Disorder-Driven Transition in the ν=5/2 Fractional Quantum Hall Effect

W. Zhu and D. N. Sheng

Disorder-driven transition in $\nu = 5/2$ fractional quantum Hall effect

W. Zhu[1,2] and D. N. Sheng[3]

[1]*Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute of Advanced Study and School of Science, Westlake University, Hangzhou, 030024, China*
[2]*Theoretical Division and CNLS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA and*
[3]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, California State University, Northridge, California 91330, USA*

The fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect at the filling number $\nu = 5/2$ is a primary candidate for non-Abelian topological order, while the fate of such a state in the presence of random disorder has not been resolved. Here, we address this open question by implementing unbiased diagnosis based on numerical exact diagonalization. We calculate the disorder averaged Hall conductance and the associated statistical distribution of the topological invariant Chern number, which unambiguously characterize the disorder-driven collapse of the FQH state. As the disorder strength increases towards a critical value, a continuous phase transition is detected based on the disorder configuration averaged wave function fidelity and the entanglement entropy. In the strong disorder regime, we identify a composite Fermi liquid phase with fluctuating Chern numbers, in striking contrast to the well-known $\nu = 1/3$ case where an Anderson insulator appears. Interestingly, the lowest Landau level projected local density profile, the wave function overlap, and the entanglement entropy as a function of disorder strength simultaneously signal an intermediate phase, which may be relevant to the recent proposal of Particle-hole Pfaffian state or Pfaffian-anti-Pfaffian puddle state.

Introduction.— The fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect [1] is a novel example of topological orders [2], providing an ideal testbed for fractional statistics [3] [4–6]. In particular, the quasiparticles obeying non-Abelian statistics are expected to form the building-block for topological quantum computation [7, 8], thus is of crucial importance. So far, the even-denominator FQH system at the filling factor $\nu = 5/2$ is the most promising candidate for experimental realizations of non-Abelian states [9–17]. While this $\nu = 5/2$ state was first experimentally identified thirty years ago [9], its exact nature remains under intense theoretical debate. Among different candidates, the non-Abelian Pfaffian state [4] as a fully polarized $p_x - ip_y$ paired state of composite fermions [18], was numerically established as a viable possibility [19–26]. The Pfaffian state breaks particle-hole (PH) symmetry and has a partner state known as the anti-Pfaffian state [27, 28] which is also a valid candidate. In the presence of an exact PH symmetry, for example by projecting into the first excited Landau level, the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian are exactly degenerate, thus the emergence of one over the other is determined by the PH symmetry breaking, e.g., through Landau level mixing [29–32]. However, very recently, the thermal Hall conductance of the $\nu = 5/2$ state is found to be $\kappa_{xy} \approx 5/2$ (in units of thermal conductance quanta) [33], inconsistent with Pfaffian (anti-Pfaffian) state, for which thermal Hall conductance $\kappa_{xy} = 7/2(3/2)$ are expected.

The experimental observation of thermal Hall conductance $\kappa_{xy} = 5/2$ is intriguing and challenge for theoretical understanding. One plausible interpretation is a PH symmetric Pfaffian (PH-Pfaffian) state realized at $\nu = 5/2$ [34–36], which is a s-wave pairing state built on Dirac composite fermions [37]. So far, existing numerical works [19–22, 30–32] do not support PH-Pfaffian state in microscopic models with dominant Coulomb interactions. One possible reason is that the PH-Pfaffian model wave function fails to represent a gapped and incompressible phase [38–40]. Moreover,

the experimental observation can be alternatively explained by disorder-induced mesoscopic puddles made of Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian states [42–44]. Compared to pure systems, there are limited studies of the role of random disorder on the $5/2$ state, which immediately raises some critical questions: Is PH-Pfaffian state or Pfaffian-anti-Pfaffian puddle state energetically favorable in a disordered FQH system? In light of the numerical supports of the Pfaffian (or anti-Pfaffian) in disorder-free systems, another important question is: what is the fate of the 5/2 FQH state in the presence of disorder? Generally, when the disorder strength becomes comparable to the strength of interactions between electrons, the FQH state will eventually be destroyed. A characterization of such disorder driven transition is highly desired to compare with experimental observations [45]. To date, related studies of the disorder FQH systems have only been done at $\nu = 1/3$, where it has been identified a disorder-driven transition from the Laughlin state to an Anderson insulator [46–48]. It remains unclear to what extent the above picture will change at $\nu = 5/2$.

