

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Extracting the Energy-Dependent Neutrino-Nucleon Cross Section above 10 TeV Using IceCube Showers

Mauricio Bustamante and Amy Connolly Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 041101 — Published 28 January 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.041101

Extracting the Energy-Dependent Neutrino-Nucleon Cross Section Above 10 TeV Using IceCube Showers

Mauricio Bustamante^{1,2,3,*} and Amy Connolly^{2,3,†}

¹Niels Bohr International Academy, Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

²Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics (CCAPP),

The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

³Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

(Dated: December 20, 2018)

Neutrinos are key to probing the deep structure of matter and the high-energy Universe. Yet, until recently, their interactions had only been measured at laboratory energies up to about 350 GeV. An opportunity to measure their interactions at higher energies opened up with the detection of high-energy neutrinos in IceCube, partially of astrophysical origin. Scattering off matter inside the Earth affects the distribution of their arrival directions — from this, we extract the neutrino-nucleon cross section at energies from 18 TeV to 2 PeV, in four energy bins, in spite of uncertainties in the neutrino flux. Using six years of public IceCube High-Energy Starting Events, we explicitly show for the first time that the energy dependence of the cross section above 18 TeV agrees with the predicted softer-than-linear dependence, and reaffirm the absence of new physics that would make the cross section rise sharply, up to a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} \approx 1$ TeV.

Introduction.— Neutrino interactions, though feeble, are important for particle physics and astrophysics. They provide precise tests of the Standard Model [1–3], probes of new physics [4–6], and windows to otherwise veiled regions of the Universe. Yet, at neutrino energies above 350 GeV there had been no measurement of their interactions. This changed recently when the IceCube Collaboration found that the neutrino-nucleon cross section from 6.3 to 980 TeV agrees with predictions [7].

Because there is no artificial neutrino beam at a TeV and above, IceCube used atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos, the latter discovered by them up to a few PeV [26, 31–38]. Refs. [4, 6, 39–42] showed that, because IceCube neutrinos interact significantly with matter inside Earth, their distribution in energy and arrival direction carries information about neutrino-nucleon cross sections, which, like IceCube [7], we extract.

However, Ref. [7] extracted the cross section in a single, wide energy bin, so its energy dependence in that range remains untested. A significant deviation from the predicted softer-than-linear dependence could signal the presence of new physics, so we extract the cross section in intervals from 18 TeV to 2 PeV. While Ref. [7] used only events born outside of IceCube we use instead only events born inside of it, which leads to a better handle on the neutrino energy.

Figure 1 shows that the cross section that we extract is compatible with the standard prediction. There is no indication of the sharp rise, at least below 1 PeV, predicted by some models of new physics [6, 43–51].

Neutrino-nucleon cross section.— Above a few GeV, neutrino-nucleon interactions are typically deep inelastic scatterings (DIS), where the neutrino scatters off



FIG. 1. Charged-current inclusive neutrino-nucleon cross section measurements [8–25]. The new results from this work, based on 6 years of IceCube HESE showers [26–29], are an average between cross sections for ν and $\bar{\nu}$, assuming equal astrophysical fluxes of each. In the highest-energy bin, we only set a lower limit (1 σ shown). The thick dashed curve is a standard prediction of deep inelastic scattering (DIS), averaged between ν and $\bar{\nu}$. Horizontal thin dashed lines are global averages from Ref. [30], which do not include the new results.

one of the constituent partons of the nucleon — a quark or a gluon. In both the charged-current (CC, $\vec{\nu}_l + N \rightarrow l^{\mp} + X$) and neutral-current (NC, $\vec{\nu}_l + N \rightarrow \vec{\nu}_l + X$) forms of this interaction, the nucleon N is broken up into partons that hadronize into a final state X. The final-state

 $^{^{\}ast}$ mbustamante@nbi.ku.dk; ORCID: 0000-0001-6923-0865

 $^{^\}dagger$ connolly@physics.osu.edu; ORCID: 0000-0003-0049-5448



FIG. 2. Neutrino-induced showers from the IceCube 6-year HESE [26–29] sample. Neutrinos arrive from above $(\cos \theta_z > 0)$; from below, through the Earth $(\cos \theta_z < 0)$; and horizon-tally $(\cos \theta_z = 0)$. They travel a distance D inside the Earth (of radius $R_{\oplus} = 6371$ km) to IceCube, buried at a depth of 1.5 km. The background shading represents the fraction of isotropic neutrino flux that survives after being attenuated by νN interactions inside the Earth, calculated using cross sections predicted in Ref. [59].

hadrons carry a fraction y — the inelasticity — of the initial neutrino energy, while the final-state lepton carries the remaining fraction (1 - y).

Calculation of the cross section $\sigma_{\nu N}$ requires knowing the parton distribution functions (PDFs) in the nucleon. PDFs depend on two kinematic variables: $Q^2 \equiv -q^2$, the four-momentum transferred to the mediating W or Zboson, and the Bjorken scaling x, the fraction of nucleon momentum carried by the interacting parton [52]

To compute cross sections at neutrino energies E_{ν} between TeV and PeV, we need PDFs evaluated at $x \gtrsim m_W/E_{\nu} \sim 10^{-4}$. Because these are known — at low x, from ep collisions in HERA [53, 54] — the uncertainty in the predicted TeV–PeV cross sections is small. Refs. [4, 55–65] have performed such calculations, some of which are shown in Fig. 3. Below ~10 TeV, they yield $\sigma_{\nu N} \propto E_{\nu}$, revelatory of hard scattering off partons, and in agreement with data. Above ~10 TeV, where $Q^2 \sim m_W^2$, they yield a softer-than-linear energy dependence, which has only been glimpsed in the available data up to 350 GeV [1–3].

Detecting high-energy neutrinos.— IceCube is the largest optical-Cherenkov neutrino detector. It consists of strings of photomultipliers buried deep in the clear Antarctic ice, instrumenting a volume of about 1 km³.

