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#### Abstract

In recent years, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have garnered great interest as topological materials. In particular, monolayers of centrosymmetric $\beta$-phase TMDs have been identified as 2D topological insulators (TIs), and bulk crystals of noncentrosymmetric $\gamma$-phase $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$ have been identified as type-II Weyl semimetals. However, ARPES and STM probes of these semimetals have revealed huge, "arc-like" surface states that overwhelm, and are sometimes mistaken for, the much smaller topological surface Fermi arcs of bulk type-II Weyl points. In this letter, we calculate the bulk and surface electronic structure of both $\beta$ - and $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$. We find that $\beta$ - $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is in fact a $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-nontrivial higher-order TI (HOTI) driven by double band inversion and exhibits the same surface features as $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$. We discover that these surface states are not topologically trivial, as previously characterized by the research that differentiated them from the Weyl Fermi arcs, but rather are the characteristic split and gapped fourfold Dirac surface states of a HOTI. In $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, this indicates that it would exhibit helical pairs of hinge states if it were bulk-insulating, and in $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$, these surface states represent vestiges of HOTI phases without inversion symmetry that are nearby in parameter space. Using nested Wilson loops and first-principles calculations, we explicitly demonstrate that when the Weyl points in $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ are annihilated, which may be accomplished by symmetry-preserving strain or lattice distortion, $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ becomes a non-symmetry-indicated, noncentrosymmetric HOTI. We also show that, when the effects of SOC are neglected, $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is a nodal-line semimetal with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-nontrivial monopole nodal lines (MNLSM). This finding confirms that MNLSMs driven by double band inversion are the weak-SOC limit of HOTIs, implying that MNLSMs are higher-order topological semimetals with flat-band-like hinge states, which we find to originate from the corner modes of 2D "fragile" TIs.


Over the past decade, the number of topological insulating (TI) and semimetallic (SM) phases identified in real materials has grown immensely. With the discovery of increasingly intricate TIs and SMs, such as higherorder TIs (HOTIs) [1-12] and unconventional fermion SMs [13-18], previously overlooked, but readily accessible compounds, including bismuth [19] and chiral B20 [2024] crystals, have been experimentally verified as topologically nontrivial. In this letter, we extend the theory and experimental applicability of higher-order topology by recognizing that the $\mathrm{XTe}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})$ family [2530] of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), a large, well-studied, and readily synthesizable class of materials, are HOTIs, and not topologically trivial. $\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$ TMDs, which exhibit a trivial magnetoelectric polarizability $[11,31-38]$, therefore provide an intriguing experimental platform for examining topological response effects beyond the magnetoelectric effect [39-43]. We also draw connections between an exotic class of nodal-line SMs (NLSMs) [44-46] and the recently introduced notion of "fragile" topology [11, 47-51], leading to the discovery of fractionally charged corner modes in fragile TIs.

Our findings further establish the seemingly esoteric concept of higher-order topology as crucial for characterizing topological transport and response effects in everyday materials.

All of the spinful TIs discovered to date represent the gapped, spin-orbit coupled (strong-SOC) limits of gapless topological (SM) phases without SOC. This intrinsic link between gapped and gapless phases has defined topological condensed matter physics since the recognition that graphene [52-54] and HgTe gap into $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ TIs $[55,56]$ under the introduction of SOC [57]. As the number of known topological SMs has increased [13-18, 58-70], the number of known topological (crystalline) insulators realized by gapping them has kept pace $[7,71-76]$. In one particularly simple example, an SM phase with a ring of linearly-dispersing degeneracies, known as a "nodal-line" (NL), can occur in a weak-SOC crystal with only inversion $(\mathcal{I})$ and time-reversal $(\mathcal{T})$ symmetries [44, 77, 78]. NLs may be created and annihilated at single, time-reversal-invariant (TRIM) points in the Brillouin zone (BZ) by inverting bands with opposite parity $(\mathcal{I})$ eigenvalues [44], such that the number of NLs is constrained by
the same Fu-Kane parity criterion [79, 80] that indicates 3D TI phases in strong-SOC crystals. This recognition has driven the rapid identification of candidate NLSMs, including $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ [81], $\mathrm{Cu}_{3}(\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Zn}) \mathrm{N}$ [44, 77], and 3D graphene networks [82], all of which exhibit characteristic nearly-flat-band "drumhead" surface states. Crucially, it also implies that weak-SOC NLSMs gap directly into 3D TIs upon the introduction of $\mathcal{I}$-symmetric SOC [44].

