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#### Abstract

Weakly interacting Fermi gases simulate spin-lattices in energy-space, offering a rich platform for investigating information spreading and spin coherence in a large many-body quantum system. We show that the collective spin vector can be determined as a function of energy from the measured spin density, enabling general energy-space resolved protocols. We measure an out-of-time-order correlation function in this system and observe the energy dependence of the many-body coherence.


Trapped, weakly interacting Fermi gases provide a new paradigm for the study of many-body physics in a large quantum system containing $N \simeq 10^{5}$ atoms with a tunable, reversible Hamiltonian [1, 2]. In this system, coherent superpositions of two hyperfine states behave as pseudo-spins and the s-wave scattering length is magnetically tuned to nearly vanish $[1,3,4]$. The corresponding collision rate is negligible, so that single atom energies are conserved $[1,5-7]$ over the experimental time scale. The conserved single particle energy states label the "sites" of an effective energy-space lattice, simulating a variety of spin-lattice models [8]. Interactions are effectively long range in energy-space $[4,8,9]$, important for new studies of information scrambling in a far from equilibrium, nearly zero temperature regime [10] and for applications to fast scrambling [11] and "out-of-equilibrium" dynamics in spin-lattice systems [12]. However, measurements in weakly interacting Fermi gases [1-7] have been limited to the spatial profiles of the collective spin density or the total number of atoms in each spin state, precluding observation of many-body correlations in chosen sectors of the energy-space lattice.

Of particular interest is the measurement of out-of-time-order correlation (OTOC) functions in weakly interacting Fermi gases. Certain OTOC functions [13-16] can serve as entanglement witnesses and to quantify coherence and information scrambling in quantum many-body systems [10, 17]. Originally, OTOC measurements were performed by reversing the time evolution of the manybody state in nuclear magnetic resonance experiments at high temperatures, where the initial state is described by a density operator and high order quantum coherence was observed [18]. New OTOC studies have been done in trapped ion systems containing relatively small numbers of atoms, where the individual sites are nearly equivalent, and the initial state is pure [10]. Related methods have been developed for systems containing up to 100 atoms [19], but the application of OTOC measurement to trapped ultracold gases has remained a challenge.

In this Letter, we report the demonstration of a general method for performing energy-resolved measurements of the collective spin vector in a harmonically-trapped weakly-interacting Fermi gas. We show that OTOC measurements can be implemented in this system and we extract many-body coherence in energy-resolved sectors, paving the way for new protocols, such as time-dependent
energy-space correlation measurements.
In the experiments [20], we begin with a degenerate cloud of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ containing a total of $N=6.5 \times 10^{4}$ atoms in a single spin state. The cloud is confined in a harmonic, cigar-shaped optical trap, with oscillation frequencies $\omega_{x} / 2 \pi=23 \mathrm{~Hz}$ along the cigar x-axis and $\omega_{r} / 2 \pi=625$ Hz in the transverse $(y, z)$ directions. The corresponding Fermi temperature $T_{F}=0.73 \mu \mathrm{~K}$ and $T / T_{F}=0.32$.

We employ the two lowest hyperfine-Zeeman states, which are denoted by $|1\rangle \equiv\left|\uparrow_{z}\right\rangle$ and $|2\rangle \equiv\left|\downarrow_{z}\right\rangle$. The cloud is initially prepared in state $\left|\downarrow_{z}\right\rangle$ in a bias magnetic field of 528.53 G , where the s-wave scattering length $a_{12} \equiv a=4.24 a_{0}[4]$. In this case, the largest possible collision rate $\gamma_{c}$ in the Fermi gas arises for an incoherent mixture with $N / 2$ atoms in each of two spin states. We find $\gamma_{c}<1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ [21], which is negligible for the experimental time scale $<1 \mathrm{~s}$. Hence, the single particle energies are conserved and the energy distribution is time independent, as observed in the experiments [4, 20].

The Hamiltonian for the confined weakly interacting Fermi gas can be approximated as a one-dimensional (1D) spin "lattice" in energy space [4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(a)=a \sum_{i, j \neq i} g_{i j} \mathbf{s}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{j}-\sum_{i} \Omega_{i} s_{z i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take $\hbar \equiv 1$. We associate a "site" $i$ with the energy $E_{i}=\left(n_{i}+1 / 2\right) h \nu_{x}$ of an atom in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ harmonic oscillator state along the cigar axis $x$. For each $E_{i}$, we define a dimensionless collective spin vector $\mathbf{s}_{i}=\sum_{\alpha_{i}} \mathbf{s}_{\alpha_{i}}$, where the sum over $\alpha_{i}$ includes the occupied transverse $\left(n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$ states for fixed $n_{i}$. As $k_{B} T_{F} / \hbar \omega_{x} \simeq 650$, the average number of atoms at each site is $N / 650 \simeq 100$ [22].