Above TeV, CC interactions of ν_e and ν_{τ} with nucleons

in the ice, and NC interactions of all flavors, create localized particle showers, with roughly spherical Cherenkovlight profiles centered on the interaction vertex. CC interactions of ν_{μ} additionally create muons that make elongated tracks of Cherenkov light, several kilometers long and easily identifiable. (Other, flavor-specific signatures require energies higher than in our analysis [66–74].)

From the amount of collected light in a detected event, and its spatial and temporal profiles, IceCube infers its energy and arrival direction. But it cannot distinguish neutrinos from anti-neutrinos, or NC from CC showers, since they make similar light signals.

Using contained showers only.— Because cross sections vary with neutrino energy, we use exclusively a class of IceCube events where the incoming neutrino energy can be inferred using as few assumptions as possible. These are "starting events", where the neutrino interaction was contained in the detector. Of these, we use only showers, not tracks, due not to a fundamental limitation, but to the IceCube data that is publicly available. Our approach differs from that of Ref. [7], which used only through-going muons, born in neutrino interactions outside the detector, for which estimation of the neutrino energy requires making important assumptions.

We use the publicly available 6-year sample of IceCube High Energy Starting Events (HESE) [26–29], consisting of 58 contained showers with deposited energies E_{dep} from 18 TeV to 2 PeV. Below a few tens of TeV, about half of the showers is due to atmospheric neutrinos and half to astrophysical neutrinos [29]; above, showers from astrophysical neutrinos dominate [75, 76].

Figure 2 shows the HESE showers distributed in E_{dep} and zenith angle θ_z . Representative uncertainties are 10% in E_{dep} and 15° in θ_z [77], which we adopt to describe the detector resolution. Showers are scarce above 200 TeV because the neutrino flux falls steeply with E_{ν} .

In CC showers, the full neutrino energy is deposited in the ice, *i.e.*, $E_{dep} \approx E_{\nu}$, because both the outgoing electron or tau and the final-state hadrons shower. In NC showers, only a fraction y of the neutrino energy is deposited in the ice, *i.e.*, $E_{dep} = yE_{\nu}$, because only final-state hadrons shower. Standard calculations yield an average $\langle y \rangle = 0.35$ at 10 TeV and 0.25 at 1 PeV [55]. Because of this low value and because the neutrino fluxes fall steeply with E_{ν} , NC showers are nominally sub-dominant at any value of E_{dep} .

In starting tracks, the shower made by final-state hadrons is contained by the detector, but the muon track typically exits it. An assumption-free reconstruction of E_{ν} requires knowing separately the energy of the hadronic shower $E_{\rm sh}$ and the muon energy loss rate dE_{μ}/dX , which is proportional to the muon energy E_{μ} [77]. Yet, while these quantities are known internally to the IceCube Collaboration, public data only provides, for each starting track, the total deposited energy, $E_{\rm sh} + |dE_{\mu}/dX|\Delta X$, where ΔX is the track length in the detector. Without additional information, in order to deduce E_{ν} , we would need to assume values of y and ΔX for each event [78]. Hence, in keeping to our tenet of using few assumptions to deduce E_{ν} , we do not include starting tracks in our analysis. This choice also reduces the chance of erroneously using a track created by an atmospheric muon, not a neutrino.

To use through-going muons in extracting the cross section, IceCube [7] inferred the most likely parent neutrino energy from the measured muon energy [77] by assuming the inelasticity distribution $d\sigma_{\nu N}/dy$ from Ref. [59]. By using only contained showers, we forgo the need to assume an inelasticity distribution, and remain more sensitive to potential new physics that could modify it.

Sensitivity to the cross section.— Neutrinonucleon interactions make the Earth opaque to neutrinos above 10 TeV, so neutrino fluxes are attenuated upon reaching IceCube. More neutrinos reach it from above — after crossing a few kilometers of ice — than from below — after crossing up to the diameter of the Earth.

A flux of incoming neutrinos with energy E_{ν} and zenith angle θ_z is attenuated by a factor $e^{-\tau_{\nu N}(E_{\nu},\theta_z)} \equiv \exp\left[-D(\theta_z)/L_{\nu N}(E_{\nu},\theta_z)\right]$, where $\tau_{\nu N}$ is the opacity to νN interactions, D is the distance from the point of entry into Earth to IceCube, and $L_{\nu N} = m_N/[(\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}(E_{\nu}) + \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm NC}(E_{\nu}))\langle \rho_{\oplus}(\theta_z)\rangle]$ is the neutrino interaction length. Here, $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}$ and $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm NC}$ are, respectively, the CC and NC cross sections, m_N is the average nucleon mass in isoscalar matter, and $\langle \rho_{\oplus} \rangle$ is the average matter density along this direction, calculated using the density profile from the Preliminary Reference Earth Model [55, 79]. Details are in the Supplemental Material, which includes Refs. [80–93]. Attenuation grows with the cross sections — which grow with E_{ν} — and with D; both effects are evident in the background shading in Fig. 2.

Within an energy interval, the number of events induced by a neutrino flux Φ_{ν} is $N_{\rm sh} \propto \Phi_{\nu} \cdot e^{-\tau_{\nu N}} \cdot \sigma_{\nu N}$. Downgoing showers ($\cos \theta_z > 0$) — unaffected by attenuation — fix the product $\Phi_{\nu} \cdot \sigma_{\nu N}$, while upgoing showers ($\cos \theta_z < 0$) — affected by attenuation — break the degeneracy between Φ_{ν} and $\sigma_{\nu N}$ via $e^{-\tau_{\nu N}}$, providing sensitivity to the cross sections.

Extracting cross sections.— We propagate atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos through the Earth and produce test samples of contained showers in Ice-Cube, taking into account its energy and angular resolution; see the Supplemental Material. To extract the cross sections, we compare the distributions in E_{dep} and $\cos \theta_z$ of the test showers — generated with varying values of the cross sections — to the distribution observed by IceCube.

