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Simulating nonlinear dynamics of collective spins via quantum measurement and feedback
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We study a method to simulate quantum many-body dynamics of spin ensembles using measurement-based feedback. By performing a weak collective measurement on a large ensemble of two-level quantum systems and applying global rotations conditioned on the measurement outcome, one can simulate the dynamics of a mean-field quantum kicked top, a standard paradigm of quantum chaos. We analytically show that there exists a regime in which individual quantum trajectories adequately recover the classical limit, and show the transition between noisy quantum dynamics to full deterministic chaos described by classical Lyapunov exponents. We also analyze the effects of decoherence, and show that the proposed scheme represents a robust method to explore the emergence of chaos from complex quantum dynamics in a realistic experimental platform based on an atom-light interface.

The increasing level of precision achieved in both control and measurement of microscopic systems over the past decades is paving the way for using quantum systems as powerful simulators. In this paradigm, precise manipulation of a quantum system leads to the effective engineering of a particular physical model from which, in principle, one could extract quantities of interest which might not be accessible from a simulation on a classical device. In recent years prototypes of quantum simulators have been demonstrated in a variety of platforms, including trapped ions [1–3], cold atoms [4–9], superconducting qubits [10], and photonic systems [11].

Although it is unclear whether current devices can reliably simulate complex dynamics beyond the capabilities of classical computers [12], recent explorations of small scale quantum simulations have proven to be interesting by themselves. For instance, simulations of interacting models which are native to cold atom implementations have led to new theoretical insights for weak ergodicity breaking [6, 13, 14]. Quantum simulators can also be used to explore fundamental questions such as the emergence of classical behavior from quantum mechanics, typically referred to as the quantum-to-classical transition. This topic has motivated a vast amount of theoretical studies on different aspects of the problem, including the origin of quantum interference damping [15, 16], the emergence of classical reality [17, 18] and the role of the observer [19, 20], alongside some experimental realizations [21–24].

A long standing question is how the quantum-to-classical transition occurs for chaotic systems [25–27], given that unitary dynamics of closed quantum systems do not display exponential sensitivity to initial conditions [28, 29], and in the chaotic regime, quantum dynamics follows classical motion only up to a time that is logarithmic in the system size (i.e. the Ehrenfest time) [30].

Chaos in quantum dynamics has been understood from a variety of perspectives [31–35]. One compelling approach is the emergence of classical chaos in the macroscopic limit of quantum systems as seen in the quantum trajectories associated with a continuous measurement [36–40]. Particularly, Bhattacharya et al. [36] showed that when the measurement is strong enough to keep a quantum wave packet localized along the classical trajectory, but weak enough that measurement backaction does not dominate over Hamiltonian dynamics, the quantum trajectories display the correct Lyapunov exponents. Here, the effect of (nonprojective) measurements prevents the emergence of interference between spatially distant regions of phase space, thus effectively extending the Ehrenfest time to arbitrarily long time scales. A practical path to direct experimental observation of quantum trajectories characterized by a positive Lyapunov exponents, however, remains an open challenge [41].

In this Letter we propose a method to implement quantum simulations that are especially suited for exploring the emergence of chaos in quantum trajectories [41–43]. More generally, this proposal allows for the simulation of nonlinear dynamics in quantum systems described by
collective spin variables, and thus constitutes a platform to explore complex phenomena such as phase transitions and criticality, [44, 45]. The method is based on performing a series of weak (nonprojective) measurements followed by global unitary control maps conditioned on the measurement outcome, see Fig. 1. Our method is similar in spirit to the one originally proposed by Lloyd and Sloi
tine [46], who studied how measurement-based feedback could be used to simulate a novel form of chaos. Here we apply this procedure to study the simulation of mean-field dynamics which are chaotic in the thermodynamic limit. In particular, we simulate the kicked top (KT), a paradigmatic example of both classical and quantum chaos [47–50]. We prove that the unconditioned dynamics generated by our protocol is a dephased version of the quantum KT (QKT), while the conditioned quantum trajectories continuously approach the classical KT (CKT) dynamics as the size of the ensemble grows, and display the correct, positive largest Lyapunov exponent. Finally, we explore a potential experimental implementation based on an atom-light interface [51, 52] and show that our results can be robust to the effects of decoherence.

