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Entanglement suppression in the strong interaction $S$-matrix is shown to be correlated with approximate spin-flavor symmetries that are observed in low-energy baryon interactions, the Wigner $SU(4)$ symmetry for two flavors and an $SU(16)$ symmetry for three flavors. We conjecture that dynamical entanglement suppression is a property of the strong interactions in the infrared, giving rise to these emergent symmetries and providing powerful constraints predicting the nature of nuclear and hypernuclear forces in dense matter.

Understanding approximate global symmetries in the strong interactions has played an important historical role in the development of the theory of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). Baryon number symmetry arises in QCD because it is impossible to include a marginal or relevant interaction consistent with Lorentz and gauge symmetry that violates baryon number, while the axial and vector flavor symmetries are understood to be due to the small ratio of quark masses (and their differences) to the QCD scale. The approximate low-energy $SU(2n_f)$ spin-flavor symmetry for $n_f = 2,3$ flavors that relates spin-$1/2$ and spin-$3/2$ baryons can be understood as arising at leading order (LO) in the large-$N_c$ expansion, where $N_c$ is the number of colors [1, 2]. In low-energy nuclear physics, a different spin-flavor symmetry is observed in the structure of light-nuclei and their $\beta$-decay rates, namely Wigner’s $SU(4)$ symmetry, where the two spin states of the neutron and of the proton transform as the 4-dimensional fundamental representation [3–5]. It has been shown that this symmetry also arises from the large-$N_c$ expansion at energies below the $\Delta$ mass [6–8]. The agreement of large-$N_c$ predictions with nuclear phenomenology has been extended to higher-order interactions [9–12], three-nucleon systems [13–15], and to studies of hadronic parity violation [16–18]. Recently, however, lattice QCD computations for $n_f = 3$ have revealed an emergent $SU(16)$ symmetry in low-energy interactions of the baryon octet—analogous to Wigner’s $SU(4)$ symmetry, but with the two spin states each of the eight baryons transforming as the 16-dimensional representation of $SU(16)$ [19]. This low energy symmetry has been lacking an explanation from QCD. In this Letter, we show that both Wigner’s $SU(4)$ symmetry for $n_f = 2$ and $SU(16)$ for $n_f = 3$ correspond to fixed lines of minimal fluctuations of quantum entanglement in the $S$-matrix for baryon-baryon scattering; we propose entanglement suppression to be a dynamical property of QCD that is the origin of these emergent symmetries $^1$.

Of the many features of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory (QFT) that dictate the behavior of subatomic particles, entanglement and its associated non-locality are perhaps the most striking in their contrast to everyday experience. The degree to which a system is entangled, or its deviation from tensor-product structure, provides a measure of how “non-classical” it is. The importance of entanglement as a feature of quantum theory has been known since the work of Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen [21] and later pioneering papers [22–24], and has become a core ingredient in quantum information science, communication and perhaps in understanding the very fabric of spacetime [25–27]. Despite this long history, the implications of entanglement in QFTs, e.g., Refs. [28–39], and in particular for experimental observables in high-energy and heavy-ion collisions are only now starting to be explored [20, 40–49]. Here we study the role of entanglement in low-energy nuclear interactions.

In general, a low-energy scattering event can entail position, spin, and flavor quantum numbers, and it is therefore natural to assign an entanglement power to the $S$-matrix for nucleon-nucleon scattering. We choose to define the entanglement power of the $S$-matrix in a two-particle spin space [50, 51], noting that this choice is not unique and that others will be explored elsewhere [52]. This is determined by the action of the $S$-matrix on an incoming two-particle tensor product state with randomly-oriented spins, $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = \tilde{R}(\Omega_1)\uparrow\uparrow_1 \otimes \tilde{R}(\Omega_2)\uparrow\uparrow_2$, where $\tilde{R}(\Omega_j)$ is the rotation operator acting in the $j^{th}$ spin-$1/2$ space, and all other quantum numbers associated with the states have been suppressed. For low-energy processes, this random spin pair projects onto the two states with total spin $S = 0,1$, and associated phase shifts $\delta_{0,1}$, in the $^1S_0$ and $^3S_1$ channels, respectively, with projections onto higher angular momentum states suppressed by powers of the nucleon momenta. The entanglement of maximum entanglement is proposed to constrain quantum electrodynamics and the weak interactions.

