

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Proton Shell Evolution below $\{132\}$ Sn: First Measurement of Low-Lying β -Emitting Isomers in $\{123, 125\}$ Ag Z. Q. Chen *et al.*

Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 212502 — Published 28 May 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.212502

Proton Shell Evolution below ¹³²Sn: First Measurement of Low-Lying β -emitting Isomers in 123,125 Ag

Z. Q. Chen,¹ Z. H. Li,^{1, *} H. Hua,^{1, †} H. Watanabe,^{2, 3} C. X. Yuan,⁴ S. Q. Zhang,¹ G. Lorusso,^{3, 5, 6} S. Nishimura,³ H. Baba,³ F. Browne,^{3, 7} G. Benzoni,⁸ K. Y. Chae,⁹ F.C.L. Crespi,^{8, 10} P. Doornenbal,³ N. Fukuda,³ G. Gey,^{3, 11, 12}

R. Gernhäuser,¹³ N. Inabe,³ T. Isobe,³ D. X. Jiang,¹ A. Jungclaus,¹⁴ H. S. Jung,^{15,16} Y. Jin,¹ D. Kameda,³

G. D. Kim,¹⁷ Y. K. Kim,^{17,18} I. Kojouharov,¹⁹ F. G. Kondev,²⁰ T. Kubo,³ N. Kurz,¹⁹ Y. K. Kwon,¹⁷ X. Q. Li,¹

J. L. Lou,¹ G. J. Lane,²¹ C. G. Li,¹ D. W. Luo,¹ A. Montaner-Pizá,²² K. Moschner,²³ C. Y. Niu,¹

F. Naqvi,²⁴ M. Niikura,²⁵ H. Nishibata,²⁶ A. Odahara,²⁶ R. Orlandi,^{27, 28} Z. Patel,⁶ Zs. Podolyák,⁶

T. Sumikama,³ P.-A. Söderström,³ H. Sakurai,³ H. Schaffner,¹⁹ G. S. Simpson,¹¹ K. Steiger,¹³ H. Suzuki,³

J. Taprogge,^{3,14,29} H. Takeda,³ Zs. Vajta,^{3,30} H. K. Wang,³¹ J. Wu,^{1,20} A. Wendt,²³ C. G. Wang,¹

H. Y. Wu,¹ X. Wang,¹ C. G. Wu,¹ C. Xu,¹ Z. Y. Xu,^{25,32} A. Yagi,²⁶ Y. L. Ye,¹ and K. Yoshinaga³³

¹School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

²IRCNPC, School of Physics and Nuclear Energy Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

³RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

⁴Sino-French Institute of Nuclear Engineering and Technology, Sun Yat-Sen University, Zhuhai, 519082, Guangdong, China

⁵National Physical Laboratory, NPL, Teddington, Middlesex TW11 0LW, United Kingdom

⁶Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

⁷School of Computing, Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brighton, Brighton, BN2 4GJ, United Kingdom

⁸INFN, Sezione di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

⁹Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea

¹⁰Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

¹¹LPSC, Universite Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut

National Polytechnique de Grenoble, F-38026 Grenoble Cedex, France

¹²Institut Laue-Langevin, B.P. 156, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

¹³Physik Department, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany

¹⁴Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, E-28006 Madrid, Spain

¹⁵Department of Physics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea

¹⁶Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA

¹⁷Rare Isotope Science Project, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 305-811, Republic of Korea

¹⁸Department of Nuclear Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Republic of Korea

¹⁹GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany

²⁰ Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois, 60439, USA

²¹Department of Nuclear Physics, R.S.P.E., Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia

²²IFIC, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, A.C. 22085, E 46071, Valencia, Spain

²³Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Strasse 77, D-50937 Köln, Germany

²⁴ Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8120, USA

²⁵Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan

²⁶Department of Physics, Osaka University, Machikaneyama-machi 1-1, Osaka 560-0043 Toyonaka, Japan ²⁷Instituut voor Kern en Stralingsfusica, KU Leuven, University of Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

²⁸Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1195, Japan ²⁹ Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

³⁰MTA Atomki, P.O. Box 51, Debrecen, H-4001, Hungary

³¹College of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, Zhoukou

Normal University, Henan 466000, Peoples Republic of China

³²Department of Physics, the University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

³³Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba, Japan

(Dated: April 29, 2019)

