

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Multicritical Fermi Surface Topological Transitions

Dmitry V. Efremov, Alex Shtyk, Andreas W. Rost, Claudio Chamon, Andrew P. Mackenzie, and Joseph J. Betouras Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 207202 — Published 13 November 2019

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.207202

Multicritical Fermi surface topological transitions

Dmitry V. Efremov,^{1,*} Alex Shtyk,^{2,*} Andreas W. Rost,^{3,†} Claudio

Chamon,⁴ Andrew P. Mackenzie,^{3,5} and Joseph J. Betouras^{1,‡}

¹Department of Physics, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK.

²Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

³School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St Andrews, UK.

⁴Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, 02215, USA.

⁵Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids,

Noethnitzer Strasse 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany.

(Dated: October 14, 2019)

A wide variety of complex phases in quantum materials are driven by electron-electron interactions, which are enhanced through density of states peaks. A well known example occurs at van Hove singularities where the Fermi surface undergoes a topological transition. Here we show that higher order singularities, where multiple disconnected leaves of Fermi surface touch all at once, naturally occur at points of high symmetry in the Brillouin zone. Such multicritical singularities can lead to stronger divergences in the density of states than canonical van Hove singularities, and critically boost the formation of complex quantum phases via interactions. As a concrete example of the power of these Fermi surface topological transitions, we demonstrate how they can be used in the analysis of experimental data on $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$. Understanding the related mechanisms opens up new avenues in material design of complex quantum phases.

Introduction. The properties of unconventional phases in quantum materials are generally connected to features of the electronic band structure. For example in density waves, characteristic wave vectors of emergent order parameters can often be related to nesting-type features of the underlying Fermi surface (FS) as discussed for e.g. iron pnictides [1], organics [2], and transition metal dichalcogenides [3]. Yet these nesting features in themselves usually cannot account for the observed thermodynamic stability of such correlated quantum phases. Intriguingly in a range of these materials the band structure hosts energetically close-by singularities in the density of states ν (DOS), which have been conjectured often to be crucial ingredients stabilising the emergent phases.

Singularities in the DOS occur naturally at FS topological Lifshitz transitions (LT). A prominent example is the van Hove singularity (vHs) formed at a saddle point in the energy-momentum dispersion (see fig. 1a). A twodimensional (2D) vHs has a relatively weak logarithmic divergence in the DOS but is known to lead to a wealth of phenomena such as ferromagnetism driven by the Stoner mechanism (see eg. Ref. [4]). An important point is that the thermodynamic stability of the emergent phases depends on the magnitude of the singularity as well as its shape [4] (i.e. gradient and curvature). As a consequence stronger power law divergences can have a much more dramatic impact on the formation of complex ordered phases than the weaker vHs.

The identification of these singularities in correlated quantum materials is an important first step to understand their properties, given that many experimental quantities, with puzzling dependencies on the external probes, can be explained in a natural way. Here, we explore the consequences of a generalisation of these concepts to multicritical topological transitions where multiple disjoint parts of a FS merge and demonstrate the power of the singularities in explaining properties of the correlated material $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$.

Multicritical FS topological transitions naturally occur at points of high crystal symmetry, where the number n of FS components merging depends on the particular symmetry. In fig. 1a-c we illustrate the symmetries associated with the n = 2 (vHs), 3 and 4 cases in 2D. When the singularity occurs at an edge of a Brillouin zone (BZ) there are generically two pieces (n = 2) of the FS that join at the singularity, as depicted in fig. 1a. At the critical energy (dotted line) there is a topology change in the FS structure with n = 2 FSs touching and reconnecting. At the corner of a hexagonal BZ, three FSs or leaves (n = 3) can join at the singularity, as happens in e.g. biased bilayer graphene [5], (fig. 1b). In the square lattice, four leaves (n = 4) can meet at the X-point in the corner of the BZ (fig. 1c). At these high symmetry points higher order terms in the dispersion can become relevant, critically changing the divergence of the DOS, e.g. from a logarithmic to a stronger power-law divergence in the n=4 case discussed below.