Very recently, fundamentally distinct $\mathcal{I}$ - and $\mathcal{T}$ symmetric SMs and insulators have been proposed that escape this paradigm. In 45, Fang et al. introduced a second kind of weak-SOC NL, which, unlike the previous example, can only be removed by pairwise annihilation. Though the mechanisms underpinning the protection and identification of these "monopole-charged" NLs (MNLs) have been explored in detail [46, 83, 84], MNLs have thus far only been proposed in magnonic systems [85] and 3D graphdiyne [46, 86]. Recent works have also identified 3D "higher-order" TIs [1-12] stabilized by only $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ symmetries [3, 19, 87-90]. Notably, 3D HOTIs exhibit gapped 2D surfaces and gapless 1D hinges with characteristic helical modes [2-4], which represent the domain wall states between 2D faces with oppositely gapped fourfold Dirac fermions [7, 89]. By enumerating the parity eigenvalues of trivial (atomic) insulators, whose occupied bands define "elementary" band representations (EBRs) [48, 91-96], it can be shown that the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ Fu-Kane criterion should be promoted to a $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ index that captures both TIs and HOTIs [3, 19, 87-89]. Using EBRs [93], HOTI phases have been identified in systems with double band inversion (DBI) (Fig. 1), most notably in rhombohedral bismuth crystals [19].

Employing this $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ index and Wilson loops [121-125], we identify the TMD [25] $\beta$ - (1T'-) $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ (space group (SG) $11 P 2_{1} / m$ ) as a HOTI with large, gapped, arclike surface states, and explicitly show that in the absence of SOC, it forms an NLSM with MNLs. This cements the suggestion, introduced in 126, that MNLSMs formed from DBI are the weak-SOC limit of HOTIs, in analogy to the earlier recognition [44] that monopoletrivial NLSMs are the weak-SOC limit of 3D TIs. It has been shown that the noncentrosymmetric $\gamma$ - (Td) phases of $\mathrm{XTe}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})\left(\mathrm{SG} 31 \operatorname{Pmn} 2_{1}\right)$, previously identified as type-II (tilted) Weyl (semi)metals [6870], exhibit the same large surface states as $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$. These states were previously identified as topologically trivial [70, 127-138] (Fig. 4(d)), as the actual topological Fermi arcs from the Weyl points are considerably shorter $[68,70,127,128,130,131]$. However, using nested Wilson loops and first-principles calculations (SA B4 [99]), we explicitly demonstrate that $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, which exhibits the same band ordering and surface states as $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, transitions into a non-symmetry-indicated HOTI when its narrowly separated Weyl points are annihilated. Therefore, the large surface states in $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$ are not trivial, but rather are vestiges of a nearby HOTI