The first term in Eq. 1 is a site-to-site interaction, proportional to the s-wave scattering length $a$ and to the overlap of the harmonic oscillator probability densities for colliding atoms, $g_{i j} \propto \int d x\left|\phi_{E_{i}}(x)\right|^{2}\left|\phi_{E_{j}}(x)\right|^{2} \propto$ $1 / \sqrt{\left|E_{i}-E_{j}\right|}$, which is an effective long range interaction in the energy lattice [4]. For a zero temperature Fermi gas, the average interaction energy is $a \bar{g}=3.8 \Omega_{M F}$ [23], where the mean field frequency [4] for our experimental parameters is $\Omega_{M F} / 2 \pi \simeq 0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, i.e., $a \bar{g} / 2 \pi \simeq 1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The second term in Eq. 1 is an effective site-dependent Zeeman energy, arising from the quadratic spatial variation of the bias magnetic field along $x$, which produces a spin-dependent harmonic potential. As $\omega_{r} / \omega_{x}=27$, the corresponding effect on the transverse $(y, z)$ motion


FIG. 1. Energy-resolved out-of-time-order correlation (OTOC) measurement. The system is initially prepared in a pure state, with the spins for atoms of energy $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots E_{N}$ polarized along the $-z$ axis; (a) OTOC sequence, after which the spatial profiles of the $\uparrow_{z}$ and $\downarrow_{z}$ states are measured for each cloud by resonant absorption imaging; (b) "single-shot" spin density profile $S_{z}(x)$ (blue dots). For this measurement, the scattering length in the Hamiltonian $H(a)$ is $a=4.24 a_{0}, \phi=\pi$, and $\sigma=345 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(c) An inverse-Abel transform of the spatial profile (blue dots) extracts the single-shot energy-resolved spin density $S_{z}(E)$ (red dots). An Abel transform of $S_{z}(E)$ yields the red-dashed curve shown in (b), consistent with the data.
is negligible, so that all atoms at site $i$ have the same Zeeman energy. In Eq. $1, \Omega\left(E_{i}\right) \equiv \Omega_{i}=\Omega^{\prime} E_{i}+\Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Omega^{\prime}=-\delta \omega_{x} / \hbar \omega_{x}$, with $\delta \omega_{x} / 2 \pi=14.9 \mathrm{mHz}$ for our trap [4]. For atoms with the mean energy $\bar{E}_{x} \simeq k_{B} T_{F} / 4$, $\Omega^{\prime} \bar{E}_{x} / 2 \pi \simeq 2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. We define $\Delta^{\prime} \equiv \Delta-\Omega^{\prime} \bar{E}_{x}$, where $\Delta$ is the global detuning and $\Delta=0$ corresponds to $\Omega_{i}=0$ for the mean energy, $E_{i}=\bar{E}_{x}$.

A key feature of our experiments is the extraction of energy-resolved spin densities $n_{\uparrow_{z}, \downarrow_{z}}(E)$ by inverse Abeltransformation of the corresponding 1D spatial profiles $n_{\uparrow_{z}, \downarrow_{z}}(x)$, which are obtained from absorption images of a single cloud. The transform method requires a continuum approximation, which is justified for the x -direction, where $k_{B} T_{F} / \hbar \omega_{x}=650$. Further, we require negligible energy space coherence, i.e., the atomic spins remain effectively localized in their individual energy sites. This assumption is justified by the very small transition matrix elements $<10^{-4} \hbar \omega_{x}$ [24] between three dimensional harmonic oscillator states, which arise from short range interactions between two atoms [20].

