kji}) + 3K \sin \Phi \sum_{\langle ijk \rangle \in \Delta/\nabla} (iP_{ijk} - iP_{kji}) \quad (2)$$

where each bond and each triangle should be counted only once. Φ is the magnetic flux through each triangle. We will focus primarily on the $\Phi = 0$ case with a time reversal symmetry. We have $J = 2\frac{t^2}{U} - 12\frac{t^3}{U^2}$ and $K = 2\frac{t^3}{U^2}$. For $\nu_T = 1$, we just need to replace t with $-t$. In the above P_{ij} and P_{ijk} are two-site and three-site ring-exchange terms. For the triangular lattice, we define the two unit vectors to be $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$. In the DMRG calculation, we use the boundary condition that $S(\mathbf{r} + L_y \mathbf{a}_2) = S(\mathbf{r})$. The Hilbert space at each site is constructed as a tensor product of two spin 1/2 (layer pseudospin \vec{P} and real spin \vec{S}) and we label the corresponding Pauli matrix as τ_μ and σ_μ respectively. In this representation the generator of the $SU(4)$ can be labeled as $S_{\mu\nu} = \tau_\mu \otimes \sigma_\nu$, $\mu, \nu = 0, x, y, z$.




FIG. 2: Phase diagram from (a) DMRG and (b) large-N mean field calculation (Note we have set $J = 1$) at $\Phi = 0$ at balanced filling. CSL denotes the chiral spin liquid and DC denotes the decoupled chain phase. In Fig.(a) we show typical patterns of bond order $\langle \tilde{P}_{ij} \rangle$ for the three phases. They are obtained for $K = 0$, $K = 0.114$, and $K = 0.27$ from finite DMRG calculation at $L_y = 6$. In DMRG calculation we find a stripe phase at $K = 0$, but we believe it is unstable to plaquette order in the large L_y limit (see the supplementary[59]). The phase boundaries in DMRG are based on $L_y = 8$ and are already in fairly good agreement with the large-N result.

Phase diagram at balanced filling: We obtain a phase diagram at $\Phi = 0$ by tuning K/J as shown in

Fig. 2 by both DMRG simulation and large N mean field calculation[59]. We find three phases: a crystal with 2×1 or 2×2 unit cell (spin crystal)[54], a chiral spin liquid (CSL) and a phase with decoupled 1D chain (DC). The CSL is in the range $K/J \in [0.055, 0.165]$, or equivalently $t/U \in [0.041, 0.082]$ or $U/t \in [12.2, 24.4]$. At the upper critical value, higher order spin ring exchange terms may be needed[67], which we leave to future work. A remarkable observation is that the phase diagram obtained in DMRG is in good agreement with that of a simple large N mean field calculation. Note that our result at the Heisenberg limit $K = 0$ does not agree with a previous DMRG study[68] and we do not find signature of resonating plaquette order[69]. For DMRG simulations, we keep the bond dimension to be between 4000 – 10000 with a truncation error at the order of 10^{-4} for $L_y = 6$ and 8 and smaller for $L_y = 4$, providing accurate results through finite bond dimension analysis (see Fig. 4 in the supplementary[59] for more details).

Let us also provide some intuition why the CSL and the DC phase are stabilized by $K > 0$. The three-site ring exchange term can be written as: $\hat{P}_{ijk} + h.c. = -8[\vec{S}_i \cdot (\vec{S}_j \times \vec{S}_k)][\vec{P}_i \cdot (\vec{P}_j \times \vec{P}_k)] + 2 \sum_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}} (\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{\bar{k}})(\vec{P}_j \cdot \vec{P}_{\bar{k}})$. When $K > 0$, the first term favors onset of chirality order $\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot (\vec{S}_j \times \vec{S}_k) \rangle = \langle \vec{P}_i \cdot (\vec{P}_j \times \vec{P}_k) \rangle \neq 0$, leading to the CSL phase. The second term penalizes coexistence of two dimerized bonds for each triangle, favoring the decoupled chain phase. In contrast, the $K < 0$ side suppresses chirality orders and favors plaquette order.