In this paper, we investigate the disorder-driven transition for half-filled first excited Landau level, based on which, we illustrate a global phase diagram for such a non-Abelian system in the presence of random disorder. First of all, we show that the distribution of Hall conductances and the associated topological invariant Chern number can be used to distinguish different quantum phases. We identify a disorder-driven critical point separating the FQH state carrying a unique quantized Chern number, from a composite fermion liquid (CFL) that is characterized by a distribution of fluctuating Chern numbers for different disorder configurations. This is in sharp contrast to the $\nu = 1/3$ FQH state, where the Laughlin state undergoes a transition to an Anderson insulator [46, 47] with vanishing Chern number at strong disorder side. This phase transition is also signaled by the variance of wave function fidelity and the disorder configuration averaged entanglement entropy, both of which support the same critical point for the collapsing of

the FQH effect by strong disorder. In addition, we address the possibility of an intermediate phase in moderate disorder strength, potentially relevant to the disorder induced PH-Pfaffian or Pfaffian-anti-Pfaffian puddle state. Our work not only identifies a novel quantum phase transition between the FQH state and a CFL, but also provides strong evidences to support the theoretical conjecture of disorder-stabilized FQH phase based on numerical simulations of microscopic model for FQH systems.

Model and Method.— We consider N_e electrons moving on a torus under a perpendicular magnetic field. The torus is spanned by $\mathbf{L}_1 = L_1 \mathbf{e}_x$ and $\mathbf{L}_2 = L_2 \mathbf{e}_y$, where $\mathbf{e}_x$ and $\mathbf{e}_y$ are Cartesian unit vectors, and L_1 and L_2 are lengths of the two fundamental cycles of the torus. Required by the magnetic translational invariance, the number of fluxes penetrating a torus is equal to the number of orbitals in one Landau level $N_s = L_1 L_2 / (2\pi \ell^2)$ ($\ell = 1$ is the magnetic length). The total filling fraction is then defined as $\nu = \nu_0 + N_e/N_s$ ($\nu_0 = 2$ for 5/2 FQH systems due to the fully occupied lowest Landau level). When the magnetic field is strong, we can assume that electrons in the partially-filled Landau level are spin-polarized and their dynamics is restricted to the orbitals in the first excited Landau level. The many-body Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{m_i=0}^{N_s-1} V_{m_3,m_4}^{m_1,m_2} \hat{a}_{m_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m_3} \hat{a}_{m_4} + \sum_{m_i=0}^{N_s-1} U_{m_2}^{m_1} \hat{a}_{m_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{m_2}$$

where $a_m^\dagger (a_m)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron in the orbital m. By choosing Landau gauge, the momentum conserved interaction terms can be expressed as

$$V_{m_3,m_4}^{m_1,m_2} = \frac{1}{N_s} \delta_{m_1+m_2,m_3+m_4}^{\mathrm{mod} N_s}$$
$$\sum_{q_1,q_2=-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta_{q_2,m_1-m_4}^{\mathrm{mod} N_s} V(\mathbf{q}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{q}|^2} e^{i\frac{2\pi q_1}{N_s}(m_1-m_3)},$$

where $V(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}|}$ represents the Coulomb interaction and $\mathbf{q} = (q_x, q_y) = (\frac{2\pi q_1}{L_1}, \frac{2\pi q_2}{L_2})$. The disorder term is

$$U_{m_2}^{m_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi N_s} \sum_{q_1,q_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{t,m_1-m_2}^{\mathrm{mod} N_s} U(\mathbf{q}) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{q}|^2} e^{i\frac{\pi q_1}{N_s}(2m_1-q_2)},$$

where $U(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} U(\mathbf{r})$ mimics the random disorder. To study the effects of correlated potential, we use the Gaussian correlated random potential $\langle U(\mathbf{q}) U(\mathbf{q}') \rangle = \frac{W^2}{2\pi N_s} \delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'} e^{-2q^2\xi^2}$, where ξ is the correlation length.

