

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Substrate Roughness Speeds Up Segmental Dynamics of Thin Polymer Films

Anna Panagopoulou, Cristian Rodríguez-Tinoco, Ronald P. White, Jane E. G. Lipson, and Simone Napolitano

Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 027802 — Published 15 January 2020

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.027802

## Substrate roughness speeds up segmental dynamics of thin polymer films

Anna Panagopoulou<sup>1,§</sup> Cristian Rodríguez-Tinoco, <sup>1,§</sup> Ronald P. White,<sup>2</sup> Jane E. G. Lipson,<sup>2</sup> Simone Napolitano<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Polymer and Soft Matter Dynamics, Experimental Soft Matter and Thermal Physics (EST), Faculté des Sciences, Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Boulevard du Triomphe, Bruxelles 1050, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, 03755, Hanover, NH, USA

Abstract We show that the segmental mobility of thin films of poly(4-chlorostyrene) prepared under nonequilibrium conditions gets enhanced in proximity of rough substrates. This trend is in contrast to existing treatments of roughness which conclude it is a source of slower dynamics, and to measurements of thin films of poly(2-vinylpiridine), whose dynamics is roughnessinvariant. Our experimental evidence indicates the faster interfacial dynamics originate from a reduction in interfacial density, due to the non-complete filling of substrate asperities. Importantly, our results agree with the same scaling that describes the density dependence of bulk materials, correlating segmental mobility to an exponential term of the specific volume, and with empirical relations linking an increase in glass transition temperature to larger interfacial energy.

Keywords: spincast polymer films, interfacial interactions, substrate roughness, segmental mobility

Structural dynamics, the molecular mechanism associated to the vitrification of liquids, is altered by the presence of interfaces [1,2]. Because of the smaller number of neighbors (lower density,  $\rho$ ), molecules at the free surface with air are expected to experience faster dynamics [3-8].

Similarly, it has been reasoned that in proximity to rough substrates the structural relaxation time,  $\tau$ , should increase [9,10], because of the larger number of contacts with the substrate. This phenomenon mimics an increment in interfacial interactions (larger  $\rho$ ) [11,12].

Here, we confront the experimental reality that physical roughness, as for example that of the surface of a homogeneous material, exists in the form of a random distribution of heights defining hills and valleys [13], that can be quantified by the root mean square deviation from a flat surface,  $R_q$ . A polymer chain can make more contacts at the bottom of a valley (increase in interfacial density), which favors adsorption for enthalpic reasons, but based on entropic arguments, adsorption is more favorable at the top of a hill (reduction in interfacial density), because of the larger number of conformations a chain can adopt there [14,15]. The competition between these two opposing effects hints at the possibility to tune the density of a polymer coating, and hence segmental dynamics, by varying the physical and chemical nature of the interface. This is possible, for example, by altering the interfacial potential [16] or tuning the fractal nature of the surface [11].

We may consider another route: We take a system whose interfacial density increases upon adsorption, e.g. a polymer melt interacting with a rough substrate *via* van der Waals forces [16], and freeze its molecular conformations through adequate processing in a condition favoring migration to the hills. Such a case can be achieved by depositing an organic layer onto a rough substrate via spincoating [17,18], a technique that transforms – within a few seconds – a polymer solution into a melt. The related nonequilibrium conformations are known to persist for

timescales exceeding those of technological interest [19]. Under these circumstances the interfacial polymer layer is expected to have lower density than the bulk and an enhancement on glassy dynamics – similar to that experienced in proximity of free surfaces – should be observed. In this Letter, we present an experimental study on the structural relaxation of polymer thin films spincasted on layers of Aluminum of different roughness. Our results show an enhancement in relaxation rate, that is faster dynamics, upon increase of substrate roughness, in neat disagreement with conclusions from simulations that, in fact, are too simplified to capture the effects of truly rough substrates in equilibrated polymer melts. The deviation from bulk segmental time increases upon cooling, inducing a reduction – and not an increase – in glass transition temperature,  $T_g$ . We demonstrate that this unexpected trend is due to a reduction in density in proximity of rougher substrates. The results are discussed in terms of very stable nonequilibrium conformations [20], and within the framework of recent models considering a perturbation in interfacial free volume content (molecular packing) at the origin of confinement effects on glassy dynamics [21,22].