The $SU(4)_1$ Chiral Spin Liquid: Next we move to a detailed study of the CSL. First, at $\Phi = 0$, we find long range correlation of chirality order, as shown in Fig. 3(a), suggesting spontaneous breaking of the time reversal symmetry. In Fig. 3(b) we show the chirality order parameter with K/J for $L_y = 4, 6, 8$. We can see that the phase boundaries from $L_y = 6$ and $L_y = 8$ are close. In the supplementary we show that the CSL phase has a spin gap $\Delta_S \sim J$ and a correlation length $\xi_S < 1$, therefore $L_y = 6, 8$ are much larger than the correlation length and may already be in the 2D limit. The $SU(4)_1$ CSL has a chiral edge described by the $SU(4)_1$ chiral CFT. It consists of three chiral boson and its entanglement spectrum should show a degeneracy of 1, 3, 9, 22, ... for a given spin sector[51]. Precisely such a sequence is confirmed by our DMRG calculation in Fig. 3(c).

The CSL has a spin Hall conductivity σ_{xy} which can be measured in DMRG via flux insertion[44, 70]. For each quantum number $\tilde{Q}_1 = \frac{1}{4}(S_{z0} + S_{0z} + S_{zz})$, $\tilde{Q}_2 = \frac{1}{4}(S_{z0} - S_{0z} - S_{zz})$, $\tilde{Q}_3 = \frac{1}{4}(-S_{z0} + S_{0z} - S_{zz})$, we define a twisted boundary condition $S(\mathbf{r} + Ly\mathbf{a}_2) = U_I^\dagger(\varphi)S(\mathbf{r})U_I(\varphi)$, where $U_I(\varphi) = e^{i\tilde{Q}_I\varphi}$ and $S(\mathbf{r})$ is an arbitrary spin operator at site \mathbf{r} . Note that $U_I(\varphi = 2\pi) = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{4}}I$ is a Z_4 flux insertion. In Fig. 3(d) we show the spin pumping generated by $U_1(\varphi)$, which implies spin Hall conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}_{xy}^{i1} = (\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The pumping

of $U_2(\varphi)$ and $U_3(\varphi)$ give consistent results and we get $\tilde{\sigma}_{xy} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} +3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & +3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +3 \end{pmatrix}$ which is nothing but the inverse of the K matrix[59]: $K = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ [71].




FIG. 3: (a) Correlation function of the chirality order at $K = 0.114$ (we have set $J = 1$ here) using a real code (with real wavefunction) to enforce the time reversal symmetry. Note that the ground state is forced to be a superposition of one chiral state and its time reversal partner. $C(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \chi(\mathbf{r})\chi(0) \rangle$, where $\chi = i(P_{ijk} - h.c.)$ is the chirality order parameter. (b) The chirality order $\langle \chi(r) \rangle$ obtained from finite DMRG with complex code, where the ground state is in just one chiral state. The chirality order $\chi_{ijk} = \langle i(P_{ijk} - h.c.) \rangle$ is defined for each triangle. (c) Entanglement spectrum from finite DMRG at $K = 0.114$ and $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{16}$ for $L_y = 6$. Weak explicit time reversal breaking was included to enhance clarity. There is a chiral edge mode with degeneracy 1, 3, 9, 22. (d) Change in $\langle S_{0z} \rangle$ on the left side of the cylinder, pumped by the flux insertion generated by

$U_1(\varphi) = e^{i\tilde{Q}_1\varphi}$. Pumping of S_{z0} and S_{zz} are exactly the same and thus not shown. In the basis $\tilde{Q}_1 = \frac{1}{4}(S_{z0} + S_{0z} + S_{zz})$, $\tilde{Q}_2 = \frac{1}{4}(S_{z0} - S_{0z} - S_{zz})$, $\tilde{Q}_3 = \frac{1}{4}(-S_{z0} + S_{0z} - S_{zz})$, the pumped charges are $\delta\tilde{Q}_1 = \frac{3}{4}$, $\delta\tilde{Q}_2 = \delta\tilde{Q}_3 = -\frac{1}{4}$.

We also studied the effect of $SU(4)$ breaking anisotropy term $H_S = \delta J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (P_{i;x}P_{j;x} + P_{i;y}P_{j;y}) + S_{i;0}S_{j;0} + 2(\delta J + \delta V) \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} P_{i;z}P_{j;z}$ caused by the finite inter-layer distance. We find that the CSL phase is stable when $\delta J/J < 0.5$, $\delta V/J < 0.5$ in DMRG calculation[59], which is satisfied when the inter-layer distance $d < 1$ nm[59].