We obtain the ground state $\{|\Phi_k\rangle\}$ of $\hat{H}$ using exact diagonalization (ED) algorithm. Due to the lack of translational symmetry in the presence of disorder, the system sizes accessible by ED are limited to $N_e \leq 12$ by the current computational capability. In our extensive tests, $N_e \leq 8$ systems suffer from very strong finite size effect as the topological Pfaffian states are not fully developed for pure system [22], so we will focus on the $N_e = 10, 12$ below. We averaged up to 2000 and 500 samples for $N_e = 10$ and $N_e = 12$ respectively, which gives quantitatively reliable results.

Statistics of Chern number.— Identifying topological invariant is crucial for characterizing the underlying physics of topological ordered states. Conventionally, FQH states are characterized by the Hall conductance and the associated Chern number [49–51], which determines the intrinsic topology of wave function [52] and the corresponding gapless edge excitations at a system boundary [53]. In the presence of disorder, the Hall conductance also offers an unambiguous criterion to distinguish the insulating state from quantum Hall states in an interacting system [46, 47, 54]. To be specific, under twisted boundary condition the wave function becomes

$$|\Psi_k\rangle = \exp\left[-i\sum_{i=1}^{N_e}\left(\frac{\theta_1}{L_1}x_i + \frac{\theta_2}{L_2}y_i\right)\right]|\Phi_k\rangle,$$

and θ_i is the boundary phases and (x_i, y_i) is coordinate of particles. The boundary phase averaged Hall conductance is $\sigma_H(k) = C_k e^2/h$, where C_k for is defined as [46]

$$C_k = \frac{i}{4\pi} \oint_\Gamma d\theta \cdot \left[\langle\Psi_k|\frac{\partial\Psi_k}{\partial\theta}\rangle - \langle\frac{\partial\Psi_k}{\partial\theta}|\Psi_k\rangle\right].$$

Here, the closed path integral is carried out along the boundary Γ of the boundary parameter space (the magnetic Brillouin zone) $0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$. C_k is equivalent to the Berry phase (in units of 2π) accumulated when the boundary conditions evolve along the closed path Γ.

Let us start by discussing the salient features of the Chern number statistics for different disorder strength. We tune the aspect ratio L_1/L_2 to find energy spectrum with six fold near degeneracy separated from other excited states, which characterizes the particle-hole symmetrized Pfaffian state [20]. Taking into account that the lowest six states should become degenerate in the thermodynamic limit, we introduce probability $P(C)$ of the total Chern number distribution, which describes the probability that total Chern number of the lowest $N_g = 6$ near degenerating states is C in our sampled configurations. For a weak disorder strength (Fig. 1(a)), $P(C)$ takes unity for $C = 3$ and zero for $C \neq 3$ (i.e., the lowest six states have $C = 3$ for all the disorder configurations), thus each nearly degenerated ground state carries a Hall conductance of $\sigma_H = e^2/2h$, which manifests the $\nu = 5/2$ FQH state on a torus.

In strong disorder regime, disorder tends to change the Chern number of each state, and results in redistributions of probabilities of different Chern numbers. As shown in Fig. 1(a), when $W > 0.1$, $P(C)$ becomes nonzero for $C \neq 3$, with nearly equal probabilities for Chern numbers larger or smaller than 3 to appear in different disorder configurations. For example, at $W = 0.1$, $P(C = 3)$ is reduced to 0.95 while $P(C = 2) \approx 0.025$. Upon increasing disorder strength, $P(C = 3)$ monotonically decreases and the distribution of $P(C)$ becomes broader. The coexistence of different Chern numbers characterizes the delocalization of quasiparticle excitations. In particular, even though $P(C)$ has a broad distribution instead of a single nonzero value, we identify the averaged Chern number remains approximately quantized to

FIG. 1. (a) Probability distribution $P(C)$ of total Chern number C for various disorder strength W. Here we set $\xi = 1.0$ for a system with $N_e = 10$ electrons. The Hall conductance σ_H and its fluctuation $(\delta\sigma)^2_H$ versus disorder strength W for (b) $\xi = 0.0$ and (c) $\xi = 1.0$. The error bar shows the standard error in the disorder averaged value.