FIG. 1: When two pairs of degenerate bands with positive parity eigenvalues and two pairs with negative parity eigenvalues are inverted at a TRIM point [3, 19], the occupied bands cannot be expressed as a linear combination of EBRs [48, 91$96]$ and the $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ topological index [87-90] is changed by 2 . In a $\mathcal{T}$-symmetric crystal with vanishing SOC, this process may nucleate a pair of Dirac nodal lines with nontrivial monopole charge (MNLs) [46] (dashed lines in left panel). On the 1D hinges, the projections of the MNLs are spanned by nearlyflat bands (an explicit model is provided in SA A [99]). These hinge states represent an example of higher-order topology in a bulk-gapless system: they are the $d-2$-dimensional generalization of drumhead surface states, and are the spinless analogs of the hinge states recently predicted in spinful Dirac SMs [50, 97]. When $\mathcal{I}$-symmetric SOC is introduced, the MNLSM will necessarily gap into a HOTI if all other bands are uninverted, and the flat-band hinge states will open into helical pairs spanning the bulk and surface gaps. HOTIs driven by this "double band inversion" (DBI) include bismuth [19] and, as shown in this letter, $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ (Fig. 2(d)).
phase, and originate from DBI, like those in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$.
TMDs are a class of readily synthesizable [25-30] layered materials. Originally highlighted for the semiconducting bandgap of exfoliated monolayers [139], TMDs have recently been recognized as topological materials -quasi-2D samples of $\beta$-phase TMDs have been identified as 2D TIs [140-142], and 3D samples of $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$ have been identified in theory [68-70] and experiment [143145] as type-II Weyl SMs. We first focus on $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, and then generalize our findings to the isostructural phases of $\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ can crystallize in two distinct structures at room temperature: the hexagonal $\alpha(2 \mathrm{H})$ phase (SG 194 $P 6_{3} / \mathrm{mmc}$ ) and the distorted monoclinic $\beta$ phase [2527, 136] (Fig. 2(a)). When $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is further cooled below 250 K , it transitions into the noncentrosymmetric $\gamma$ phase [25, 136, 146]. Using first-principles calculations detailed in SA B1 [99], we calculate the electronic structure of $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ with and without the effects of SOC incorporated (Fig 2(d,b), respectively). $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ exhibits DBI (Fig. 1) at $\Gamma$ as a consequence of the $\beta$-phase lattice distortion (Fig. 2(a)). When SOC is neglected, a $\mathcal{T}$ reversed pair of topological NLs [44, 45] forms, intersecting $Y \Gamma$ in an irregular, 3D shape with a significant pucker in the $k_{y}$ direction (schematically depicted in Fig. 2(c)).


FIG. 2: (a) The monoclinic lattice of $\beta$ - (1T'-) $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ [25] in SG $11 P 2_{1} / m$. (b,d) Bulk bands of $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ calculated without and with the effects of SOC incorporated, respectively (details provided in SA B [99]). DBI occurs about the $\Gamma$ point, as indicated by the parity eigenvalues in (d). (c) When SOC is neglected, a $\mathcal{T}$-reversed pair of irregularly shaped NLs forms at $E_{F}$, intersecting $Y \Gamma$ (red dots in (b)) between $k_{y}= \pm 0.06(2 \pi / b)$ and $k_{y}= \pm 0.19(2 \pi / b)$, where $b=4.369 \AA$ is the lattice spacing along the $\vec{b}$ lattice vector [98]. We surround one of the NLs with a closed, tetragonal prism and calculate the Wilson loop around $k_{y}$-normal squares as a function of the polar momentum $k_{\theta}$ (exact coordinates provided in SA B2 [99]); we observe $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-nontrivial winding (inset panel in (b)), indicating a nontrivial monopole charge [46, 83, 84]. (d) When SOC is introduced, a gap near $E_{F}$ develops at all crystal momenta (gray shaded region) with the $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ parity index (Table I) of a HOTI [87-90].

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccccccc}
\text { TRIM } & \Gamma & \text { X } & \text { Y } & \text { Z } & \text { S } & \text { T } & \text { U } & \text { R } \\
\hline n_{-}(\vec{k}) & 12 & 14 & 14 & 14 & 14 & 14 & 14 & 14
\end{array}
$$

TABLE I: The number of Kramers pairs with -1 parity eigenvalues $n_{-}(\vec{k})$ at each TRIM point in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ (SA B1 [99]). The $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ index $[87-90] \sum n_{-}(\vec{k}) \bmod 4=2$. Along with the trivial weak indices [79, 80, 147] and the absence of fourfold and sixfold rotation symmetries [ $2-4,76,87,89$ ], this indicates that $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is a HOTI.