To probe the energy dependence of the cross sections, we bin showers in E_{dep} and extract the cross section from data in each bin independently of the others. Except for global assumptions on detector resolution and the choice of atmospheric neutrino spectrum (see below), parameters extracted in different bins are uncorrelated.

The first three bins contain comparable numbers of showers: 18–50 TeV (17 showers), 50–100 TeV (18 showers), and 100–400 TeV (20 showers). The final bin, 400–

2004 TeV, contains only 3 downgoing showers, between 1–2 PeV. Due to their short travel distances ($D \leq 10$ km) and negligible expected attenuation, in this bin we only set a lower limit on the cross section. This stresses the need for upgoing HESE events above 400 TeV.

For atmospheric neutrinos, we use the most recent calculation of the ν_e , $\bar{\nu}_e$, ν_μ , and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ fluxes from pion and kaon decays from Ref. [94]. Their zenith-angle distribution at the South Pole, though anisotropic, is symmetric around $\cos \theta_z = 0$, so it does not introduce spurious directional asymmetries. We do not include a contribution from prompt atmospheric neutrinos [95–108], since searches have failed to find evidence of them [26, 31–38]. We include the self-veto [109, 110] used by the HESE analysis to reduce the atmospheric contribution.

For astrophysical neutrinos, we assume, independently in each energy bin, an isotropic power-law energy spectrum $\Phi_{\nu} \propto E_{\nu}^{-\gamma}$ for all flavors of neutrinos and antineutrinos, in agreement with theoretical expectations [111] and IceCube findings [112]. The value of γ is obtained from a fit to data in each bin. This makes our results robust against variations with energy of the spectral shape of astrophysical neutrinos, unlike Ref. [7], which assumed a single power law spanning the range 6.3–980 TeV. We assume flavor equipartition, as expected from standard mixing [68, 78, 113–117] and in agreement with data [36, 118]. Because IceCube cannot distinguish neutrinos from anti-neutrinos, we can only extract a combination of their cross sections, each weighed by its corresponding flux. We assume the likely case [119, 120] of equal fluxes, coming, e.g., from proton-proton interactions [121].

Assumptions.— Because data is scant, to reduce the number of free parameters to fit, we make three reasonable assumptions inspired on standard high-energy predictions. With more data, they could be tested.

First, the rate of CC showers dominates over the rate of NC showers at any value of $E_{\rm dep}$, based on the arguments above. For simplicity, we adopt a constant $\langle y \rangle = 0.25$ for NC showers. This assumption allows us to express the extracted cross section as a function of $E_{\nu} \approx E_{\rm dep}$.

Second, CC cross sections dominate over NC cross sections. We assume $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm NC} = \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}/3$ and $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm NC} = \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC}/3$, following, *e.g.*, Ref. [4]. This assumption allows us to fit only for CC cross sections.

Third, the ratio of $\bar{\nu}N$ to νN cross sections is fixed in each bin. Hence, when fitting, $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} = \langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} / \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} \rangle \cdot \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}$, where $\langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} / \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} \rangle$ is the average ratio in that bin predicted by Ref. [59] (see Table I). This assumption allows us to fit only for νN cross sections.

Thus, within each energy bin, we independently vary only the νN CC cross section $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}$ and three nuisance parameters — the number of showers due to atmospheric neutrinos $N_{\rm sh}^{\rm atm}$, the number of showers due to astrophysical neutrinos $N_{\rm sh}^{\rm ast}$, and the astrophysical spectral index γ . To avoid introducing bias, we assume flat priors for all of them. For each choice of values, we compare our test shower spectrum to the HESE shower spectrum via a

TABLE I. Neutrino-nucleon charged-current inclusive cross sections, averaged between neutrinos ($\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}$) and antineutrinos ($\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC}$), extracted from 6 years of IceCube HESE showers. To obtain these results, we fixed $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} = \langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} / \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} \rangle$, $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} -$ where $\langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} / \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} \rangle$ is the average ratio of $\bar{\nu}$ to ν cross sections calculated using the standard prediction from Ref. [59] — and $\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm NC} = \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC}/3$, $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm NC} = \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC}/3$. Uncertainties are 1 σ , statistical plus systematic, added in quadrature.

E_{ν} [TeV]	$\langle E_{\nu} \rangle$ [TeV]	$\langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC} / \sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} \rangle$	$\log_{10}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\nu N}^{\rm CC} + \sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}^{\rm CC})/{\rm cm}^2\right]$
18-50	32	0.752	-34.35 ± 0.53
50 - 100	75	0.825	-33.80 ± 0.67
100 - 400	250	0.888	-33.84 ± 0.67
400 - 2004	1202	0.957	$> -33.21 \ (1\sigma)$

likelihood. To find the best-fit values of the parameters, we maximize the likelihood. The Supplemental Material describes the statistical analysis in detail.

Results.— Table I shows the extracted cross section, marginalized over the nuisance parameters. Because $\sigma_{\nu N}$ and $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}N}$ are not independent in the fit, we present their average there and in Figs. 2 and 3.

Figure 3 shows that, in each bin, results agree within 1σ with widely used standard predictions. The IceCube Collaboration has adopted the cross section from Cooper-Sarkar *et al.* [59]. We include other calculations for comparison [4, 55, 56, 60, 62]. All predictions are consistent with our measurements within errors.

Our results are consistent with the IceCube analysis [7], which found a cross section compatible with Ref. [59]. Their smaller uncertainty is due to using $\sim 10^4$ throughgoing muons. However, by grouping all events in a single energy bin, their analysis did not probe the energy dependence of the cross section. Like that analysis, our results are also consistent with standard cross-section predictions, but in narrower energy intervals.