An explicit illustration of the experimental effects of these concepts is provided by examining the physics of the layered perovskite $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$, which has been intensely studied because of its unusual magnetic and transport properties [6]. We identify a strong power-law singularity at a four-fold symmetric point in momentum space (fig. 1f) and demonstrate how, in conjunction with other features of the FS and electron interactions, this multicritical feature is pivotal for the physical properties of this material, explaining several previously perplexing characteristics. This is the first tangible demonstration in an existing layered material of the effects of such multicritical LTs (MLTs).

Effective dispersion relation and analysis for n=4. The energy-momentum dispersion relation in the vicinity of a n = 4 MLT can be approximated by the simple expression

$$\varepsilon(\vec{k}) = \begin{cases} ak^2 + k^4 \cos 4\varphi - \mu, \\ a(k_x^2 + k_y^2) + (k_x^4 - 6k_x^2 k_y^2 + k_y^4) - \mu \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the first line is a representation in polar coordinates (with φ being the azimuthal angle) and the second being a representation in Cartesian coordinates.

For small non-zero positive *a* this dispersion exhibits two LTs as we change the chemical potential μ (see Fig. 2). At smaller values of μ one large hole-like FS exists. At the critical chemical potential four vHs appear at a FS topological transition where a new center pocket is created. As the chemical potential is increased further, the FS undergoes a second LT, of the band edge type, with the vanishing of the centre pocket.

If the dispersion relation can be tuned closer to a = 0, then the singularity is approached, the vHs merge with the minimum of the central electron pocket to form a 4th order saddle.

$$4 \times \underbrace{(k_x^2 - k_y^2)}_{\text{vH saddle}} + 1 \times \underbrace{k^2}_{\text{e/h pocket}} \longleftrightarrow \underbrace{k^4 \cos 4\varphi}_{4^{\text{th order saddle}}}, \quad (2)$$

The singularity can be viewed as a Lifshitz multicritical point, as it appears at the crossing of two LTs and sits at the border of four different topological phases, see Fig. 2. Such behavior can be described within the framework of singularity theory [7] by a symmetry-restricted unimodal parabolic singularity X_9 in the electron dispersion $\varepsilon(\vec{k})$. The core of the singularity is the 4th order terms, generalised to $k_x^4 + K k_x^2 k_y^2 + k_y^4$ in Cartesian coordinates. This term is the germ of the singularity, while the remaining terms $(a(k_x^2 + k_y^2) - \mu)$ represent the perturbation unfolding the singularity. Unlike simpler singularities, X_9 forms a whole family of singularities parametrized by the modulus K. While a generic singularity from the X_9 family has a co-dimension eight, one modulus and seven control parameters, the presence of the lattice symmetry greatly simplifies the situation leaving only the modulus K and two control parameters a, μ . The consequences of the singularity on physical properties are the same for the whole range K < -2. The value of the modulus K = -6is special as it corresponds to electron-like and hole-like sections of the same width, a property that is confirmed in the DFT calculation. This implies existence of an additional symmetry in the system, a superposition of the particle-hole transformation $\varepsilon \leftrightarrow -\varepsilon$ and rotation by an angle $\pi/4$. If we increase the value to K = -2 the system reaches a critical point and the saddle disappears, leaving a singular $\propto k^4$ electronic pocket. All values of the modulus K < -2 lead to the same topological features.



FIG. 1. (a) The n=2 2D van Hove singularity in the form of a saddle point. The DOS ν diverges as $\ln \mu$. Red lines indicate FSs above and below the singularity. The critical FS is shown by the dotted black line. (b) This singularity occurs for example very close to the Fermi energy in the case of Sr_2RuO_4 (green marker for the critical chemical potential) (c) The n=3 singularity that can occur at 3-fold symmetric points. (d) The band structure / FS of bilayer graphene that is very close to such a n = 3 monkey saddle singularity. (e) The n = 4 singularity at a 4-fold symmetric point. (f) Schematic of the quasi-2D FS of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ in the $k_z=0$ plane at the Fermi energy (left hand side) and at $\mu_{\rm C}$ (right side). The crucial bands that are close to the n = 4 multicritical point are highlighted in red. The central pocket is a small perturbation (see main text). In order to emphasise the characteristic clover leaf FS we show an extended k-space picture beyond the BZ boundaries.

