

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Work Generation from Thermal Noise by Quantum Phase-Sensitive Observation

Tomas Opatrný, Avijit Misra, and Gershon Kurizki Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 040602 — Published 20 July 2021 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.040602](https://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.040602)

Work Generation from Thermal Noise by Quantum Phase-Sensitive Observation

Tomas Opatrný,¹ Avijit Misra,^{2, 3, *} and Gershon Kurizki³

¹ Department of Optics, Faculty of Science, Palacky University,

²International Center of Quantum Artificial Intelligence for Science and Technology

(QuArtist) and Department of Physics, Shanghai University, 200444 Shanghai, China

³Department of Chemical and Biological Physics,

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel

(Dated: June 21, 2021)

We put forward the concept of work extraction from thermal noise by phase-sensitive (homodyne) measurements of the noisy input followed by (outcome-dependent) unitary manipulations of the post-measured state. For optimized measurements, noise input with more than one quantum on average is shown to yield heat-to-work conversion with efficiency and power that grow with the mean number of input quanta, detector efficiency and its inverse temperature. This protocol is shown to be advantageous compared to common models of information and heat engines.

Introduction.– The highest entropy at a given energy pertains to thermal noise, which is a ubiquitous form of energy in the universe $[1]$. Since work $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ $[2, 3]$ is an *ordered* form of energy, delivered *without entropy change* $[4-8]$ $[4-8]$, a thermal ensemble of oscillators stores heat but not work. Here we propose an efficient way to harness such ensembles for fast performance of useful work. Classically, the protocol appears to be straightforward: impulsively observe the phase and amplitude of each oscillator (via two "snapshots" at a chosen time interval), wait until it is in full swing, then let it discharge its stored work (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0)). Yet, what is the quantum mechanical (QM) counterpart of this protocol? Any noisy ensemble of QM harmonic oscillators at a given frequency (mode) forms a random distribution of coherent states. Therefore, our QM protocol invokes homodyne measurements [\[1,](#page-5-1) [9](#page-5-6)[–16\]](#page-5-7) optimized to approximately reveal a coherent-state component of the random distribution, and thereby sample the quadratures of the oscillator field within the uncertainty-limit accuracy. We show that unitary manipulations of the post-measured state that are determined by the measurement outcome can yield heat-to-work conversion at an efficiency that grows with input temperature.

This protocol introduces the concept of exploiting randomly distributed, non-commuting, continuous variables as thermodynamic resources for work extraction by estimating their quadrature values at minimal energy cost. We dub it *work by observation and feedforward* (WOF).

WOF engine principles.– We consider an input state of a harmonic oscillator, e.g.– a single electromagnetic field-mode, whose phase-space distribution falls off monotonically and isotropically from its zero-energy (vacuum) origin [\[9,](#page-5-6) [11\]](#page-5-8), as in the case of the Gaussian thermal state. Such a QM state, dubbed passive [\[4\]](#page-5-4), is incapable of delivering work by unitary transformations. It must be rendered non-passive to allow for subsequent work extraction from its stored work (alias ergotropy) by a unitary process $[5-7, 17-22]$ $[5-7, 17-22]$ $[5-7, 17-22]$ $[5-7, 17-22]$ (SM-I $[23]$). A standard homodyne measurement can transform this passive state into

a non-passive coherent state by mixing it with a much stronger, coherent, local oscillator (LO) [\[9](#page-5-6)[–14\]](#page-5-14). Yet, to extract maximal work, the measurement should consume as little energy as possible. How can this be achieved?

To this end, we propose a non-standard homodyne measurement that only probes a split-off small fraction of the thermal input field by mixing it with a LO as weak as this fraction (Fig. [1b](#page-3-0)). This measurement yields quadrature values of the field with optimal tradeoff between energy cost and precision. The measurement outcome serves to determine the unitary operations that extract maximal work from the post-measured output: a downshift (displacement) towards the zero-energy origin, supplemented by unsqueezing (Fig. [1c](#page-3-0)). The downshift can be realized by adjusting the transmissivity and phase delay of a beam splitter (or an amplitude-phase modulator) according to the outcome. The output-field quadratures are then shifted by this beam splitter to make the output constructively interfere with the coherent field in the working mode (Fig. [2](#page-4-0) a,b). For $\bar{n} \gg 1$, \bar{n} being the mean number of input quanta, nearly the entire energy of the thermal ensemble is shown to be extractable as work, with efficiency $1-O(1/\sqrt{\bar{n}})$, by a single optimized homodyne measurement. The energy cost that may limit the WOF efficiency is accounted for, the fundamental cost being the detector-record erasure (resetting) cost[\[24–](#page-5-15)[29\]](#page-5-16).

The WOF scheme is feasible and conceptually simple (Fig. [1c](#page-3-0), Fig. [2](#page-4-0) a,b). It is shown to be advantageous compared to Szilard/ Maxwell-Demon information engines based on binary measurements of discrete variables [\[30–](#page-5-17)[42\]](#page-6-0). It can also outperform common models of heat engines that exploit the same resources (see Discussion).