Because the number of showers in each bin is small, statistical fluctuations weaken the interplay of downgoing versus upgoing showers described above. To isolate the dominant statistical uncertainty, we minimized again the likelihood, this time keeping the nuisance parameters fixed at their best-fit values (see Table II in the Supplemental Material). The resulting uncertainty, attributed to statistics only, is 0.51, 0.63, and 0.62 in the first three bins, where we have a measurement. The systematic uncertainty, obtained by subtracting these values in quadrature from the total uncertainties in Table I is 0.14, 0.23, and 0.25 in each bin, slightly higher than in Ref. [7], due to a less detailed modeling of the detector. While Ref. [7] found comparable statistical and systematic uncertainties, we are presently dominated by statistics, since it uses an event sample that is smaller by a factor of $\sim 200.$

Nevertheless, our results disfavor new-physics models where the cross section rises sharply below 1 PeV [6, 44–51]. Figure 3 shows as example a model of TeVscale gravity with large extra dimensions [43]. While this



FIG. 3. Neutrino-nucleon charged-current cross section, averaged for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos, from different predictions (lines) [4, 56, 59, 60, 62], compared to measurements from this work (stars). The low-energy global average [30] has the linear dependence on E_{ν} appropriate below ~10 TeV. The model of large extra dimensions, included for illustration, is from Ref. [43] (quantum-gravity scale of 1 TeV and all partial waves summed), corrected here to match modern standard predictions of the cross section below 1 PeV.

model was disfavored by the LHC [122, 123], we provide independent confirmation via a different channel. More stringent tests of new-physics models, beyond the scope of this letter, should also consider the effect of modifications to the inelasticity distribution.

Limitations and improvements.— IceCube is sparsely instrumented and designed to detect the enormous light imprints made by high-energy neutrinos. Except for high-energy muons, it cannot track individual particles or reconstruct Q^2 and x, unlike densely instrumented detectors. Hence, we can only extract the cross section as a function of energy, integrated over other kinematic variables. While we cannot extract individual PDFs, we can test their combination in the cross section.

Further, IceCube cannot distinguish if a particular shower was made in a CC or an NC interaction, and by a neutrino or an anti-neutrino. The differences are too subtle to unequivocally identify them in individual showers [124], but it might be possible to extract them statistically from a large enough data sample [125].

Lastly, we assumed that the astrophysical neutrino flux is isotropic [36, 126, 127]. Nevertheless, there are hints of a Galactic contribution [36, 126, 128, 129], with data allowing < 14% of the all-sky flux to come from the Galactic Plane [127]. If a Galactic flux is discovered, future cross-section analyses will need to acknowledge its anisotropy to avoid incorrectly attributing the distribution of arrival directions solely to in-Earth attenuation.

Summary and outlook.— We have extracted the energy dependence of the neutrino-nucleon cross section at energies beyond those available in man-made neutrino beams, making use of the high-energy reach of IceCube. Our results are compatible with predictions based on nucleon structure extracted from scattering experiments at lower energies and disfavor extreme deviations that could stem from new physics in the TeV–PeV range.

It would be straightforward to repeat the present analysis using a larger HESE shower sample. The proposed upgrade IceCube-Gen2 [130] could have an event rate 5–7 times higher, thus reducing the impact of random fluctuations. These showers could be combined with showers from the upcoming KM3NeT detector [131]; their improved angular resolution of $\sim 2^{\circ}$ above 50 TeV would allow for better estimates of in-Earth attenuation. Starting tracks can also be considered, as long as one does not rely on predictions of the inelasticity distribution to reconstruct the parent neutrino energy.

An interesting possibility is to measure the inelasticity distribution [132]. This can be done using starting tracks where the hadronic shower energy $E_{\rm sh}$ and the outgoing muon energy E_{μ} are known individually, in order to reconstruct the inelasticity $y = (1 + E_{\mu}/E_{\rm sh})^{-1}$ [133, 134].

At the EeV scale, differences between cross-section predictions increase. Measuring $\sigma_{\nu N}$ at these energies would probe $x \sim m_W/E_{\nu} \lesssim 10^{-6}$, beyond the reach of laboratory scattering experiments. This would prove instrumental in testing not only new physics, but also predictions of the potentially non-linear behavior of PDFs at low x, such as from BFKL theory [135-138] and colorglass condensates [139]; see, e.g., Ref. [63, 140, 141]. However, because the predicted neutrino flux at these energies, while uncertain, is smaller than at PeV, precision measurements of the cross section will likely be limited by statistics; see Ref. [142] for details. Nevertheless, large-volume neutrino detectors like ARA [143–145], AR-IANNA [146, 147], GRAND [148], and POEMMA [149], might differentiate [150] between predictions, provided the event rate is high enough.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MB is supported in part by NSF Grants PHY-1404311 and PHY-1714479, and by the Danmarks Grundforskningsfond Grant 1041811001. AC is supported by NSF CAREER award 1255557. This work used resources provided by the Ohio Supercomputer Center. We thank Patrick Allison, John Beacom, Francis Halzen, Spencer Klein, Shirley Li, and Subir Sarkar for useful feedback and discussion.

- Raymond Brock *et al.* (CTEQ), "Handbook of perturbative QCD: Version 1.0," Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 157–248 (1995).
- [2] Janet M. Conrad, Michael H. Shaevitz, and Tim Bolton, "Precision measurements with high-energy neutrino beams," Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1341–1392 (1998), arXiv:hep-ex/9707015 [hep-ex].
- [3] J. A. Formaggio and G. P. Zeller, "From eV to EeV: Neutrino Cross Sections Across Energy Scales," Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1307–1341 (2012), arXiv:1305.7513 [hep-ex].
- [4] Amy Connolly, Robert S. Thorne, and David Waters, "Calculation of High Energy Neutrino-Nucleon Cross Sections and Uncertainties Using the MSTW Parton Distribution Functions and Implications for Future Experiments," Phys. Rev. D 83, 113009 (2011), arXiv:1102.0691 [hep-ph].
- [5] Chien-Yi Chen, P. S. Bhupal Dev, and Amarjit Soni, "Standard model explanation of the ultrahigh energy neutrino events at IceCube," Phys. Rev. D 89, 033012 (2014), arXiv:1309.1764 [hep-ph].
- [6] D. Marfatia, D. W. McKay, and T. J. Weiler, "New physics with ultra-high-energy neutrinos," Phys. Lett. B 748, 113–116 (2015), arXiv:1502.06337 [hep-ph].
- [7] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Measurement of the multi-TeV neutrino cross section with IceCube using Earth absorption," Nature (2017), 10.1038/nature24459, arXiv:1711.08119 [hep-ex].