In the relevant parameter regime K < -2 the DOS of this dispersion has a critical $\propto |\mu|^{-1/2}$ scaling for a = 0and can be summarized as

$$\nu(\mu) \propto \begin{cases} |\mu - \mu_c|^{-1/2}, & |\mu| \gg \mu_c \\ \ln \frac{\mu_c}{|\mu - \mu_c|}, & |\mu - \mu_c| \ll \mu_c, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where the critical value of the chemical potential $\mu_c = a|a|/4$ (more details in the SM).

Experimental consequences of the singularities in correlated systems: $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$. There are profound consequences of this singularity for $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$, a material of wide interest due to the observed phenomena in the vicinity of a metamagnetic quantum critical end point (QCEP) at $H_c=8$ T for fields parallel to the crystallographic *c*-axis [8, 9].

In Fig. 3 the emergent phase diagram is schematically shown highlighting several features relevant for our discussion (for a review [6]). Approaching H_c as a function of T, a logarithmic divergence in specific heat divided by temperature C/T is observed [10, 11]. The approach to H_c as a function of magnetic field in the Fermi liquid (FL) regime is characterised by a singular contribution to C/T. Careful analysis [12] reveals a power law divergence of C/T as a function of reduced field $h = (H - H_C)/H_C$ with an unexpected exponent of (-1). It has been suggested that the singularities in C/T as a function of field or temperature are consistent with a 2D QCEP point within the canonical description of quantum criticality [13]. The expected exponent within this theoretical framework is -1/3 and in general has to be fixed in any fit of this model [14]. The observed exponent of (-1) in an assumption-free power law fit to C/T therefore posed important theoretical questions.

At low temperature, access to the QCEP is preempted by an unusual set of emergent phases (labelled A, B in Fig. 1d) [15, 16]. Neutron scattering measurements [15] revealed an incommensurate magnetic order with a wave vector $\vec{Q}^A = (\pm 0.233, 0, 0)/(0, \pm 0.233, 0)$ and $\vec{Q}^B = (\pm 0.218, 0, 0)/(0, \pm 0.218, 0)$ respectively.

Fermi surface as calculated by Density Functional Theory (DFT). To understand the origin of the singularity in the DOS we performed DFT calculations [17–19]. The calculated band structure for zero magnetization agrees



FIG. 2. Schematic phase diagram of the relevant hole-like version of the effective dispersion relation (1). In $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ there is no control over the parameter a > 0, so that only red, yellow and green phases are accessible. There are two lines of LTs, a dashed-dotted line of a band edge type and a solid line corresponding to the transition of the neck-narrowing type. The X_9 singularity is located at the crossing of these two lines. The white line schematically shows the location of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ within this phase diagram with the diamond marking the location in zero field. (Right side) The three dimensional surfaces above are electron dispersions $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k_x, k_y)$ in the vicinity of the singularity. The grey horizontal plane represents the critical energy of the singularity $\varepsilon = 0$.

broadly with ARPES data [20, 21] (Fig. 4a). While the chemical potential is slightly higher than observed experimentally, this does not affect the main conclusions drawn here. We therefore consider the evolution of the DOS with increasing magnetic moment per unit cell (see fig. 4), as a convenient way to model the effects of an applied magnetic field. By increasing the magnetic moment a LT is observed at the X-point at a magnetization of around 0.5 μ_B/Ru . This LT dominates the DOS and the thermodynamic properties. In order to identify the essential requirements generating the key FS features we derive a quasi-2D tight binding model based on the Ru 4d orbitals (see Supplemental Material (SM) [17]) relevant at the Fermi energy [22] and adjusted to accurately describe the relevant part of the ARPES data. The resulting FS is shown in fig. 1f. A careful study of the band structure reveals that it is well described by an effective dispersion given in eq. (1). The DFT calculations suggest a value of K close to K = -6, implying an effective power law divergence (eq. (3)).

Qualitatively similar conclusions can be drawn from the tight binding model which unveils the microscopic origin of the MLT point (see SM). In the aristotype structure without RuO₂ octahedra rotations the band structure exhibits a n = 2 vHs at an *M*-point of the BZ. Counterintuitively the rotations, while lowering the crystal symmetry, reconstructs the BZ such that the singularity is transformed into an n = 4 MLT point at an *X*- point in the new BZ. This is an important guiding principle how to stabilise such LT.