The β -delayed γ -ray spectroscopy of neutron-rich ^{123,125}Ag isotopes is investigated at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory of RIKEN and the long-predicted $1/2^{-}\beta$ -emitting isomers in ^{123,125}Ag are identified for the first time. With the new experimental results, the systematic trend of energy spacing between the lowest $9/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ levels is extended in Ag isotopes up to N = 78, providing a clear signal for the reduction of the Z = 40 subshell gap in Ag towards N = 82. Shellmodel calculations with the state-of-the-art V_{MU} plus M3Y spin-orbit interaction give a satisfactory description of the low-lying states in 123,125 Ag. The tensor force is found to play a crucial role in the evolution of the size of the Z = 40 subshell gap. The observed inversion of the single particle levels around ¹²³Ag can be well interpreted in terms of the monopole shift of the $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ orbitals mainly caused by the increasing occupation of $\nu 1h_{11/2}$ orbitals.

With nuclear physics studies moving towards radioactive nuclei far from the β -stability line over the last decades, the well-known magic nucleon numbers (8, 20, 28, 50, 82, and 126) were found to be not necessarily immutable across the nuclear chart [1, 2]. To investigate the underlying mechanism which alters the magic numbers, considerable experimental and theoretical efforts have been made. Nowadays the evolution of shell structure is known to be largely affected by the strong nucleon-nucleon tensor interaction [3–6].

In recent years, many attentions are focused on the detailed location and magnitude of shell and subshell gaps in different mass regions [1, 6-10]. One of the regions of particular interest lies below the doubly magic nucleus ¹³²Sn. A quenching of the N = 82 shell gap was predicted a long time ago [11-13] to take place below 132 Sn. Due to experimental difficulties to produce these extremely neutron-rich nuclides, so far only a few nuclides below Z= 50 have been studied around N = 82. Recent experimental studies have shown that the N = 82 shell closure in ¹³²Sn, ¹³⁰Cd, ¹²⁸Pd isotones is still robust [14–18], but a significant reduction of the N = 82 gap was suggested to occur between Sn and Zr as a consequence of the absent Z = 40 subshell gap [7]. Therefore, when moving away from Z = 50, it is very important to further investigate where the predicted quenching of the N = 82shell gap and the Z = 40 subshell gap [7, 19] will occur, as well as how the neutron shell gap and proton subshell gap influence each other. In addition, nuclei close to 132 Sn and their structure properties are relevant for the astrophysical rapid neutron-capture process, the rprocess [20, 21]. A good understanding of the N = 82magic shell and its evolution along the r-process path is of crucial importance for the nucleosynthesis calculations in this region [20].

Owing to recent developments in producing intense rare isotope beams worldwide in combination with efficient particle and γ -ray detection systems, it has become possible to make detailed spectroscopic studies of neutron-rich nuclei below ¹³²Sn. With the Z = 47, the neutron-rich odd-A Ag isotopes are naturally of great interest. Their valence protons are assumed to fill the $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ and $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbitals, between which the Z = 40subshell gap is formed. Consequently, the energy difference between the lowest-lying $9/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ states in these neutron-rich odd-A Ag isotopes provides direct information on the Z = 40 subshell gap.

To illustrate how the Z = 40 subshell gap evolves in Ag isotopes, the energy differences between the lowest $9/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ states are summarized for odd-A silver isotopes with N = 48 - 78 in Fig. 1. On the neutron-deficient side, the systematic trend of the energy spacing, as well as theoretical calculations [24, 25], suggest a maximum at N = 50, manifesting the stability of both Z = 40 and N = 50 shell gaps in Ag isotopes. With the increase of neutron number, this energy difference drops quickly. At



FIG. 1. Systematics of experimental energy differences between the lowest-lying $1/2^-$ and $9/2^+$ states in Ag isotopes. Data are taken from Refs. [22, 23] (black filled circles) and present work (red filled circles). The dashed blue arrows are drawn to guide the eye. The range of the shaded region for ¹¹⁹Ag corresponds to the uncertainties of the experimental data.