Consider an ensemble of $N$ noninteracting two-level systems described by collective spin operators $\hat{J} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\sigma}_i$, where $\hat{\sigma}_i$ is a vector of Pauli matrices acting on the $i$-th particle. We take the system initially prepared in a spin coherent state (SCS), i.e., a product state of the form $\left| \gamma_n \right>^\otimes N$, where $\gamma_n \leftrightarrow (\theta, \phi)$ is an arbitrary direction on the unit sphere. Each step of our protocol consists of two consecutive operations: (i) a nonprojective measurement of the $J_z$ component of the collective spin, (ii) a unitary map conditioned on the measurement outcome. We consider measurements with Gaussian noise [53], yielding Kraus operators of the form

$$\hat{K}_m = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sigma^2)^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (J_z - m)^2},$$

(1)

where $\sigma$ is the measurement resolution and $m$ is the measurement outcome, sampled with probability $P_m = \langle \psi | \hat{K}_m^\dagger \hat{K}_m | \psi \rangle_i$. In each evolution step, the state is updated following quantum Bayes rule [54],

$$|\psi\rangle_{i+1} = \frac{\hat{K}_{\text{map}}(m)|\psi\rangle_i}{\sqrt{P_m}}, \text{ where } \hat{K}_{\text{map}} = \hat{U}(f(m)) \hat{K}_m.$$

(2)

Here $\hat{U}(f(m))$ is an unitary operator conditioned, via a feedback policy $f(m)$, on the measurement outcome. $f(m)$ can be chosen with complete freedom, allowing the protocol to simulate different kinds of nonlinear dynamics in the collective spin variables. We will consider only global SU(2) rotations for our $f(m)$, since they can be implemented in state of the art experiments with relative ease [50, 55].

The dynamics of the QKT is governed by the Floquet operator [47]

$$\hat{U}_{\text{QKT}} = e^{i p J_y} e^{i \frac{J_z}{2}},$$

(3)

describing the collective spin $J = N/2$ periodically undergoing a “twist” around the z-axis characterized by strength $k$, followed by a rotation by angle $p$ about the y-axis. The classical limit of the Floquet map is obtained by considering the Heisenberg equations of motion in the limit $J \to \infty$, yielding a map for the vector $\mathbf{n} = (\langle J_z \rangle / J)$ [47]. The dynamics of the CKT is known to change from completely regular to fully chaotic as $k$ increases [47]. Quantum signatures of this transition have been extensively studied in terms of hypersensitivity to perturbations [33, 48] and generation of entanglement [56, 57]. For the remainder of this work, unless otherwise stated, we will use $p = \pi/2$.

To simulate the dynamics of the KT with our protocol, we note that the classical limit is equivalent to mean-field theory, where operators are replaced by their expected value, and correlations are neglected so that $kJ_z^2 \to 2k\langle J_z \rangle J_z$. We thus propose the feedback policy,

$$\hat{U}(f(m)) = \hat{U}_p \hat{U}_{k,m} = e^{i p J_y} e^{i \frac{J_z}{2}},$$

(4)

which preserves the form of the free rotation and replaces the twisting unitary by a rotation conditioned on $m$. When $m = \langle J_z \rangle$ we exactly recover the CKT.

To see the connection of our feedback-based map to the QKT, we consider the evolution obtained by averaging over all possible outcomes [53]. The state is now mixed, and the stroboscopic evolution of the density operator can be exactly obtained [58]. The resulting map reads

$$\hat{\rho}_{i+1} = \sum_m \hat{K}_{\text{map}}(m)\hat{\rho}_i \hat{K}_{\text{map}}(m)^\dagger \hat{U}_{\text{QKT}} \left( e^{\Gamma_{CD}[\hat{\rho}_i]} \right) \hat{U}_{\text{QKT}}^\dagger.$$

(5)

From Eq. (5) we see how the exact Floquet operator of the QKT emerges from our proposed scheme, in addition...
The state is expressed in the local basis on the plane, state vector and the H-P approximation for $N$.

$$\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle = \frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2J^2} + \frac{1}{8\sigma^2}. \quad (6)$$

The dephasing rate $\Gamma$ arises from two effects: randomness in the measurement outcome and measurement backaction. The first leads to randomness in the applied feedback, which increases with $\sigma^2$. The second decreases as $\sigma^{-2}$, as weaker measurement implies weaker backaction. The combination of both terms then has a minimum [58], yielding a regime of optimal tradeoff between information extraction and decoherence.

We now turn our attention to the conditioned dynamics of individual quantum trajectories. In this case, the state of the system depends on a series of measurement outcomes $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ where $n$ is the number of time evolution steps. We will show that the simulated complex dynamics can be characterized via positive Lyapunov exponents [59, 60], a signature of chaos and unpredictability [61]. These exponents are associated with quantum trajectories, in the limit where the measurement acts to keep the state of the system sufficiently localized in phase space, and the effect of measurement backaction is negligible when compared to the applied unitary rotation [36, 37].