$^1$ Note that this proposal for the suppression of entanglement fluctuations is distinct from the methods of Ref. [20] where a principle
that the entanglement power of \( E(\hat{S}) \) is defined as
\[
E(\hat{S}) = 1 - \frac{d\Omega_1}{4\pi} d\Omega_2 \frac{4\pi}{4\pi} \text{Tr}_{1} \left[ \hat{\rho}_1^2 \right],
\]
where \( \hat{\rho}_1 = \text{Tr}_2 \left[ \hat{\rho}_{12} \right] \) is the reduced density matrix for particle 1 of the two-particle density matrix \( \hat{\rho}_{12} = |\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle \langle \psi_{\text{out}}| \) with \( |\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = \hat{S}|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle \). By describing the average action of \( \hat{S} \) to transition a tensor-product state to an entangled state, the entanglement power expresses a state-independent entanglement measure that vanishes when \( |\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle \) remains a tensor product state for any \( |\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle \).

Following the analysis of Ref. [20], we consider the spin-space entanglement of two distinguishable particles, the proton and neutron for \( n_f = 2 \) QCD. Neglecting the small tensor-force-induced mixing of the \(^3S_1\) channel with the \(^3D_1\) channel, the \( S \)-matrix for low-energy scattering below inelastic threshold in these sectors can be decomposed as
\[
\hat{S} = \frac{1}{4} (3e^{i2\delta_1} + e^{i2\delta_0}) \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{4} (e^{i2\delta_1} - e^{i2\delta_0}) \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma},
\]
where \( \mathbf{1} = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_2 \otimes \hat{\mathbf{L}}_2 \) and \( \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \hat{\sigma}^\alpha \otimes \hat{\sigma}^\alpha \). It follows that the entanglement power of \( \hat{S} \) is
\[
E(\hat{S}) = \frac{1}{6} \sin^2 (2(\delta_1 - \delta_0)),
\]
which vanishes when \( \delta_1 - \delta_0 = m\pi \) for any integer \( m \). This includes the SU(4) symmetric case \( \delta_1 = \delta_0 \) where the coefficient of \( \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \) vanishes (indicating the 6-dimensional irrep). Special fixed points where the entanglement power vanishes occur when the phase shifts both vanish, \( \delta_1 = \delta_0 = 0 \), or are both at unitarity, \( \delta_1 = \delta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} \), or when \( \delta_1 = 0, \delta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2} \) or \( \delta_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \delta_0 = 0 \). The \( S \)-matrices at these fixed points with vanishing entanglement power are \( \hat{S} = \pm \mathbf{1} \) and \( \pm (\mathbf{1} + \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma})/2 \).

The entanglement power in nature is plotted in Fig. 1 as a function of the center-of-mass nucleon momentum, \( p \), up to pion production threshold, making use of the \(^1S_0\) and \(^3S_1\) phase shifts derived from the analyses of Refs. [53–56]. The four regions indicated are distinguished by the role of non-perturbative physics. Region I shows that entanglement power approaches zero in the limit \( p \to 0 \), as will be the case for any finite range interaction not at unitarity. At momenta around the scale of the inverse scattering lengths, region II, poles and resonances of \( \hat{S} \) produce highly-entangling interactions. This non-perturbative structure could be considered a source of ultra-low-momentum entanglement power; experimental evidence for this is expected to be found in the vanishing modification of np-scattering quantum correlations at 19.465(42) MeV where the phase shifts differ by \( \pi/2 \) and \( |p^\uparrow, n^\downarrow\rangle \) scatters into \( |p^\downarrow, n^\uparrow\rangle \). In region IV, where energies are of order the chiral symmetry breaking scale, the entangling interactions of quark and gluon degrees of freedom become prominent. It is region III that is the main focus of this paper—away from the far-infrared structure but with nucleons as fundamental degrees of freedom, the entanglement power is suppressed. Once relativistic corrections and \(^3S_1\)-\(^3D_1\) mixing—parametrically suppressed at low-energy—are included in Eq. (2), \( E(\hat{S}) \) is expected to remain suppressed but non-zero, indicating that the entanglement suppression in nature is only partial.