In our experiment, aiming at controlling the substrate roughness, we evaporated Aluminum onto glass substrates at different deposition rates [23].  $R_q$  was evaluated by topography images obtained via atomic force microscopy (AFM). Analysis of the power spectral density (PSD) confirmed the random character of the heterogeneity in the roughness of each Al substrate, and that larger  $R_q$  values corresponded to a frequency independent increase in PSD [24], see Figure 1 (and Supplemental Material [25]).[21,26-35] Based on these findings, in the rest of the text we will refer to the  $R_q$  value to identify the roughness of our samples. We investigated glassy dynamics in polymer films capped between Al layers (the lower being varied in roughness)

which allows for the application of small electric-fields, necessary to investigate the dielectric response of the systems and, hence, determine the value of segmental  $\tau$ .

We have started our investigation with extensive work on samples of polystyrene, PS, the most widely studied system in the thin films geometry. We noticed that polymer layers prepared on smooth substrates ( $R_q < 2$  nm) dewet during the annealing step above  $T_g$ , and that measurements on samples prepared on rougher substrates ( $R_q > 6$  nm) fail because of electric shortcuts.



**Figure 1** Structural relaxation of 20 nm thin films of P4CIS at T = 412 K (left panel), and P2VP at T = 403 K (right panel) deposited on Al substrates of different roughness. To ease reading only the contribution of segmental mobility is given in the main panels, while in the inset of the left panel we plotted the raw dielectric signal of films of P4CIS on the smoothest and the roughest substrate, solid lines through the experimental data are fits to the HN function [29]. In the inset of the right panel, power spectral density of the surface of Al substrates (see an example of 3D view in the bottom of the panel) with different  $R_q$  values (blue squares 2 nm, green circles: 4 nm, red triangles: 9 nm) as a function of the wavelength k; dashed lines are fits to the k-correlation, ABC function [13]. More details on fitting are provided in the SM [25].

Furthermore, due to the small dielectric signal of this system and the consequently low signal/noise ratio, we could not obtain reliable results. We, hence, focused on the roughness

range 2 <  $R_q$  (nm) < 6 and on polymers of higher polarity and considered poly (2-vinyl pyridine), P2VP and poly (4-chloro styrene), P4CIS. The two systems, though differing just slightly in their chemical structure, have a different sensitivity to volume and temperature, the two main parameters controlling structural relaxation in glass forming materials [36]. The segmental dynamics of P4CIS is particularly affected by volume perturbations [31,33,37,38], while the structural relaxation of P2VP is more sensitive to temperature [39]. Comparison of how roughness affects  $\tau$  in the two systems can thus help to discern the molecular origin of changes in segmental dynamics (that is, if the cause of such effect is or not related to a perturbation in specific volume) in the presence of nanostructured interfaces.



Figure 2 Upper panel, temperature dependence of the structural relaxation time for thin films of P2VP and P4ClS on substrates of different roughness; data of films (h>300 nm) sufficiently thick to exhibit bulk

response are also given (open symbols). The value of  $\tau$  for a 20 nm thin film of P4ClS (R<sub>q</sub> = 5.1 nm) annealed for more than 48h at 433K is indicated by a yellow star. Solid lines through experimental data are fit to the VTF equation. Lower panel shift in dynamic glass transition for 20 nm thin films of P4ClS (pink triangles) and P2VP (green hexagons) as a function of the substrate roughness.