We are interested in working close to the classical limit, which is achieved for large spin ensembles with $N \gg 1$, and for an optimal value of measurement resolution $\sigma$ allowing us to maximally extract an estimate of the mean field with minimal quantum backaction. In this limit, we can make the Holstein-Primakoff (H-P) approximation [62], and treat the state at all times as a Gaussian bosonic mode on a plane co-moving with the rotating Bloch vector. As shown in [58], this is an excellent approximation for the large ensembles under consideration here. In this approximation, the state is completely determined by the vector of mean values $\mathbf{n} = \langle \mathbf{J} \rangle / J$ and a $3 \times 3$ symmetric covariance matrix $\mathbf{V}$ defined as

$$\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2J} \left( \langle [\hat{J}_\alpha, \hat{J}_\beta] \rangle - 2\langle \hat{J}_\alpha \rangle \langle \hat{J}_\beta \rangle \right), \quad (7)$$

with $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$. At each time step, a different H-P plane is defined perpendicular to the direction of $\mathbf{n}$. The state is expressed in the local basis on the plane, $\mathbf{n}'$ and $\mathbf{V}'$, via a rotation matrix, $\mathcal{A}$, taking $(\hat{e}_x, \hat{e}_y, \hat{e}_z)$ to $(\hat{e}_n, \hat{e}_{n2}, \hat{e}_n)$. In this local basis the action of the Kraus operator only updates the subblocks of $\mathbf{n}'$ and $\mathbf{V}'$ corresponding to the two directions on the plane, while leaving the perpendicular one unchanged. The result is the familiar measurement-induced spin squeezing along the $P$ quadrature [63]. The unitary feedback operation is trivially represented as a rotation of the H-P plane conditioned on the measurement outcome. A particular example is shown in Fig. 2a where we compare the results for $\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle / J$ obtained with the full evolution of the state vector and the H-P approximation for $N = 10^3$.  

Using the H-P approximation, we can write down an analytic expression for the stroboscopic map evolving the normalized expectation values of the Cartesian components of $\mathbf{n}$, which reads

$$X_{i+1} = -Z_i + \eta_1 V_{22}^{(k)} (1 - Z_i)^2, \quad (8a)$$

$$Y_{i+1} = (1 - \eta_1 V_{22}^{(k)} Z_i) \left[ Y_i \cos (kZ_i + \eta_2) - X \sin (kZ_i + \eta_2) \right] + \eta_1 V_{12}^{(k)} \left[ X_i \cos (kZ_i + \eta_2) + Y \sin (kZ_i + \eta_2) \right], \quad (8b)$$

$$Z_{i+1} = (1 - \eta_1 V_{22}^{(k)} Z_i) \left[ X \cos (kZ_i + \eta_2) + Y \sin (kZ_i + \eta_2) \right] - \eta_2 V_{12}^{(k)} \left[ Y \cos (kZ_i + \eta_2) - X \sin (kZ_i + \eta_2) \right]. \quad (8c)$$

The covariance matrix evolves similarly by a stochastic map. The stochastic parts of these maps are characterized by $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$, which are normally distributed random variables with zero mean and variances given by

$$\sigma_1^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 + \Delta J^2}{\sigma^4}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^2 = k^2 \sigma^2 + \Delta J^2 J^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\Delta J^2$ is the spin uncertainty (“projection noise”). From these expressions it is easy to see that these random corrections $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ vanish as $J \to \infty$, and thus the map limits to the exact CKT dynamics.

For a finite-sized system, the stochastic corrections $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ quantify the effects of noise introduced due to the measurement backaction and an imperfect feedback operation, respectively. As in the case of the average map, one can find the value of measurement resolution which minimizes these effects (see [58]). We get a good estimate of the mean value of $J_z$ when the shot noise resolution of the meter is on the order of the projection noise of the
ment of a projection of $\hat{\tau}$ onto based on an atom-light interface. A QND measurement both the regular and the chaotic dynamics of the limit, our protocol simulates to a very good approximation and a set of nearby shadow initial conditions [36, 58].

For values of $\sigma/\sqrt{J}$ the average divergence rate between the fiducial initial condition and a set of nearby shadow initial conditions [36, 58]. For values of $N$ for which our protocol agrees with the CKT, Fig. 4c shows $\Lambda_{\text{Largest}}$ obtained with our protocol as a function of $k$. Taken together, the results demonstrate that, in the classical limit, our protocol simulates a very good approximation both the regular and the chaotic dynamics of the CKT.