Much progress has been made in nuclear physics in recent years by considering low-energy effective field theories (EFTs), constrained by data from nucleon scattering. The \( \delta_{0,1} \) phase shifts can be computed for energies below the pion mass, from the pionless EFT for nucleon-nucleon interactions. The leading interaction in the effective Lagrangian is
\[
\mathcal{L}_{LO}^{\gamma-2} = -\frac{1}{2} C_S (N^\dagger N)^2 - \frac{1}{2} C_T (N^\dagger \sigma N) \cdot (N^\dagger \sigma N),
\]
where \( N \) represents both spin states of the proton and neutron fields. These interactions can be re-expressed as contact interactions in the \(^1S_0\) and \(^3S_1\) channels with couplings \( C_S = (C_S - 3C_T) \) and \( C_T = (C_S + C_T) \) respectively, where the two couplings are fit to reproduce the \(^1S_0\) and \(^3S_1\) scattering lengths. The \( C \) coefficients both run with the renormalization group as described in Ref. [58, 59] with a stable IR fixed point at \( C = 0 \), corresponding to free particles, and a nontrivial, unstable IR fixed point at \( C = C_* \) corresponding to a divergent scattering length and constant phase shift of \( \delta = \pi/2 \) (the "unitary" fixed point). At the four fixed points (described above), where \( \{C_0, C_1\} \) take the values 0 or \( C_* \), the theory has a conformal ("Schrödinger") symmetry; there is also a fixed

\[\text{FIG. 1. The entanglement power, } E(\hat{S}), \text{ of the } S \text{-matrix as a function of } p, \text{ the center-of-mass nucleon momentum. The } ^1S_0 \text{ and } ^3S_1 \text{ phase shifts used to calculate } E(\hat{S}) \text{ were taken from four different models [53–57] to provide a naive estimate of systematic uncertainties. Data for this figure may be found in Table 2 in the supplemental material.}\]
SU predicts a much larger spin-flavor symmetry, while entanglement suppression SU expansion predicts the conventional approximate n\(\exp\) expansion gives a \(SU(6)\) spin-flavor symmetry emerges relating the six coefficients \(c_i\) in Eq. (5) to two independent coefficients \(a, b\) [6] in the \(SU(6)\) invariant Lagrange density,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{n_f=3}^{\text{LO}} &= -c_1 (B_1^\dagger B_2^\dagger B_3^\dagger B_4) - c_2 (B_1^\dagger B_2^\dagger B_3 B_4) \\
&\quad - c_3 (B_1^\dagger B_2^\dagger B_3 B_4) - c_4 (B_1 B_2^\dagger B_3^\dagger B_4) \\
&\quad - c_5 (B_1^\dagger B_3^\dagger B_2) - c_6 (B_1 B_3^\dagger B_2^\dagger B_4) ,
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denotes a trace in flavor space, and \(B_i\) is the 3 \times 3 octet-baryon matrix where the subscript \(i = 1, 2\) denotes spin. \(\mathcal{L}_{n_f=3}^{\text{LO}}\) is invariant under rotations and the transformation \(B \rightarrow V B^\dagger\) where \(V\) is an \(SU(3)\) matrix. In the large-\(N_c\) limit of QCD, an \(SU(6)\) spin-flavor symmetry naturally large in both channels. Therefore, low-energy QCD has approximate \(SU(4)\) symmetry and sits close to the \(\{C_s, C_t\}\) conformal fixed point [60]. The emergence of \(SU(4)\) symmetry (but not necessarily conformal symmetry) follows from the large-\(N_c\) expansion where \(C_T/C_S = O(1/N_c^2)\) [6].

