



# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Time-Integrated Neutrino Source Searches with 10 Years of IceCube Data

M. G. Aartsen *et al.*

Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 051103 — Published 6 February 2020

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.051103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.051103)

# Time-integrated Neutrino Source Searches with 10 years of IceCube Data

M. G. Aartsen,<sup>16</sup> M. Ackermann,<sup>55</sup> J. Adams,<sup>16</sup> J. A. Aguilar,<sup>12</sup> M. Ahlers,<sup>20</sup> M. Ahrens,<sup>46</sup> C. Alispach,<sup>26</sup> K. Andeen,<sup>37</sup> T. Anderson,<sup>52</sup> I. Ansseau,<sup>12</sup> G. Anton,<sup>24</sup> C. Argüelles,<sup>14</sup> J. Auffenberg,<sup>1</sup> S. Axani,<sup>14</sup> P. Backes,<sup>1</sup> H. Bagherpour,<sup>16</sup> X. Bai,<sup>43</sup> A. Balagopal V.,<sup>29</sup> A. Barbano,<sup>26</sup> S. W. Barwick,<sup>28</sup> B. Bastian,<sup>55</sup> V. Baum,<sup>36</sup> S. Baur,<sup>12</sup> R. Bay,<sup>8</sup> J. J. Beatty,<sup>18,19</sup> K.-H. Becker,<sup>54</sup> J. Becker Tjus,<sup>11</sup> S. BenZvi,<sup>45</sup> D. Berley,<sup>17</sup> E. Bernardini,<sup>55</sup> D. Z. Besson,<sup>30</sup> G. Binder,<sup>8,9</sup> D. Bindig,<sup>54</sup> E. Blaufuss,<sup>17</sup> S. Blot,<sup>55</sup> C. Boehm,<sup>46</sup> M. Börner,<sup>21</sup> S. Böser,<sup>36</sup> O. Botner,<sup>53</sup> J. Böttcher,<sup>1</sup> E. Bourbeau,<sup>20</sup> J. Bourbeau,<sup>35</sup> F. Bradascio,<sup>55</sup> J. Braun,<sup>35</sup> S. Bron,<sup>26</sup> J. Brostean-Kaiser,<sup>55</sup> A. Burgman,<sup>53</sup> J. Buscher,<sup>1</sup> R. S. Busse,<sup>38</sup> T. Carver,<sup>26</sup> C. Chen,<sup>6</sup> E. Cheung,<sup>17</sup> D. Chirkin,<sup>35</sup> S. Choi,<sup>48</sup> K. Clark,<sup>31</sup> L. Classen,<sup>38</sup> A. Coleman,<sup>39</sup> G. H. Collin,<sup>14</sup> J. M. Conrad,<sup>14</sup> P. Coppin,<sup>13</sup> P. Correa,<sup>13</sup> D. F. Cowen,<sup>51,52</sup> R. Cross,<sup>45</sup> P. Dave,<sup>6</sup> C. De Clercq,<sup>13</sup> J. J. DeLaunay,<sup>52</sup> H. Dembinski,<sup>39</sup> K. Deoskar,<sup>46</sup> S. De Ridder,<sup>27</sup> P. Desiati,<sup>35</sup> K. D. de Vries,<sup>13</sup> G. de Wasseige,<sup>13</sup> M. de With,<sup>10</sup> T. DeYoung,<sup>22</sup> A. Diaz,<sup>14</sup> J. C. Díaz-Vélez,<sup>35</sup> H. Dujmovic,<sup>29</sup> M. Dunkman,<sup>52</sup> E. Dvorak,<sup>43</sup> B. Eberhardt,<sup>35</sup> T. Ehrhardt,<sup>36</sup> P. Eller,<sup>52</sup> R. Engel,<sup>29</sup> P. A. Evenson,<sup>39</sup> S. Fahey,<sup>35</sup> A. R. Fazely,<sup>7</sup> J. Felde,<sup>17</sup> K. Filimonov,<sup>8</sup> C. Finley,<sup>46</sup> D. Fox,<sup>51</sup> A. Franckowiak,<sup>55</sup> E. Friedman,<sup>17</sup> A. Fritz,<sup>36</sup> T. K. Gaisser,<sup>39</sup> J. Gallagher,<sup>34</sup> E. Ganster,<sup>1</sup> S. Garrappa,<sup>55</sup> L. Gerhardt,<sup>9</sup> K. Ghorbani,<sup>35</sup> T. Glauch,<sup>25</sup> T. Glüsenkamp,<sup>24</sup> A. Goldschmidt,<sup>9</sup> J. G. Gonzalez,<sup>39</sup> D. Grant,<sup>22</sup> Z. Griffith,<sup>35</sup> S. Griswold,<sup>45</sup> M. Günder,<sup>1</sup> M. Gündüz,<sup>11</sup> C. Haack,<sup>1</sup> A. Hallgren,<sup>53</sup> R. Halliday,<sup>22</sup> L. Halve,<sup>1</sup> F. Halzen,<sup>35</sup> K. Hanson,<sup>35</sup> A. Haungs,<sup>29</sup> D. Hebecker,<sup>10</sup> D. Heereman,<sup>12</sup> P. Heix,<sup>1</sup> K. Helbing,<sup>54</sup> R. Hellauer,<sup>17</sup> F. Henningsen,<sup>25</sup> S. Hickford,<sup>54</sup> J. Hignight,<sup>23</sup> G. C. Hill,<sup>2</sup> K. D. Hoffman,<sup>17</sup> R. Hoffmann,<sup>54</sup> T. Hoinka,<sup>21</sup> B. Hokanson-Fasig,<sup>35</sup> K. Hoshina,<sup>35</sup> F. Huang,<sup>52</sup> M. Huber,<sup>25</sup> T. Huber,<sup>29,55</sup> K. Hultqvist,<sup>46</sup> M. Hünnefeld,<sup>21</sup> R. Hussain,<sup>35</sup> S. In,<sup>48</sup> N. Iovine,<sup>12</sup> A. Ishihara,<sup>15</sup> G. S. Japaridze,<sup>5</sup> M. Jeong,<sup>48</sup> K. Jero,<sup>35</sup> B. J. P. Jones,<sup>4</sup> F. Jonske,<sup>1</sup> R. Joppe,<sup>1</sup> D. Kang,<sup>29</sup> W. Kang,<sup>48</sup> A. Kappes,<sup>38</sup> D. Kappesser,<sup>36</sup> T. Karg,<sup>55</sup> M. Karl,<sup>25</sup> A. Karle,<sup>35</sup> U. Katz,<sup>24</sup> M. Kauer,<sup>35</sup> J. L. Kelley,<sup>35</sup> A. Kheirandish,<sup>35</sup> J. Kim,<sup>48</sup> T. Kintscher,<sup>55</sup> J. Kiryluk,<sup>47</sup> T. Kittler,<sup>24</sup> S. R. Klein,<sup>8,9</sup> R. Koirala,<sup>39</sup> H. Kolanoski,<sup>10</sup> L. Köpke,<sup>36</sup> C. Kopper,<sup>22</sup> S. Kopper,<sup>50</sup> D. J. Koskinen,<sup>20</sup> M. Kowalski,<sup>10,55</sup> K. Krings,<sup>25</sup> G. Krückl,<sup>36</sup> N. Kulacz,<sup>23</sup> N. Kurahashi,<sup>42</sup> A. Kyriacou,<sup>2</sup> M. Labare,<sup>27</sup> J. L. Lanfranchi,<sup>52</sup> M. J. Larson,<sup>17</sup> F. Lauber,<sup>54</sup> J. P. Lazar,<sup>35</sup> K. Leonard,<sup>35</sup> A. Leszczyńska,<sup>29</sup> M. Leuermann,<sup>1</sup> Q. R. Liu,<sup>35</sup> E. Lohfink,<sup>36</sup> C. J. Lozano