For each polymer, we deposited the same solution under identical spinning conditions (speed = 4000 rpm, time = 1 min, acceleration= 3000 rpm/s) onto substrates of different  $R_q$ . This procedure allowed investigation of samples of constant thickness,  $h \approx 20$  nm. This thickness is sufficiently small to ensure that interfacial segmental dynamics have a relevant weight in the overall global response of the film and big enough to allow treating the effect of substrate roughness on the overall volume (<1%) as a perturbation [40]. The upper electrode was deposited simultaneously on all of the samples at constant evaporation rate (see SM [25] for more information on sample preparation). We did not consider varying the evaporation rate of the upper metallic layer, because this parameter would not affect the polymer/metal interface. Al, in fact, forms via weak dispersive forces very sharp interfaces when evaporated onto polymers and it does not diffuse into the polymer during the measurement time [27]. The fabricated nanocapacitors were placed in a dielectric cell and stabilized in a thermostat in a dry He atmosphere before investigation via an impedance analyzer (ModuLab XM MTS, Solartron Analytical), in a broad temperature and frequency range.

In Figure 1, the dielectric loss,  $\epsilon^{"}$ , of samples of P4ClS (left panel) and P2VP (right panel) is plotted in isothermal conditions against frequency, f, at different  $R_q$  values. For both polymers, a broad asymmetric peak, attributed to the ( $\alpha$ -) segmental relaxation, is present. The frequency of the maximum of such peak,  $f_{max}$ , provides a measurement of  $\tau$ , owing to the model free relation  $2\pi f_{max} \tau$ =1. As a first observation,  $R_q$  has different impact on P4ClS than on P2VP. The position of the frequency of the maximum of the peak moves significantly to higher frequencies with increasing  $R_q$  for P4ClS, implying an acceleration of molecular mobility, while no apparent deviation of the peak position is evident for P2VP. The temperature dependence of segmental  $\tau$  is presented in Figure 2 (top panel), for both polymers, together with the values of  $\tau$  for sufficiently thick bulk-like films (open symbols).

The trend described earlier upon increasing  $R_q$  is verified throughout a broad temperature range. Regardless of the polymer and the  $R_q$  value,  $\tau$  sharply increases upon cooling, following a trend in line with the Vogel- Fulcher-Tamman (VFT) equation, as expected for the temperature dependence of the  $\alpha$ -relaxation. The corresponding values of dynamic  $T_g$ , defined as the temperature at which  $\tau = 100$  s are given in the lower panel of Figure 2. While the  $T_g$  of P2VP appears roughness invariant, the dynamic glass transition temperature of P4CIS decreases by almost 10 K when  $R_q$  changes from 2.8 to 5.1 nm.

We remark that because the segment length of the chains is in both cases smaller than the smallest  $R_q$  value considered, the differences in dynamics cannot be imputed to a trivial difference in packing within the roughness asperities.

To understand the origin of the apparent discrepancy between experiments and simulations, and to clarify at molecular level the different response of the two polymers, we have performed a deeper analysis of the relaxation spectra and observed that the segmental process of P4ClS asymmetrically broadens towards higher frequencies at larger roughness. No significant change of the peak shape is detected for P2VP [41]. The  $\alpha$ -peak of bulk polymer melts will usually broaden at higher frequencies upon cooling, a trend that has been attributed to a larger hindrance in molecular motion at the length scale of segmental dynamics [42] – e.g. to the reduction in free volume content. This interpretation would not stand in this case, as the broadening is accompanied by a concomitant acceleration of segmental dynamics (reduction in  $\tau$ ).

Alternatively, in the case of confinement at the nanoscale level, broadening at higher frequencies might indicate the incorporation of faster relaxation modes in the distribution of relaxation times, due to geometrical constraints [43,44] or density variations upon packing frustration [45]. Based on all the trends here observed, and the peculiar sensitivities of the two systems to temperature and volume, we conjectured that the effect of substrate roughness on  $\tau$  should be related to a perturbation in interfacial free volume. This reasoning, in line with recent work on low molecular weight glass formers [46] and polymers [37], permits clarifying the origin of the insensitivity of the segmental time of P2VP to  $R_q$ . As the dynamics of this polymer is more sensitive to a change in temperature than in specific volume, a drop in density will not

particularly affect the interfacial segmental mobility of P2VP.