Work extraction and its bounds.– Any single-mode input state can be represented as: $\hat{\varrho} = \int \int P(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| d^2 \alpha$, P being the Glauber-Sudarshan distribution function of coherent-states $|\alpha\rangle$ with complex amplitudes α [\[11–](#page-5-8)[14\]](#page-5-14). Let us first consider a coherent-state component $|\alpha\rangle$ of the input distribution (Fig. [1b](#page-3-0)). After the 0th beam splitter (BS0) with high transmissivity κ , the state $|\kappa \alpha\rangle$

^{17.} listopadu 50, 77146 Olomouc, Czech Republic

is transmitted and the state | √ $\overline{1-\kappa^2}\alpha\rangle$ (that has a much smaller amplitude) is reflected (split off) towards the homodyne detectors. These detectors serve for estimating the quadrature operators \hat{x} and \hat{p} , $\hat{x} = 2^{-1/2}(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})$, $\hat{p} = -2^{-1/2}i(\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{\dagger})$, where $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$ (here we set $\hbar = \omega = 1$. To effect the estimations, the small splitoff fractions are superposed at the detectors with two LOs at the same frequency ω . The two LOs (originating from a common source) are prepared by two BS and a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ phase shifter in coherent states $|\beta\rangle$ and $|i\beta\rangle$ with orthogonal quadrature amplitudes, β being chosen real (Fig. [1b](#page-3-0)). Behind BS1 and BS2 we then have a 4-mode coherent state $|\psi\rangle = |\gamma_{+}\rangle |\gamma_{-}\rangle |\tilde{\gamma}_{+}\rangle |\tilde{\gamma}_{-}\rangle$ with amplitudes γ_{\pm} = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 2 $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\kappa^2}{2}}\alpha \pm \beta\right), \tilde{\gamma}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ 2 $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\kappa^2}{2}}\alpha \pm i\beta\right).$ Photodetection of states $|\gamma_{\pm}\rangle$, $|\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}\rangle$ yields Poissonian statistics with mean values $\bar{n}_{\pm} = |\gamma_{\pm}|^2$, $\bar{n}_{\pm} = |\tilde{\gamma}_{\pm}|^2$. A homodyne measurement $[9, 11-16]$ $[9, 11-16]$ $[9, 11-16]$ consists in recording photocount differences between the two pairs of detectors, $\Delta n_x \equiv n_+ - n_-$ and $\Delta n_p \equiv \tilde{n}_+ - \tilde{n}_-$. These Δn_x , Δn_p carry information on the quadrature eigenvalues x and p, since \bar{n}_{\pm} and $\bar{\tilde{n}}_{\pm}$ and their variances depend on $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{2}(x+ip)$ (SM-II [\[23\]](#page-5-13)).

The probability distribution for Δn_x and Δn_p on condition that the input state was $|\alpha\rangle$, $P(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_y|\alpha) =$ $P(\Delta n_x|\alpha)P(\Delta n_n|\alpha)$, can be inverted by means of the Bayes rule. The post-measurement state conditional on Δn_x and Δn_p that characterizes the unmeasured (transmitted) part of the output for any distribution $P(\alpha)$ has then the form

$$
\hat{\varrho}(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \int P\left(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa} | \Delta n_x, \Delta n_p\right) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| d^2 \alpha(1)
$$

We start from a thermal state with Gaussian $P(\alpha)$, but the resulting state is in general a nonpassive state (unless $\Delta n_x = \Delta n_p = 0$) (Fig. [1c](#page-3-0)).

The measured Δn_x , Δn_p determine the required downshift (displacement) of the output state towards a state whose mean quadratures are zero. This yields work extraction in the amount $W(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p)$ (SM-II [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). The mean work obtained following such displacement, but ignoring the resetting cost of the detectors (considered below), can be found by averaging $W(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p)$ over the probability distribution $P(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p)$ and subtracting the invested mean energy of the two orthogonalquadrature LOs, $2\hbar\omega\beta^2$, to yield the mean work

$$
W = \sum_{\Delta n_x} \sum_{\Delta n_x} W(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p) P(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p) - 2\hbar\omega\beta^2.(2)
$$

Under the Gaussian approximation (SM-II [\[23\]](#page-5-13)), one can analytically maximize this extractable mean work with respect to the BS0 transmissivity κ and the intensity β^2 of the LO. This maximization of the mean work in Eq. (2) yields $(SM-III \ 23])$

$$
W_{\text{max}} \approx \hbar \omega \left(\sqrt{\bar{n} - \sqrt{\bar{n}} + 1} - 1 \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{n}}} \right). \quad (3)
$$

Equation [\(3\)](#page-2-0) indicates that mean work extraction $(W_{\text{max}} > 0)$ by WOF requires thermal input with $\bar{n} > 1$. For $\bar{n} \gg 1$ the optimal LO $\beta^2 \sim \sqrt{\bar{n}}$ is much weaker than the input signal, the opposite of standard homodyning [\[9,](#page-5-6) [11\]](#page-5-8).