Mariscal,<sup>38</sup> L. Lu,<sup>15</sup> F. Lucarelli,<sup>26</sup> J. Lünemann,<sup>13</sup> W. Luszczak,<sup>35</sup> Y. Lyu,<sup>8,9</sup> W. Y. Ma,<sup>55</sup> J. Madsen,<sup>44</sup> G. Maggi,<sup>13</sup> K. B. M. Mahn,<sup>22</sup> Y. Makino,<sup>15</sup> P. Mallik,<sup>1</sup> K. Mallot,<sup>35</sup> S. Mancina,<sup>35</sup> I. C. Mariş,<sup>12</sup> R. Maruyama,<sup>40</sup> K. Mase,<sup>15</sup> H.S. Matis,<sup>9</sup> R. Maunu,<sup>17</sup> F. McNally,<sup>33</sup> K. Meagher,<sup>35</sup> M. Medici,<sup>20</sup> A. Medina,<sup>19</sup> M. Meier,<sup>21</sup> S. Meighen-Berger,<sup>25</sup> T. Menne,<sup>21</sup> G. Merino,<sup>35</sup> T. Meures,<sup>12</sup> J. Micallef,<sup>22</sup> D. Mockler,<sup>12</sup> G. Momenté,<sup>36</sup> T. Montaruli,<sup>26</sup> R. W. Moore,<sup>23</sup> R. Morse,<sup>35</sup> M. Moulai,<sup>14</sup> P. Muth,<sup>1</sup> R. Nagai,<sup>15</sup> U. Naumann,<sup>54</sup> G. Neer,<sup>22</sup> H. Niederhausen,<sup>25</sup> M. U. Nisa,<sup>22</sup> S. C. Nowicki,<sup>22</sup> D. R. Nygren,<sup>9</sup> A. Obertacke Pollmann,<sup>54</sup> M. Oehler,<sup>29</sup> A. Olivas,<sup>17</sup> A. O’Murchadha,<sup>12</sup> E. O’Sullivan,<sup>46</sup> T. Palczewski,<sup>8,9</sup> H. Pandya,<sup>39</sup> D. V. Pankova,<sup>52</sup> N. Park,<sup>35</sup> P. Peiffer,<sup>36</sup> C. Pérez de los Heros,<sup>53</sup> S. Philippen,<sup>1</sup> D. Pieloth,<sup>21</sup> E. Pinat,<sup>12</sup> A. Pizzuto,<sup>35</sup> M. Plum,<sup>37</sup> A. Porcelli,<sup>27</sup> P. B. Price,<sup>8</sup> G. T. Przybylski,<sup>9</sup> C. Raab,<sup>12</sup> A. Raissi,<sup>16</sup> M. Rameez,<sup>20</sup> L. Rauch,<sup>55</sup> K. Rawlins,<sup>3</sup> I. C. Rea,<sup>25</sup> R. Reimann,<sup>1</sup> B. Relethford,<sup>42</sup> M. Renschler,<sup>29</sup> G. Renzi,<sup>12</sup> E. Resconi,<sup>25</sup> W. Rhode,<sup>21</sup> M. Richman,<sup>42</sup> S. Robertson,<sup>9</sup> M. Rongen,<sup>1</sup> C. Rott,<sup>48</sup> T. Ruhe,<sup>21</sup> D. Ryckbosch,<sup>27</sup> D. Rysewyk,<sup>22</sup> I. Safa,<sup>35</sup> S. E. Sanchez Herrera,<sup>22</sup> A. Sandroock,<sup>21</sup> J. Sandroos,<sup>36</sup> M. Santander,<sup>50</sup> S. Sarkar,<sup>41</sup> S. Sarkar,<sup>23</sup> K. Satalecka,<sup>55</sup> M. Schaufel,<sup>1</sup> H. Schieler,<sup>29</sup> P. Schlunder,<sup>21</sup> T. Schmidt,<sup>17</sup> A. Schneider,<sup>35</sup> J. Schneider,<sup>24</sup> F. G. Schröder,<sup>29,39</sup> L. Schumacher,<sup>1</sup> S. Sclafani,<sup>42</sup> D. Seckel,<sup>39</sup> S. Seunarine,<sup>44</sup> S. Shefali,<sup>1</sup> M. Silva,<sup>35</sup> R. Snihur,<sup>35</sup> J. Soedingrekso,<sup>21</sup> D. Soldin,<sup>39</sup> M. Song,<sup>17</sup> G. M. Spiczak,<sup>44</sup> C. Spiering,<sup>55</sup> J. Stachurska,<sup>55</sup> M. Stamatikos,<sup>19</sup> T. Stanev,<sup>39</sup> R. Stein,<sup>55</sup> P. Steinmüller,<sup>29</sup> J. Stettner,<sup>1</sup> A. Steuer,<sup>36</sup> T. Stezelberger,<sup>9</sup> R. G. Stokstad,<sup>9</sup> A. Stöbl,<sup>15</sup> N. L. Strotjohann,<sup>55</sup> T. Stürwald,<sup>1</sup> T. Stuttard,<sup>20</sup> G. W. Sullivan,<sup>17</sup> I. Taboada,<sup>6</sup> F. Tenholt,<sup>11</sup> S. Ter-Antonyan,<sup>7</sup> A. Terliuk,<sup>55</sup> S. Tilav,<sup>39</sup> K. Tollefson,<sup>22</sup> L. Tomankova,<sup>11</sup> C. Tönnes,<sup>49</sup> S. Toscano,<sup>12</sup> D. Tosi,<sup>35</sup> A. Trettin,<sup>55</sup> M. Tselengidou,<sup>24</sup> C. F. Tung,<sup>6</sup> A. Turcati,<sup>25</sup> R. Turcotte,<sup>29</sup> C. F. Turley,<sup>52</sup> B. Ty,<sup>35</sup> E. Unger,<sup>53</sup> M. A. Unland Elorrieta,<sup>38</sup> M. Usner,<sup>55</sup> J. Vandenbroucke,<sup>35</sup> W. Van Driessche,<sup>27</sup> D. van Eijk,<sup>35</sup> N. van Eijndhoven,<sup>13</sup> S. Vanheule,<sup>27</sup> J. van Santen,<sup>55</sup> M. Vraeghe,<sup>27</sup> C. Walck,<sup>46</sup> A. Wallace,<sup>2</sup> M. Wallraff,<sup>1</sup> N. Wandkowsky,<sup>35</sup> T. B. Watson,<sup>4</sup> C. Weaver,<sup>23</sup> A. Weindl,<sup>29</sup> M. J. Weiss,<sup>52</sup> J. Weldert,<sup>36</sup> C. Wendt,<sup>35</sup> J. Werthebach,<sup>35</sup> B. J. Whelan,<sup>2</sup> N. Whitehorn,<sup>32</sup> K. Wiebe,<sup>36</sup> C. H. Wiebusch,<sup>1</sup> L. Wille,<sup>35</sup> D. R. Williams,<sup>50</sup> L. Wills,<sup>42</sup> M. Wolf,<sup>25</sup> J. Wood,<sup>35</sup> T. R. Wood,<sup>23</sup> K. Woschnagg,<sup>8</sup> G. Wrede,<sup>24</sup> D. L. Xu,<sup>35</sup> X. W. Xu,<sup>7</sup> Y. Xu,<sup>47</sup> J. P. Yanez,<sup>23</sup> G. Yodh,<sup>28</sup> S. Yoshida,<sup>15</sup> T. Yuan,<sup>35</sup> and M. Zöcklein<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 5005, Australia*

