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We observe plasma heating due to collisional diffusion across a separatrix when a magnesium ion column in a Penning-Malmberg trap is cyclically pushed back and forth across a partial trapping barrier. The barrier is an externally applied axisymmetric “squeeze” potential, which creates a velocity separatrix between trapped and passing particles. Weak ion-ion collisions then cause separatrix crossings, leading to irreversible heating. The heating rate scales as the square root of the oscillation rate times the collision frequency, and thus can be dominant for low-collisionality plasmas. The particle velocity distribution function is measured with coherent Laser Induced Fluorescence, and shows passing and trapped particles having an out-of-phase response to the forced plasma oscillations.

Electric and magnetic field inhomogeneities in plasmas can create collisional boundary layers between trapped and passing particles. These boundary layers are predicted to enhance plasma transport [1, 2], dissipate poloidal rotation [3], and damp waves [4, 5]. Experiments, numerical simulations, and theories on tokamaks [6, 7], stellarators [8, 9], and pure electron plasmas [10–14] have shown increased transport and wave damping resulting from applied field inhomogeneities. However, prior experiments have not directly observed the signature \( \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} \) scaling of these boundary layer analyses, where \( \nu_c \) is the collisionality and \( f_{sl} \) is the frequency at which the particles are cyclically pushed across the separatrix.

A heating rate proportional to \( \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} \) is similar to the viscous heating of a sheared fluid caused by an oscillating plate [15, 16]. Here, oscillating untrapped plasma takes the place of the plate, transferring energy and momentum diffusively into the trapped plasma through a boundary layer of width proportional to \( \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} \), as in the classic fluid problem.

In this letter, we present quantitative measurements of the particle dynamics in the presence of a velocity separatrix, and of the resulting plasma heating induced by collisional diffusion across this separatrix. Trapped and passing particle populations are created by applying a cylindrically-symmetric electrostatic squeeze near the middle of a pure ion plasma column. When the plasma is cyclically pushed through this potential barrier, the trapped and passing particles experience different dynamics, forming a collisional boundary layer. The particle dynamics are directly measured using a coherent Laser Induced Fluorescence technique, and the trapped (passing) particles are observed to move in (out-of)-phase with the applied forcing, in quantitative agreement with a recent collisionless adiabatic invariant analysis [17].

Ion-ion collisions cause velocity diffusion and separatrix crossings, which leads to irreversible heating scaling as \( \dot{T} \propto \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} (e\varphi_a)^2 \delta L^2 \) where \( \delta L \) is the amplitude of the forced oscillation through the separatrix potential \( e\varphi_a \) created by the externally applied \( V_{sqz} \). This heating is in quantitative agreement with recent theory [17]. The cyclic nature of the oscillation, effectively restarts the diffusion process at each cycle, resulting in “cyclic diffusion” of particle across the separatrix.

These experiments for the first time confirm the signature \( \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} \) scaling of the collisional separatrix heating, by changing the rate \( f_{sl} \) at which the plasma is oscillated through the squeeze, and by changing ion-ion collisionality \( \nu_c \) over a range of 100x in \( \nu_c f_{sl} \).

A Penning-Malmberg trap with a wall radius \( R_w = 2.86 \text{cm} \) is used to confine un-neutralized magnesium ions in a magnetic field of \( B = 3.0 \text{T} \) [18], as shown in Figure 1. These traps can contain the same charged particles in steady state for weeks [19], by using weak “rotating-wall” electric potentials [20]. Using Laser Induced Fluorescence diagnostics on the ground state of Mg(\( ^{A} \approx 280 \text{nm} \)), the plasma radial density profile \( n(r, z_L) \) and temperature \( T(r, z_L) \) are measured at the laser location \( z_L \); and the 2D density \( n(r, z) \) is then calculated using a Boltzmann-Poisson solver [21]. A typical plasma, axially confined with \( V_{conf} = 100 \text{V} \), has a length \( L_R \approx 11 \text{cm} \), and a radially uniform density \( n_0 \approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) out to \( R_p \approx 0.5 \text{ cm} \), resulting in an almost rigid \( E \times B \) plasma rotation at a frequency \( f_{E \times B} \approx 10 \text{ kHz} \). The ions cool toward 0.04eV from collision with
neutral H₂ at pressure P ≈ 10⁻⁸ Torr, and applied weak cyclotron heating on the ²⁴Mg⁺ ions controls the plasma temperature over the range 0.04 eV < T < 1 eV. Initial radial temperature profiles are uniform within 10% inside most of the plasma, and typically 25% warmer on the edge (see for example ref.[22]). The temperature is presumed to be uniform in z.