A displacement transformation that maximally downshifts the post-measured state in energy does not fully extract the work from it, since the downshifted state is in general not passive, and still keeps work capacity (ergotropy, SM-I). To extract more work, we can apply an unsqueezing transformation (by a Kerr medium $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$) to the downshifted state that is centered at the origin, with $\langle x \rangle = \langle p \rangle = 0$. This state has a mean energy of $E_0 = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} (\langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle + \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} (V_+ + V_-)$, where V_{\pm} are the eigenvalues of the variance matrix of \hat{x} and \hat{p} (SM-IV) [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). The minimal energy state attainable by unsqueezing has the energy $E_{\min} = \hbar \omega \sqrt{V_+ V_-}$ with $V_+ = V_-$. Upon averaging the work extractable by unsqueezing, $W_{US}(\Delta n_x, \Delta n_p) = E_0 - E_{\text{min}}$, over all measured values of Δn_x , Δn_x , we find that the work in Eq. (2) increases on account of W_{US} by 18% for $\bar{n} = 2$, 12% for $\bar{n} = 5$ and so on: W_{US} only matters for small \bar{n} (SM-IV [\[23\]](#page-5-13)).

Hence, at high temperature $(\bar{n} \gg 1)$, the maximal work extraction from an input with mean energy $E_{\text{in}} = \hbar \omega \bar{n}$ coincides with the work by displacement in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) which reduces to

$$
W_{\text{max}} \approx \hbar \omega \left[\bar{n} - 4\sqrt{\bar{n}} + 6 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{n}}}, \frac{1}{\bar{n}}\right) \right].
$$
 (4)

The $4\hbar\omega\sqrt{\bar{n}}$ cost is the sum of the LO energy $E_{\text{LO}} \approx$ $\hbar\omega\sqrt{\bar{n}}$, the input energy fractions absorbed by the detectors $E_{\text{abs}} \approx \hbar \omega \sqrt{\bar{n}}$ and the remaining (unexploited) output energy $E_{\text{rem}} \approx 2\hbar\omega\sqrt{\bar{n}}$. This E_{rem} corresponds to the (typically thermal) output fluctuations and reflects the fact that our approximate measurement prepares a mixed state that cannot be unitarily transformed to the vacuum state.

The process outlined above can be iterated to exploit E_{rem} for more work extraction and higher efficiency, taking at the k−th step $E_{\text{rem}}^{(k)} = \hbar \omega \bar{n}_k = 2\hbar \omega \sqrt{\bar{n}_{k-1}}$ for $k = 1, ..., N$. We should stop the N iterations for \bar{n}_k just barely above 1, at which point only negligible work is added, $W_{(\text{max})}^{(k)} \approx \hbar \omega (\bar{n}_k - 1)^3/32$. Practically, these iterations do not significantly increase the work output (SM-III [\[23\]](#page-5-13)).

To sustain WOF operation, we must reset the detectors after each work-extraction step. The energy cost of such resetting $[24-29]$ $[24-29]$, Q_{reset} , sets the fundamental threshold of WOF to be $W_{\text{net}} = W - Q_{\text{reset}} > 0$. Detector resetting to the initial temperature T_D requires a minimal energy $Q_{\text{reset}} = I k_B T_D \ln 2$, where I is the mean information stored (in bits) by the detectors (SM-VI [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). For $\bar{n} \gg 1$, $I \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ln(\bar{n}/4)$. Since only a small fraction of the signal is detected $(\Delta \bar{n}_d$ quanta in SM-VI [\[23\]](#page-5-13)), Q_{reset} is negligible compared to the mean input energy $E_{\text{in}} = k_B T$ when $\bar{n} \gg 1, T \gg T_D$. The resetting cost scales much slower

FIG. 1. (a)Work extraction by snapshots (1,2) from a random ensemble of pendula. (b) WOF scheme: for a thermal mixture of coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$). A homodyne measurement (see text) is performed on the reflected, weak part of the input superposed with a (comparably weak) local oscillator (LO) to optimally estimate the quadratures x and p. The result is used to adjust the output to constructively interfere with the LO and thereby downshift it to extract work. (c) A thermal mixture of coherent states is transformed by the measurement to a displaced, squeezed (slightly non-Gaussian) state. Work is extracted by displacement and unsqueezing to a state with much less energy than the post-measured state.

(in orders of magnitude) with \bar{n} than the work (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)) and Fig. $S8$ in SM-VI $[23]$)

The WOF efficiency, defined as the ratio of the net work output to the heat input, is bounded after the first measurement by

$$
\eta = \frac{W_{\text{net}}}{E_{\text{in}}} < \eta_{\text{max}}^{(1)} = \frac{W_{\text{max}}}{E_{\text{in}}}.\tag{5}
$$

Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-1) refers to the fundamental ("internal") WOF efficiency η . The heat-to-work conversion threshold is $\eta > 0$. Imperfect photodetector efficiency $(\kappa_D^2 < 1)$ and finite temperature $(T_D > 0)$ obviously raise this threshold $(SM-V [23])$ $(SM-V [23])$ $(SM-V [23])$. As seen from Fig. $(2c)$ $(2c)$ (Fig. S8 in SM-VI), the WOF threshold and efficiency are close to the maximal bound in Eq. (5) for existing highly-efficient and cold photodetectors [\[43,](#page-6-1) [44\]](#page-6-2).