- [8] A. I. Mukhin *et al.*, "Energy Dependence of Total Crosssections for Neutrino and Anti-neutrino Interactions at Energies Below 35-GeV," Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **30**, 528 (1979), [Yad. Fiz. 30, 1014 (1979)].
- [9] D. S. Baranov *et al.*, "Measurements of the $\nu_{\mu}N$ Total Cross-section at 2-GeV - 30-GeV in Skat Neutrino Experiment," Phys. Lett. B **81**, 255–257 (1979).
- [10] S. J. Barish *et al.*, "Study of Neutrino Interactions in Hydrogen and Deuterium: Inelastic Charged Current Reactions," Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2521 (1979).
- [11] S. Ciampolillo *et al.* (Aachen-Brussels-CERN-Ecole Poly-Orsay-Padua, Gargamelle Neutrino Propane), "Total Cross-section for Neutrino Charged Current Interactions at 3-GeV and 9-GeV," Phys. Lett. B 84, 281– 284 (1979).
- [12] J. G. H. de Groot *et al.*, "Inclusive Interactions of High-Energy Neutrinos and anti-neutrinos in Iron," Z. Phys. C 1, 143 (1979).
- [13] D. C. Colley *et al.*, "Cross-sections for Charged Current Neutrino and Anti-neutrino Interactions in the Energy Range 10-GeV to 50-GeV," Z. Phys. C 2, 187 (1979).
- [14] J. G. Morfin *et al.* (Gargamelle SPS), "Total Crosssections and Nucleon Structure Functions in the Gargamelle SPS Neutrino / Anti-neutrino Experiment," Phys. Lett. B **104**, 235–238 (1981).
- [15] N. J. Baker *et al.*, "Total Cross-sections for Muonneutrino N and Muon-neutrino P Charged Current Interactions in the 7-ft Bubble Chamber," Phys. Rev. D 25, 617–623 (1982).

- [16] J. P. Berge *et al.*, "Total Neutrino and Anti-neutrino Charged Current Cross-section Measurements in 100-GeV, 160-GeV and 200-GeV Narrow Band Beams," Z. Phys. C **35**, 443 (1987).
- [17] V. B. Anikeev *et al.*, "Total cross-section measurements for muon-neutrino, anti-muon-neutrino interactions in 3-GeV-30-GeV energy range with IHEP-JINR neutrino detector," Z. Phys. C **70**, 39–46 (1996).
- [18] W. G. Seligman, A Next-to-Leading Order QCD Analysis of Neutrino-Iron Structure Functions at the Tevatron, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1997).
- [19] M. Tzanov *et al.* (NuTeV), "Precise measurement of neutrino and anti-neutrino differential cross sections," Phys. Rev. D 74, 012008 (2006), arXiv:hep-ex/0509010 [hep-ex].
- [20] Q. Wu *et al.* (NOMAD), "A Precise measurement of the muon neutrino-nucleon inclusive charged current cross-section off an isoscalar target in the energy range $2.5 < E_{\nu} < 40$ GeV by NOMAD," Phys. Lett. B **660**, 19–25 (2008), arXiv:0711.1183 [hep-ex].
- [21] P. Adamson *et al.* (MINOS), "Neutrino and Antineutrino Inclusive Charged-current Cross Section Measurements with the MINOS Near Detector," Phys. Rev. D 81, 072002 (2010), arXiv:0910.2201 [hep-ex].
- [22] Y. Nakajima *et al.* (SciBooNE), "Measurement of inclusive charged current interactions on carbon in a few-GeV neutrino beam," Phys. Rev. D 83, 012005 (2011), arXiv:1011.2131 [hep-ex].
- [23] K. Abe *et al.* (T2K), "Measurement of the inclusive ν_{μ} charged current cross section on carbon in the near detector of the T2K experiment," Phys. Rev. D **87**, 092003 (2013), arXiv:1302.4908 [hep-ex].
- [24] R. Acciarri *et al.* (ArgoNeuT), "Measurements of Inclusive Muon Neutrino and Antineutrino Charged Current Differential Cross Sections on Argon in the NuMI Antineutrino Beam," Phys. Rev. D 89, 112003 (2014), arXiv:1404.4809 [hep-ex].
- [25] K. Abe *et al.* (T2K), "Measurement of the inclusive ν_{μ} charged current cross section on iron and hydrocarbon in the T2K on-axis neutrino beam," Phys. Rev. D **90**, 052010 (2014), arXiv:1407.4256 [hep-ex].
- [26] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Observation of High-Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos in Three Years of Ice-Cube Data," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 101101 (2014), arXiv:1405.5303 [astro-ph.HE].
- [27] Claudio Kopper, William Giang, and Naoko Kurahashi (IceCube), "Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos in Four Years of IceCube Data," Proceedings, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2015): The Hague, The Netherlands, July 30-August 6, 2015, PoS ICRC2015, 1081 (2016).
- [28] IceCube Collaboration, Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos in Four Years of IceCube Data (2015 (accessed October 21, 2015)).
- [29] Claudio Kopper, "Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos in Six Years of IceCube Data," Talk given at the International Cosmic Ray Conference 2017, July 15, 2017, Busan, https://indico.snu.ac.kr/indico/event/.
- [30] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics," Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016).
- [31] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "First observation of PeV-energy neutrinos with IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 021103 (2013), arXiv:1304.5356 [astro-ph.HE].