<sup>3</sup>*Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alaska Anchorage,*

- 3211 Providence Dr., Anchorage, AK 99508, USA
- <sup>4</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, 502 Yates St.,  
Science Hall Rm 108, Box 19059, Arlington, TX 76019, USA
- <sup>5</sup>CTSPS, Clark-Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA 30314, USA
- <sup>6</sup>School of Physics and Center for Relativistic Astrophysics,  
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- <sup>7</sup>Dept. of Physics, Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA
- <sup>8</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- <sup>9</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- <sup>10</sup>Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
- <sup>11</sup>Fakultät für Physik & Astronomie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
- <sup>12</sup>Université Libre de Bruxelles, Science Faculty CP230, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- <sup>13</sup>Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Dienst ELEM, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- <sup>14</sup>Dept. of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- <sup>15</sup>Dept. of Physics and Institute for Global Prominent Research, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
- <sup>16</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand
- <sup>17</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- <sup>18</sup>Dept. of Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- <sup>19</sup>Dept. of Physics and Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics,  
Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- <sup>20</sup>Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>21</sup>Dept. of Physics, TU Dortmund University, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
- <sup>22</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
- <sup>23</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E1
- <sup>24</sup>Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany
- <sup>25</sup>Physik-department, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany
- <sup>26</sup>Département de physique nucléaire et corpusculaire,  
Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève, Switzerland
- <sup>27</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
- <sup>28</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
- <sup>29</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut für Kernphysik, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
- <sup>30</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA
- <sup>31</sup>SNOLAB, 1039 Regional Road 24, Creighton Mine 9, Lively, ON, Canada P3Y 1N2
- <sup>32</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
- <sup>33</sup>Department of Physics, Mercer University, Macon, GA 31207-0001, USA
- <sup>34</sup>Dept. of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- <sup>35</sup>Dept. of Physics and Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center,  
University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- <sup>36</sup>Institute of Physics, University of Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
- <sup>37</sup>Department of Physics, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, 53201, USA
- <sup>38</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, D-48149 Münster, Germany
- <sup>39</sup>Bartol Research Institute and Dept. of Physics and Astronomy,  
University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
- <sup>40</sup>Dept. of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
- <sup>41</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK
- <sup>42</sup>Dept. of Physics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- <sup>43</sup>Physics Department, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD 57701, USA
- <sup>44</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Wisconsin, River Falls, WI 54022, USA
- <sup>45</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA
- <sup>46</sup>Oskar Klein Centre and Dept. of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>47</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA
- <sup>48</sup>Dept. of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
- <sup>49</sup>Institute of Basic Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
- <sup>50</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA
- <sup>51</sup>Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- <sup>52</sup>Dept. of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- <sup>53</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, S-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
- <sup>54</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of Wuppertal, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany
- <sup>55</sup>DESY, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

(Dated: January 10, 2020)

This paper presents the results from point-like neutrino source searches using ten years of IceCube data collected between Apr. 6, 2008 and Jul. 10, 2018. We evaluate the significance of an astrophysical signal from a point-like source looking for an excess of clustered neutrino events with energies

typically above  $\sim 1$  TeV among the background of atmospheric muons and neutrinos. We perform a full-sky scan, a search within a selected source catalog, a catalog population study, and three stacked Galactic catalog searches. The most significant point in the Northern hemisphere from scanning the sky is coincident with the Seyfert II galaxy NGC 1068, which was included in the source catalog search. The excess at the coordinates of NGC 1068 is inconsistent with background expectations at the level of  $2.9\sigma$  after accounting for statistical trials from the entire catalog. The combination of this result along with excesses observed at the coordinates of three other sources, including TXS 0506+056, suggests that, collectively, correlations with sources in the Northern catalog are inconsistent with background at  $3.3\sigma$  significance. The Southern catalog is consistent with background. These results, all based on searches for a cumulative neutrino signal integrated over the ten years of available data, motivate further study of these and similar sources, including time-dependent analyses, multimessenger correlations, and the possibility of stronger evidence with coming upgrades to the detector.

Cosmic rays (CRs) have been observed for over a hundred years [1] penetrating the entire surface of the Earth's atmosphere in the form of leptonic and hadronic charged particles with energies up to  $\sim 10^{20}$  eV [2]. These particles are heavily deflected on their journey to the Earth by magnetic fields and, although at energies  $\gtrsim 10^{19}$  eV this deflection could become much smaller, their origin is still largely unknown. Very-high-energy (VHE)  $\gamma$ -rays ( $E_\gamma > 100$  GeV) travel without deflection and so provide evidence for astrophysical acceleration sites. However, these photons can be produced by both leptonic and hadronic processes and are attenuated by extragalactic background light, meaning they cannot probe redshifts larger than  $z \sim 1$  at energies above  $\sim 1$  TeV. In comparison, only hadronic processes can produce an astrophysical neutrino flux which would travel unattenuated and undeflected from the source to the Earth. Thus, astrophysical neutrino observations are critical to identify CR sources, or to discover distant very-high-energy accelerators.