The symmetry points of the EFT can be related to minimization of the entanglement power of the \(S\)-matrix. Figure 2 shows a density plot of \(\mathcal{E}(\hat{S})\) as computed from Eq. (4) in Eq. (6) of the supplemental material averaged over momenta 0 \(\leq p \leq m_\pi/2\), as a function of the couplings \(\bar{C}_{0,1}\) renormalized at \(\mu = m_\pi/2\) and rescaled by \(C_s = -\frac{4\pi}{M}\) with \(M\) the nucleon mass. Superimposed in white are the four conformal fixed points, as well as the Wigner \(SU(4)\) fixed line. The minima of the low-energy-integrated entanglement power of the \(S\)-matrix coincide with the points of enhanced symmetry in the EFT: the \(SU(4)\) line corresponds to \(\delta_0 = \delta_1\) for all momenta, while the conformal points off the \(SU(4)\) line correspond to \(\delta_0 - \delta_1 = \pi/2\).

In the \(n_f = 2\) case, the large-\(N_c\) expansion gives a similar expectation for \(SU(4)\) symmetry as does a principle of entanglement suppression. However, an analogous equivalence does not hold for \(n_f = 3\), as the large-\(N_c\) expansion predicts the conventional approximate \(SU(6)\) spin-flavor symmetry, while entanglement suppression predicts a much larger \(SU(16)\) symmetry under which the two spin states of the baryon octet transform as a 16-dimensional representation.

A comprehensive set of lattice QCD calculations of light nuclei, hypernuclei and low-energy baryon-baryon scattering in the limit of \(SU(3)\) flavor symmetry by the NPLQCD collaboration [19, 61, 62] demonstrates that the \(c_i\) are consistent with this predicted \(SU(6)\) spin-flavor symmetry [19]. The two-baryon sector calculated with \(m_\pi \sim 800\) MeV is found to be unnatural [19, 61, 62], with a scattering length that is larger than the range of the interaction, and hence better described by the power-counting of van Kolck [63] and KSW [58, 59, 64]. Further, the values of \(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\) and \(c_6\) are calculated to be much smaller than \(c_5\), indicating that \(b \ll a\) [19, 61, 62]. When \(b = 0\), the \(SU(6)\) is enlarged to an emergent \(SU(16)\) spin-flavor symmetry [19], where the baryon states populate the fundamental of \(SU(16)\),

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{n_f=3}^{\text{LO}} &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} c_8 (B^\dagger B)^2 , \quad B = (p_1, p_2, n_1, n_2, A_1, \ldots)^T ,
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

with \(c_8 = 2c_5\).

The existence of \(SU(16)\) symmetry and \(b = 0\) does not follow from the large-\(N_c\) expansion, but does follow from entanglement suppression. The entanglement power of the \(S\)-matrix in spin-space from the \(n_f = 3\) interactions in Eq. (5) can be addressed by considering its action on states of distinguishable baryons. Computing the entanglement power \(\mathcal{E}(\hat{S})\) for more than six distinct two-baryon channels with nonidentical particles—e.g., \(N, \Xi^- p\)—shows that zero entanglement power occurs at the \(SU(16)\) point where all the \(c_n\) couplings vanish except for \(c_5\) (indicating the 120-dimensional irrep), which is unconstrained (also, all LO scattering matrices in the \(J = 0\) and \(J = 1\) mixed-flavor sectors are diagonal [11, 19]). Thus, the principle of entanglement...
suppression gives rise to an approximate symmetry, apparent in lattice QCD calculations [19, 61, 62], that does not follow from the large-\(N_c\) limit. We conclude that the large-\(N_c\) limit of QCD does not provide a sufficiently stringent constraint to produce a low-energy EFT that does not entangle, which could not be deduced from the \(n_f = 2\) sector alone [6]. Thus, the entanglement power of the S-matrix appears to be an important ingredient in dictating the properties and relative size of interactions in low-energy nuclear and hypernuclear systems.