To complete our demonstration, we considered if the acceleration in dynamics for P4CIS could be related to topological features of rougher substrates, permitting a larger access to free volume. As the polymer solution wets the Al surface during spincoating, part of the monomers might penetrate into the confining voids induced by roughness. This condition is however unfavorable. Following Washburn theory of capillary flow [47], diffusion inside the voids is inhibited because of both the gradual reduction in pore diameter, *d*, and the increase in viscosity upon solvent evaporation during and after spincoating. Furthermore, when surrounded by molecules of a good solvent (as that used for spincoating) an entropic force [48] opposes the diffusion of chains into narrower environments, because the energy penalty of confinement increases with  $d^{-2}$ . Placed in proximity of the apex of an asperity, a macromolecule will migrate towards more open sections of the cavity. These conditions imply that the specific volume occupied by the polymer in the nanopores soon after spincoating is lower than in bulk, which is in line with the large experimental evidence indicating a reduction in density under 2D confinement [45]. For polymers such as P4CIS, where vitrification is more easily induced by densification than supercooling, confinement induced packing frustration is a source of fast dynamics. Because of the slow adsorption kinetics, it is possible to freeze P4CIS thin spincoated melts in a nonequilibrium state of low interfacial density for a time much longer than that required for measurements. While on moderately rough substrates ( $R_q \approx 3$  nm) bulk-like dynamics can be reached after annealing at 433 K ( $T_g$  + 35K) for 48h – the time necessary to complete the formation of an adsorbed layer [33] – on rougher substrates ( $\approx 5$  nm) only  $\approx 60\%$  of initial deviation from segmental mobility could be recovered in the same annealing conditions. We remark that this processing protocol requires timescales exceeding by far those necessary to equilibrate a bulk melt, which indicates the extremely high stability of the nonequilibrium states achieved by spincoating thin films on rough substrates.

In these conditions, a film supported on a rough substrate could be modeled as a membrane of equivalent specific volume, freely-suspended on pillars of equal height randomly distributed on a flat surface; an increase in roughness would correspond to a lower density of pillars, that is, to a larger freestanding surface per unit area. The high similarity in the confinement effects observed in the dielectric spectra of thin polymer layers on rough substrates and the response of freestanding and stacked films (reduction in relaxation time and asymmetric broadening towards higher frequencies) [49,50] supports these ideas.

To further test the robustness of our hypothesis, we considered methods to determine interfacial specific volume. Because of the heterogeneous structure of our samples, a direct determination of the density of the polymers in the pores is not possible. Nevertheless, the perturbation in specific volume is proportional to volume of the asperities per unit surface,  $V_{void}$ , see Figure 3. The latter parameter, promptly obtained by processing topography images is, hence, a

measurement of the reduction in density in the presence of a rough substrate. We prepared a wider set of surfaces, covering  $R_q$  values extending below and above the roughness region where measurements of dynamics were possible. We observe a linear correlation between  $V_{void}$  and  $R_q$  [51], confirming that rougher substrates might induce a reduction in density at the interface.



Figure 3 Upper panel, the volume of voids determined via the Abbot method and the specific volume of the polymer layer obtained via the CFV model are given as a function of the substrate roughness. Lower panel, the inverse of the segmental relaxation time is plotted as a function of the substrate roughness. Based on these results, we provided a series of sketches of the interface of a polymer with substrates of different roughness. By increasing  $R_q$  (left to right), the larger amplitude of the deviation from a flat substrate corresponds to a more pronounced reduction in density (pictured by less intense colors).

To achieve a more quantitative picture, we extracted the value of the whole film specific volume,  $V_{sp}$ , by means of the Cooperative Free Volume (CFV) rate model [32,52] that has been successfully employed to describe the dynamics of thin films of P4CIS [31,34].  $V_{sp}$  can be

obtained (for both films and bulk) simply based on the experimentally determined value of  $\tau$  and the corresponding temperature using the CFV  $\tau(T, V_{sp})$  expression. (See the SM [25] for details of the model implementation.) The perturbation induced by roughness leads to a change in the overall sample average density, and because this is still a relatively small change, the same physics can be used to characterize bulk and thin films [53].