Supersolids at imbalanced filling In the moiré bi-

layer setting up, we can also consider imbalanced filling with the density of the two layers to be $n_t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta$ and $n_b = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\delta$. We study the effect of non-zero δ by fixing $P_z = \frac{1}{2}\delta$ in the DMRG calculation (see Figure 4.) Here we note two supersolid phases found at $K = 0$:




FIG. 4: Spin and exciton structure factor from Infinite DMRG at imbalanced filling. q_x, q_y is in unit of $\frac{2\pi}{a}$, where a is the lattice constant. We parameterize the momentum as $\mathbf{q} = q_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + q_2 \mathbf{b}_2$, where \mathbf{b}_1 and \mathbf{b}_2 are reciprocal vectors. The solid hexagon is the Brillouin zone and the dashed lines are the well defined momentum cut along $q_2 = \frac{1}{L_y}n$ with n an integer. (a) Exciton order correlation function $P^\dagger(\mathbf{q})P^-(\mathbf{-q})$ has a peak at M point with momentum $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_1$ at small δ . There is also feature along $q_2 = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ cut without dispersion along \mathbf{b}_1 . This is consistent with a decoupled stripe phase at the $\delta = 0$ limit. At δ close to 1: (b) the exciton order parameter is peaked at K, K' point. (c) The spin \vec{S}_t at the top layer is ordered at momentum K, K', consistent with a 120° order. (d) The spin \vec{S}_b at the bottom layer is ferro-magnetically ordered.

- **Supersolid on top of stripe phase.** When δ is small, DMRG shows a stripe phase with bond pattern similar to the $K = 0$ point at $\delta = 0$ in Fig. 2(a). On top of the stripe phase, we find exciton condensation at momentum M , as indicated by correlation function of exciton order $P^\dagger = P_x + iP_y$ shown in Fig. 4(a). The real spin in this phase is not ordered. The exciton condensate has a spatial structure due to its non-zero momentum M and hence can be called a supersolid phase, see Fig. 4(a).
- **Spinful BEC at the layer polarized limit.** When $\delta = 1 - 2x$ with small x , we can start from the 120° Neel order in the top layer at the

$n_t = 1, n_b = 0$ limit and then inject inter-layer excitons with density x . The inter-layer exciton carries a SU(2) spin index from the bottom layer[72]. Finally the system simulates a gas of spinful bosons on triangular lattice at total density x . The ground state is known to be a spin polarized Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of the excitons. The real spin in this phase is in the 120° ordered and ferro-magnetic ordered phase respectively for the two layers, as confirmed by DMRG results shown in Fig. 4(b)(c)(d). Two recent experiments studied the transferring of inter-layer excitons starting from a layer polarized Mott insulator[73, 74]. The low energy physics of the exciton and spin in these systems should be very similar to the model we study here[75]. Therefore our prediction of a spin $1/2$ BEC could be directly relevant to these experiments.




FIG. 5: Counterflow measurement of the transport of the electric dipole moment carried by the inter-layer exciton. t, b labels the top and bottom layer respectively. $\vec{E}_d = \vec{E}_t - \vec{E}_b$ is the dipole electric field and $\vec{J}_d = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{J}_t - \vec{J}_b)$ is the dipole current. Under \vec{E}_d , the dipole moment feels a force $\vec{F}_d = P_z \vec{E}_d$. In the $SU(4)_1$ CSL, there is a dipole quantum Hall effect: $\sigma_{xy}^d = \frac{J_d^x}{E_d^y} = \pm \frac{e^2}{h}$. For the supersolid phase with inter-layer coherence, the counter-flow behavior is the same as a superfluid phase.

Experimental detection Here we point out that it is possible to obtain smoking gun evidences for the CSL phase and the supersolid phase in moiré bilayer in counter-flow transport, as shown in Fig. 5. The counterflow measures the current of the layer pseudo-spin P_z , which carries an electric dipole moment. A dipole quantum Hall effect with $\sigma_{xy}^d = \pm \frac{e^2}{h}$ (see Fig. 5) is a direct evidence of the chiral spin liquid. For supersolid phase with inter-layer coherence, we expect a typical superfluid behavior with zero counter-flow resistivity. Counterflow has already been implemented in quantum Hall bilayer

to probe different physics[22, 24, 76], thus the measurement is likely feasible also in moiré bilayer following our proposal, offering a new application of the counter-flow to probe spin physics of a Mott insulator.