Discussion.– We have introduced a simple scheme for WOF – the hitherto unexplored heat-to-work conversion via information acquisition on continuous variables of random (quantum or classical) single-mode fields. The WOF scheme adheres to the laws of thermodynamics: part of the thermal input energy is transferred to the working mode with much less entropy than the input mode, the rest of the entropy is distributed between the detectors and the unexploited (remaining) output. WOF can be thought of as an information-based maser/laser (IBM): an amplifier of coherent signals at the expense of

information that allows extracting the quadrature values of a thermal pump (input). Its efficiency is defined analogously to that of a laser or maser [\[12\]](#page-5-18), as the ratio of the output (signal) to the input (pump) energy.

At the heart of WOF is the ability to estimate the quadratures at minimal energy cost: Unlike standard homodyning $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$ $[9, 11, 15, 16]$, the local oscillator (LO) and the measured field are chosen to be *small fractions* $\sim \sqrt{\bar{n}}$ of the mean input \bar{n} , optimizing the work-information tradeoff. In order to extract maximal power and work (within the bounds of Eq. (5)), the WOF protocol duration must only exceed the resetting time τ_{reset} of the detectors to their initial temperature T_D (SM-VI [\[23\]](#page-5-13)) [\[45\]](#page-6-3). In existing photodetectors [\[43,](#page-6-1) [44\]](#page-6-2) $\tau_{\text{reset}} \gtrsim 10$ nsec at the cost of \sim 10 times the detected photon energy $\hbar\omega$. To boost the power, τ_{reset} can be made much shorter than the natural relaxation time of the excited detector level (or band) by resetting in the non-Markovian anti-Zeno regime [\[46–](#page-6-4)[49\]](#page-6-5) at a modest energy cost ~ $\hbar/t_C \ll \hbar\omega$, where t_C is the correlation (memory) time of the environment.

Although their principle of operation is completely different, it is instructive to compare the performance of WOF and heat engines (HE) with similar resources. For this, let us assume that both engines are energized by a hot bath with the energy $E_{\text{in}} = k_B T_h$ and the HE cold

FIG. 2. (a) Energy balance of the WOF scheme. The passive input state has mean energy $E_{\text{in}} = \hbar \omega \bar{n}$, the local oscillators (LO) have mean energy $E_{\text{LO}} = 2\hbar\omega\beta^2$. The detectors absorb energy E_{det} . W is extracted by displacement and unsqueezing of the unmeasured main fraction of the input. The remaining energy E_{rem} (orange) is unexploitable as work. Work changegreen, heat exchange–red. (b) Work extraction by BS transmittance and phase-shift changes causing constructive interference of the output with the coherent working mode. Orange arrow–remaining (typically thermal) passive output. (c) WOF efficiency as function of $\text{Log}_{10}\bar{n}$ (Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-1)): the bound $\eta_{max}^{(1)}$ (blue) and actual η for different κ_D and scaled detector temperatures $T_D \leftrightarrow k_B T_D/\hbar \omega$ (green solid, dashed dot, dotted and dashed). The red lines show $Q_{\text{reset}}/E_{\text{in}}$ for the same parameter values as their green counterparts. Depending on κ_D , the impact of the resetting cost Q_{reset} on the efficiency is seen to be negligible for sufficiently large \bar{n} . In these plots thermal noise in the local oscillator (LO) and the detectors have mean photon numbers $\bar{n}_{\text{LO}} = \bar{n}_{\text{D}} = 0.05$ (see SM-V).

bath is chosen to have the energy $k_BT_c = E_{\text{rem}}$ (Eq. (5)) (although E_{rem} may not be associated with a genuine cold bath). By this choice, the idealized HE Carnot bound at the reversibility point, $\eta_{\text{Carnot}} = 1 - T_c/T_h$ is formally equated to the hypothetical efficiency bound of WOF had it been reversible, i.e., free of measurement costs, $\eta_{\text{reverse}} \equiv 1 - E_{\text{rem}}/E_{\text{in}}$. Yet, even with this choice, HE and WOF can perform very differently: HE power production vanishes at the Carnot bound, and the efficiency bound at the maximal work point of generic HE can be much lower $[50-55]$ $[50-55]$ (SM-VIII $[23]$), whereas η_{reverse} is similar to the bound $\eta_{\text{max}}^{(1)}$ of the WOF that corresponds to maximal work production $\eta_{\text{reverse}} \simeq \eta_{\text{max}}^{(1)} \simeq$ $\eta \simeq 1 - O(1/\sqrt{\bar{n}})$ for $\bar{n} \gg 1$ (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)). In general, there

is an inherent (model-dependent) tradeoff between HE power and efficiency [\[50](#page-6-6)[–58\]](#page-6-8), since the work and power production are reduced at excessively short cycles due to friction or incomplete heat exchange with the heat baths [\[50,](#page-6-6) [51\]](#page-6-9). By contrast, there is no such tradeoff in WOF, where power grows with the process rate provided it is less than $1/\tau_{\text{reset}}$. Therefore, WOF may in principle outperform common HE with same resources, e.g., the Otto HE, (SM-VIII [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). A fully quantitative comparison of HE and WOF is unfeasible since the efficiency and power output of realistic HE are generally lower than the theoretical bounds $[50, 51, 58]$ $[50, 51, 58]$ $[50, 51, 58]$ $[50, 51, 58]$ $[50, 51, 58]$, partly due to on- and offswitching of their coupling to heat baths and controlling the adiabatic steps [\[59–](#page-6-10)[61\]](#page-6-11) whose energy cost must be accounted for. Likewise, WOF feedforward cost cannot be simply estimated (see below).