For these experiments, a velocity separatrix is created by applying a squeeze potential Vqz to an annular electrode as shown in Figure 1. The cyclic axial plasma flow (sloshing) is formed by adding nominally sinusoidal voltages ±Vsl cos(2πfsl t) to the end confinement voltages Vconf, with the ± referring to left/right ends. Typically, the slosh frequency fsl = 500Hz, and the slosh amplitude Vsl ≃ 50Vp effectively displaces each end a distance ±δL ≈ 0.5 cm.

To test recent theory [17], these experiments are performed in the “super-banana” regime defined by \( \nu_c \ll 2 \pi f_b \ll 2 \pi f_s [8, 17] \). Here the axial bounce frequency is \( f_b = \bar{v}/2Lp \approx 10 kHz (10 cm/Lp) (T/1eV)^{1/2} \) with \( \bar{v} = 2 \times 10^5 (T/1eV)^{1/2} \) cm/s. The classical ion-atom collision rate given by \( \nu_c = \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\pi m_{b} b} \ln (r_{c}/b) \approx 10^{-1} (n/10^7 cm^{-3}) (T_{eV}/1eV)^{-3/2} \) in this temperature regime bulk viscous heating (scaling as \( \nu_c/f_b \)) is substantially weaker [23] than the separatrix heating. Also \( f_s < f_b \), so that the \( \nu_c \) independent heating due to excitation of bounce resonances is minimized [23].

The particle dynamics is measured using a coherent Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) technique [24], which measures the parallel velocity distribution function \( F(v, z_L) \) coherent with the phase \( \theta(t) \) of the forced plasma sloshing. Reference [18] describes the ground state Mg⁺ LIF scheme at 280nm, while reference [24] describes the coherent LIF technique. The laser wavelength is set to be resonant with a Mg⁺ ion moving at velocity \( v \). The plasma is then sinusoidally forced back and forth through the separatrix \( \varphi_s \) for 500ms (250 cycles at 500Hz) and the time of arrival of each fluorescent photon is recorded along with the phase of the slosh. The plasma is then allowed to re-equilibrate for 10 seconds, and the process is repeated for 100 different laser wavelengths, encompassing the entire particle velocity distribution.

Post-processing of the data assigns, each photon from each wavelength (velocity) into 16 phase bins \( \theta_j = j2\pi/16 \) corresponding to the slosh phase at the photon time, and the entire phase-coherent distribution is reconstructed as \( F(v, \theta; z_L) \). Due to the finite size of the laser beam and viewing volume, these measurements are convolved over a 0.2cm diameter, 0.3cm long cylinder centered at \( z_L \). The beam diameter was selected to optimized the signal to noise and minimize non-linear distortion of the distribution function.

Figure 2a shows the coherent \( F(v, \theta_j; z_L) \), obtained during 125 slosh cycles, at two phases corresponding to the right (phase 0°) and left (phase 180°) slosh extremes. For phase 0° (red dots), the plasma is being forced to the right as shown in figure 1. Therefore, the trapped particles at the laser location \( z_L \) are compressed, giving a larger density at low velocity. In contrast, the density of passing particles at \( z_L \) decreases to equalize the potential along on a given field line. For phase 180° (blue triangles) the plasma is forced to the left, and the density changes are reversed. The dotted line of figure 2a is the Maxwellian distribution \( F_{Ma}(v) \) before sloshing, and the two vertical grey dashed lines indicate the minimum particle velocity \( v_{L}^{sep} \) at the laser location required to cross the separatrix. The asymmetries in the measured \( F(v) \) are due to small fractions of magnesium isotope 25 and 26.