In Figure 3, we also plotted the impact of roughness on  $V_{sp}$  and  $\tau$  at 408 K. An increase in  $R_q$  from 2 to 6 nm resulted in a reduction in density by 2% and an increase in segmental mobility by a factor 100. The correlation between segmental time and the volume-related parameters is impressive, which supports the assumption that the observed acceleration of mobility in the presence of rough substrates originates from packing frustration in the pores.

The experimental data in Figure 3 indicate a density dependence of the segmental time of the form  $\tau \sim exp(\rho)$ , which is consistent with scaling functions describing the volume dependence of dynamics in bulk materials under isothermal conditions [54]. These results set a strong experimental proof for the validity of models of the glass transition which explicitly consider the role of volume and how density changes in confined geometries. Furthermore, considering a random distribution of polymer/substrate contacts at the interface, the trend observed in density can be promptly extended to interfacial interactions, and to any measurement of the work of adhesion [55]. The results in Figure 3 do provide, hence, a microscopic picture of the relation between glass transition temperature and interfacial free energy, empirically obtained in previous work [56].

In conclusion, we have shown that simple material processing – deposition of thin polymer melts via spincoating – allows reaching a nonequilibrium regime where dynamics speeds up with increasing roughness, not otherwise achievable via equilibrium pathways [20]. Our results are in

disagreement with the predictions of simulations that aim to treat rough substrates, largely because prior such work has failed to capture roughness on an experimentally relevant scale. We anticipate that our study will permit fabrication of nanoconfined systems (thin coatings, nanotubes, nanocomposites, ...) with tailored specific volume content, stable beyond timescales of technological interest, mimicking the condition of freestanding membranes also in the presence of attractive nanofillers and supporting interfaces.

AP and SN acknowledge financial support from the *Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique* – FNRS under Grant no. T.0037.15 "INCODYNCO". CRT and SN acknowledge financial support from the *Action Concerté Recherche – ULB* under project "SADI". RPW and JEGL gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1708542).