For a given $E_{\text{in}} = k_B T \gg \hbar \omega (\bar{n} \gg 1)$, the upper bounds on work production efficiency in our WOF scheme may well surpass those of a Szilard/ Maxwell-Demon binary decision engine energized by thermal-noise photodetection [\[38\]](#page-6-12), since WOF consumes only a $O(1/\sqrt{\bar{n}})$ fraction of the input, whereas its Szilard counterpart consumes a fraction comparable to 1 (SM-VII [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). For $T \to \infty$ (the classical limit) WOF is at its best, since homodyning then does not require photon counting, but merely snapshots with negligible energy cost: For example a thermal ensemble of classical pendula with mean energy of 1 erg and frequency of 1 Hz contains $\bar{n} \sim 10^{27}$ (which need not be counted, only the pendula motion needs to be photographed for WOF), WOF then has $\sim 1-10^{-13}$ efficiency, which can hardly be surpassed by other methods! With currently available detector efficiency $\kappa_D^2 \gtrsim 0.9$ and temperature $T_D \lesssim 1 mK$ [\[43,](#page-6-1) [44\]](#page-6-2), only a few photons, $\bar{n} \lesssim 10$, suffice to generate work output, i.e. a much less noisy signal than the input (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)). The hard lower bound on W_{net} production is the Landauer resetting bound (SM-VI [\[23\]](#page-5-13)). Since the re-set energy cost is currently ca. 10- fold [\[43,](#page-6-1) [44\]](#page-6-2), $\bar{n} \geq 10^2$ practically ensure $\eta > 0$ in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-1).

By definition, all information engines, including WOF, have technical energy costs of signal processing and the conversion of this information into physical manipulations required for feedforward, but these costs are commonly disregarded [\[30](#page-5-17)[–42,](#page-6-0) [62–](#page-6-13)[64\]](#page-6-14). One can treat such technical costs as extra energy consumption that sets the threshold for autonomous WOF. Yet, these thresholds are strongly setup-dependent and therefore cannot be generally quantified. Thus, standard photodetection and electro-optical feedforward techniques can be replaced by all-optical techniques that may demand much smaller energy: 1) quantum-nondemolition photon counting of the signal by an optical probe in Rydberg polaritonic media [\[65–](#page-6-15)[69\]](#page-6-16); 2) output signal processing by unconventional heat-powered transistors $[70-72]$ $[70-72]$; and 3) photorefractive beam splitters that can control the output quadrature shifts by signal-pump interference [\[73\]](#page-7-1).

The WOF scheme is generally applicable to any noisy source (not only thermal), where homodyning of continuous variables can be performed, e.g., in ultracold bosonic gases where homodyning was proposed [\[74\]](#page-7-2) and demonstrated [\[75\]](#page-7-3). Homodyning is also feasible via photocurrents induced by signal-pump interference in semiconductors [\[76,](#page-7-4) [77\]](#page-7-5) and for phonon fields in acoustic structures [\[78–](#page-7-6)[82\]](#page-7-7). Any such setup allows to split off a small fraction of the input field and mix it with a correspondingly weak coherent LO, thereby yielding work as per Eqs. $(3)-(5)$ $(3)-(5)$ $(3)-(5)$. Thus, the proposed WOF may open new paths towards the exploitation of continuous-variable noise as a source of useful work in both classical and quantum regimes of diverse systems.

Acknowledgement We acknowledge the support of NSF-BSF, DFG, QUANTERA (PACE-IN), FET-Open (PATHOS) and ISF. T.O. is supported by the Czech Science Foundation, grant 20-27994S.

SM [\[23\]](#page-5-13) contains these [\[83,](#page-7-8) [84\]](#page-7-9) additional Refs.