In this regime, the spatial profile for each spin state $n_{\sigma}(x), \sigma \equiv \uparrow_{z}, \downarrow_{z}$, is an Abel transform of the corresponding energy profile $n_{\sigma}(E)$ [20],

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{\sigma}(x) & =\int d E\left|\phi_{E}(x)\right|^{2} n_{\sigma}(E) \\
& =\frac{\omega_{x}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{x} n_{\sigma}\left(\frac{p_{x}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{m \omega_{x}^{2}}{2} x^{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. 2, the last form is obtained by using a WKB approximation for the harmonic oscillator states $\phi_{E}(x)$ [20]. An inverse Abel-transform [20, 25] of $n_{\sigma}(x)$ then determines $n_{\sigma}(E)$ with a resolution $\Delta E \simeq 0.04 E_{F}[20]$.

For the protocol of Fig. 1(a), discussed in detail below, Fig. 1(b) shows the measured single-shot spin density, $S_{z}(x, \phi)=\left[n_{\uparrow_{z}}(x, \phi)-n_{\downarrow_{z}}(x, \phi)\right] / 2$, in units of the central total spin density $n(0)$. Fig. 1(c) shows the corresponding single-shot $S_{z}(E, \phi)$, obtained by inverse-Abel transformation of $S_{z}(x, \phi)$. We see that $S_{z}(E, \phi)$ appears smooth compared to the single-shot spin density $S_{z}(x, \phi)$, which requires averaging over several shots to obtain a
smooth profile. To check that the inverse-Abel transform has adequate energy resolution, we Abel transform the extracted $S_{z}(E, \phi)$, yielding the red-dotted curve of Fig. 1(b), which is consistent with the measured density profile [20].

Our experimental OTOC protocol, Fig. 1(a), applies a rotation $\phi$ to the total interacting spin system in between forward and time-reversed evolutions. Then, a measurement of $s_{z i}$ is performed to diagnose the effects of the rotation on the spins at "site i" in energy space. We start by preparing a fully z-polarized state $\left|\downarrow_{z 1} \downarrow_{z 2} \ldots \downarrow_{z N}\right\rangle \equiv\left|\psi_{z 0}\right\rangle$ in a bias magnetic field $B_{1}=528.53 \mathrm{G}$, where the scattering length $a_{1} \equiv a=4.24 a_{0}$. Then we apply a 0.5 ms radio-frequency $(\pi / 2)_{y}$ pulse (defined to be about the $y$ axis), which is resonant with the $\left|\downarrow_{z}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left|\uparrow_{z}\right\rangle$ transition at the bias field $B_{1}$, to produce an initial x-polarized N atom state $\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle=e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} S_{y}}\left|\psi_{z 0}\right\rangle=\left|\uparrow_{x 1} \uparrow_{x 2} \cdots \uparrow_{x N}\right\rangle$. The system evolves for a time $\tau=200 \mathrm{~ms}$ at the initial bias magnetic field $B_{1}=528.53 \mathrm{G}$. Then, a resonant radiofrequency pulse $(\phi)_{x}$, shifted in phase from the first pulse by $\pi / 2$, rotates the N -atom state about the x -axis [26] by a chosen angle $\phi$. Immediately following this rotation, we reverse the sign of the Hamiltonian by applying a $(\pi)_{y}$ pulse and tuning the bias magnetic field to a value $B_{2}=525.83 \mathrm{G}$, where the scattering length $a_{2}=-a$, i.e., $e^{i \pi S_{y}} H(-a) e^{-i \pi S_{y}}=-H(a)$, from Eq. 1. After the system evolves for an additional time $\tau$, the bias field is ramped back to $B_{1}$, and a final $(\pi / 2)_{y}$ pulse is applied [20]. The final state of the N -atom system after the pulse sequence of Fig. 1(a) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{f}\right\rangle=e^{-i \frac{3 \pi}{2} S_{y}} W_{\phi}(\tau)\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $W$-operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\phi}(\tau)=e^{i H(a) \tau} e^{-i \phi S_{x}} e^{-i H(a) \tau} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{x}=\sum_{i, \alpha_{i}} s_{x \alpha_{i}}$ the x-component of the total spin vector for the $N$-atom sample and $\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle$ the fully xpolarized state. After the pulse sequence, the spin densities $n_{\uparrow z}(x)$ and $n_{\downarrow z}(x)$ are measured for a single cloud