¹⁰⁵Ag (N = 58), the ordering of these two levels swaps and the $1/2^-$ state becomes the lowest state. The energy splittings between the lowest $9/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ states are relatively flat in the neutron mid-shell region till ¹¹⁹Ag (N = 72) where the $1/2^-$ isomeric state was proposed to lie between the $7/2^+$ ground state and the $9/2^+$ excited state at 130 keV [22, 26]. For nuclei beyond ¹¹⁹Ag, so far only the $9/2^+$ states are assigned in ^{121,123,125}Ag mainly based on the systematics [27–31], while no experimental information on $1/2^-$ states is available. Therefore, it is very interesting to see how the $1/2^-$ state will evolve with respect to the $9/2^+$ state in the heavier Ag isotopes when approaching N = 82: will it remain below the $9/2^+$ state or get even lower, or will the order of the states change?

In this Letter, we report on spectroscopic studies of neutron-rich odd-A Ag isotopes via β decay of Pd isotopes. The aim of the present study is to determine the positions of the $2p_{1/2}$ proton-hole states in neutron-rich ^{123,125}Ag isotopes.

The experiment was performed at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory (RIBF) facility [32] at RIKEN in the framework of the EURICA project [33, 34]. A primary beam of 345 MeV/ $u^{238}U^{86+}$, with an intensity of 7 - 12 pnA, impinged into a 3-mm-thick Be target. The ions of interest were separated and identified event by event by the BigRIPS and ZeroDegree spectrometers [35]. A total of about 7.0 × 10⁶ ¹²³Pd⁴⁶⁺ and 2.4 × 10⁶ ¹²⁵Pd⁴⁶⁺ ions were implanted into an active stopper, named WAS3ABi [33], which consisted of eight compactly stacked double-sided silicon-strip detectors (DSSSDs). The WAS3ABi array also served as a detector for the beta particles (electrons). Gamma rays emitted after the β decays of the implanted ions were detected with the EURICA spectrometer [34], which con-



FIG. 2. (a) β -delayed γ -ray spectra measured within 300 ms after the ¹²³Pd implantation. The peaks marked with asterisks are new transitions in ¹²³Ag but not included in the partial level scheme of Fig. 4 and the peaks marked with # are known contaminants. (b) Coincident γ -ray spectra gated on the 611.0-keV γ -ray. The γ -ray spectra in β -delayed coincidence with sum of (c) the 713.6-, 629.7- and 685.3-keV transitions, (d) 383.1-, 325.1- and 594.0-keV transitions. Inset in (c): The γ -ray spectrum in β -delayed coincidence with 1009.2-keV transition.

sisted of 84 high-purity germanium crystals grouped in 12 clusters.

The ground state of ¹²³Ag has been assigned as $7/2^+$ based on the systematics and its decay pattern [28– 30]. A low-lying 9/2⁺ level only 27-keV above the 7/2⁺ ground state has been reported in ¹²³Ag [28, 29]. The ground state of ¹²⁵Ag was assigned as 9/2⁺ based on the similar γ -decay pattern as ¹²³Ag, and on the systematics [28, 29]. In the previous studies [28, 29], a short-lived (sub-microsecond) 17/2⁻ isomeric state was observed in both ¹²³Ag and ¹²⁵Ag, and their γ -decay schemes were established. In the present work, most of the previously reported γ transitions following the 17/2⁻ isomeric decay in ¹²³Ag and ¹²⁵Ag can be clearly seen in the β -delayed γ -ray spectra of corresponding Pd isotopes, as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 3(a). Besides, many new γ rays are observed.

The partial level schemes of ¹²³Ag and ¹²⁵Ag deduced from the present work, are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that these two isotopes have a similar decay pattern. Figs. 2(b) and 3(b) present examples of coincidence spectra in ¹²³Ag and ¹²⁵Ag, respectively. For ¹²³Ag, the 611.0-keV transition has a clear coincidence with the 1009.2-keV transition, as well as with the 383.1and 594.0-keV transitions. Meanwhile, the 383.1- and 594.0-keV transitions are found to be in coincidence with each other but not with the 1009.2-keV transition. The coincidence pattern suggests that the 611.0-keV transition feeds a level, which decays via two parallel transition



FIG. 3. (a) β -delayed γ -ray spectra measured within 180 ms after the 125 Pd implantation. The peaks marked with asterisks are new transitions in 125 Ag but not included in the partial level scheme of Fig. 4 and the peaks marked with # are known contaminants. (b) Coincident γ -ray spectra gated on the 625.4-keV γ -ray. The γ -ray spectra in β -delayed coincidence with sum of (c) the 714.3- , 670.0- and 728.6-keV transitions and (d) 415.1- , 361.1- and 606.3-keV transitions. Inset in (c): The γ -ray spectrum in β -delayed coincidence with 1118.5-keV transition.

paths. One deexcites via the 1009.2-keV transition and the other decays via a transition sequence of 383.1- and 594.0-keV.