*§ these authors equally contributed to the work \*snapolit@ulb.ac.be* 

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> M. Alcoutlabi and G. B. McKenna, J. Phys.-Condes. Matter **17** (15), R461 (2005).
- <sup>2</sup> S. Napolitano, E. Glynos, and N. B. Tito, Rep Prog Phys **80** (3), 036602 (2017).
- <sup>3</sup> Z. Fakhraai and J. A. Forrest, Science **319** (5863), 600 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup> M. D. Ediger and J. A. Forrest, Macromolecules **47**, 471 (2014).
- <sup>5</sup> N. B. Tito, J. E. G. Lipson, and S. T. Milner, Soft Matter **9** (39), 9403 (2013).
- <sup>6</sup> R. P. White, C. C. Price, and J. E. G. Lipson, Macromolecules **48** (12), 4132 (2015).
- <sup>7</sup> S. Peter, H. Meyer, and J. Baschnagel, Journal of Polymer Science Part B-Polymer Physics 44 (20), 2951 (2006).
- <sup>8</sup> E. Glynos, K. J. Johnson, B. Frieberg, A. Chremos, S. Narayanan, G. Sakellariou, and P. F. Green, Physical Review Letters **119**, 227801 (2017).
- <sup>9</sup> P. Scheidler, W. Kob, and K. Binder, Europhysics Letters **59** (5), 701 (2002).
- <sup>10</sup> P. Z. Hanakata, J. F. Douglas, and F. W. Starr, Nat Commun **5**, 4163 (2014).
- <sup>11</sup> J. F. Douglas, Macromolecules **22**, 3707 (1989).
- <sup>12</sup> A. Venkatakrishnan and V. K. Kuppa, Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering **19**, 170 (2018).
- <sup>13</sup> G. Palasantzas, Phys Rev B Condens Matter **48** (19), 14472 (1993).
- <sup>14</sup> P. G. Degennes, *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics*. (Cornell University Press, 1979).
- <sup>15</sup> A. Baumga rtner and M. Muthukumar, The Journal of chemical physics **94**, 4062 (1991).
- <sup>16</sup> R. C. Ball, M. Blunt, and W. Barford, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22**, 2587 (1998).
- <sup>17</sup> S. Chandran and G. Reiter, Phys Rev Lett **116** (8), 088301 (2016).
- <sup>18</sup> S. Chandran, R. Handa, M. Kchaou, S. Al Akhrass, A. N. Semenov, and G. Reiter, ACS Macro Letters, 1296 (2017).
- <sup>19</sup> D. R. Barbero and U. Steiner, Physical Review Letters **102** (24), 248303 (2009).
- <sup>20</sup> S. Chandran, J. Baschnagel, D. Cangialosi, K. Fukao, E. Glynos, L. M. C. Janssen, M. Mueller, M. Muthukumar, U. Steiner, J. Xu, S. Napolitano, and G. Reiter, Macromolecules **52** (19), 7146 (2019).
- <sup>21</sup> R. P. White and J. E. G. Lipson, Macromolecules **49** (11), 3987 (2016).
- <sup>22</sup> D. Cangialosi, J. Phys.-Condes. Matter **26**, 153101 (2014).
- <sup>23</sup> K. Bordo and H.-G. Rubahn, Materials Science **18**, 3088 (2012).
- <sup>24</sup> The PSD's of the whole data set could be fitted to Gaussian functions of the type  $A(R_q)[1+(Bk)^2]^2$ , where k indicates the wavelength. B appears roughness-invariant while  $A^{1/3}$  increases linearly with  $R_q$ . Gaussian distributions of heights are particular case of the ABC, k-correlation function [13], with C=4.
- <sup>25</sup> See Supplemental Material at [url] for further details on sample preparation, analysis of dielectric spectra and AFM images, and calculations of specific volume via the CFV model, which also includes Refs. [26–35]
- <sup>26</sup> C. Rotella, S. Napolitano, L. De Cremer, G. Koeckelberghs, and M. Wubbenhorst, Macromolecules **43** (20), 8686 (2010).
- <sup>27</sup> P. Bebin and R. E. Prud'homme, Chemistry of Materials **15** (4), 965 (2003).
- <sup>28</sup> M.-L. Braatz, L. I. Melendez, M. Sferrazza, and S. Napolitano, Journal of Chemical Physics **146**, 203304 (2017).