Summary In conclusion, we proposed moiré bilayer as a new Hubbard model simulator, where the layer degree of freedom can simulate a pseudo-spin. This enables electric measurement of the pseudo-spin transport. We focus on filling $\nu_T = 1, 3$ in the strong Mott limit, and find plaquette order, chiral spin liquid and supersolid phase. In the counter-flow transport, they will behave as trivial insulator, quantum Hall insulator and superfluid. The high controllability of the moiré systems potentially allows for the phase diagram obtained here to be explored by tuning the filling imbalance δ and U/t . We believe moiré bilayer is promising to shed light on strongly correlated problems with spin playing an essential role. The ability of measuring spin and charge transport separately also makes it possible to test spin-charge separation in potential exotic metallic state upon slightly doping the Mott insulator.

Acknowledgement YHZ thanks T. Senthil for discussion and support for DMRG study at early stage of this work. AV and YHZ thank Cory Dean, Philip Kim and Yihang Zeng for useful discussions. AV and YHZ acknowledge support from a 2019 grant from the Harvard Quantum Initiative Seed Funding program, a Simons Investigator Fellowship and the Simons Collaboration on Ultra-Quantum Matter, which is a grant from the Simons Foundation (651440, A.V.). DNS was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Grant No. DE-FG02-06ER46305. The iDMRG simulation was performed using the TeNPy Library (version 0.4.0)[77].

-
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Materials Research Bulletin **8**, 153 (1973).
- [2] P. W. Anderson, science **235**, 1196 (1987).
- [3] V. Kalmeyer and R. Laughlin, Physical Review Letters **59**, 2095 (1987).
- [4] L. Balents, Nature **464**, 199 (2010).
- [5] L. Savary and L. Balents, Reports on Progress in Physics **80**, 016502 (2016).
- [6] J. Knolle and R. Moessner, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **10**, 451 (2019).
- [7] S. Yan, D. A. Huse, and S. R. White, Science **332**, 1173 (2011).
- [8] S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, and U. Schollwöck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 067201 (2012).
- [9] H.-C. Jiang, Z. Wang, and L. Balents, Nature Physics **8**, 902 (2012).
- [10] L. Wang, D. Poilblanc, Z.-C. Gu, X.-G. Wen, and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 037202 (2013).
- [11] Y. Iqbal, F. Becca, S. Sorella, and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 060405 (2013).
- [12] S.-S. Gong, W. Zhu, L. Balents, and D. Sheng, Physical Review B **91**, 075112 (2015).
- [13] Y.-C. He, M. P. Zaletel, M. Oshikawa, and F. Pollmann, Physical Review X **7**, 031020 (2017).
- [14] Z. Zhu, P. A. Maksimov, S. R. White, and A. L. Chernyshev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 207203 (2018).
- [15] S. Hu, W. Zhu, S. Eggert, and Y.-C. He, Physical review letters **123**, 207203 (2019).
- [16] S.-S. Gong, W. Zheng, M. Lee, Y.-M. Lu, and D. Sheng, Physical Review B **100**, 241111 (2019).
- [17] C. Hickey, L. Cincio, Z. Papić, and A. Paramekanti, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 115115 (2017).
- [18] Z. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, and A. Vishwanath, “Doped mott insulators in the triangular lattice hubbard model,” (2020), arXiv:2007.11963 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [19] A. Szasz and J. Motruk, “Phase diagram of the anisotropic triangular lattice hubbard model,” (2021), arXiv:2101.07454 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [20] A. Wietek, R. Rossi, F. S. IV, M. Klett, P. Hansmann, M. Ferrero, E. M. Stoudenmire, T. Schafer, and A. Georges, “Mott insulating states with competing orders in the triangular lattice hubbard model,” (2021), arXiv:2102.12904 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [21] B.-B. Chen, Z. Chen, S.-S. Gong, D. N. Sheng, W. Li, and A. Weichselbaum, “Quantum spin liquid with emergent chiral order in the triangular-lattice hubbard model,” (2021), arXiv:2102.05560 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [22] J. Eisenstein, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **5**, 159 (2014).
- [23] J. Li, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Hone, and C. Dean, Nature Physics **13**, 751 (2017).
- [24] X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. I. Halperin, and P. Kim, Nature Physics **13**, 746 (2017).
- [25] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, et al., Nature **556**, 80 (2018).
- [26] G. Chen, A. L. Sharpe, P. Gallagher, I. T. Rosen, E. Fox, L. Jiang, B. Lyu, H. Li, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, et al., arXiv e-prints, arXiv (2019).
- [27] G. Chen, L. Jiang, S. Wu, B. Lyu, H. Li, B. L. Chittari, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z. Shi, J. Jung, et al., Nature Physics **15**, 237 (2019).
- [28] M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Graf, A. F. Young, and C. R. Dean, Science **363**, 1059 (2019).
- [29] G. Chen, A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, Y.-H. Zhang, S. Wang, L. Jiang, B. Lyu, H. Li, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, et al., Nature **579**, 56 (2020).
- [30] X. Lu, P. Stepanov, W. Yang, M. Xie, M. A. Aamir, I. Das, C. Urgell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, G. Zhang, et al., Nature **574**, 653 (2019).
- [31] Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, O. Rubies-Bigorda, J. M. Park, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature **583**, 215 (2020).
- [32] X. Liu, Z. Hao, E. Khalaf, J. Y. Lee, Y. Ronen, H. Yoo, D. H. Najafabadi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, et al., Nature **583**, 221 (2020).
- [33] C. Shen, Y. Chu, Q. Wu, N. Li, S. Wang, Y. Zhao, J. Tang, J. Liu, J. Tian, K. Watanabe, et al., Nature Physics, 1 (2020).
- [34] H. Polshyn, J. Zhu, M. A. Kumar, Y. Zhang, F. Yang, C. L. Tschirhart, M. Serlin, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. H. MacDonald, et al., Nature **588**, 66 (2020).
- [35] S. Chen, M. He, Y.-H. Zhang, V. Hsieh, Z. Fei, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. H. Cobden, X. Xu, C. R. Dean,