$\langle C \rangle \approx 3$, for example, $\langle C \rangle \approx 2.98$ at $W = 0.24$. This observation demonstrates each ground state still carries nonzero averaged Hall conductance in strong disorder regime, which is consistent with a CFL rather than an Anderson insulator. [59] A plausible understanding comes from the fact that, various FQH $\nu = 5/2$ states such as Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian, can be interpreted as pairing states built on a half-filled CFL [37, 55] with different underlying pairing symmetries [18]. While the transition follows the destruction of the pairing mechanism by disorder, disorder cannot localize composite fermions at half filling, since the backscattering and localization are suppressed due to the intrinsic $\pi-$Berry phase [56–58] [70, 71]. As a comparison, in the case of $\nu = 1/3$ FQH, strong disorder destroys the quantization of the Chern number and leads to $\langle C \rangle \approx 0$, which suggests a topologically trivial Anderson insulator in disorder dominating regime [46, 47][72].

To quantify the evolution of Chern number statistics with respect to disorder strength, we demonstrate the fluctuation of the Hall conductance $\langle \delta\sigma^2_H \rangle$ as a function of disorder strength W in Fig. 1(b-c). In the weak disorder regime, we observe that Hall conductance carried by each ground state is always quantized to $\langle \sigma_H \rangle = e^2/2h$ with little fluctuation $\langle \delta\sigma^2_H \rangle \approx 0$. In strong disorder regime, despite $\langle \sigma_H \rangle$ is quantized, the broad Chern number distribution leads to a finite fluctuation of the Hall conductance $\langle \delta\sigma^2_H \rangle \neq 0$. We can identify a critical disorder strength W_c separating a FQH state with zero fluctuation from a critical state with finite fluctuations as marked by arrows in Fig. 1(b-c). The above picture holds for all correlation length ξ and system sizes we tested[60].

Entanglement Entropy.— Topological phases are characterized by the long-range quantum entanglement patterns [73–75]. As a novel application, it is found that the entanglement entropy is sensitive to the quantum criticality, in both


FIG. 2. (a) Entanglement entropy S versus disorder strength W of $N_e = 10$ electrons for various correlation length ξ. The data for different ξ is shifted in the vertical direction for clarity. (b) Derivative of entropy with respect to the disorder $\partial S/\partial W$ for $\xi = 1.0$.

clean systems [76, 77] and disordered Abelian FQH systems [48, 78]. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of entropy by increasing disorder strength at $\nu = 5/2$. [79] We find that the entropy S monotonically decreases with the increase of W. Importantly, a kink develops near the critical strength W_c (indicated by arrows in Fig. 2(a)), where the slope of entropy shows discontinuity (Fig. 2(b)). This sudden change of $\partial S/\partial W$ shows a consistent signature of the expected quantum phase transition. Moreover, we also identify a trend of the increasing of the critical W_c for larger value of ξ. Importantly, the entanglement measurements give largely consistent identifications of the quantum critical point W_c, compared to that identified by Chern number statistics (Fig. 1).