For ¹²⁵Ag, the 625.4-keV transition has a clear coincidence with the 1118.5-keV transition, as well as with the 415.1- and 606.3-keV transitions (see Fig. 3(b)). The 415.1- and 606.3-keV transitions are found to be in coincidence with each other but not with the 1118.5-keV transition. Based on the γ - γ coincidence, intensity balance and systematics of the odd-A Ag isotopes, for ¹²⁵Ag, the 415.1-, 606.3-keV transition sequence is suggested to deexcite to the $1/2^-$ long-predicted isomeric state and the 1118.5-keV transition decays to the $9/2^+$ ground state. The remarkable similarity of γ - γ coincidence of ^{123,125}Ag suggests that for ¹²³Ag, the 383.1 keV - 594.0 keV transition sequence feeds the $1/2^-$ isomeric state, and the 1009.2-keV transition decays to the $9/2^+$ state.

The $1/2^{-}$ states in ^{123,125}Ag are predicted to be β decaying isomers. To further explore their decays to Cd isotopes, an asymmetric matrix with γ rays from the daughter Ag nuclei on one axis and γ rays from the granddaughter Cd nuclei on the other axis, was constructed. To get clean coincident γ -ray spectra, the time ranges of γ -rays from mother nuclei decay and daughter nuclei decay are set as twice the half-life for each of the respective nuclei. Figs. 2(c), (d) and Figs. 3(c), (d) exhibit the γ -ray spectra of the granddaughter nuclei ¹²³Cd and ¹²⁵Cd with gates on the γ -rays of the daughter nuclei ¹²³Ag and ¹²⁵Ag, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 2(c),



FIG. 4. Partial level schemes of 123 Ag and 125 Ag constructed in this work in comparison with the shell-model calculations. The experimental information of $17/2^-$ isomeric states in 123,125 Ag, which are not/weakly populated in the present work due to the selection rule, are taken from Ref. [28]. The arrow widths are proportional to their absolute intensities.

with gates on the known 713.6-, 629.7- and 685.3-keV γ -transitions which finally feed the 7/2⁺ ground state of 123 Ag, a strong 263.9-keV γ -ray and several relative weak 116.4-, 123.7-, 409.8-, and 591.3-keV γ -rays can be clearly seen. In contrast, with gates on the γ -rays at energies of 325.1-, 383.1- and 594.0-keV which finally feed the $1/2^-$ isomeric state of ¹²³Ag, the 123.7-keV transition becomes the strongest (although the 263.9-keV gammaray can also been seen in Fig. 2(d)). Furthermore, the resulting γ -ray spectrum of granddaughter ¹²³Cd with gate on the 1009.2-keV transition in 123 Ag shown in the inset of Fig. 2(c) is very similar to Fig. 2(c) rather than Fig. 2(d). Such different populating patterns in granddaughter ¹²³Cd reveal that these two groups of γ -ray transitions (i.e., the 713.6-, 629.7-, 685.3-, 1009.2-keV transitions and 325.1-, 383.1-, 594.0-keV transitions) finally feed the different β -decaying states in ¹²³Ag, which firmly verify the identification of $1/2^{-}$ isomeric state in 123 Ag in the present work. As shown in Figs. 3(c),(d), the different populating patterns can also be seen in 125 Cd.

To further probe the nature of the low-lying states in

 $^{123}\mathrm{Ag}$ and $^{125}\mathrm{Ag},$ shell-model calculations have been performed using the KSHELL code [36] with the state-ofthe-art monopole-based universal interaction V_{MU} plus a spin-orbit force from $M3Y(V_{MU}+LS)$ [4, 37]. The V_{MU} interaction consists of a Gaussian central force and a tensor force [4], and has successfully been applied to describe the shell structure of exotic nuclei in many regions [5, 38– 41]. In the present calculations, the model space consists of four proton orbitals $(1f_{5/2}, 2p_{3/2}, 2p_{1/2}, 1g_{9/2})$ and five neutron orbitals $(1g_{7/2}, 2d_{5/2}, 3s_{1/2}, 1h_{11/2}, 2d_{3/2})$ with ⁷⁸Ni as an inert core. Since the deeply bound proton orbitals $(1f_{5/2}, 2p_{3/2})$ and neutron orbitals $(1g_{7/2}, 2p_{3/2})$ $2d_{5/2}$) are not expected to play major roles in the lowlying states in Ag isotopes, these orbitals are fully occupied. The calculated levels are plotted in Fig. 4 in comparison with the experimental results. It can be seen that shell-model calculations give an overall satisfactory description of experimental levels in ^{123,125}Ag, particularly for the low-lying levels. The calculations indicate that as approaching N = 82, the wave functions from the $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ and $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbitals dominate low-lying states of