- [32] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Evidence for High-Energy Extraterrestrial Neutrinos at the IceCube Detector," Science **342**, 1242856 (2013), arXiv:1311.5238 [astro-ph.HE].
- [33] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Search for a diffuse flux of astrophysical muon neutrinos with the IceCube 59string configuration," Phys. Rev. D 89, 062007 (2014), arXiv:1311.7048 [astro-ph.HE].
- [34] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos above 1 TeV interacting in IceCube," Phys. Rev. D **91**, 022001 (2015), arXiv:1410.1749 [astroph.HE].
- [35] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Measurement of the Atmospheric ν_e Spectrum with IceCube," Phys. Rev. D **91**, 122004 (2015), arXiv:1504.03753 [astro-ph.HE].
- [36] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "A combined maximumlikelihood analysis of the high-energy astrophysical neutrino flux measured with IceCube," Astrophys. J. **809**, 98 (2015), arXiv:1507.03991 [astro-ph.HE].
- [37] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Evidence for Astrophysical Muon Neutrinos from the Northern Sky with IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 081102 (2015), arXiv:1507.04005 [astro-ph.HE].
- [38] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Observation and Characterization of a Cosmic Muon Neutrino Flux from the Northern Hemisphere using six years of IceCube data," Astrophys. J. **833**, 3 (2016), arXiv:1607.08006 [astroph.HE].
- [39] Dan Hooper, "Measuring high-energy neutrino nucleon cross-sections with future neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. D 65, 097303 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0203239 [hepph].
- [40] S. Hussain, D. Marfatia, D. W. McKay, and D. Seckel, "Cross section dependence of event rates at neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 161101 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0606246 [hep-ph].
- [41] E. Borriello, A. Cuoco, G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Pastor, O. Pisanti, and P. D. Serpico, "Disentangling neutrino-nucleon cross section and high energy neutrino flux with a km³ neutrino telescope," Phys. Rev. D 77, 045019 (2008), arXiv:0711.0152 [astro-ph].
- [42] S. Hussain, D. Marfatia, and D. W. McKay, "Upward shower rates at neutrino telescopes directly determine the neutrino flux," Phys. Rev. D 77, 107304 (2008), arXiv:0711.4374 [hep-ph].
- [43] J. Alvarez-Muniz, F. Halzen, Tao Han, and D. Hooper, "Phenomenology of high-energy neutrinos in low scale quantum gravity models," Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 021301 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0107057 [hep-ph].
- [44] Jaime Alvarez-Muniz, Jonathan L. Feng, Francis Halzen, Tao Han, and Dan Hooper, "Detecting microscopic black holes with neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. D 65, 124015 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0202081 [hep-ph].
- [45] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Jonathan L. Feng, Haim Goldberg, and Alfred D. Shapere, "Updated limits on TeV scale gravity from absence of neutrino cosmic ray showers mediated by black holes," Phys. Rev. D 68, 104025 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0307228 [hep-ph].
- [46] Eun-Joo Ahn, Marco Cavaglia, and Angela V Olinto, "Uncertainties in limits on TeV gravity from neutrino induced air showers," Astropart. Phys. 22, 377–385 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0312249 [hep-ph].
- [47] J. I. Illana, M. Masip, and D. Meloni, "Cosmogenic neutrinos and signals of TeV gravity in air showers and neu-

trino telescopes," Phys. Rev. Lett. $\mathbf{93},$ 151102 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0402279 [hep-ph].

- [48] Shahid Hussain and Douglas W. McKay, "Bounds on low scale gravity from RICE data and cosmogenic neutrino flux models," Phys. Lett. B 634, 130–136 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0510083 [hep-ph].
- [49] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Matthew M. Glenz, and Leonard Parker, "Black Holes at IceCube Neutrino Telescope," Phys. Rev. D 75, 024011 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0610359 [hep-ph].
- [50] Joseph Lykken, Olga Mena, and Soebur Razzaque, "Ultrahigh-energy neutrino flux as a probe of large extra-dimensions," JCAP 0712, 015 (2007), arXiv:0705.2029 [hep-ph].
- [51] Luis A. Anchordoqui *et al.*, "Using cosmic neutrinos to search for non-perturbative physics at the Pierre Auger Observatory," Phys. Rev. D 82, 043001 (2010), arXiv:1004.3190 [hep-ph].
- [52] Carlo Giunti and Chung W. Kim, Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (2007).
- [53] F. D. Aaron *et al.* (ZEUS, H1), "Combined Measurement and QCD Analysis of the Inclusive e⁺⁻p Scattering Cross Sections at HERA," JHEP **01**, 109 (2010), arXiv:0911.0884 [hep-ex].
- [54] H. Abramowicz *et al.* (ZEUS, H1), "Combination of measurements of inclusive deep inelastic e[±]p scattering cross sections and QCD analysis of HERA data," Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 580 (2015), arXiv:1506.06042 [hep-ex].
- [55] Raj Gandhi, Chris Quigg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Ultrahigh-energy neutrino interactions," Astropart. Phys. 5, 81–110 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9512364.
- [56] Raj Gandhi, Chris Quigg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Neutrino interactions at ultrahigh energies," Phys. Rev. D 58, 093009 (1998), hep-ph/9807264.
- [57] Amanda Cooper-Sarkar and Subir Sarkar, "Predictions for high energy neutrino cross-sections from the ZEUS global PDF fits," JHEP 01, 075 (2008), arXiv:0710.5303 [hep-ph].
- [58] M. Gluck, P. Jimenez-Delgado, and E. Reya, "On the charged current neutrino-nucleon total cross section at high energies," Phys. Rev. D 81, 097501 (2010), arXiv:1003.3168 [hep-ph].
- [59] Amanda Cooper-Sarkar, Philipp Mertsch, and Subir Sarkar, "The high energy neutrino cross-section in the Standard Model and its uncertainty," JHEP 08, 042 (2011), arXiv:1106.3723 [hep-ph].
- [60] Martin M. Block, Loyal Durand, and Phuoc Ha, "Connection of the virtual $\gamma^* p$ cross section of ep deep inelastic scattering to real γp scattering, and the implications for νN and ep total cross sections," Phys. Rev. D 89, 094027 (2014), arXiv:1404.4530 [hep-ph].
- [61] V. P. Goncalves and D. R. Gratieri, "Estimating nonlinear QCD effects in ultrahigh energy neutrino events at IceCube," Phys. Rev. D 90, 057502 (2014), arXiv:1406.5890 [hep-ph].
- [62] Carlos A. Arguelles, Francis Halzen, Logan Wille, Mike Kroll, and Mary Hall Reno, "High-energy behavior of photon, neutrino, and proton cross sections," Phys. Rev. D 92, 074040 (2015), arXiv:1504.06639 [hep-ph].
- [63] Javier L. Albacete, Jose I. Illana, and Alba Soto-Ontoso, "Neutrino-nucleon cross section at ultrahigh energy and its astrophysical implications," Phys. Rev. D 92, 014027 (2015), arXiv:1505.06583 [hep-ph].