IceCube has discovered a flux of astrophysical neutrinos in multiple diffuse searches [3–6], which integrate observed emission over most of the sky. Notably, a potential neutrino source, TXS 0506+056, has been identified through a multi-messenger campaign around a high-energy IceCube event in Sep. 2017 [7]. IceCube also found evidence for neutrino emission over  $\sim 110$  days from 2014–15 at the location of TXS 0506+056 when examining over 9 years of archival data [8]. Nonetheless, the estimated flux from this source alone is less than 1% of the total astrophysical neutrino flux [3] and the contribution of a catalog of blazars as a population to the best fit total astrophysical neutrino flux between 10 TeV and 2 PeV is limited to 27% [9]. In this paper we search for various point-like neutrino sources using 10 years of IceCube observations.

The IceCube neutrino telescope is a cubic kilometer array of digital optical modules (DOMs) each containing a  $10''$  PMT [10] and on-board read-out electronics [11]. These DOMs are arranged in 86 strings between 1.45 and 2.45 km below the surface of the ice at the South Pole [12]. The DOMs are sensitive to Cherenkov light from energy losses of ultra-relativistic charged par-

ticles traversing the ice. This analysis targets astrophysical muon neutrinos and antineutrinos ( $\nu_\mu$ ), which undergo charged-current interactions in the ice to produce a muon traversing the detector. The majority of the background for this analysis originates from CRs interacting with the atmosphere to produce showers of particles including atmospheric muons and neutrinos. The atmospheric muons from the Southern hemisphere are able to penetrate the ice and are detected as track-like events in IceCube at a rate orders of magnitude higher than the corresponding atmospheric neutrinos [12]. Almost all of the atmospheric muons from the Northern hemisphere are filtered out by the Earth. However, poorly-reconstructed atmospheric muons from the Southern sky create a significant background in the Northern hemisphere. Atmospheric neutrinos also produce muons from charged-current  $\nu_\mu$  interactions, acting as an irreducible background in both hemispheres. Neutral-current interactions or  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_\tau$  charged-current interactions produce particle showers with spherical topology known as cascade events. Tracks at  $\sim$  TeV energies are reconstructed with a typical angular resolution of  $\lesssim 1^\circ$ , while cascades have an angular resolution of  $\sim 10^\circ - 15^\circ$  [13]. This analysis selects track-like events because of their better angular resolution. Tracks have the additional advantage that they can be used even if the neutrino interaction vertex is located outside of the detector. This greatly increases the detection efficiency.

During the first three years of data included here, IceCube was incomplete and functioned with 40, 59, and 79 strings. For these years and also during the first year of data taking of the full detector (IC86), the event selection and reconstruction was updated until it stabilized in 2012, as detailed in Table I. Seven years of tracks were previously analyzed to search for point sources [18]. Subsequently, an eight-year sample of tracks from the Northern sky used for diffuse muon neutrino searches was also analyzed looking for point sources [19]. The aim of this work is to introduce a selection which unifies the event filtering adopted in these two past searches. Additionally, the direction reconstruction [20, 21] has been updated to use the deposited event energy in the detector. This improves the angular resolution by more than

TABLE I. IceCube configuration, livetime, number of final track-like events, start and end date and published reference in which the sample selection is described.

| Data Samples |                 |                  |                         |            |           |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Year         | Livetime (Days) | Number of Events | Start Day               | End Day    | Ref.      |
| IC40         | 376.4           | 36900            | 2008/04/06              | 2009/05/20 | [14]      |
| IC59         | 352.6           | 107011           | 2009/05/20              | 2010/05/31 | [15]      |
| IC79         | 316.0           | 93133            | 2010/06/01              | 2011/05/13 | [16]      |
| IC86-2011    | 332.9           | 136244           | 2011/05/13              | 2012/05/15 | [17]      |
| IC86-2012-18 | 2198.2          | 760923           | 2012/04/26 <sup>a</sup> | 2018/07/10 | This work |

<sup>a</sup> start date for test runs of the new processing. The remainder of this run began 2012/05/15

10% for events above 10 TeV compared to the seven-year study [18], and achieves a similar angular resolution as the eight-year Northern diffuse track selection [19], which also uses deposited event energy in the direction reconstruction (see Fig. 1). The absolute pointing accuracy of IceCube by combining many events has been demonstrated to be  $\lesssim 0.2^\circ$  [22] via measurements of the effect of the Moon shadow on the background CR flux.

Different criteria are applied to select track-like events from the Northern and Southern hemisphere (with a boundary between them at declination  $\delta = -5^\circ$ ), because the background differs in these two regions. Almost all the atmospheric muons in the Northern hemisphere can be removed by selecting high-quality track-like events. In the Southern hemisphere, the atmospheric background is reduced by strict cuts on the reconstruction quality and minimum energy deposited in the detector, since the astrophysical neutrino fluxes are expected to have a harder energy spectrum than the background of atmospheric muons and neutrinos. This effectively removes almost all Southern hemisphere events with an estimated energy below  $\sim 10$  TeV [23].

In both hemispheres, atmospheric muons and cascade events are further filtered using multi-variate Boosted Decision Trees (BDTs). In this analysis, a single BDT is trained to recognize three classes of events in the Northern hemisphere: single muon tracks from atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos, atmospheric muons, and cascades, where neutrino-induced tracks are treated as signal. This BDT uses 11 variables related to event topology and reconstruction quality. When applied to simulated events, the Northern BDT preserves  $\sim 90\%$  of the atmospheric neutrinos and  $\sim 0.1\%$  of the atmospheric muons from the initial selection of track-like events, also applied in previous muon neutrino searches [18, 19]. In the Southern hemisphere, the BDT and selection filters are taken from Ref. [18]. The final all-sky event rate of




FIG. 1. The median angle between simulated neutrino and reconstructed muon directions as a function of energy for the data selection used in the latest 6 years compared to that in Ref. [18] (solid and dashed lines are for Northern and Southern hemispheres respectively) and in Ref. [19] for the Northern hemisphere. The differences between hemispheres come from the differences in the background composition and the respective selection criteria.

$\sim 2$  mHz is dominated by muons from atmospheric neutrinos in the Northern hemisphere and by high-energy, well-reconstructed muons in the Southern hemisphere. This updated selection applied to the final 6 years of data shown in Table I. The preceding four years of data are handled exactly as in the past.