FIG. 2. Total collective spin projection $S_{z}$ versus rotation angle $\phi$ without energy restriction. (a) $F(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(N_{\uparrow_{z}}-N_{\downarrow_{z}}\right) /\left(N_{\uparrow_{z}}+\right.$ $N_{\downarrow z}$ ) (blue dots) for a measured scattering length $a_{\text {meas }}=4.24 a_{0}$. The red solid curve is the fit of Eq. 8, which determines the magnitudes of the coherence coefficients $\left|B_{m}\right|$ (b) and corresponding phases $\varphi_{m}$ (c); (d) Fit of the mean field model of Ref. [4] to the data (blue dots) for a global detuning $\Delta=0$ with $a=a_{\text {meas }}$ (black-dashed) and with $a=2.63 a_{\text {meas }}$ (red-solid).
using two resonant absorption images, separated in time by $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. We define one repetition of this experimental sequence as a "single-shot," in Fig. 1(b) and (c). InverseAbel transformation of $\left[n_{\uparrow z}(x)-n_{\downarrow z}(x)\right] / 2$ then measures $S_{z}\left(E_{i}, \phi\right) \equiv s_{z i}$, for a single shot, Fig. 1(c).

Now we connect the measured $s_{z i}$ to information scrambling $[10,13,19]$. Consider a single spin labelled by $\alpha_{i}$, with spin components $s_{x \alpha_{i}}, s_{y \alpha_{i}}, s_{z \alpha_{i}}$, interacting with the many-body system. It is straightforward to show [20],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.C_{\alpha_{i}} \equiv\left\langle\psi_{0}\right|\left[W_{\phi}(\tau), s_{x \alpha_{i}}\right]\right|^{2}\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}-\left\langle\psi_{f}\right| s_{z \alpha_{i}}\left|\psi_{f}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the many-body operator $W_{\phi}$ and the single spin operator $s_{x \alpha_{i}}$ initially commute, i.e., $\left[W_{\phi}(0), s_{x \alpha_{i}}\right]=0$, a measurement of $\left\langle\psi_{f}\right| s_{z \alpha_{i}}\left|\psi_{f}\right\rangle$ determines how two initially commuting operators fail to commute at a later time, providing a measure of scrambling.

In the experiments, we measure the collective spin operators $s_{z i}=\sum_{\alpha_{i}} s_{z \alpha_{i}}$, where $\alpha_{i} \equiv\left(n_{i}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$ for fixed $n_{i}$. The corresponding mean square commutator, averaged over the $N_{s}$ spins with x-energy $E_{i}$, is [20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{\alpha_{i}} C_{\alpha_{i}}(\phi, \tau)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{\alpha_{i}}\left\langle\psi_{f}\right| s_{z \alpha_{i}}\left|\psi_{f}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further averaging Eq. 6 over atoms with energies within $\Delta E$ of $E_{i} \equiv E$, we replace the sum on the righthand side by $S_{z}(E) \Delta E /[n(E) \Delta E]$, yielding the measured quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(E, \phi) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{n_{\uparrow_{z}}(E, \phi)-n_{\downarrow_{z}}(E, \phi)}{n_{\uparrow_{z}}(E, \phi)+n_{\downarrow_{z}}(E, \phi)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $n(E)=n_{\uparrow_{z}}(E, \phi)+n_{\downarrow_{z}}(E, \phi)$ is independent of $\phi$ and $\mathcal{F}(E, 0)=1 / 2$.

We can extract information about the many-body coherence from Eq. 6, by writing the sum on the right-hand side as $\sum_{m} e^{i m \phi} B_{m}[20]$. Non-vanishing coefficients $B_{m}$ correspond to coherence between states for which the xcomponent $S_{x}$ of the total angular momentum differs by $m[17,20]$. Since the sum is real, $B_{-m}=B_{m}^{*}$, we can
expand Eq. 7 for the measured, energy-selected average in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(E, \phi)=B_{0}+\sum_{m \geq 1} 2\left|B_{m}\right| \cos \left(m \phi+\varphi_{m}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fitting the data with Eq. 8, we restrict the range of $m$ to 4 . We find that the fits are not improved by further increase of $m$, consistent with the limited number of $\phi$ values measured in the experiments.