The characteristic clover leaf structure of the FSs in the vicinity of the LT naturally gives rise to strong nesting of the edges of the γ -bands which, in combination with the MLT, helps generate the SDW. It is important to note that the nested FS parts have a distinct orbital character $(d_{xz/yz})$ from those FS parts that create the MLT (d_{xy}) . The value of the nesting vector $\vec{Q} = (\pm 0.23, 0, 0)$ or $(0, \pm 0.23, 0)$ is effectively that observed experimentally in phase A. These nested parts of the FS determine the wavelength of the observed SDW (see also [15]) within



FIG. 3. Schematic phase diagram of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ together with the crystal structure shown in the inset (Ref. 6 for more details).



FIG. 4. Result of the DFT calculation. (a) Here we show the schematic FS structure from Fig. 1e together with the k_z projected DFT calculation to aid orientation. (b-f) Projected FSs for values of magnetization μ =0.0, 0.2,0.4,0.5,0.55 μ_B per unit cell as calculated by the DFT and centered at the X point. The topological transitions are evident for the value of magnetization close to 0.5 μ_B and 0.55 μ_B per unit cell. The red arrow in (e,f) connects the two nested parts of the characteristic clover leaf structure giving rise to the density wave in the A-phase.

our theoretical model.

Magnetic field approach to criticality. The MLT has a profound effect on the specific heat C_v as a function of the magnetic field $(C_v \propto |H - H_c|^{-1})$. The power-law divergence of the DOS as a function of energy leads to the divergence of C_v with the field [12]. C_v is determined by the value $\nu(\epsilon_F)$ of the DOS at the Fermi level: $C_v = \frac{\pi}{2} k_B T \nu(\epsilon_F)$. Near the singularity $\nu(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon - \epsilon_c|^{-1/2}$, where ϵ_c is the location of the singularity. Including magnetic field to lowest order, the DOS is $\nu(\epsilon, H) = \frac{1}{2} (\nu(\epsilon + g\mu_B H) + \nu(\epsilon - g\mu_B H))$. Charge conservation requires the Fermi energy to shift non-linearly with H:

$$[\epsilon_F(H) - \epsilon_c + g\mu_B H]^{1/2} + [\epsilon_F(H) - \epsilon_c - g\mu_B H]^{1/2}$$

= 2 [\epsilon_F(H = 0) - \epsilon_c]^{1/2} (4)

At H_c , $\epsilon_F(H_c) = \epsilon_c + g\mu_B H_c$ as the singularity is within the minority band. Then $\epsilon_F(0) - \epsilon_c = \frac{1}{2}g\mu_B H_c$ and for Hnear H_c Eq.(4) reads $\epsilon_F(H) = \epsilon_c + g\mu_B \frac{H^2 + H_c^2}{2H_c}$. Therefore, $\nu(\epsilon_F(H)) \propto 1/|H - H_c|$ and the specific heat as well as the entropy is proportional to $1/|H - H_c|$. This explains the experimental data and is a direct fingerprint of the existence and the importance of the n = 4MLT in Sr₃Ru₂O₇.

Phase Formation. As explained, there is significant nesting along \vec{Q}^A in the γ band giving rise to a susceptibility to SDW formation. As the SDW and the MLT originate from different orbitally orthogonal parts of the FS, at tree-level in a Renormalization Group (RG) sense the two processes can be treated as decoupled, At higher RG order this is no longer true and the DOS singularity influences the thermodynamic stability of the SDW. The mechanism of this particular LT as described above, involves the creation of a pocket at the X-point. An effect of interactions is that this pocket formation is a first order transition with a jump to a higher total number of fermions in the relevant bands [23]. This is consistent with experimental observations upon entering the A-phase as a function of field. The additional pocket, in combination with the MLT point, provides additional FS degrees of freedom. This leads to the counterintuitive result of the high-field A-phase having a higher entropy than the low field FL phase, as is established experimentally [12].

Temperature approach to criticality. The logarithmic divergence $C_v \propto T \log(1/T)$ (Fig. 1d) as a function of temperature is clearly an effect of interactions and a sign of quantum criticality. As shown previously [24], the formation of a small pocket in the middle of a larger FS leads to the same result due to interactions. Alternatively, it can be thought as a consequence of the scattering of "light" electrons further from the singularity, off "heavy" electrons [25]. In addition, a correlated 2D system with self-energy which is position-dependent (k-dependent) leads to the same behavior [26, 27]. In the case of SDW formation, the self-energy correction is $\Sigma(\omega, k) \propto \omega/k$ (with $k = k_{||}$), giving an effective mass $m^* = m[1 - \partial \Sigma / \partial \omega|_{\omega \to 0}]$. Therefore, given that $C_v \propto T \int m^* dk$, then naturally $C_v \propto T \log(1/T)$. These conditions are fundamentally linked to the MLT, leading to qualitatively similar behaviour.