The NLs (red dots in Fig. 2(b)) represent the only crossing points between the bands at $E_{F}$ (taking the direct gap to lie above $N=28$ spin-degenerate pairs of bands). As prescribed in $46,83,84$, we surround each NL with a closed surface and calculate the Wilson loop (holonomy) matrix [121-125] over the lower $N$ bands as a function of the polar momentum $k_{\theta}$ (Fig. 2(c) and SA B2 [99]). This Wilson spectrum exhibits the characteristic winding of an MNL [46, 83, 84].

We also explore the topology of the gapped regions between the MNLs by calculating the $z$-directed Wilson loop $W_{1}\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ (Fig. 3(a)) over the lower $N$


FIG. 3: (a) Bulk Wilson loops calculated for $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ from first principles in the absence of SOC (SA B3 [99]). At values of $k_{y}$ away from the MNLs in Fig. 2, there is a large gap in the $z$-directed Wilson loop spectrum $W_{1}\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ between $\theta_{1} / 2 \pi \approx \pm 0.25$; representative examples are shown in (c,d) for $k_{y}=0, \pi$, respectively. (b) The determinant of the nested Wilson matrix $W_{2}\left(k_{y}\right)$ calculated over the Wilson bands between $\theta_{1}= \pm \pi / 2[1-3,50]$ is quantized at $\pm 1$ by the antiunitary symmetry $(\mathcal{I} \times \tilde{\mathcal{T}})^{2}=+1$ (SA B3a [99]), and jumps as it passes over an MNL, indicating that $k_{y}$-indexed planes above and below the MNL are topologically distinct [1-3].
bands in the absence of SOC. In BZ planes indexed by $k_{y}$ away from the MNLs, $W_{1}\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ exhibits gaps at $\theta_{1} / 2 \pi \approx \pm 0.25$ (Fig. 3(c,d) and SA B3 [99]), allowing us to calculate a nested Wilson loop matrix $W_{2}\left(k_{y}\right)$ for which $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{2}\left(k_{y}\right)\right)=\exp \left(i \gamma_{2}\right)$, where $\gamma_{2}$ is the nested Berry phase [1-3]. In bulk-gapped $k_{y}$-indexed planes, $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{2}\left(k_{y}\right)\right)$ is quantized at $\pm 1$ (Fig. 3(b)), indicating that $\gamma_{2}=\pi$ (0) below (above) the MNL, implying that the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_{k_{y}}\left(k_{x}, k_{z}\right)$ of planes in the two regions are topologically distinct. This quantization can be understood from two perspectives: the bulk and the Wilson loop. From a bulk perspective, $\mathcal{H}_{k_{y}}\left(k_{x}, k_{z}\right)$ is invariant under a local spinless time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal{I} \times \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ that preserves the signs of $k_{x, z}$ and squares to +1 . $\mathcal{H}_{k_{y}}\left(k_{x}, k_{z}\right)$ therefore lies in Class AI of the Altland-Zirnbauer classification $[148,149]$ with codimension $[44,150] D \bmod 8=$ 6 , implying a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ topology. This topology can be diagnosed by considering the Wilson-loop perspective. In SA B3 [99], we show that $\mathcal{I} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}}$, which acts on $W_{1}\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ as an antiunitary particle-hole symmetry $\tilde{\Xi}$ that preserves the signs of $k_{x, y}[7,123,125]$, enforces $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{2}\left(k_{y}\right)\right)= \pm 1$ when it is evaluated over any $\tilde{\Xi}$-symmetric grouping of Wilson bands (Fig. 3(c,d)), including (but not limited to) the Wilson bands between $\theta_{1} / 2 \pi \approx \pm 0.25$ in Fig. 3(c,d). Crucially, building on $1,2,50$, which employ a different definition of $\gamma_{2}$ reliant on fourfold rotation symmetry, the $\pi$ shift in $\gamma_{2}$ indicates that $\mathcal{H}_{k_{y}}\left(k_{x}, k_{z}\right)$ above and below an MNL are equivalent to topologically dis-
tinct 2D magnetic atomic limits [50, 93] (or trivialized fragile phases [11, 47-51]) that differ by the presence or absence of topological corner (hinge) modes (SA A [99]). This implies that MNLSMs are higher-order topological SMs [50, 97] with flat-band-like hinge states (Fig. 1 and SA A [99]). The jump in $\gamma_{2}$ as $k_{y}$ passes through an MNL (Fig. 3(b)) thus represents a new example of a topological "descent relation," analogous to the jump in Berry phase as the line on which it is calculated passes through a Dirac point in 2D and an NL in 3D [44]. Like in a Weyl SM $[151,152]$, the winding of the Wilson loop evaluated on a closed surface around the MNL (Fig. 2(b)) captures the difference in topology between the gapped planes above and below it [46], which, here, is the gapless point in $W_{1}$ (which is well defined when $W_{1}$ is evaluated on a slightly distorted path that avoids the MNLs).