We measure spin density profiles $n_{\uparrow_{z, \downarrow z}}(x, \phi)$ for a scattering length $a=4.24 a_{0}$. The data are averaged over 6 repetitions for each $\phi$, with the $\phi$ values chosen in random order. We begin by finding the total number of atoms in each spin state $N_{\uparrow_{z}, \downarrow_{z}}(\phi)=\int d x n_{\uparrow_{z, \downarrow z}}(x, \phi)$ for the protocol of Fig. 1(a), to find the total collective spin projection $S_{z}$ versus rotation angle $\phi$, without energy restriction. Fig. 2(a) shows the normalized $S_{z}$ data $F(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(N_{\uparrow_{z}}-N_{\downarrow_{z}}\right) /\left(N_{\uparrow_{z}}+N_{\downarrow_{z}}\right)$ (blue dots) and the fit of Eq. 8 (red curve), which determines the magnitude (b) and phase (c) of the average coherence coefficients $B_{m}$. We note that $F(0) \simeq F(2 \pi)<1 / 2$, the maximum for ideal conditions. This discrepancy arises from small variations in the phase shift of the final $\pi / 2$ pulse, which is applied at a finite detuning as the magnetic field is ramped from $B_{2}$ back to its original value $B_{1}[20]$.

To check that the measurements are reasonable, we compare the $\phi$-dependent data of Fig. 2 to a fit of our 1D mean field model, which employs a calculated average transverse density $\bar{n}_{\perp}$ to fit single-pulse spin-wave data with no free parameters [4]. The model, evaluated with a global detuning $\Delta=0$, is shown in Fig. 2(d). To fit the observed $\phi$ dependence (red solid curve), the model requires a scattering length $a_{e f f} \equiv 2.63 a_{\text {meas }}$, i.e., 2.63 times larger than the measured value $a_{\text {meas }}=4.24 a_{0}$, which yields the black-dashed curve. The increased $a_{\text {eff }}$ may occur because the measured coherence orders with $|m|>1$ arise from interactions, favoring the largest couplings in a manner that is not predicted by our model.

Fig. 3 shows the energy-resolved measurements $\mathcal{F}(E, \phi)$, obtained by inverse-Abel transformation of the same data. The top row shows significant variation in symmetry and structure as the energy is varied from


FIG. 3. Energy-resolved collective spin projection $S_{z}(E)$ versus rotation angle $\phi$ for spins of selected energies (left to right) $E / E_{F}=0,0.15,0.25,0.5,0.7$. Here, $\mathcal{F}(\phi)=\frac{1}{2}\left[n_{\uparrow}(E)-n_{\downarrow}(E)\right] /\left[n_{\uparrow}(E)+n_{\downarrow}(E)\right]$. The top row shows the data (blue dots) for a measured scattering length $a=4.24 a_{0}$. The red solid curve is the fit of Eq. 8, which determines the magnitudes of the coherence coefficients $\left|B_{m}\right|$ (second row) and corresponding phases $\varphi_{m}$ (third row); The bottom row shows the fits (red solid curves) of the mean field model of Ref. [4] to the data (blue dots), using a scattering length 2.63 times the measured value and global detunings, ordered in energy, of $\Delta(\mathrm{Hz})=0,0.8,0.65,-0.8$, and 0.15 .
$E=0$ to $E=0.7 E_{F}$. The red solid curves in the first row show the fit of Eq. 8, which yields the magnitudes of the coherence coefficients $\left|B_{m}\right|$ and the corresponding phases $\varphi_{m}$. In the last row, we compare the data to fits of the mean field model [4]. Again, the model captures the complex $\phi$-dependent shapes of the data with $a_{e f f}=2.63 a_{\text {meas }}$, but a different detuning $\Delta$ is needed for each energy. This may be a consequence of averaging data over several detunings $\Delta$, where each $\Delta$ rotates the direction of the $\phi$-rotation axis by $\Delta \tau[26]$.

In summary, we have demonstrated a general method for measuring energy-resolved collective spin vectors in an energy-space lattice with effective long-range interactions. We have shown that an OTOC protocol can be implemented in this system and that many-body coherence can be measured in selected energy-space subsystems. Future measurement of time-dependent correlations between extensive subsets, $C_{i j}(t) \equiv\left\langle\psi_{0}\right| s_{x i}(t) s_{x j}(t)\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle-$ $\left\langle\psi_{0}\right| s_{x i}(t)\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{0}\right| s_{x j}(t)\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle$, enables a wide variety of
protocols, extending correlation measurements in small numbers of trapped ions [27] to large quantum systems. For an initial x-polarized product state, $\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle, C_{i j}(t)=0$ for noninteracting systems and for our mean-field model, so that $C_{i j}(t) \neq 0$ signifies beyond mean-field physics. As $C_{i j}(0)=0$, a scrambling time $[28,29]$ is determined by observing the evolution from the product state to a correlated state.
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