- ∗ avijitmisra0120@gmail.com
- [1] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [2] R Alicki, "The quantum open system as a model of the heat engine," [Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/0305-4470/12/5/007) General 12[, L103–L107 \(1979\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/0305-4470/12/5/007)
- [3] Peter Talkner, Eric Lutz, and Peter Hänggi, "Fluctuation theorems: Work is not an observable," [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.050102) 75[, 050102 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.050102)
- [4] W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz, "Passive states and kms states for general quantum systems," [Comm. Math.](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103901491) Phys. 58[, 273–290 \(1978\).](http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103901491)
- [5] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, R. Alicki, and G. Kurizki, "Work and energy gain of heat-pumped quantized amplifiers," EPL 103[, 60005 \(2013\)\).](http://stacks.iop.org/0295-5075/103/i=6/a=60005)
- [6] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky and G. Kurizki, "Heat-machine control by quantum-state preparation: From quantum engines to refrigerators," Phys. Rev. E 90[, 022102 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.90.022102)
- [7] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, W. Niedenzu, and G. Kurizki, "Thermodynamics of quantum systems under dynamical control," Adv. Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys. 64, 329 (2015).
- [8] Wolfgang Niedenzu, Victor Mukherjee, Arnab Ghosh, Abraham G. Kofman, and Gershon Kurizki, "Quantum engine efficiency bound beyond the second law of thermodynamics," [Nature Communications](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01991-6) 9, 165 (2018).
- [9] Marwood Ediger Ronald Waynant, Quantum Optics (McGraw Hill Professional, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
- [10] Matteo G. A. Paris, "Quantum estimation for quantum technology," arXiv e-prints , arXiv:0804.2981 (2008), [arXiv:0804.2981 \[quant-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.2981)
- [11] W. Schleich, Quantum optics in phase space (Wiley-VCH, 2001).
- [12] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
- [13] H. Carmichael, Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
- [14] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics*, 1st ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994).
- [15] U. Leonhardt, Measuring the Quantum State of Light (Cambridge Studies in Modern Optics, Cambridge, 1997).
- [16] M. G. A. Paris, "Homodyne photocurrent, symmetries in photon mixing and number state synthesis," [Inter](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979297000988)[national Journal of Modern Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979297000988) 11, 1913–1924 [\(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979297000988)
- [17] A. E. Allahverdyan and Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, "Extraction of work from a single thermal bath in the quantum regime," Phys. Rev. Lett. 85[, 1799–1802 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1799)
- [18] Eric G Brown, Nicolai Friis, and Marcus Huber, "Passivity and practical work extraction using gaussian operations," [New Journal of Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1367-2630/18/11/113028) 18, 113028 (2016).
- [19] Raam Uzdin and Saar Rahav, "Global passivity in microscopic thermodynamics," Phys. Rev. X 8[, 021064 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021064)
- [20] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky and G. Kurizki, "Work extraction from heat-powered quantized optomechanical setups," [Scientific Reports](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep07809) 5, 7809 (2015)).
- [21] A. Ghosh, C. L. Latune, L. Davidovich, and G. Kurizki, "Catalysis of heat-to-work conversion in quantum machines," [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sci](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711381114)ences 114[, 12156–12161 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711381114)
- [22] Arnab Ghosh, David Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, Wolfgang Niedenzu, Alexander I. Lvovsky, Igor Mazets, Marlan O. Scully, and Gershon Kurizki, "Two-level masers as heat-to-work converters," [Proceedings of the National](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1805354115) [Academy of Sciences](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1805354115) 115, 9941–9944 (2018).
- [23] See Supplemental Material for detailed derivation including spurious noise and comparison with information and heat engines.
- [24] R. Landauer, "Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process," IBM Journal of Research and Development 5, 183–191 (1961).
- [25] Antoine Berut, Artak Arakelyan, Artyom Petrosyan, Sergio Ciliberto, Raoul Dillenschneider, and Eric Lutz, "Experimental verification of Landauer's principle linking information and thermodynamics," Nature 483[, 187–189](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10872) [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10872)
- [26] Eric Lutz and Sergio Ciliberto, "Information: From Maxwells demon to Landauers eraser," [Phys. Today](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/PT.3.2912) 68, [30–35 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/PT.3.2912)
- [27] John Goold, Mauro Paternostro, and Kavan Modi, "Nonequilibrium quantum Landauer principle," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.060602) Rev. Lett. 114[, 060602 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.060602)
- [28] P. Faist, F. Dupuis, J. Oppenheim, and R. Renner, "The minimal work cost of information processing," Nat. Commun. 6, 7669 (2015).
- [29] R. Alicki, "Quantum memories and Landauer's principle," Http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1089.
- [30] J. C. Maxwell, Theory of Heat (Longman, London, UK, 1871).
- [31] L. Szilard, "über die entropieverminderung in einem thermodynamischen system bei eingriffen intelligenter wesen," Zeitschrift für Physik 53 , $840-856$ (1929).
- [32] T. Sagawa and M. Ueda, "Second law of thermodynamics with discrete quantum feedback control," Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 080403 (2008).
- [33] Sang Wook Kim, Takahiro Sagawa, Simone De Liberato, and Masahito Ueda, "Quantum Szilard engine," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.070401) Rev. Lett. 106[, 070401 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.070401)
- [34] Jung Jun Park, Kang-Hwan Kim, Takahiro Sagawa, and Sang Wook Kim, "Heat engine driven by purely quantum information," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230402) 111, 230402 (2013).
- [35] J. M. Diaz de la Cruz and M. A. Martin-Delgado,

"Quantum-information engines with many-body states attaining optimal extractable work with quantum control," Phys. Rev. A 89[, 032327 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.89.032327)