Discussion The effects of simple LTs were explored in several classes of quantum materials (e.g [24, 28–31]). In this work, we have demonstrated how a MLT is formed at a high symmetry point in the BZ can lead to a wealth of unusual physical phenomena. This was illustrated concretely through the example of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$, in which a MLT happens in the γ bands at the X-point of the BZ, leading to a 4-leaf (n = 4) FS. This is accompanied by a large peak in the DOS, describable by a divergent as inverse square-root in energy singularity. We showed how this power-law in combination with the emergent central pocket and enhanced spin-/charge-susceptibilities due to independent, orbitally orthogonal parts of the FS are consistent with a wide range of previously puzzling experimental data. The intriguing behavior of this wellcharacterised material is explained within the framework of higher singularities. For any n = 4 singularity in quasi-2D materials the phase diagram presented in Fig. 2 is relevant and whenever K < -2 a regime of effective power-law divergence should appear.

The example of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ demonstrates one important finding; the FL parameters at zero-field are extremely robust against tuning over a much wider range than previously believed. A direct implication would be that quantum fluctuation corrections are not relevant over a wide region of magnetic fields in the FL regime of the phase diagram. For example, significant further band renormalisation might not be expected. This is not only surprising but should also lead to a careful reevaluation of other materials. While the singularity dominates the thermodynamics, the heavy quasiparticles only indirectly contributes to transport through the scattering of electrons from other parts of the FS off them. Therefore, there is a subtle interplay of the importance of each part of the FS to different experiments. We note that we only partially discussed key aspects of the phase diagram of $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$ where quantum fluctuations contribute significantly.

Sr₃Ru₂O₇ serves as a model system and guide to a whole range of material classes in which MLTs occur (see SM for a discussion on generic mechanisms in e.g. ruthenates [32], bilayer graphene [5, 29, 33, 34] and transition metal dichalcogenides [35]). One key lesson is that the non-trivial divergences in the DOS are a key driver in thermodynamically stabilising unconventional phases, originating from otherwise independent (orbitally orthogonal) parts of the FS. The achievement of this work is to identify the importance of the MLT and thereby help to disentangle the roles of the LT in the band structure on the one hand and (quantum) fluctuations and interactions on the other. In all quasi-2D materials with an n = 4 singularity the phase diagram presented in this work is relevant and whenever K < -2 a regime of power-law divergence should appear. The counterintuitive mechanism that turns a trivial n = 2 vHs into a n = 4 MLT by lowering the crystal symmetry, provides a new guiding principle how to stabilise complex quantum phases.

We thank F. Baumberger, C. Castelnovo, A. Chubukov, G. Goldstein, C. Hicks and Y. Sherkunov for helpful discussions. The work was supported by the EP-SRC grant No. EP/P002811/1 and Royal Society (JJB) and DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-06ER46316 (CC).

- * These two authors contributed equally.
- [†] a.rost@st-andrews.ac.uk
- [‡] J.Betouras@lboro.ac.uk
- [1] P. Dai, J. Hu and E. Dagotto, Nat. Phys. 8, 709 (2012).
- [2] P. Monceau, Adv. Phys. **61**, 325 (2012).
- [3] A.M. Gabovich, A.I. Voitenko and M. Ausloos, Phys. Rep. 367, 583 (2002).
- [4] E. P. Wohlfarth and P. Rhodes, Phil. Mag. 7, 1817 (1962).
- [5] A. Shtyk, G. Goldstein and C. Chamon, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035137 (2017).
- [6] A.P.Mackenzie, J.A.N.Bruin, R.A.Borzi, A.W. Rost and S.A.Grigera, Physica C 481, 207 (2012).
- [7] V. I. Arnold, Singularity Theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 53, Cambridge University press (1981).
- [8] S.A. Grigera *et al*, Science **294**, 329 (2001).
- [9] S. A. Grigera *et al*, Science **306**, 1154 (2004).
- [10] R. S. Perry et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2661-2664 (2001).