While in nuclei and hypernuclei contributions to binding from three-body forces between nucleons and hyperons are small compared with those from two-baryon forces, they cannot be neglected and become more important with increasing density. To understand whether entanglement suppression dictates approximate \(SU(16)\) symmetry in these interactions as well, we take a more general approach rather than computing the multi-baryon S-matrix in various channels to constrain couplings. We begin by assuming exact \(SU(2)_{\text{spin}} \times SU(3)_{\text{flavors}}\) symmetry, where corrections due to \(SU(3)\) violation from quark mass differences can be incorporated in the usual way. Even in the degenerate quark mass limit, this means restricting ourselves to considering only interactions that do not couple spin to orbital angular momentum. While such spin-orbit and tensor interactions can be important in heavy nuclei, they are suppressed by powers of the baryon momenta and do not enter the IR limit of the effective theory. It is then argued that entanglement suppression requires the interactions to respect a \(U(1)^{16}\) symmetry, conserving particle number individually for each of the octet baryon spin states. To see why this is a reasonable assumption, consider a 1-body operator (which need not be local) that violates the \(U(1)^{16}\) symmetry, e.g.,

\[
\hat{\Theta} = \int d^3v d^3u \left[ f(v-u)\alpha_x^\dagger \beta_u + h.c. \right],
\]

where \(\alpha, \beta\) are annihilation operators for components of \(\mathcal{B}\) with \(\alpha \neq \beta\), \(u\) and \(v\) are spatial coordinates and \(f\) is a form factor. This operator implements the transformation, e.g.,

\[
\hat{\Theta} |\alpha_x, \beta_y, \gamma_z\rangle = \int d^3w \left[ f(w-y)|\alpha_x, \alpha_w, \gamma_z\rangle + f^*(x-w)|\beta_w, \beta_y, \gamma_z\rangle \right],
\]

producing an entangled state, even if \(f(x-y) = \delta^3(x-y)\), from which it can be concluded that the \(U(1)^{16}\) symmetry is a necessary condition to forbid entangling interactions \(^3\). It follows from simultaneous exact \(SU(2) \times SU(3)\) and \(U(1)^{16}\) symmetries that the LO EFT must respect the full \(SU(16)\) symmetry by the following argument. The charges \(Q_s = B^t \Gamma_s B\) that by assumption commute with the Hamiltonian \(H\) consist of

\[
\Gamma_s \in \{ \mathcal{I}_{16}, S_i \otimes \mathcal{I}_8, \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes t_a, M_i \},
\]

where \(S_{1,2,3} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)\) are the fundamental generators of \(SU(2)\), \(t_a \in \mathfrak{su}(3)\) with \((t_a)_{bc} = -i f_{abc}\) for \(a, b, c = 1, \ldots, 8\) are the generators of the \(SU(3)\) adjoint representation with structure constants \(f_{abc}\), and the \(M_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, 15\) are a set of independent diagonal traceless \(16 \times 16\) matrices generating \(U(1)^{15}\), the ignored \(U(1)\) symmetry being baryon number. Since all of the above \(Q^n\) are assumed to commute with \(H\), it follows that their commutators do as well. The full symmetry of \(H\) will be the symmetry group generated by the closure of the \(Q^n\) under commutation. By making use of the fact that the \(t_a\) generate an irreducible representation of the \(\mathfrak{su}(3)\) Lie algebra and invoking Schur’s Lemma, it is possible to show that this full symmetry algebra is \(\mathfrak{su}(16)\) [52].