- [36] Juan M R Parrondo, Jordan M Horowitz, and Takahiro Sagawa, "Thermodynamics of information," [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3230) 11[, 131–139 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3230)
- [37] John Goold, Marcus Huber, Arnau Riera, Lídia del Rio, and Paul Skrzypczyk, "The role of quantum information in thermodynamics—a topical review," [Journal of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/49/14/143001) [Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/49/14/143001) 49, 143001 $(2016).$
- [38] Mihai D. Vidrighin, Oscar Dahlsten, Marco Barbieri, M. S. Kim, Vlatko Vedral, and Ian A. Walmsley, "Photonic Maxwell's demon," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.050401) 116, 050401 [\(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.050401)
- [39] Konstantin Beyer, Kimmo Luoma, and Walter T. Strunz, "Steering heat engines: A truly quantum Maxwell demon," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.250606) 123, 250606 (2019).
- [40] J. Bengtsson, M. Nilsson Tengstrand, A. Wacker, P. Samuelsson, M. Ueda, H. Linke, and S. M. Reimann, "Quantum Szilard engine with attractively interacting bosons," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.100601) 120, 100601 (2018).
- [41] Kensaku Chida, Samarth Desai, Katsuhiko Nishiguchi, and Akira Fujiwara, "Power generator driven by maxwell's demon," [Nature Communications](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15301) 8, 15310 [\(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15301)
- [42] Alhun Aydin, Altug Sisman, and Ronnie Kosloff, "Landauers principle in a quantum Szilard engine without Maxwells demon," [Entropy](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3390/e22030294) 22 (2020), [10.3390/e22030294.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3390/e22030294)
- [43] Chandra M Natarajan, Michael G Tanner, and Robert H Hadfield, "Superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors: physics and applications," [Superconductor Sci](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-2048/25/6/063001)[ence and Technology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-2048/25/6/063001) 25, 063001 (2012).
- [44] Martin A. Wolff, Simon Vogel, Lukas Splitthoff, and Carsten Schuck, "Superconducting nanowire singlephoton detectors integrated with tantalum pentoxide waveguides," [Scientific Reports](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74426-w) 10, 17170 (2020).
- [45] R. Gaudenzi, E. Burzurí, S. Maegawa, H. S. J. van der Zant, and F. Luis, "Quantum Landauer erasure with a molecular nanomagnet," [Nature Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41567-018-0070-7) 14, 565–568 [\(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41567-018-0070-7)
- [46] Noam Erez, Goren Gordon, Mathias Nest, and Gershon Kurizki, "Thermodynamic control by frequent quantum measurements," Nature 452[, 724–727 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06873)
- [47] Goren Gordon, Guy Bensky, David Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, D D Bhaktavatsala Rao, Noam Erez, and Gershon Kurizki, "Cooling down quantum bits on ultrashort time scales," [New Journal of Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1367-2630/11/12/123025) 11, [123025 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1367-2630/11/12/123025)
- [48] Gonzalo A. Alvarez, D. D. Bhaktavatsala Rao, Lucio Fry- ´ dman, and Gershon Kurizki, "Zeno and anti-Zeno polarization control of spin ensembles by induced dephasing," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.160401) 105, 160401 (2010).
- [49] Victor Mukherjee, Abraham G. Kofman, and Gershon Kurizki, "Anti-Zeno quantum advantage in fast-driven heat machines," [Communications Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s42005-019-0272-z) 3, 8 (2020).
- [50] Ronnie Kosloff and Yair Rezek, "The quantum harmonic otto cycle," Entropy 19 [\(2017\), 10.3390/e19040136.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e19040136)
- [51] Yair Rezek and Ronnie Kosloff, "Irreversible performance of a quantum harmonic heat engine," [New Journal of](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1367-2630/8/5/083) Physics 8[, 83–83 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1367-2630/8/5/083)
- [52] David G-Klimovsky, Wolfgang Niedenzu, and Gershon

Kurizki, "Thermodynamics of quantum systems under dynamical control," [Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/ http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.aamop.2015.07.002) 64, 329 – [407 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/ http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.aamop.2015.07.002)