FIG. 5. (a) Proton effective single particle energies in Ag isotopes calculated by the $V_{MU}+LS$ interaction. The dashed lines are obtained only with the central and spin-orbit forces, while the solid lines include the central, spin-orbit and tensor forces. (b) Neutron occupation number in the $1h_{11/2}$ shell.

Ag isotopes.

With the newly observed $1/2^{-}$ isomeric states in ^{123,125}Ag, Fig. 1 shows that the $9/2^{+}$ and $1/2^{-}$ levels swap their ordering again around ¹²³Ag. The systematic energy difference indicates an increasing trend beyond ¹²⁵Ag, which reveals that the Z = 40 subshell gap formed between the $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ and $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbitals starts to be restored toward N = 82. It is worth emphasizing that although the energy difference between the $9/2^{+}$ and $1/2^{-}$ levels shows a similar trend toward N = 82 as that toward N = 50, the slope at neutron-rich side is obviously much less steep than on the neutron-deficient side close to N = 50. Extrapolating the trend toward N = 82, a considerable diminishment of the Z = 40 subshell gap is expected.

To get more insight into the microscopic origin of shell evolution in this region, the effective single particle energies (ESPEs) are calculated for the proton orbitals in the region of $N\,=\,68$ - 82 with the $\rm V_{MU}{+}LS$ interaction and shown in Fig. 5(a). Here, the V_{MU} interaction is decomposed into the two components, i.e. the central and tensor parts, to identify their possible effects on the shell evolution. To show the influence from the neutron $\nu 1h_{11/2}$ orbital in the proton-neutron tensor force, the calculated neutron occupation number in the $1h_{11/2}$ shell is presented in Fig. 5(b). It can be seen that if only the central + spin-orbit parts are considered, the $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbital lies below the $\pi 1 q_{9/2}$ orbital in the whole region of N = 68 - 82 (see dashed lines in Fig. 5(a)). In contrast, with inclusion of the tensor part (especially the $\pi 1 q_{9/2}$ - $\nu 1h_{11/2}$ monopole), $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ orbital is affected much more than the $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbital and the spacing between $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ and $\pi 2p_{1/2}$ orbitals is notably reduced (see solid lines in Fig. 5(a), consequently results in the inversion of these two orbitals at $N \sim 74$, which is compatible with the experimental inversion position. In view of this picture,

the tensor force manifests its crucial role in the modification of the order of the proton orbitals and the size of the Z = 40 subshell gap in Ag isotopes mainly through the $\pi 1g_{9/2}$ - $\nu 1h_{11/2}$ monopole. Vice versa, our calculations indicate that this tensor force will also influence the behavior of the $\nu 1h_{11/2}$ orbital, which is important for the N = 82 shell gap.

In conclusion, spectroscopic studies of neutron-rich ^{123,125}Ag have been performed via the β -decays of ^{123,125}Pd at RIKEN and the long-predicted $1/2^-$ isomeric states in ^{123,125}Ag have been successfully identified for the first time. The systematic trend of the energy spacing between the lowest-lying $9/2^+$ and $1/2^-$ levels in the Ag isotopes provides a clear signal of a reduction of the Z = 40 subshell as approaching N = 82. Shell model calculations with the V_{MU}+LS interaction reproduce well the experimental low-lying states in ^{123,125}Ag. The tensor force is found to play a crucial role in the evolution of the size of the Z = 40 subshell gap.