The point-source searches conducted in this paper use the existing maximum-likelihood ratio method which compares the hypothesis of point-like signal plus diffuse background versus a background-only null hypothesis. All of the searches and source catalogs were pre-defined before any of the following results were obtained. This technique, described in Refs. [14, 24], was also applied in the seven and eight-year point source searches [18, 19]. The all-sky scan and the selected source catalog searches look for directions which maximize the likelihood-ratio in the Northern and Southern hemisphere separately. Since this analysis assumes point-like sources, it is sub-optimal to those with extended neutrino emission regions. The sensitivity of this analysis to a neutrino flux with an  $E^{-2}$  spectrum, calculated according to [14], shows a  $\sim 35\%$  improvement compared to the seven-year all-sky search [18] due to the longer livetime, updated event selection, and updated reconstructions. While the sensitivity in the Northern hemisphere is comparable to the eight-year study for an  $E^{-2}$  spectrum [19], the analysis presented in this work achieves a  $\sim 30\%$  improvement in sensitivity to sources with a softer spectrum, such as  $E^{-3}$ . This difference is due to the more general nature of this work which assumes an  $E^{-\gamma}$  power-law energy spectrum, where  $1 \leq \gamma \leq 4$ , whereas the eight-year study targets the sources responsible for the diffuse astrophysi-

cal neutrino flux seen in [25] by applying a strict Gaussian prior on the spectral index,  $\gamma$ , centered at  $2.19 \pm 0.1$ .

*All-Sky Scan:* The brightest sources of astrophysical neutrinos may differ from the brightest sources observed in the electromagnetic (EM) spectrum. For example, cosmic accelerators can be surrounded by a dense medium which attenuates photon emission while neutrinos could be further generated by cosmic-ray interactions in the medium. For this reason, a general all-sky search for the brightest single point-like neutrino source in each hemisphere is conducted that is unbiased by EM observations. This involves maximizing the signal-over-background likelihood-ratio at a grid of points across the entire sky with a finer spacing ( $\sim 0.1^\circ \times \sim 0.1^\circ$ ) than the typical event angular uncertainty. The points within  $8^\circ$  of the celestial poles are excluded due to poor statistics and limitations in the background estimation technique.

At each position on the grid, the likelihood-ratio function is maximized resulting in a maximum test-statistic (TS), a best fit number of astrophysical neutrino events ( $\hat{n}_s$ ), and the spectral index ( $\hat{\gamma}$ ) for an assumed power-law energy spectrum. The local pre-trial probability (p-value) of obtaining the given or larger TS value at a certain location from only background is estimated at every grid point by fitting the TS distribution from many background trials with a  $\chi^2$  function. Each background trial is obtained from the data themselves by scrambling the right ascension of each event, thereby removing any clustering of the signal. The location of the most significant p-value in each hemisphere is defined to be the hottest spot. The post-trial probability is estimated by comparing the p-value of the hottest spot in the data with a distribution of hottest spots in the corresponding hemisphere from a large number of background trials.

The most significant point in the Northern hemisphere is found at equatorial coordinates (J2000) right ascension  $40.9^\circ$ , declination  $-0.3^\circ$  with a local p-value of  $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ . The best fit parameters at this spot are  $\hat{n}_s = 61.5$  and  $\hat{\gamma} = 3.4$ . Considering the trials from examining the entire hemisphere increases the p-value to  $9.9 \times 10^{-2}$  post-trial. The probability skymap in a  $3^\circ$  by  $3^\circ$  window around the most significant point in the Northern hemisphere is plotted in Fig. 2. This point is found  $0.35^\circ$  from the active galaxy NGC 1068, which is independently included as a source in the Northern source catalog. To study whether the  $0.35^\circ$  offset between the all-sky hotspot and NGC 1068 is typical of the reconstruction uncertainty of a neutrino source, we inject a soft-spectrum source according to the best-fit  $E^{-3.2}$  flux at the *Fermi*-LAT coordinates for NGC 1068 into our background samples. Scanning in a  $5^\circ$  window around the injection point, we find that the median separation between the most significant hotspot and the injection point is  $0.35^\circ$ . Thus, if the excess is due to an astrophysical signal from NGC 1068, the offset between the all-sky hotspot and *Fermi*-LAT's coordinates is consistent with the IceCube angular




FIG. 2. Local pre-trial p-value map around the most significant point in the Northern hemisphere. The black cross marks the coordinates of the galaxy NGC 1068 taken from *Fermi*-4FGL.




FIG. 3. 90% C.L. median sensitivity and  $5\sigma$  discovery potential as a function of source declination for a neutrino source with an  $E^{-2}$  and  $E^{-3}$  spectrum. The 90% upper-limits are shown excluding an  $E^{-2}$  and  $E^{-3}$  source spectrum for the sources in the source list. The grey curves show the 90% C.L. median sensitivity from 11 yrs of ANTARES data [26].

resolution for such a source.

The most significant hotspot in the Southern hemisphere, at right ascension  $350.2^\circ$  and declination  $-56.5^\circ$ , is less significant with a pre-trial p-value of  $4.3 \times 10^{-6}$  and fit parameters  $\hat{n}_s = 17.8$ , and  $\hat{\gamma} = 3.3$ . The p-value of this hotspot becomes 0.75 post-trial. Both hotspots alone are consistent with a background-only hypothesis.

*Source Catalog Searches:* The motivation of this search is to improve sensitivity to detect possible neutrino sources already observed in  $\gamma$ -rays. A new catalog composed of 110 sources has been constructed which updates the catalog used in previous sources searches [18].

The new catalog uses the latest  $\gamma$ -ray observations and is based on rigorous application of a few simple criteria, described below. The size of the catalog was chosen to limit the trials factor applied to the most significant source in the catalog such that a  $5\sigma$  significance before trials would remain above  $4\sigma$  after trials. These 110 sources are composed of Galactic and extragalactic sources which are selected separately.

The extragalactic sources are selected from the *Fermi*-LAT 4FGL catalog [27] since it provides the highest-energy unbiased measurements of  $\gamma$ -ray sources over the full sky. Sources from 4FGL are weighted according to the integral *Fermi*-LAT flux above 1 GeV divided by the sensitivity flux for this analysis at the respective source declination. The 5% highest-weighted BL Lacs and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) are each selected. The minimum weighted integral flux from the combined selection of BL Lac and FSRQs is used as a flux threshold to include sources marked as unidentified blazars and AGN. Eight 4FGL sources are identified as starburst galaxies. Since these types of objects are thought to host hadronic emission [28, 29], they are all included in the final source list. The blazar TXS 0506+056 is selected in the top 5% of BL Lacs due to its high luminosity in  $\gamma$ -rays and its location in the most sensitive region of the sky for IceCube.