Implications for an intermediate phase.— The evolution of Hall conductance and its fluctuation unambiguously pin down the phase transition between the $5/2$ FQH state and CFL state. However, it is incapable to distinguish the precise nature of different FQH states, because possible candidates, including Pfaffian, anti-Pfaffian or PH-Pfaffian state, carry the same Hall conductance. Next we further explore the phase transition at the wave function level. First, we define the wave function overlap matrix: $O_{ij} = \langle \Phi_i(W)|\Phi_j^{\mathrm{Pf}}(W = 0)\rangle$, between the lowest six states for disordered system with the Pfaffian states, and the total overlap $\langle O \rangle$ (fidelity) as the summation of eigenvalues of the overlap matrix, where $\langle .. \rangle$ indicates the average over the disorder configurations. In Fig. 3, we show that the wave function fidelity monotonically decreases with the increase of the disorder, which does not show a clear signature of the possible quantum phase transition between different FQH states. Interestingly, we find that the fluctuation of wave function fidelity $\langle (\delta O)^2 \rangle$ is sensitive to the phase transition. This is because in the pure system, the wavefunction is characterized by Pfaffian (anti-Pfaffian) wavefuction, which is a product of Laughlin state for bosonic $\nu = 1/2$ and a $p_x \pm ip_y$ wavefunction for composite fermions. Physically, the fluctuation of wave function fidelity $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ can detect the phase fluctuations of wavefunction deviating from the $p_x \pm ip_y$ form. To be specific, we identify a single peak in $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ for short correlated length (Fig. 3(a,e)), which indicates a single phase transition by tuning disorder strength W. For disorder with long correlated length, we find a two-step phase transition, evidenced by $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ experiencing two sud-

FIG. 4. The projected electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ for various disorder strength for $\xi = 1.0$ for systems with $N_e = 10$.

den jump around W_* and W_c (e.g. Fig. 3(b,c)). It demonstrates an intermediate regime with finite $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ emerging between $W_* < W < W_c$. This observation signals an intermediate phase stabilized by correlated disorder. The upper bound of this intermediate regime W_c separates the FQH state from the non-FQH state, and the lower bound W_* indicates another transition point from pure Pfaffian (or Anti-Pfaffian) to intermediate phase.

To inspect the effect of disorder in real space, we show the projected electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ in Fig. 4, which is the equivalent electron density describing the spatial distribution of the guiding center [80–82]. The many-body density of states is qualitatively distinguishable from the pure limit: Density modulation is pronounced in spatial space and forms puddle structures starting from $W \gtrsim W_*$.

The appearance of additional critical strength W_* in the variance of wave function fidelity and projected electron density, is suggestive of a possible intermediate phase stabilized by correlated disorder approximately within $W_* \lesssim W < W_c$. At quantitative level, nonzero correlation length pushes the critical W_c to larger value (Fig. 3(b,c,f,g)) leaving a wider region for the intermediate phase, which again indicates that an intermediate phase is favored by correlated disorder. Accordingly, we label an intermediate FQH phase in the phase diagram (Fig. 3(d,h)). The intermediate regime exists on both system size $N_e = 10$ and $N_e = 12$, based on which we speculate that it would maintain in the thermodynamic limit. These observations are consistent with the theoretical pictures for the disorder stabilized PH-Pfaffian state [34] or Pfaffian-anti-

Pfaffian puddle state [42–44].

Summary and Discussion.— We have presented a systematic numerical study of correlated disorder driven quantum phase transition for $\nu = 5/2$ fractional quantum Hall effect. First of all, the distribution of topological Chern numbers and corresponding Hall conductance fluctuations are capable of directly probing the collapse of the fractional quantum Hall state, which also determines the quantum critical points for random disorder with different correlation lengths. Second, the phase transition is also signaled by the wave function fidelity and entanglement entropy. The critical disorder strength obtained from different methods is consistent with each other, validating the reliability of our numerical results. Third, in strong disorder regime, we identify a composite Fermi liquid as the ground state, rather than an Anderson insulator as realized at filling number $\nu = 1/3$, demonstrating rich physics for strongly correlated disorder systems. Last but not least, our results imply a possible intermediate phase stabilized by correlated disorder potentials, as evidenced by fluctuations of wave function fidelity and the puddle-like structures in projected density of states. Although we cannot pin down the nature of the intermediate phase, these results provide the essential step towards understanding the nature of the disorder stabilized 5/2 quantum Hall state in the half-filled first excited Landau level from a microscopic point of view. We estimate some existing experiments would fall into the intermediate regime (see [60]), which will motivates more experimental activities searching for disorder stabilized 5/2 state. Furthermore, our work indeed opens up several directions for further exploration. For example, to connect with the previous studies on network models [42, 43], it is important to identify the neutral chiral modes on the domain walls between randomly distributed puddles. In addition, diagnosis of quantum fluctuations via various quantities shown here provides a practical way to study quantum criticality for general disordered interacting fractionalized topological systems.