Conjecturing that the guiding principle for low-energy nuclear and hypernuclear forces is the suppression of entanglement fluctuations provides important theoretical constraints on dense matter systems. The Lagrange density describing the \(n_f = 2\) sector with vanishing entanglement power, and therefore \(SU(4)\) spin-flavor symmetry, is

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(n_f=2)} = -\sum_{n=2}^{4} \frac{1}{n!} C_s^{(n)} (N^1 N)^n, \tag{11}
\]

with previous notation \(C_s^{(2)} = C_s\) and \(C_s^{(2)} = c_s\), while for \(n_f = 3\) with \(SU(16)\) spin-flavor symmetry,

\[
\mathcal{L}^{(n_f=3)} = -\sum_{n=2}^{16} \frac{1}{n!} C_s^{(n)} (\mathcal{B}^t \mathcal{B})^n. \tag{12}
\]

Calculations of hypernuclei and hyperon-nucleon interactions imposing \(SU(16)\) spin-flavor symmetry on the low-energy forces are now in progress [63]. Our work suggests that such calculations could probe the nature of entanglement in strong interactions.

The Pauli exclusion principle’s requirement of antisymmetrization produces a natural tendency for highly entangled states of identical particles in the \(s\)-channels. It is somewhat perplexing how to understand the result that the S-matrix for baryon-baryon scattering exhibits screening of entanglement power when the quarks and gluons that form the nucleon are highly entangled. It may be the case that the nonperturbative mechanisms of confinement and chiral symmetry breaking together strongly screen entanglement fluctuations in the low-energy sector of QCD beyond what can be identified in the large-\(N_c\) limit of QCD.

While our work has focused on low-energy interactions, preliminary evidence for entanglement suppression at higher orders in a derivative expansion is seen in the

\(^3\) The converse is not true: it is possible to show that there exist entangling interactions which preserve \(U(1)^{16}\) symmetry [52].
\(n_f = 2\) low-energy constants (LECs) for operators up to NNLO. The contact terms of the two-nucleon potential in the center-of-mass frame are [66]

\[
V_{\text{contact}} = C_S + C_T \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + V_{\text{contact}}^{(2)},
\]

\[
V_{\text{contact}}^{(2)} = C_1 \vec{q}^2 + C_2 \vec{q}^2(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2) + C_6 (\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1)(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2),
\]
with \(\vec{q} = \vec{p}' - \vec{p} \) and \(\vec{p}, \vec{p}'\) the initial and final nucleon momenta. Calculating their entanglement power, it is expected that \(C_T, C_2, C_6\) will be suppressed at low energies. Numerical values of these potential coefficients are determined from the values of the spectroscopic LECs [67–69] (see Fig. 1 of the supplementary material). At small values of the maximum scattering energy, \(T_{\text{Lab}}^{\text{max}}\), the coefficients of the non-entangling operators, \(C_S\) and \(C_1\), are found to be larger in magnitude than their entangling counterparts. Furthermore, as \(T_{\text{Lab}}^{\text{max}}\) is increased and shorter distances are probed, the suppression lessens and \(C_6\) grows. While these observations are consistent with entanglement-suppressed LECs, work remains to be done in understanding the mechanism that suppresses entanglement power in the transition from QCD to low-energy effective interactions, and the full consequences of this mechanism. For instance, one can envisage a new entanglement-motivated power-counting scheme accommodating the features found here, which provides an improved organizational principle for interactions in nuclear physics.

Nuclear physics, with its rich theoretical structure and phenomenology emerging from QCD and QED in the infrared, provides a unique forum for the study of fundamental properties of quantum entanglement. We conjecture that the suppression of entanglement is an important element of strong-interaction physics that is correlated with enhanced emergent symmetries.
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