We also observe that the entire distribution of particles is sloshing at a low velocity. For the data presented in figure 2a, \( v_{sl} \approx 5000 \) cm/s \( \approx 0.03 \bar{v} \) is measurable, but too small to be visible on this plot. Assuming a forced sinusoidal oscillation of the plasma, the in-phase [25] coherent change of the distribution is

\[
\delta F^{coh}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{15} F(v, \theta_j) \times \cos \theta_j. \tag{1}
\]

Changes in the plasma density and temperature appear...
in the $v-$symmetric response given by

$$
\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v) \equiv \frac{1}{2F_M(0)} \left[ \delta F^{\text{coh}}(v) + \delta F^{\text{coh}}(-v) \right], \quad (2)
$$

where $F_M$ is the initial Maxwellian distribution. The analogous $v-$antisymmetric response yields the fluid velocity of the particles.

The symmetric coherent response $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v, z_L)$ is plotted in figure 2b. The horizontal axis is the measured particle velocity at $z_L$, normalized to the initial thermal velocity $\bar{v} = 1.6 \times 10^5 \text{ cm/s}$ from temperature $T = 0.65 \text{ eV}$. Each symbol corresponds to measurements performed at two wavelengths of the laser, corresponding to $\pm v$. The collision rate is $\nu_c = 3.8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, so a thermal ion experiences about 2 collision during the 250 slosh cycles. The symmetric response $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v)$ clearly shows that the trapped particles ($v < 1.05 \bar{v}$, at this measurement radius, $r_L = 0.4 \text{ cm}$) are in-phase with the forcing, whereas the passing particles ($v > 1.05 \bar{v}$) are out-of-phase. At phase $0^\circ$ (sloshed right), the left-trapped density increases while the passing particle in the left density decreases. The velocity where $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v) = 0$ is the measured separatrix velocity $v_{\text{sep}}^L$ at the laser location.

The curves of Figure 2b are the predicted $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v, z_L, r_L)$ from the theory of Ref.[17]. This theory first evaluates the collisionless adiabatic response of a collisionless particle orbit, The particle dynamics is at fixed $r$, but the potentials $\phi(z, r)$ and $\delta \phi(r, z)$ are determined by self-consistent solution of Poisson’s equation with the wall boundary conditions. Thus, the separatrix energy $E_{\text{sep}}^L(z, r)$ depends strongly on $r$, and passing particles will “shield” the potential from trapped particles at other radii.

The long dashed-line of figure 2b is the collisionless theory prediction of $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v)$ for $r = 0.4 \text{ cm}$, showing a sharp discontinuity at the separatrix. The discontinuity is due to the bounce average of $\delta \phi$ being different for trapped particle and passing particles. The short dashed-lines are the theory predictions for $r = 0.3 \text{ cm}$ and $r = 0.5 \text{ cm}$ corresponding to the edges of the laser beam. The solid line is the prediction of $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v)$ averaged over the laser beam, predicting a smooth measured distribution. Data with an increased beam size (not shown) corroborates the effect of spatial averaging.

The particle kinetic energy required to pass through the squeeze is a function of $z$-position and radius in the plasma, and we determine $E_{\text{sep}}^{L}(z_L, r_L) = \frac{1}{2}m[v_{\text{sep}}^L(z_L, r_L)]^2$ by detecting the change of sign in the symmetric response of $\delta F_{\text{sym}}^{\text{coh}}(v)$ occurring at $v_{\text{sep}}^L$, as shown in Fig. 2b. Note that the LIF measures the kinetic energy of particles only at $z = z_L$, so particles with energy less than $\phi(z_L, r)$ are not detected, since they do not have enough energy to reach the diagnostic location. On axis $r = 0$, at $z_{\text{min}}$, the potential with respect to the trap wall is $e \phi(z_{\text{min}}, r = 0) = 14.89 \text{ eV}$, at the laser location the $e \phi(z_L, r = 0) = 14.98 \text{ eV}$, and $e \phi(z_{\text{sep}}, r = 0) = 15.11 \text{ eV}$; this gives a separatrix energy relative to the laser position of $E_{\text{sep}}^{L} = e \phi(z_{\text{sep}}, r = 0) - e \phi(z_L, r = 0) = 0.13 \text{ eV}$. These potentials are calculated from the Poisson-Boltzmann equilibrium [21]. The estimated absolute accuracy is $\pm 0.1 \text{ V}$ due to uncertainty in the total number of ions; and the estimated relative accuracy at various locations is $\pm 0.02 \text{ V}$.