FIG. 3. Averaged wave function fidelity $\langle O \rangle$ and fluctuation of wave function fidelity $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ as a function of disorder strength W for various correlation length $\xi = 0.5$, $\xi = 1.0$ and $\xi = 1.5$. The calculation is performed on the systems with $N_e = 10$ (top panel) and $N_e = 12$ (bottom panel). The intermediate phase is marked by light yellow which is determined by finite fluctuation $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$. The phase diagram determined from the $\langle \delta O^2 \rangle$ is shown in (d) and (h).

[1] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1559 (1982).

[2] X. G. Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 239 (1990).

[3] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).

[4] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).

[5] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3205 (1991).

[6] N. Read and E. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 8084 (1999).

[7] A. Y. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).

[8] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman and S. D. Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).

[9] R. Willett, J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Strmer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard and J. H. English, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776 (1987).

[10] W. Pan, J.-S. Xia, V. Shvarts, D. E. Adams, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3530 (1999).

[11] H. C. Choi, et al., Phys. Rev. B **77**, 081301(R) (2008).

[12] W. Pan, et al., Phys. Rev. B **77**, 075307 (2008).

[13] I. P. Radu, J. B. Miller, C. M. Marcus, M. A. Kastner, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. West, Science **320**, 899 (2008).

[14] M. Dolev, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, A. Stern, and D. Mahalu, Nature **452**, 829 (2012).

[15] R. L. Willett, C. Nayak, K. Shtengel, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 186401 (2013).

[16] R. L. Willett, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **106**, 8853 (2009).

[17] Aveek Bid, N. Ofek, H. Inoue, M. Heiblum, C. L. Kane, V. Umansky and D. Mahalu, Nature **466**, 585-590 (2010).

[18] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).

[19] R. H. Morf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1505 (1998).

[20] E. H. Rezayi and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4685 (2000).

[21] M. R. Peterson, K. Park, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 156803 (2008).

[22] H. Wang, D. N. Sheng, and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 241311 (2009).

[23] M. Storni, R. H. Morf, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 076803 (2010).

[24] W. Zhu, Zhao Liu, F. D. M. Haldane, and D. N. Sheng, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 245147 (2016).

[25] A. E. Feiguin, E. Rezayi, C. Nayak, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 166803 (2008).

[26] Jize Zhao, D. N. Sheng, and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 195135 (2011).

[27] M. Levin, B. I. Halperin, and B. Rosenow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236806 (2007).

[28] S.-S. Lee, S. Ryu, C. Nayak, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236807 (2007).

[29] E. H. Rezayi and Steven H. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 116801 (2011).

[30] M. P. Zaletel, R. S. K. Mong, F. Pollmann and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 045115 (2015).

[31] K. Pakrouski, M. R. Peterson, T. Jolicoeur, V. W. Scarola, C. Nayak, and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 021004 (2015).

[32] E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 026801 (2017).

[33] M. Banerjee, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, D. E. Feldman, Y. Oreg, and A. Stern, Nature **559**, 205-210 (2018).

[34] P. T. Zucker and D. E. Feldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 096802 (2016).

[35] J. Yang, arXiv:1701.03562 (2017).

[36] X. Chen, L. Fidkowski, and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B 89, 165132 (2014).

[37] D. T. Son Phys. Rev. X 5, 031027 (2015).

[38] M. V. Milovanovic, Phys. Rev. B 95, 235304 (2017).

[39] Ryan V. Mishmash, David F. Mross, Jason Alicea, Olexei I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B 98, 081107 (2018).