When SOC is introduced, $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, though remaining metallic, develops a gap at all crystal momenta (Fig. 2(d)). Calculating the parity eigenvalues (Table I), we find that, though the Fu-Kane $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ index $[79,80]$ is trivial, the occupied bands nevertheless cannot be expressed as a sum of EBRs, indicating an overall nontrivial topology [93]. Specifically, while every EBR in an $\mathcal{I}$-symmetric space group exhibits Kramers pairs of parity eigenvalues $[93,94]$ for which $\sum n_{-}(\vec{k}) \bmod 4=0$, the DBI in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ induces an insulator with $\sum n_{-}(\vec{k}) \bmod 4=2$ (Table I). Alternatively, this defines a $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ index [87-90] which is nontrivial. From both perspectives, $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ carries the parity eigenvalues of a HOTI [3, 19]. Therefore, like bismuth $[19,155,156], \beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is a 2 D TI when viewed as a quasi-2D system [140-142], but is actually a HOTI when taken to be fully 3D.

Unlike $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}, \quad \beta-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$, while stabilizable as a monolayer [27, 142], is unstable as a bulk crystal [157]. Nevertheless, the calculated electronic structure of artificial $\beta-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$ also exhibits DBI at the $\Gamma$ point [157], indicating that it would also be a HOTI if it could be stabilized. However, we will shortly see that remnants of this HOTI phase are still observable in $\gamma-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$.

In Fig. 4(d,e), we plot the (001) surface states of $\beta$ $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ calculated from first-principles (SA B1 [99]). We observe large, arc-like surface states around the projection of the $\Gamma$ point (white arrows in (d)), as well the projections of bulk states at the $k_{y}=0$ surface TRIM points. Crucially, we determine that the surface states are in fact gapped at all values of $k_{x, y}$. This can be understood by considering the symmetry and topology consequences of the bulk DBI at $\Gamma$. In the absence of SOC, each band inversion nucleates one drumhead surface state (per spin) around the surface projection of the $\Gamma$ point (SA A [99]). In the absence of additional surface wallpaper group symmetries, such as mirror or glide [7, 158], these drumhead states hybridize and gap. When SOC is reintroduced, the four hybridized drumhead states (two per spin) open into two hybridized twofold surface TI cones (Fig. 4(a-c)). Therefore rather than being trivial