To select Galactic sources, we consider measurements of VHE  $\gamma$ -ray sources from TeVCat [30, 31] and gammaCat [32]. Spectra of the  $\gamma$ -rays were converted to equivalent neutrino fluxes, assuming a purely hadronic origin of the observed  $\gamma$ -ray emission where  $E_\gamma \simeq 2E_\nu$ , and compared to the sensitivity of this analysis at the declination of the source (Fig. 3). Those Galactic objects with predicted energy fluxes  $> 50\%$  of IceCube’s sensitivity limit for an  $E^{-2}$  spectrum, were included in the source catalog. A total of 12 Galactic  $\gamma$ -ray sources survived the selection.

The final list of neutrino source candidates is a Northern-sky catalog containing 97 objects (87 extragalactic and 10 Galactic) and a Southern-sky catalog containing 13 sources (11 extragalactic and 2 Galactic). The large North-South difference is due to the difference in the sensitivity of IceCube in the Northern and Southern hemispheres. The post-trial p-value for each catalog describes the significance of the single most significant source in the catalog and is calculated as the fraction of background trials where the pre-trial p-value of the most significant fluctuation is smaller than the pre-trial p-value found in data.

The obtained pre-trial p-values are provided in the supplementary material and their associated 90% C.L. flux upper-limits are shown in Fig. 3, together with the expected sensitivity and discovery potential fluxes. The most significant excess in the Northern catalog of 97 sources is found in the direction of the galaxy NGC 1068, analyzed for the first time by IceCube in this analysis,




FIG. 4. Likelihood map at the position of NGC 1068 as a function of the astrophysical flux spectral index and normalization at 1 TeV. Contours show 1, 2, 3, and 4 $\sigma$  confidence intervals assuming Wilks’ theorem with 2 degrees of freedom [33]. The best fit spectrum is point marked with “ $\times$ ”.

with a local pre-trial p-value of  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  ( $4.1\sigma$ ). The best fit parameters are  $\gamma = 3.2$  and  $\hat{n}_s = 50.4$ , consistent with the results for the all-sky Northern hottest spot,  $0.35^\circ$  away. From Fig. 2 it can be inferred that the significance of the all-sky hotspot and the excess at NGC 1068 are dominated by the same cluster of events. The parameters of the best fit spectrum at the coordinates of NGC 1068 are shown in Fig. 4. When the significance of NGC 1068 is compared to the most significant excesses in the Northern catalog from many background trials, the post-trial significance is  $2.9\sigma$ .

Out of the 13 different source locations examined in the Southern catalog, the most significant excess has a pre-trial p-value of 0.06 in the direction of PKS 2233-148. The associated post-trial p-value is 0.55, which is consistent with background.

Four sources in the Northern catalog found a pre-trial p-value  $< 0.01$ : NGC 1068, TXS 0506+056, PKS 1424+240, and GB6 J1542+6129. Evidence has been presented for TXS 0506+056 to be a neutrino source [8] using an overlapping event selection in a time-dependent analysis. However, TXS 0506+056 was included in the Northern catalog independently of this result due to its relatively high  $\gamma$ -ray flux observed by *Fermi*-LAT. In this work, in which we only consider the cumulative signal integrated over ten years, we find a pre-trial significance of  $3.6\sigma$  at the coordinates of TXS 0506+056 for a best fit spectrum of  $E^{-2.1}$ , consistent with previous results.

In addition to the single source search, a source population study is conducted to understand if excesses from several sources, each not yet at evidence level, can cumu-

latively indicate a population of neutrino sources in the catalog.

The population study uses the pre-trial p-values of each source in the catalog and searches for an excess in the number of small p-values compared to the uniform background expectation. If the number of objects in the search catalog is  $N$ , and the number of sources below a given threshold  $p_k$  is  $k$ , then the probability of background producing  $k$  or more sources with p-values smaller than  $p_k$  is given by the cumulative binomial probability:

$$p_{\text{bkg}} = \sum_{i=k}^N P_{\text{binom}}(i|p_k, N) = \sum_{i=k}^N \binom{N}{i} p_k^i (1 - p_k)^{N-i} . \quad (1)$$

In order to maximize sensitivity to any possible population size of neutrino sources within the catalog, the probability threshold ( $p_k$ ) is increased iteratively to vary  $k$  between 1 and  $N$ . The result of this search is the most significant  $p_{\text{bkg}}$  from  $N$  different tested values of  $k$ , then the post-trial p-value from this search must take into account a trial factor for the different tested values of  $k$ .

The most significant  $p_{\text{bkg}}$  from the Northern catalog population analysis is  $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$  ( $4.0 \sigma$ ) which is found when  $k = 4$ . The four most significant sources which contribute to this excess are those with p-value  $< 0.01$  as described above. When accounting for the fact that different signal population sizes are tested, the post-trial p-value is  $4.8 \times 10^{-4}$  ( $3.3 \sigma$ ). Since evidence has already been presented for TXS 0506+056 to be a neutrino source [8], an *a posteriori* search is conducted removing this source from the catalog. The resulting most significant excess is  $2.3 \sigma$  post-trial due to the remaining three most significant sources. For the Southern catalog, the pre-trial p-value of the most significant excess is 0.12, provided by 5 of the 13 sources. The resulting post-trial p-value is 0.36.

*Stacked Source Searches* In the case of catalogs of sources that produce similar fluxes, stacking searches require a lower flux per source for a discovery than considering each source individually. Three catalogs of Galactic  $\gamma$ -ray sources are stacked in this paper. Sources are selected from VHE  $\gamma$ -ray measurements and categorized into pulsar wind nebulae (PWN), supernova remnants (SNR) and unidentified objects (UNID), with the aim of grouping objects likely to have similar properties as Galactic neutrino emitters. The final groups consist of 33 PWN, 23 SNR, and 58 UNID described in the supplementary material. A weighting scheme is adopted to describe the relative contribution expected from each source in a single catalog based on the integral of the extrapolated  $\gamma$ -ray flux above 10 TeV. All three catalogs find p-values  $> 0.1$ .