Finally, we consider a possible realization of our protocol based on an atom-light interface. A QND measurement of a projection of $J$ can be implemented through the dispersive interaction between a laser probe and an ensemble of trapped laser-cooled atoms [51]. An example is the Faraday interaction, in which the polarization of an off-resonance laser probe rotates by an angle proportional to the collective spin magnetization along the direction of propagation. A subsequent measurement of the probe in a polarimeter provides a weak, continuous measurement record in a classical controller, which can be implemented through magnetic coils to drive spin rotations around different axes, conditioned on $m(t)$ as desired.

For this scheme, we study how decoherence during the course of measurement affects our ability to observe the quantum-to-classical transition. Measurement occurs at a rate at which photons are forward-scattered into the probe, $\kappa = (\sigma_0/A)\gamma_s$ where $\sigma_0$ is the resonant scattering cross section, $A$ is the effective beam area, and $\gamma_s$ is the photon scattering rate into $4\pi$ [51]. The measurement resolution variance $\sigma^2 = 1/\kappa T$, where $T$ is the duration of the measurement. During this time, photons will be diffusively scattered, leading to optical pumping and concomitant decoherence. The duration of measurement is chosen so that $\sigma^2 \approx \Delta J_\tau^2 \sim N$, which implies $\gamma_s T \sim 1/OD$, where $OD = N\sigma_0/A$ is the optical density, which plays the role of the cooperativity in the atom-light interface [52]. Thus, for sufficiently large $OD$, we expect to be able to extract significant information with minimal decoherence as illustrated in Fig. 4b.

We study this by simulating the measurement record in a simplified model of the atom-light interface. The
measurement outcome is given by the time average of the continuous measurement record, \( m = \int_0^T dt \mathcal{M}(t) \), where
\[
\mathcal{M}(t) dt = \text{Tr}(\rho(t) \hat{J}_z) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} dW,
\]
and \( dW \) is a Wiener increment [54]. The state of the atomic ensemble evolves according to a stochastic master equation [53, 73]
\[
d\rho = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} \mathcal{H}[\rho] dW + \frac{\kappa}{8} \mathcal{L}_D[\rho] dt + \gamma_n \sum_i \mathcal{D}_i[\rho] dt,
\]
where the map \( \mathcal{H}[\rho_A] = \{ \rho_A, \hat{J}_z \} - 2\langle \hat{J}_z \rangle \rho_A \) represents stochastic kicks and \( \mathcal{L}_D \) represents dephasing, as in Eq. (6). The additional map, \( \mathcal{D}[\rho_A] \), accounts for optical pumping on individual atoms in the ensemble [58].

Given the measurement record with decoherence, we calculate the similarity between phase spaces of the simulation and the CKT in the regular regime as follows. Let
\[
S(OD; \sigma, k, n) = \text{cor}(\theta_{\text{CKT}}, \theta) \text{cor}(\phi_{\text{CKT}}, \phi) |n|^{2 \min},
\]
where \( \text{cor}(A, B) \) is the Pearson correlation coefficient of the vectors \( A \) and \( B \), \( |n|^{2 \min} \) is the minimum norm squared among all of the vectors \( n \) which compose our simulated trajectory, and \( (\theta_{\text{CKT}}, \phi_{\text{CKT}}) \) and \( (\theta, \phi) \) are the CKT and our model trajectories, respectively. With this measure, using several initial conditions, we construct a point to point similarity map between the CKT and our model with decoherence. In Fig. 4a we show results for \( k = 1.5, n = 30 \) and OD = 300. We see a large portion of phase space which can be reproduced to a very high degree of accuracy. Interestingly, the regions of phase space which are harder to simulate correspond to fixed points and separatix lines.

To study how decoherence affects our ability to observe the chaotic behavior we calculated \( \Lambda_{\text{Largest}} \) as a function of \( k \) for \( N \sim 10^4 \), which fixes the value of OD = 300 (smaller OD values are analyzed in [58]). In Fig. 4d we observe good agreement with the CKT result, demonstrating that the protocol with modest decoherence is a robust scheme to explore the emergence of quantum trajectories characterized by the appropriate positive Lyapunov exponent.

In summary, we proposed a measurement-based feedback protocol to simulate complex nonlinear dynamics in collective spin systems. For a well-chosen feedback policy, we showed that the average evolution gives rise to the one-axis twisting Floquet map of the QKT. In the limit of large ensembles, individual quantum trajectories recover chaotic classical dynamics, characterized by the positive Lyapunov exponent of the CKT. Under a model implementation based on QND measurement in an atom-light interface and in the presence of decoherence, we explored conditions for which we can observe the quantum-to-classical transition. Our protocol opens the door to explorations of chaotic many-body dynamics [74] and their implications for quantum simulations.
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