Figure 3a compares the LIF-determined $E_{\text{sep}}^{L}$ (squares) to that calculated from the Boltzmann-Poisson equilibrium $e \phi(z, r)$ (open circles), as a function of radius. This corroborates the interpretation of $v_{\text{sep}}^L$ on Fig.2b. Figure 3a shows that $E_{\text{sep}}^{L}$ is reduced by Debye shielding in the center. Measurements at all seven radial locations are performed on the same plasma, but due to repeated sloshing through the squeeze potential some particles on the outside edge of the plasma are lost. The plasma radius evolves from 0.64 cm to 0.57 cm over the course of...
the measurement. The data are collected at “interlaced” radii to avoid systematic drift. The theory predictions of figure 3 are calculated at each specific radius for the measured experimental conditions. The lines on figure 3 are merely to guide the eye.

Integrating $\delta F_{\text{sym}}(v, r)$ gives the density perturbation $\delta n_{\text{coh}}(r)$ plotted versus radius in figure 3b (triangles), with the theory prediction from integrating Eq. (2) (open circles). At large radii most particles are trapped and $\delta n_{\text{coh}} > 0$, because the trapped density increases as the trapped plasma is compressed. In contrast, at small radii $\delta n_{\text{coh}} < 0$, because most particles are passing, and can move to shield out trapped particle density charges.

The second half of this letter focuses on the plasma heating caused by collisions acting on this particle dynamics. As the trapped particles are compressed/expanded by the slosh, they undergo an adiabatic change in temperature $\delta T = \pm 2T (\delta L/L)^2$ every period of the slosh oscillation, where the $\pm$ indicates that $\delta T$ reverses sign across the separatrix. Collisions then cause particles with energy near the separatrix energy $e\varphi_s$ to make a trapped/passing transition before the slosh perturbation reverses; this defines a collisional boundary layer of energy width $\Delta E = \sqrt{T} e\varphi_s \nu_c/(2\pi f_{sl})$ around the separatrix. For the case presented in figure 2b, the width of the boundary layer is small with $\Delta E = 0.02eV$.

Collisions make the adiabatic heating of the trapped particles irreversible, which leads to plasma heating scaling as $f_{sl}(e\varphi_s)^2 (\delta L/L)^2 \alpha_{BL}$, where $\alpha_{BL}$ is the fraction of particles in the boundary layer. For a Maxwellian distribution, $\alpha_{BL} \sim (\Delta E/\sqrt{T} e\varphi_s) \exp(-e\varphi_s/T)$, so the heating rate is

$$\frac{\dot{T}}{T} \sim \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}} (e\varphi_s/T)^2 (\delta L/L)^2 \exp(-e\varphi_s/T). \quad (4)$$

The exact expression for the heating rate can be found in equation 51 of reference [17], and was used to calculate the open circles of Figure 3c for each radius. Theory and experiment are in quantitative agreement at each radius, and show that the maximum heating occurs where about half the particles are trapped. Note that theory generally describes the heating per cycle scaling as $\sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}}$. Experimentally we measure the heating rate (per unit of time) that scales as $f_{sl} \nu_c f_{sl} = \sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}}$. The temperature is measured before and after the multi-cycle slosh through a squeeze at which times the distributions are close to Maxwellian.