*Conclusion* This paper presents an updated event selection optimized for point-like neutrino source signals applied to 10 years of IceCube data taken from April

TABLE II. Summary of final p-values (pre-trial and post-trial) for each point-like source search implemented in this paper.

| Analysis              | Category | Pre-trial<br>cance ( $p_{\text{local}}$ ) | signifi-<br>Post-trial<br>significance |
|-----------------------|----------|---|--|
| All-Sky               | North    | $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$                      | $9.9 \times 10^{-2}$                   |
| Scan                  | South    | $4.3 \times 10^{-6}$                      | 0.75                                   |
| Source List           | North    | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$                      | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ( $2.9 \sigma$ )  |
|                       | South    | $5.9 \times 10^{-2}$                      | 0.55                                   |
| Catalog<br>Population | North    | $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$                      | $4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ( $3.3 \sigma$ )  |
|                       | South    | 0.12                                      | 0.36                                   |
| Stacking              | SNR      | –   | 0.11                                   |
| Search                | PWN      | –   | 1.0                                    |
|                       | UNID     | –   | 0.4                                    |

2008 to July 2018. Multiple neutrino source searches are performed: an all-sky scan, a source catalog and corresponding catalog population study for each hemisphere, and 3 stacked Galactic-source searches.

The results of these analyses, all searching for cumulative neutrino signals integrated over the 10 years of data-taking, are summarized in Table II. The most significant source in the Northern catalog, NGC 1068, is inconsistent with a background-only hypothesis at  $2.9 \sigma$  due to being located  $0.35^\circ$  from the most significant excess in the Northern hemisphere and the Northern source catalog provides a  $3.3 \sigma$  inconsistency with a background-only hypothesis for the entire catalog. This result comes from an excess of significant p-values in the directions of the Seyfert II galaxy NGC 1068, the blazar TXS 0506+056, and the BL Lacs PKS 1424+240 and GB6 J1542+6129. NGC 1068, at a 14.4 Mpc distance, is the most luminous Seyfert II galaxy detected by *Fermi*-LAT [34]. NGC 1068 is an observed particle accelerator, charged particles are accelerated in the jet of the AGN or in the AGN-driven molecular wind [35], producing  $\gamma$ -rays and potentially neutrinos. Other work has previously indicated NGC 1068 as a potential CR accelerator [28, 36, 37]. Assuming that the observed excess is indeed of astrophysical origin and connected with NGC 1068, the best-fit neutrino spectrum inferred from this work is significantly higher than that predicted from models developed to explain the *Fermi*-LAT gamma-ray measurements [38]. However, the large uncertainty from our spectral measurement and the high X-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray absorption along the line of sight [39, 40] prevent a straight forward connection. Time-dependent analyses and the possibility of correlating with multimessenger observations for this and other sources may provide additional evidence of neutrino emission and insights into its origin. Continued data-taking, more refined event reconstruction, and the planned upgrade of IceCube promise further improvements in sensitivity [41].

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the following agencies and institutions: USA – U.S.



National Science Foundation-Office of Polar Programs, U.S. National Science Foundation-Physics Division, Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Center for High Throughput Computing (CHTC) at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Open Science Grid (OSG), Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), U.S. Department of Energy-National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, Particle astrophysics research computing center at the University of Maryland, Institute for Cyber-Enabled Research at Michigan State University, and Astroparticle physics computational facility at Marquette University; Belgium – Funds for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS and FWO), FWO Odysseus and Big Science programmes, and Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (Belspo); Germany – Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP), Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY), and High Performance Computing cluster of the RWTH Aachen; Sweden – Swedish Research Council, Swedish Polar Research Secretariat, Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC), and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation; Australia – Australian Research Council; Canada – Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Calcul Québec, Compute Ontario, Canada Foundation for Innovation, WestGrid, and Compute Canada; Denmark – Villum Fonden, Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Carlsberg Foundation; New Zealand – Marsden Fund; Japan – Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) and Institute for Global Prominent Research (IGPR) of Chiba University; Korea – National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Switzerland – Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF); United Kingdom – Department of Physics, University of Oxford.

---

[1] Victor F. Hess, “Über Beobachtungen der durchdringenden Strahlung bei sieben Freiballonfahrten,” *Phys. Z.* **13**, 1084–1091 (1912).

[2] D. J. Bird *et al.*, “Detection of a cosmic ray with measured energy well beyond the expected spectral cutoff due to cosmic microwave radiation,” *Astrophys. J.* **441**, 144–150 (1995), arXiv:astro-ph/9410067 [astro-ph].

[3] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Observation and Characterization of a Cosmic Muon Neutrino Flux from the Northern Hemisphere using six years of IceCube data,” *Astrophys. J.* **833**, 3 (2016), arXiv:1607.08006 [astro-ph.HE].

[4] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “The IceCube Neutrino Observatory - Contributions to ICRC 2017 Part II: Properties of the Atmospheric and Astrophysical Neutrino Flux,” *PoS* (2017), arXiv:1710.01191 [astro-ph.HE].

[5] Aartsen, M., *et al.*, “*Evidence for High-Energy Extrater-*

*restrial Neutrinos at the IceCube Detector*,” *Science* **342**, 1242856 (2013).

[6] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Observation of High-Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos in Three Years of IceCube Data,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 101101 (2014), arXiv:1405.5303 [astro-ph.HE].

[7] Aartsen *et al.*, “Multimessenger observations of a flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino icecube-170922a,” *Science* **361** (2018), 10.1126/science.aat1378.

[8] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Neutrino emission from the direction of the blazar TXS 0506+056 prior to the IceCube-170922A alert,” *Science* **361**, 147–151 (2018), arXiv:1807.08794 [astro-ph.HE].

[9] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “The contribution of Fermi-2LAC blazars to the diffuse TeV-PeV neutrino flux,” *Astrophys. J.* **835**, 45 (2017), arXiv:1611.03874 [astro-ph.HE].

[10] R. Abbasi *et al.*, “Calibration and characterization of the IceCube photomultiplier tube,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A* **618**, 139–152 (2010), arXiv:1002.2442 [astro-ph.IM].

[11] R. Abbasi *et al.* (IceCube), “The IceCube Data Acquisition System: Signal Capture, Digitization, and Timestamping,” *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A601**, 294–316 (2009), arXiv:0810.4930 [physics.ins-det].

[12] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “The IceCube Neutrino Observatory: Instrumentation and Online Systems,” *JINST* **12**, P03012 (2017), arXiv:1612.05093 [astro-ph.IM].

[13] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Search for astrophysical sources of neutrinos using cascade events in IceCube,” *Astrophys. J.* **846**, 136 (2017), arXiv:1705.02383 [astro-ph.HE].

[14] R. Abbasi *et al.* (IceCube), “Time-Integrated Searches for Point-like Sources of Neutrinos with the 40-String IceCube Detector,” *Astrophys. J.* **732**, 18 (2011), arXiv:1012.2137 [astro-ph.HE].

[15] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Search for Time-independent Neutrino Emission from Astrophysical Sources with 3 yr of IceCube Data,” *Astrophys. J.* **779**, 132 (2013), arXiv:1307.6669 [astro-ph.HE].

[16] Kai Schatto, *Stacked searches for high-energy neutrinos from blazars with IceCube*, Ph.D. thesis, Mainz U. (2014-06-02).