This work was carried out at the RIBF operated by RIKEN Nishina Center, RIKEN and CNS, University of Tokyo. We thank the staff at RIBF for providing the beams, the EUROBALL Owners Committee for the loan of germanium detectors, the PreSpec Collaboration for the use of the readout electronics. Part of the WAS3ABi was supported by the Rare Isotope Science Project which is funded by MSIP and NRF of Korea. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Contract No. 2018YFA0404403), the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11775003, No. 11675003, No. 11775316, No. 11875075, the National Research Foundation of Korea (No. NRF-2016R1A5A1013277 and NRF-2013M7A1A1075764) and the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under contract FPA2017-84756-C4-2-P. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grants No. 25247045 and 17H06090). Work at ANL is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

- [†] hhua@pku.edu.cn
- O. Sorlin and M.-G. Porquet, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 61, 602 (2008).
- [2] D. Steppenbeck *et al.*, Nature **502**, 207 (2013).
- [3] T. Otsuka, T. Suzuki, R. Fujimoto, H. Grawe, and Y. Akaishi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 232502 (2005).
- [4] T. Otsuka, T. Suzuki, M. Honma, Y. Utsuno, N. Tsunoda, K. Tsukiyama, and M. Hjorth-Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 012501 (2010).
- [5] T. Otsuka, Phys. Scr. **T152**, 014007 (2013).
- [6] H. Watanabe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 042502 (2014).
- [7] J. Taprogge et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 132501 (2014).
- [8] E. Sahin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 242502 (2017).

^{*} zhli@pku.edu.cn

- [9] L. Olivier et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 192501 (2017).
- [10] D. Steppenbeck *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 252501 (2015).
- [11] J. Dobaczewski, I. Hamamoto, W. Nazarewicz, and J. A. Sheikh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 981 (1994).
- [12] J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, and T. R. Werner, Phys. Scr. **T56**, 15 (1995).
- [13] J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, T. Werner, J. Berger, C. Chinn, and J. Dechargé, Phys. Rev. C 53, 2809 (1996).
- [14] A. Jungclaus et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 132501 (2007).
- [15] M. Dworschak *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 072501 (2008).
- [16] K. L. Jones *et al.*, Nature (London) **465**, 454 (2010).
- [17] H. Watanabe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 152501 (2013).
- [18] J. M. Allmond *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 172701 (2014).
- [19] H.-K. Wang, K. Kaneko, and Y. Sun, Phys. Rev. C 91, 021303 (2015).
- [20] K.-L. Kratz *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **25**, 633 (2005).
- [21] M. Mumpower, R. Surman, G. McLaughlin, and A. Aprahamian, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 86, 86 (2016).
- [22] http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/.
- [23] J. K. Hwang et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 054314 (2002).
- [24] K. Schmidt *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **624**, 185 (1997).
- [25] L. Coraggio, A. Covello, A. Gargano, N. Itaco, and

T. T. S. Kuo, J. Phys. G 26, 1697 (2000).

- [26] H. Penttilä, J. Äystö, K. Eskola, Z. Janas, P. P. Jauho, A. Jokinen, M. E. Leino, J. M. Parmonen, and P. Taskinen, Z. Phys. A **338**, 291 (1991).
- [27] Y. Kim, S. Biswas, M. Rejmund, A. Navin, A. Lemasson, S. Bhattacharyya, M. Caamao, E. Clment, G. de France, and B. Jacquot, Physics Letters B 772, 403 (2017).
- [28] S. Lalkovski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 87, 034308 (2013).
- [29] I. Stefanescu et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 42, 407 (2009).
- [30] S. Ohya, Nucl. Data Sheets **102**, 547 (2004).
- [31] http://www.nndc.bnl.gov.
- [32] Y. Yano, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 261, 1009 (2007).
- [33] S. Nishimura, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 03C006 (2012).
- [34] P.-A. Söderström *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B **317**, 649 (2013).
- [35] T. Kubo, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 204, 97 (2003).
- [36] N. Shimizu, arXiv:1310.5431v1 [nucl-th] (2013).
- [37] G. Bertsch, J. Borysowicz, H. McManus, and W. G. Love, Nucl. Phys. A 284, 399 (1977).
- [38] T. Otsuka, A. Gade, O. Sorlin, T. Suzuki, and Y. Utsuno, arXiv:1805.06501v3 [nucl-th] (2018).
- [39] T. Togashi, N. Shimizu, Y. Utsuno, T. Otsuka, and M. Honma, Phys. Rev. C 91, 024320 (2015).
- [40] C. X. Yuan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **762**, 237 (2016).
- [41] M. Queiser et al., Phys. Rev. C 96, 044313 (2017).