- et al.*, *Nature Physics* **17**, 374 (2021).
- [36] A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, A. W. Barnard, J. Finney, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kastner, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, *Science* **365**, 605 (2019).
- [37] M. Serlin, C. Tschirhart, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Balents, and A. Young, *Science* **367**, 900 (2020).
- [38] Y. Tang, L. Li, T. Li, Y. Xu, S. Liu, K. Barmak, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. H. MacDonald, J. Shan, *et al.*, *Nature* **579**, 353 (2020).
- [39] E. C. Regan, D. Wang, C. Jin, M. I. B. Utama, B. Gao, X. Wei, S. Zhao, W. Zhao, Z. Zhang, K. Yumigeta, *et al.*, *Nature* **579**, 359 (2020).
- [40] L. Wang, E.-M. Shih, A. Ghiotto, L. Xian, D. A. Rhodes, C. Tan, M. Claassen, D. M. Kennes, Y. Bai, B. Kim, *et al.*, *Nature materials* **19**, 861 (2020).
- [41] X.-G. Wen, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, *Physical Review B* **39**, 11413 (1989).
- [42] B. Bauer, L. Cincio, B. P. Keller, M. Dolfi, G. Vidal, S. Trebst, and A. W. W. Ludwig, *Nature Communications* **5**, 5137 (2014), [arXiv:1401.3017 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [43] Y.-C. He, D. N. Sheng, and Y. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 137202 (2014), [arXiv:1312.3461 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [44] S.-S. Gong, W. Zhu, and D. N. Sheng, *Scientific Reports* **4**, 6317 (2014), [arXiv:1312.4519 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [45] Y.-C. He and Y. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 037201 (2015), [arXiv:1407.2740 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [46] A. Szasz, J. Motruk, M. P. Zaletel, and J. E. Moore, *Physical Review X* **10**, 021042 (2020).
- [47] W.-J. Hu, S.-S. Gong, and D. N. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 075131 (2016), [arXiv:1603.03365 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [48] A. Wietek, A. Sterdyniak, and A. M. Läuchli, *Physical Review B* **92**, 125122 (2015).
- [49] N. Y. Yao, M. P. Zaletel, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and A. Vishwanath, *Nature Physics* **14**, 405–410 (2018).
- [50] M. Hermele, V. Gurarie, and A. M. Rey, *Physical Review Letters* **103**, 135301 (2009).
- [51] P. Nataf, M. Lajkó, A. Wietek, K. Penc, F. Mila, and A. M. Läuchli, *Physical review letters* **117**, 167202 (2016).
- [52] J.-Y. Chen, S. Capponi, A. Wietek, M. Mambrini, N. Schuch, and D. Poilblanc, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 017201 (2020).
- [53] C. Boos, C. Ganahl, M. Lajkó, P. Nataf, A. Läuchli, K. Penc, K. Schmidt, and F. Mila, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 023098 (2020).
- [54] X.-P. Yao, Y. Gao, and G. Chen, *Physical Review Research* **3**, 023138 (2021).
- [55] Y.-H. Wu and H.-H. Tu, *Physical Review B* **94**, 201113 (2016).
- [56] H.-H. Tu, A. E. Nielsen, and G. Sierra, *Nuclear Physics B* **886**, 328 (2014).
- [57] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, and A. H. MacDonald, *Physical review letters* **121**, 026402 (2018).
- [58] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, I. Martin, and A. MacDonald, *Physical review letters* **122**, 086402 (2019).