[40] A. C. Balram, M. Barkeshli, and M. S. Rudner, Phys. Rev. B 98, 035127 (2018).

[41] Xin Wan and Kun Yang, Phys. Rev. B 93, 201303(R) (2016).

[42] D. F. Mross, Y. Oreg, A. Stern, G. Margalit, and M. Heiblum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 026801 (2018).

[43] C. Wang, A. Vishwanath, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 98, 045112 (2018).

[44] B. Lian and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 97, 165124 (2018).

[45] N. Deng, G.C. Gardner, S. Mondal, E. Kleinbaum, M.J. Manfra, and G.A. Csthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 116804 (2014).

[46] D. N. Sheng, X. Wan, E. H. Rezayi, K. Yang, R. N. Bhatt, and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 256802 (2003).

[47] X. Wan, D. N. Sheng, E. H. Rezayi, K. Yang, R. N. Bhatt, and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B 72, 075325 (2005).

[48] Zhao Liu and R. N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 206801 (2016).

[49] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett 49, 405 (1982).

[50] Q. Niu, D. J. Thouless, and Y. S. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 31, 3372 (1985).

[51] J. E. Avron, , D. Osadchy and R. Seiler, Phys. Today, 38 (Aug) 2003.

[52] M. Kohmoto, Ann. Phys. 160, 343-354 (1985).

[53] Y Hatsugai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3697 (1993).

[54] Daniel P. Arovas, R. N. Bhatt, F. D. M. Haldane, P. B. Littlewood, and R. Rammal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 619 (1988).

[55] B. I. Halperin, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).

[56] S. D. Geraedts, M. P. Zaletel, R. S. K. Mong, Max A. Metlitski, A. Vishwanath, O. I. Motrunich, Science **352**, 197-201(2016).

[57] S. D. Geraedts, J. Wang, E. Rezayi, F. D. M. Haldane,Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 147202 (2018).

[58] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 206602 (2004).

[59] Further tests with adding PH symmetry breaking three-body interactions confirm that the averaged Chern number remains unchanged even when PH symmetry is broken. Please see [60].

[60] See Supplemental Material for additional results on statistics of Chern number and entanglement entropy, and the implications on existing experiments, which includes Refs. [61–69].

[61] S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 29, 6012(R) (1984).

[62] Y. Huo, R. E. Hetzel, and R. N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 481 (1993)

[63] A. Y. Kitaev, J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110404 (2006).

[64] M. Levin, X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110405 (2006).

[65] W. Pan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 206806 (2011).

[66] G. Gamez and K. Muraki, Phys. Rev. B. 88, 075308 (2013).

[67] J.P. Eisenstein, K.B. Cooper, L.N. Pfeier, and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 076801 (2002).

[68] J. Nuebler et al., Phys. Rev. B. 81, 035316 (2010).

[69] A. Kumar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 246808 (2010).

[70] K. Nomura, M. Koshino, and S. Ryu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 146806 (2007).

[71] T. Ando and T. Nakanishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1704 (1998).

[72] Notice that in both cases the Landau level mixing effect is not considered, which may eventually destroy the CFL phase when the disorder strength exceeds the gap between different Landau levels.

[73] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 517 (2008).

[74] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82,

277 (2010).

[75] N. Laflorencie, Physics Reports 646, 1 (2016).

[76] M. Haque, O. Zozulya, and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 060401 (2007).

[77] A. M Lauchli, E. J Bergholtz and M. Haque, New J. Phys. 12 075004(2010).

[78] Z. Liu, R. N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. B 96, 115111 (2017).

[79] Since the FQH phases host the degenerating states in the ther-modynamic limit, we consider a ground state manifold containing the lowest six eigenstates to compute density matrix ρ and related entanglement entropy. Please see [60].

[80] E.H. Rezayi, F.D.M. Haldane, and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1219 (1999).

[81] F. D. M. Haldane, E.H. Rezayi, and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5396 (2000).

[82] D. N. Sheng, Ziqiang Wang, and B. Friedman, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 161103R (2002).