- [64] Rhorry Gauld and Juan Rojo, "Precision determination of the small-x gluon from charm production at LHCb," PhysRev. Lett. **118**, 072001 (2017), arXiv:1610.09373 [hep-ph].
- [65] Richard D. Ball, Valerio Bertone, Marco Bonvini, Simone Marzani, Juan Rojo, and Luca Rottoli, "Parton distributions with small-x resummation: evidence for BFKL dynamics in HERA data," (2017), arXiv:1710.05935 [hep-ph].
- [66] Sheldon L. Glashow, "Resonant Scattering of Antineutrinos," Phys. Rev. 118, 316–317 (1960).
- [67] John G. Learned and Sandip Pakvasa, "Detecting tauneutrino oscillations at PeV energies," Astropart. Phys. 3, 267–274 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9405296.
- [68] John F. Beacom, Nicole F. Bell, Dan Hooper, Sandip Pakvasa, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Measuring flavor ratios of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D 68, 093005 (2003), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 72, 019901 (2005)], arXiv:hep-ph/0307025.
- [69] Edgar Bugaev, Teresa Montaruli, Yuri Shlepin, and Igor A. Sokalski, "Propagation of tau neutrinos and tau leptons through the earth and their detection in underwater / ice neutrino telescopes," Astropart. Phys. 21, 491–509 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0312295 [hep-ph].
- [70] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Haim Goldberg, Francis Halzen, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Neutrinos as a diagnostic of high energy astrophysical processes," Phys. Lett. B B621, 18–21 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0410003.
- [71] Atri Bhattacharya, Raj Gandhi, Werner Rodejohann, and Atsushi Watanabe, "The Glashow resonance at Ice-Cube: signatures, event rates and pp vs. $p\gamma$ interactions," JCAP **1110**, 017 (2011), arXiv:1108.3163 [astroph.HE].
- [72] Atri Bhattacharya, Raj Gandhi, Werner Rodejohann, and Atsushi Watanabe, "On the interpretation of Ice-Cube cascade events in terms of the Glashow resonance," (2012), arXiv:1209.2422 [hep-ph].
- [73] V. Barger, Lingjun Fu, J. G. Learned, D. Marfatia, S. Pakvasa, and T. J. Weiler, "Glashow resonance as a window into cosmic neutrino sources," Phys. Rev. D 90, 121301 (2014), arXiv:1407.3255 [astro-ph.HE].
- [74] Andrea Palladino, Giulia Pagliaroli, Francesco L. Villante, and Francesco Vissani, "Double pulses and cascades above 2 PeV in IceCube," Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 52 (2016), arXiv:1510.05921 [astro-ph.HE].
- [75] John F. Beacom and Julian Candia, "Shower power: Isolating the prompt atmospheric neutrino flux using electron neutrinos," JCAP 0411, 009 (2004), arXiv:hepph/0409046 [hep-ph].
- [76] Ranjan Laha, John F. Beacom, Basudeb Dasgupta, Shunsaku Horiuchi, and Kohta Murase, "Demystifying the PeV Cascades in IceCube: Less (Energy) is More (Events)," Phys. Rev. D 88, 043009 (2013), arXiv:1306.2309 [astro-ph.HE].
- [77] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Energy Reconstruction Methods in the IceCube Neutrino Telescope," JINST 9, P03009 (2014), arXiv:1311.4767 [physics.ins-det].
- [78] Sergio Palomares-Ruiz, Aaron C. Vincent, and Olga Mena, "Spectral analysis of the high-energy Ice-Cube neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D 91, 103008 (2015), arXiv:1502.02649 [astro-ph.HE].
- [79] A. M. Dziewonski and D. L. Anderson, "Preliminary Reference Earth Model," Phys. Earth Planet. Interiors 25, 297–356 (1981).