- [53] Ronnie Kosloff, "Quantum thermodynamics: A dynamical viewpoint," Entropy 15[, 2100 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/e15062100)
- [54] Bihong Lin and Jincan Chen, "Performance analysis of an irreversible quantum heat engine working with harmonic oscillators," Phys. Rev. E 67[, 046105 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046105)
- [55] Sina Hamedani Raja, Sabrina Maniscalco, Gheorghe-Sorin Paraoanu, Jukka P. Pekola, and Nicolino Lo Gullo, "Finite-time quantum Stirling heat engine," (2020), [arXiv:2009.10038 \[quant-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.10038)
- [56] I.I. Novikov, "The efficiency of atomic power stations (a review)," [Journal of Nuclear Energy \(1954\)](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-3919(58)90244-4) 7, 125–128 [\(1958\).](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-3919(58)90244-4)
- [57] F. L. Curzon and B. Ahlborn, "Efficiency of a carnot engine at maximum power output," [American Journal of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.10023) Physics 43[, 22–24 \(1975\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.10023)
- [58] Tova Feldmann, Eitan Geva, Ronnie Kosloff, and Peter Salamon, "Heat engines in finite time governed by master equations," [American Journal of Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.18197) 64, 485–492 [\(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.18197)
- [59] Mathieu Beau, Juan Jaramillo, and Adolfo Del Campo, "Scaling-up quantum heat engines efficiently via shortcuts to adiabaticity," Entropy 18[, 168 \(2016\).](https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/18/5/168)
- [60] Barı ş Çakmak and Özgür E. Müstecaplıoğlu, "Spin quantum heat engines with shortcuts to adiabaticity," Phys. Rev. E 99[, 032108 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.99.032108)
- [61] Ronnie Kosloff and Yair Rezek, "The quantum harmonic otto cycle," Entropy 19[, 136 \(2017\).](https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/19/4/136)
- [62] Cyril Elouard and Andrew N. Jordan, "Efficient quantum measurement engines," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.260601) 120, 260601 [\(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.260601)
- [63] Cyril Elouard, David Herrera-Martí, Benjamin Huard, and Alexia Auffèves, "Extracting work from quantum measurement in Maxwell's demon engines," [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.260603) Lett. 118[, 260603 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.260603)
- [64] Stella Seah, Stefan Nimmrichter, and Valerio Scarani, "Maxwell's lesser demon: A quantum engine driven by pointer measurements," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.100603) 124, 100603 (2020) .
- [65] Inbal Friedler, David Petrosyan, Michael Fleischhauer, and Gershon Kurizki, "Long-range interactions and entanglement of slow single-photon pulses," [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.043803) 72[, 043803 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.043803)
- [66] Ephraim Shahmoon, Gershon Kurizki, Michael Fleischhauer, and David Petrosyan, "Strongly interacting photons in hollow-core waveguides," [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.83.033806) 83, [033806 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.83.033806)
- [67] Alexey V. Gorshkov, Johannes Otterbach, Michael Fleischhauer, Thomas Pohl, and Mikhail D. Lukin, "Photonphoton interactions via Rydberg blockade," [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.133602) Lett. 107[, 133602 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.133602)
- [68] Ofer Firstenberg, Thibault Peyronel, Qi-Yu Liang, Alexey V. Gorshkov, Mikhail D. Lukin, and Vladan Vuletić, "Attractive photons in a quantum nonlinear medium," Nature 502[, 71–75 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12512)
- [69] Daniel Tiarks, Steffen Schmidt-Eberle, Thomas Stolz, Gerhard Rempe, and Stephan Dürr, "A photon-photon quantum gate based on Rydberg interactions," [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41567-018-0313-7) Physics 15[, 124–126 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/s41567-018-0313-7)
- [70] Karl Joulain, Jérémie Drevillon, Younès Ezzahri, and Jose Ordonez-Miranda, "Quantum thermal transistor,"

[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.200601) 116, 200601 (2016).

- [71] Chen Wang, Dazhi Xu, Huan Liu, and Xianlong Gao, "Thermal rectification and heat amplification in a nonequilibrium v-type three-level system," [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.99.042102) 99[, 042102 \(2019\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.99.042102)
- [72] M. Tahir Naseem, Avijit Misra, Özgür E. Müstecaplioğlu, and Gershon Kurizki, "Minimal quantum heat manager boosted by bath spectral filtering," [Phys. Rev. Research](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033285) 2[, 033285 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033285)
- [73] Robert W. Boyd, [Nonlinear Optics](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-369470-6.00020-4) (Academic Press, Burlington, 2008).
- [74] Nir Bar-Gill, Christian Gross, Igor Mazets, Markus Oberthaler, and Gershon Kurizki, "Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen correlations of ultracold atomic gases," [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.120404) Lett. 106[, 120404 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.120404)
- [75] C. Gross, H. Strobel, E. Nicklas, T. Zibold, N. Bar-Gill, G. Kurizki, and M. K. Oberthaler, "Atomic homodyne detection of continuous-variable entangled twinatom states," Nature 480[, 219–223 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10654)
- [76] Gershon Kurizki, Moshe Shapiro, and Paul Brumer, "Phase-coherent control of photocurrent directionality in semiconductors," Phys. Rev. B 39[, 3435–3437 \(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.39.3435)
- [77] G. Kurizki and M. Shapiro, "Detection of squeezed light by directional photoionization of coherently superposed states with different symmetries," [J. Opt. Soc. Am. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.8.000355) 8, [355–362 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.8.000355)
- [79] Pierre A. Deymier, ed., Acoustic Metamaterials and Phononic Crystals, 2013th ed. (Springer, Berlin ; New York, 2013).
- [80] M. S. Kushwaha, P. Halevi, L. Dobrzynski, and B. Djafari-Rouhani, "Acoustic band structure of periodic elastic composites," [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2022) 71, 2022– [2025 \(1993\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2022) publisher: American Physical Society.
- [81] A. Khelif, B. Djafari-Rouhani, J. O. Vasseur, and P. A. Deymier, "Transmission and dispersion relations of perfect and defect-containing waveguide structures in phononic band gap materials," [Physical Review B](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.68.024302) 68, [024302 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.68.024302)
- [82] T. Elnady, A. Elsabbagh, W. Akl, O. Mohamady, V. M. Garcia-Chocano, D. Torrent, F. Cervera, and J. Sánchez-Dehesa, "Quenching of acoustic bandgaps by flow noise," [Applied Physics Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3111797) 94, 134104 (2009).
- [83] J. G. Skellam, "The frequency distribution of the difference between two poisson variates belonging to different populations," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society 109, 296 (1946).
- [84] William Shockley and Hans J. Queisser, "Detailed balance limit of efficiency of p-n junction solar cells," [Jour](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.1736034)[nal of Applied Physics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.1736034) 32, 510–519 (1961).