- [11] A. W. Rost *et al*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **108**, 16549 (2011).
- [12] A. W. Rost, R. S. Perry, J. F. Mercure, A. P. Mackenzie, and S. A. Grigera, Science **325**, 1360 (2009).
- [13] Y. Tokiwa, M. Mchalwat, R. S. Perry and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 226402 (2016).
- [14] D. Sun, A. W. Rost, R. S. Perry, A. P. Mackenzie and M. Brando, Phys. Rev. B 97, 115101 (2018).
- [15] C. Lester et al, Nat. Mater. 14, 373 (2015).
- [16] R. A. Borzi *et al*, Science **315**, 214 (2007).
- [17] See Supplemental Material [url] for details, which also includes Refs. [36-52].
- [18] K. Koepernik and H. Eschrig, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1743 (1999).
- [19] http://www.fplo.de.
- [20] A. Tamai et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 026407 (2008).
- [21] M. P. Allan *et al*, New J. Phys. **15**, 063029 (2013).
- [22] C. M. Puetter, J. G. Rau and H.-Y. Kee, Phys. Rev. B 81, 081105(R) (2010).
- [23] S. Slizovskiy, J. J. Betouras, S. T. Carr and J. Quintanilla, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165110 (2014).
- [24] S. Slizovskiy, A. V. Chubukov and J. J. Betouras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 066403 (2015).
- [25] E. Berg, S. Hartnoll and C. H. Mousatov, arXiv:1810.12945 (2018).
- [26] S. A. Hartnoll, D. M. Hofman, M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125115 (2011).
- [27] Ar. Abanov, A. V. Chubukov and J. Schmalian, Adv. Phys. 52, 119 (2003).
- [28] Y. Sherkunov, A. V. Chubukov, and J. J. Betouras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 097001 (2018).
- [29] Y. Sherkunov and J. J. Betouras, Phys. Rev. B 98, 205151 (2018).
- [30] A. Ptok et al, Sci. Rep. 7, 41979 (2017).
- [31] G. E. Volovik, Low Temp. Phys. 43, 47 (2017).
- [32] F. Baumberger et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 107601 (2006).
- [33] Y. Cao et al, Nature 556, 43 (2018).
- [34] N. F. Q. Yuan, H. Isobe, L. Fu, arXiv:1901.05432 (2019).
- [35] J. Feng *et al*, Nano Lett. **18**, 4493 (2018).
- [36] S.-I. Ikeda, Y. Maeno, S. Nakatsuji, M. Kosaka and Y. Uwatoko Phys. Rev. B 62, R6089 (2000).
- [37] H. Yaguchi, R.S. Perry and Y. Maeno, J. Low Temp. Phys. 850, 1203 (2006).
- [38] A. M. Berridge, A. G. Green, S. A. Grigera, and B. D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 136404 (2009); A. M. Berridge, S. A. Grigera, B. D. Simons, and A. G. Green Phys. Rev. B **81**, 054429 (2010).
- [39] J. Lee *et al*, Nat. Phys. 5, 800 (2009).
- [40] J.F. Mercure, et al Phys. Rev. B 81, 235103 (2010).
- [41] H. Shaked, J. D. Jorgensen, O. Chmaissem, S. Ikeda and Y. Maeno, J. Solid State Chem. 154, 361 (2000).
- [42] L. Zhu, M. Garst, A. Rosch, and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 066404 (2003).
- [43] M. H. Fischer and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B 81, 064435 (2010).
- [44] C. Piefke F. Lechermann, Phys. Stat. Sol. B 248, 2269 (2011).
- [45] C. Autieri, M. Cuoco and C. Noce, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075102 (2014).
- [46] E. Rozbicki, PhD Thesis, University of St Andrews (2011).
- [47] A. M. Berridge, Phys. Rev. B 83, 235127 (2011).
- [48] N. Kikugawa *et al* J. Phys. Soc. of Japan **79**, 024704 (2010).

- [49] A. Charnukha *et al* Sci. Rep. 5, 10392 (2015).
 [50] K. Tsutsumi, Phys. Rev. B 26, 5756 (1982).
 [51] D. J. Eaglesham, R. L. Withers, and D. M. Bird, J. Phys.