[17] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Searches for Extended and Point-like Neutrino Sources with Four Years of IceCube Data,” *Astrophys. J.* **796**, 109 (2014), arXiv:1406.6757 [astro-ph.HE].

[18] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “All-sky Search for Time-integrated Neutrino Emission from Astrophysical Sources with 7 yr of IceCube Data,” *Astrophys. J.* **835**, 151 (2017), arXiv:1609.04981 [astro-ph.HE].

[19] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Search for steady point-like sources in the astrophysical muon neutrino flux with 8 years of IceCube data,” *Eur. Phys. J. C.* (2018), arXiv:1811.07979 [hep-ph].

[20] J. Ahrens *et al.* (AMANDA), “Muon track reconstruction and data selection techniques in AMANDA,” *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A524**, 169–194 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0407044 [astro-ph].

[21] M. G. Aartsen *et al.*, “Improvement in Fast Particle Track Reconstruction with Robust Statistics,” *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A736**, 143–149 (2014), arXiv:1308.5501 [astro-ph.IM].

- [22] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), “Observation of the cosmic-ray shadow of the Moon with IceCube,” *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 102004 (2014), arXiv:1305.6811 [astro-ph.HE].
- [23] See Supplemental Material [url] for more details on the properties of the event selection, catalogs of investigated astrophysical sources, further context for the observations around NGC 1068. This material includes Refs. [[34, 38, 40, 42–44]].
- [24] Jim Braun, Jon Dumm, Francesco De Palma, Chad Finley, Albrecht Karle, and Teresa Montaruli, “Methods for point source analysis in high energy neutrino telescopes,” *Astropart. Phys.* **29**, 299–305 (2008), arXiv:0801.1604 [astro-ph].
- [25] Christian Haack and Christopher Wiebusch (IceCube), “A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux using eight years of IceCube data.” *The Fluorescence detector Array of Single-pixel Telescopes: Contributions to the 35th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2017)*, PoS **ICRC2017**, 1005 (2018).
- [26] Julien Aublin, Giulia Illuminati, and Sergio Navas (ANTARES), “Searches for point-like sources of cosmic neutrinos with 11 years of ANTARES data,” (2019) arXiv:1908.08248 [astro-ph.HE].
- [27] The Fermi-LAT collaboration, “Fermi Large Area Telescope Fourth Source Catalog,” arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1902.10045 (2019), arXiv:1902.10045 [astro-ph.HE].
- [28] Abraham Loeb and Eli Waxman, “The Cumulative background of high energy neutrinos from starburst galaxies,” *JCAP* **0605**, 003 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601695 [astro-ph].
- [29] Kohta Murase, Markus Ahlers, and Brian C. Lacki, “Testing the Hadronuclear Origin of PeV Neutrinos Observed with IceCube,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88**, 121301 (2013), arXiv:1306.3417 [astro-ph.HE].
- [30] “TeVCat: online catalogue of TeV sources,” (2018), <http://tevcat.uchicago.edu/>.
- [31] S. P. Wakely and D. Horan, “TeVCat: An online catalog for Very High Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy,” *International Cosmic Ray Conference* **3**, 1341–1344 (2008).
- [32] “GammaCat: online catalogue of Gamma-ray sources,” (2018), <https://gamma-cat.readthedocs.io/data/overview.html>.
- [33] S. S. Wilks, “The Large-Sample Distribution of the Likelihood Ratio for Testing Composite Hypotheses,” *Annals Math. Statist.* **9**, 60–62 (1938).
- [34] M. et al. Ackermann, “GeV Observations of Star-forming Galaxies with the Fermi Large Area Telescope,” *Astrophys. J.* **755**, 164 (2012), arXiv:1206.1346 [astro-ph.HE].
- [35] A. Lamastra, F. Fiore, D. Guetta, L. A. Antonelli, S. Colafrancesco, N. Menci, S. Puccetti, A. Stamerra, and L. Zappacosta, “Galactic outflow driven by the active nucleus and the origin of the gamma-ray emission in NGC 1068,” *Astr. & Astrop.* **596**, A68 (2016), arXiv:1609.09664 [astro-ph.HE].
- [36] Tova M. Yoast-Hull, III Gallagher, J. S., Ellen G. Zweibel, and John E. Everett, “Active Galactic Nuclei, Neutrinos, and Interacting Cosmic Rays in NGC 253 and NGC 1068,” *Astrophys. J.* **780**, 137 (2014), arXiv:1311.5586 [astro-ph.HE].
- [37] Brian C. Lacki, Todd A. Thompson, Eliot Quataert, Abraham Loeb, and Eli Waxman, “On The GeV & TeV Detections of the Starburst Galaxies M82 & NGC 253,” *Astrophys. J.* **734**, 107 (2011), arXiv:1003.3257 [astro-ph.HE].
- [38] A. Lamastra, F. Fiore, D. Guetta, L. A. Antonelli, S. Colafrancesco, N. Menci, S. Puccetti, A. Stamerra, and L. Zappacosta, “Galactic outflow driven by the active nucleus and the origin of the gamma-ray emission in NGC 1068,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **596**, A68 (2016), arXiv:1609.09664 [astro-ph.HE].
- [39] Rafał Wojaczyński, Andrzej Niedźwiecki, Fu-Guo Xie, and Michał Szanecki, “Gamma-ray activity of Seyfert galaxies and constraints on hot accretion flows,” *Astr. & Astrop.* **584**, A20 (2015), arXiv:1505.07608 [astro-ph.HE].
- [40] A. Lamastra, N. Menci, F. Fiore, L. A. Antonelli, S. Colafrancesco, D. Guetta, and A. Stamerra, “Extragalactic gamma-ray background from AGN winds and star-forming galaxies in cosmological galaxy formation models,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **607**, A18 (2017), arXiv:1709.03497 [astro-ph.HE].
- [41] Jakob van Santen (IceCube Gen2), “IceCube-Gen2: the next-generation neutrino observatory for the South Pole,” *Contributions to the 35th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2017)*, PoS **ICRC2017**, 991 (2018).
- [42] Till Neunhoffer, “Estimating the angular resolution of tracks in neutrino telescopes based on a likelihood analysis,” *Astropart. Phys.* **25**, 220–225 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0403367 [astro-ph].
- [43] V. A. Acciari *et al.* (MAGIC), “Constraints on gamma-ray and neutrino emission from NGC 1068 with the MAGIC telescopes,” *Astrophys. J.* **883**, 135 (2019), arXiv:1906.10954 [astro-ph.HE].
- [44] F. Aharonian *et al.* (H.E.S.S.), “Observations of selected AGN with H.E.S.S.,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **441**, 465–472 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0507207 [astro-ph].