FIG. 4: (a-c) Schematic surface state evolution of a HOTI driven by DBI. (a) Two bulk bands inverted at the same energy (blue dashed lines) realize a fourfold surface Dirac fermion (purple lines) [19]. (b) In the absence of specific glide reflection symmetries, this fermion is unstable [7], and will split into two, twofold surface fermions, which may be stabilized by either a surface mirror (topological crystalline insulator (TCI)) [72, 153], glide (hourglass TCI) [7, 75], or $C_{2 z} \times \mathcal{T}$ symmetry (rotation anomaly TCI) [11, 76,154$]$. (c) In the absence of surface reflection or rotation symmetries, the twofold cones (yellow circles in (b), dashed lines in (c)) hybridize and gap, realizing the surface of a HOTI [3]. (d) Spectral weight at $E_{F}$ of states on the (001) surface of $\beta$ $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ plotted as a function of $k_{x, y}$, and (e) along $k_{x}=0$ as a function of energy (SA B1 [99]). Each of the two band inversions at the bulk $\Gamma$ point (Fig. 2(d)) nucleates a topological twofold surface cone centered at $k_{x}=k_{y}=0$ (purple); the cones then repel each other in energy and merge with the projections of the bulk states (b). As depicted in (c), the surface bands from these cones (white arrows in (d)) hybridize and gap (yellow dashed lines in (e)) to form a narrowly avoided crossing. In $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$, these hybridized cones also appear as surface states [68-70, 128-138], but their gap is spanned along $k_{x}$ by small, topological Fermi arcs from bulk type-II Weyl points below $E_{F}$ (black arrows in (d)). In SA B4 [99], we calculate the (001) surface states of $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ gapped with symmetry-preserving distortion, and observe gapped HOTI surface states nearly identical to those in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e})$.

Fermi arcs, the surface states of $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ are in fact the characteristic split and gapped fourfold Dirac-cone states of a HOTI $[3,7,19]$. Unlike the gapless surface states of 3D TIs [79, 80], the gapped Fermi arcs only appear at low energies because of the interplay of SOC and band dispersion in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, and could theoretically be moved away from $E_{F}$ without changing the bulk or surface topology (SA A [99]).

This observation solves a longstanding mystery in $\gamma$ -
$\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$. In theoretical predictions [68-70] and bulk experimental probes [143-145], both $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$ exhibit narrowly separated type-II Weyl points in the vicinity of doubly inverted bands. Nevertheless, as measured both directly by ARPES [128, 129, 131, 132, 137] and through quasiparticle interference in STM probes [134136], $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$ crystals also exhibit huge, arc-like surface states that largely overwhelm possible signatures of topological Weyl Fermi arcs. Previous works determined these large surface arcs to be topologically trivial [6870, 128-136]. However, in light of our previous analysis of similar nontrivial surface states in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, we recognize this determination to be incomplete. By explicitly calculating the surface states and bulk topology of $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ when its Weyl points are gapped by slight distortion (SA B4 [99]), we instead discover that the large Fermi arcs in $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$ represent the split surface Dirac cones of a non-symmetry-indicated HOTI phase that is nearby in parameter space and driven by DBI (Fig. 4). Given the small separation of the bulk Weyl points, which is quite sensitive to experimental conditions [136, 137, 159, 160], this HOTI phase may be accessible via symmetry-preserving distortion or strain, and may already be realized in existing samples.

In this letter, we have demonstrated higher-order topology in both $\beta$ - and $\gamma-\mathrm{XTe}_{2}$. When SOC is neglected in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, we observe a pair of MNLs at $E_{F}$. While SOC cannot be neglected in $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, other centrosymmetric materials with lighter atoms and DBI are likely to also exhibit MNLs [46]. When the effects of SOC are incorporated, $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ develops a direct gap with the parity eigenvalues of a HOTI. Though $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ is in fact metallic, and thus does not host the projected hinge gap required to observe its characteristic helical hinge modes [19], it is possible that a TMD with more favorable band dispersion could be engineered by intercalation or chemical substitution. Finally, we observe that both $\gamma-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$ exhibit the same large topological surface arcs as $\beta-\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$, resolving an outstanding puzzle in TMDs, and presenting a new venue for investigating non-symmetry-indicated higher-order topology.
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