- [59] Supplementary material.
- [60] H. Tang, S. Carr, and E. Kaxiras, “Geometric origins of topological insulation in twisted layered semiconductors,” (2021), [arXiv:2101.04867 \[cond-mat.mtrl-sci\]](#).
- [61] Y.-H. Zhang and T. Senthil, *Physical Review B* **99**, 205150 (2019).
- [62] J. Brinckmann and P. A. Lee, *Physical Review B* **65**, 014502 (2001).
- [63] Y.-H. Zhang and A. Vishwanath, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:2005.12925](#) (2020), [arXiv:2005.12925 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [64] H. Georgi, *LIE ALGEBRAS IN PARTICLE PHYSICS. FROM ISOSPIN TO UNIFIED THEORIES*, Vol. 54 (1982).
- [65] In TMD, the spin and valley are locked together due to a spin-orbit-coupling (SOC). We can view them together as a standard spin 1/2 at zero magnetic field, but the g factor is anisotropic due to the SOC. Especially, the zeeman coupling to the out of plane magnetic field is large and the coupling to in-plane magnetic field is negligible.
- [66] Strictly speaking we are doping holes to the valence band of the TMD. But we will still call it electron to match the conventional language.
- [67] H.-Y. Yang, A. F. Albuquerque, S. Capponi, A. M. Läuchli, and K. P. Schmidt, *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 115027 (2012).
- [68] A. Keselman, B. Bauer, C. Xu, and C.-M. Jian, *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 117202 (2020).
- [69] K. Penc, M. Mambrini, P. Fazekas, and F. Mila, *Physical Review B* **68**, 012408 (2003).
- [70] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 5632 (1981).
- [71] Note $\tilde{\sigma}_{xy} = K^{-1}$ holds only for the special basis of quantum numbers. For the simplest basis with $Q_1 = S_z, Q_2 = S_{0z}, Q_3 = S_{zz}$, we have $\sigma_{xy;ab} = 2\delta_{ab}$, with $a, b = 1, 2, 3$. But the flux insertion generated by these simple quantum charges Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 is only Z_2 , not the more fundamental Z_4 flux. To generate the Z_4 flux insertion, we need to use a complicated basis \tilde{Q}_I , which also lead to $\tilde{\sigma}_{xy} = K^{-1}$.
- [72] If we start from the phase with top layer polarized and in a 120° Neel order phase, the inter-layer exciton can be labeled as $\Phi_{i;\sigma} = c_{i;b\sigma}^\dagger c_{i;t}$, where the spin of $c_{i;t}$ is assumed to be along the 120° order direction at site i . As a result, only the spin of the bottom layer enters the exciton. Note that our system has $SU(2)_t \times SU(2)_b$ spin rotation symmetry and $SU(2)_t$ is already broken by the 120° order.
- [73] J. Gu, L. Ma, S. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. C. Hone, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.06588* (2021).
- [74] Z. Zhang, E. C. Regan, D. Wang, W. Zhao, S. Wang, M. Sayyad, K. Yumigeta, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Tongay, *et al.*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07131* (2021).
- [75] Y.-H. Zhang and A. Vishwanath, to appear.
- [76] X. Liu, Z. Hao, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. I. Halperin, and P. Kim, *Nature Physics*, 1 (2018).
- [77] J. Hauschild and F. Pollmann, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes*, 5 (2018).