We vary $V_{aqz}$ to change the separatrix energy $e\varphi_s$. In these experiments we observe a heating rate with the $(e\varphi_s)^2 \exp(-e\varphi_s/T)$ $\delta L^2$ scaling of Eq.4 over the range $3 < V_{aqz} < 15V$ and $0.35cm < \delta L < 1.8cm$.

We also for the first time observe the $\sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}}$ heating rate characteristic of boundary layer analysis. Figure 4 displays the measured heating rate for a wide range of plasma parameters, confirming the $\sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}}$ scaling over a factor of 100 in $\nu_c f_{sl}$. Here, the 4 different symbols represent $\nu_c$ and $f_{sl}$ values as shown. The heating rate $\dot{T}/T$ is scaled as expected theoretically for the $(\delta L/L)^2$ amplitude of the displacement, for the $(e\varphi_s/T)^2$ ratio of potential to thermal energies, and for the $\exp(-e\varphi_s/T)$ dependence of the number of particles at the separatrix energy. The squares, are the normalized heating rate for the low collisionality plasma ($\nu_c \simeq 3.8 \cdot s^{-1}$) of figure 2 and 3 at $r_{sl}=0.3$ and 0.4cm. The dot is from a plasma with $\nu_c = 7.8s^{-1}$. The blue symbols are for ($\nu_c \simeq 210s^{-1}$); the triangles ($\delta L = 1cm$) and diamonds ($\delta L = 1.8cm$) and sloshing frequencies ($50Hz < f_{sl} < 1200Hz$). The symbols of figure 4 cover a range of 5 in collisionality $\nu_c$, and 24 in frequency $f_{sl}$. The solid line is the heating rate predicted by theory with no adjustable parameter from equation 51 of reference [17].

To control the temperature and therefore the ion-ion collision rate, we typically keep the hydrogen pressure fixed and change the amount of cyclotron heating. We have used other combinations of hydrogen pressure and cyclotron heating to obtain the same temperature. The heating due to separatrix crossings is caused by ion-ion collisions, and we observe the same separatrix heating rate for other combinations of pressure and cyclotron heating. The (slower) cooling collisions with neutral H$_2$ molecules are not essential to the observed heating due to separatrix crossing.

Experimentally, it is worth noting that oscillating the plasma in the absence of a separatrix, produces negligible heating. Furthermore, applying a negative squeeze voltage does not produce separatrix heating, since it does not create a separatrix between separate trapped populations. For example applying $V_{aqz} = -15V$ on a plasma similar to the one used in figure 2 and 3 results in a negligible heating rate $\dot{T} \simeq 0.02eV/s$ at $r_{sl} = 0.4cm$.

Other mechanisms can also heat the plasma, albeit at a much slower rate when $\nu_c \ll 2\pi f_{sl}$. For instance, cyclic plasma compressions and expansions causes bulk viscous heating of order $\nu_c T (\delta L/L)^2$ [23]; and our “slosh” procedure also compress and expands the trapped particles. For the plasma data of figure 3 this bulk viscous heating is maximum at $r_{sl} = 0.42 cm$ where $T_{vis} = 0.009 eV/s$ about 100 times smaller than the heating attributed to
separatrix dissipation, as shown by the dotted line at the bottom of the graph. For the large collisionality data $\nu_c = 210 \, s^{-1}$ of figure 4, bulk viscous heating is about 15 times smaller that the heating attributed to separatrix dissipation.

To summarize, we have used external electrodes to create a controlled velocity space separatrix, and have forced the plasma to oscillate through it at frequencies in the super-banana regime $\nu_c \ll 2\pi f_{sl} \ll 2\pi f_b$. We experimentally identify passing and trapped particles, and have observed directly the coherent particle response in quantitative agreement with newly developed theory. Furthermore these experiments for the first time confirm plasma heating scaling as $\sqrt{\nu_c f_{sl}}$, in quantitative agreement with super-banana heating due to particle diffusively crossing an energy separatrix. This heating mechanism is relatively large for low collisionality plasma and can be significant for fusion plasma.
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[25] A small 90° out of phase component is also observed but the signal is too noisy to be useful. That is, we are ignoring dissipation in this coherent description.