- <sup>29</sup> S. Havriliak and S. Negami, Polymer **8**, 161 (1967).
- <sup>30</sup> G. Blum, F. Kremer, T. Jaworek, and G. Wegner, Adv. Mater. **7** (12), 1017 (1995).
- <sup>31</sup> R. P. White and J. E. G. Lipson, Macromolecules **51**, 7924–7941 (2018).
- <sup>32</sup> R. P. White and J. E. G. Lipson, Eur. Phys. J. E **42** (8), 100 (2019).
- <sup>33</sup> A. Panagopoulou and S. Napolitano, Phys Rev Lett **119** (9), 097801 (2017).
- <sup>34</sup> A. Debot, R. P. White, J. E. G. Lipson, and S. Napolitano, ACS Macro Letters **8**, 41 (2019).
- <sup>35</sup> P. Zoller and D. Walsh, *Standard Pressure-Volume-Temperature Data for Polymers*. (Technomic Pub Co, Lancaster, PA, 1995).
- <sup>36</sup> C. M. Roland, S. Hensel-Bielowka, M. Paluch, and R. Casalini, Reports on Progress in Physics **68**, 1405 (2005).
- <sup>37</sup> K. Adrjanowicz, R. Winkler, A. Dziena, M. Paluch, and S. Napolitano, Acs Macro Letters **8**, 304 (2019).
- <sup>38</sup> R. Casalini and C. M. Roland, Macromolecules **47**, 4087 (2014).
- <sup>39</sup> P. Papadopoulos, D. Peristeraki, G. Floudas, G. Koutalas, and N. Hadjichristidis, Macromolecules **37**, 8116 (2004).
- <sup>40</sup> This value is obtained by analyzing the results of Figure 3. Smaller deviations in  $\tau$  were recorded in measurements performed on thicker films, which confirms the interfacial origin of the confinement effect on segmental dynamics. The effect of roughness vanished at thicknesses above  $\approx 80$  nm.
- <sup>41</sup> Due to the interference of a secondary  $\beta$ -relaxation, the incertitude in the determination of the peak shape parameters is higher for P2VP.
- <sup>42</sup> A. Schonhals and E. Schlosser, Colloid Polym. Sci. **267** (2), 125 (1989).
- <sup>43</sup> Y. Suzuki, H. Duran, M. Steinhart, H. J. Butt, and G. Floudas, Soft Matter **9** (9), 2621 (2013).
- <sup>44</sup> M. Tarnacka, K. Kaminski, E. U. Mapesa, E. Kaminska, and M. Paluch, Macromolecules **49**, 6678–6686 (2016).
- <sup>45</sup> W. K. Kipnusu, M. M. Elmahdy, E. U. Mapesa, J. Q. Zhang, W. Bohlmann, D. M. Smilgies, C. M. Papadakis, and F. Kremer, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 (23), 12328 (2015).
- <sup>46</sup> K. Adrjanowicz, K. Kaminski, M. Tarnacka, G. Szklarz, and M. Paluch, Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters **8**, 696 (2017).
- <sup>47</sup> E. W. Washburn, Phys. Rev. **17**, 273 (1921).
- <sup>48</sup> The entropic force is expected to vanish upon solvent evaporation, followed by molecular rearrangements where chains relax and adopt the conformations of an equilibrated bulk melt. Based on our recent work on the same polymer [30], reaching such condition requires unexpectedly long equilibration time  $t_{eq} \approx 5 \cdot 10^8 \tau$ . This observation implies that the reasoning on an entropic unfavorable filling of the pores is valid over timescales exceeding by far those of our experiments.
- <sup>49</sup> S. Napolitano and M. Wubbenhorst, Polymer **51** (23), 5309 (2010).
- <sup>50</sup> K. Fukao, T. Terasawa, Y. Oda, K. Nakamura, and D. Tahara, Physical Review E **84** (4), 041808 (2011).
- <sup>51</sup> Analysis of the PSD indicates that each of the rough surfaces investigated can be equivalently obtained by multiplying the height values of any other rough surface of the same data set by a positive constant proportional to  $R_q$ , while keeping the other spatial dimensions unaltered. In this case,  $V_{void}$  increases linearly with  $R_q$
- <sup>52</sup> R. P. White and J. E. G. Lipson, Journal of Chemical Physics **147**, 184503 (2017).
- <sup>53</sup> While we anticipate that faster dynamics could be observed also in the case of nonrandomly rough surfaces, e.g. characterized by one or more preferential wavelengths, the random character of the height distribution profile is a requirement to treat the properties of a rough substrate via simple perturbation approaches.
- <sup>54</sup> The general T,V dependence of the relaxation time,  $\tau(T,V)$ , is given by expressions of the type  $\ln \tau \sim f(T)g(V)$ .  $g(V) \sim 1/V$  can be an adequate approximation over the small density changes that

are experimentally accessible for rough substrates. However, as shown by models for pressure dependent dynamics, more accurate forms are given by  $g(V) = 1/V^c$  or g(V) = 1/(V-k) where c and k are positive constants.

- <sup>55</sup> D. N. Simavilla, W. Huang, C. Housmans, M. Sferrazza, and S. Napolitano, ACS Central Science **4** 755 (2018).
- <sup>56</sup> D. S. Fryer, R. D. Peters, E. J. Kim, J. E. Tomaszewski, J. J. de Pablo, P. F. Nealey, C. C. White, and W. L. Wu, Macromolecules **34** (16), 5627 (2001).