- [80] Farhan Feroz and M. P. Hobson, "Multimodal nested sampling: an efficient and robust alternative to MCMC methods for astronomical data analysis," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **384**, 449 (2008), arXiv:0704.3704 [astro-ph].
- [81] S. R. Kelner and F. A. Aharonian, "Energy spectra of gamma-rays, electrons and neutrinos produced at interactions of relativistic protons with low energy radiation," Phys. Rev. D 78, 034013 (2008), arXiv:0803.0688 [astro-ph].
- [82] F. Feroz, M. P. Hobson, and M. Bridges, "MultiNest: an efficient and robust Bayesian inference tool for cosmology and particle physics," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **398**, 1601–1614 (2009), arXiv:0809.3437 [astro-ph].
- [83] S. Hümmer, M. Rüger, F. Spanier, and W. Winter, "Simplified models for photohadronic interactions in cosmic accelerators," Astrophys. J. **721**, 630–652 (2010), arXiv:1002.1310 [astro-ph.HE].
- [84] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Measurement of South Pole ice transparency with the IceCube LED calibration system," Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **711**, 73–89 (2013), arXiv:1301.5361 [astro-ph.IM].
- [85] F. Feroz, M. P. Hobson, E. Cameron, and A. N. Pettitt, "Importance Nested Sampling and the MultiNest Algorithm," (2013), arXiv:1306.2144 [astro-ph.IM].
- [86] Thomas P. Robitaille *et al.* (Astropy), "Astropy: A Community Python Package for Astronomy," Astron. Astrophys. **558**, A33 (2013), arXiv:1307.6212 [astroph.IM].
- [87] J. Buchner et al., "X-ray spectral modelling of the AGN obscuring region in the CDFS: Bayesian model selection and catalogue," Astron. Astrophys. 564, A125 (2014), arXiv:1402.0004 [astro-ph.HE].
- [88] Kfir Blum, Anson Hook, and Kohta Murase, "High energy neutrino telescopes as a probe of the neutrino mass mechanism," (2014), arXiv:1408.3799 [hep-ph].
- [89] Mauricio Bustamante, John F. Beacom, and Kohta Murase, "Testing decay of astrophysical neutrinos with incomplete information," Phys. Rev. D 95, 063013 (2017), arXiv:1610.02096 [astro-ph.HE].
- [90] Aaron C. Vincent, Carlos A. Argelles, and Ali Kheirandish, "High-energy neutrino attenuation in the Earth and its associated uncertainties," JCAP 1711, 012 (2017), arXiv:1706.09895 [hep-ph].
- [91] IceCube Collaboration, Search for contained neutrino events at energies greater than 1 TeV in 2 years of data (2015 (accessed September 04, 2017)).
- [92] Spencer Klein, Private communication.
- [93] Yiqian Xu, "Measurement of High Energy NeutrinoNucleon Cross Section of Cascade Channel with IceCube," Talk given at the International Cosmic Ray Conference 2017, July 15, 2017, Busan, https://pos.sissa.it/301/978/.
- [94] M. Honda, M. Sajjad Athar, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, and S. Midorikawa, "Atmospheric neutrino flux calculation using the NRLMSISE-00 atmospheric model," Phys. Rev. D 92, 023004 (2015), arXiv:1502.03916 [astro-ph.HE].
- [95] E. V. Bugaev, Vadim A. Naumov, S. I. Sinegovsky, and E. S. Zaslavskaya, "Prompt Leptons in Cosmic Rays," Nuovo Cim. C 12, 41–73 (1989).
- [96] P. Gondolo, G. Ingelman, and M. Thunman, "Charm production and high-energy atmospheric muon and neutrino fluxes," Astropart. Phys. 5, 309–332 (1996),

arXiv:hep-ph/9505417 [hep-ph].

- [97] L. Pasquali, M. H. Reno, and I. Sarcevic, "Lepton fluxes from atmospheric charm," Phys. Rev. D 59, 034020 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9806428 [hep-ph].
- [98] Rikard Enberg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Prompt neutrino fluxes from atmospheric charm," Phys. Rev. D 78, 043005 (2008), arXiv:0806.0418 [hepph].
- [99] Thomas K. Gaisser, "Atmospheric leptons," Proceedings, 17th International Symposium on Very High Energy Cosmic Ray Interactions (ISVHECRI 2012): Berlin, Germany, August 10-15, 2012, EPJ Web Conf. 52, 09004 (2013), arXiv:1303.1431 [hep-ph].
- [100] Atri Bhattacharya *et al.*, "Perturbative charm production and the prompt atmospheric neutrino flux in light of RHIC and LHC," JHEP **06**, 110 (2015), arXiv:1502.01076 [hep-ph].
- [101] M. V. Garzelli, S. Moch, and G. Sigl, "Lepton fluxes from atmospheric charm revisited," JHEP 10, 115 (2015), arXiv:1507.01570 [hep-ph].
- [102] Rhorry Gauld, Juan Rojo, Luca Rottoli, Subir Sarkar, and Jim Talbert, "The prompt atmospheric neutrino flux in the light of LHCb," JHEP **02**, 130 (2016), arXiv:1511.06346 [hep-ph].
- [103] Anatoli Fedynitch et al., "MCE_Q numerical code for inclusive lepton flux calculations," Proceedings, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2015): The Hague, The Netherlands, July 30-August 6, 2015, PoS ICRC2015, 1129 (2016).
- [104] Francis Halzen and Logan Wille, "Upper Limit on Forward Charm Contribution to Atmospheric Neutrino Flux," (2016), arXiv:1601.03044 [hep-ph].
- [105] Francis Halzen and Logan Wille, "Charm contribution to the atmospheric neutrino flux," Phys. Rev. D 94, 014014 (2016), arXiv:1605.01409 [hep-ph].
- [106] Thomas K. Gaisser, "Atmospheric Neutrinos," Proceedings, 14th International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics (TAUP 2015): Torino, Italy, September 7-11, 2015, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 718, 052014 (2016), arXiv:1605.03073 [astro-ph.HE].
- [107] Atri Bhattacharya *et al.*, "Prompt atmospheric neutrino fluxes: perturbative QCD models and nuclear effects," JHEP **11**, 167 (2016), arXiv:1607.00193 [hep-ph].
- [108] Ralph Engel et al., "The hadronic interaction model Sibyll — past, present and future," Proceedings, 19th International Symposium on Very High Energy Cosmic Ray Interactions (ISVHECRI 2016): Moscow, Russia, August 22-27, 2016, EPJ Web Conf. 145, 08001 (2017).
- [109] Stefan Schonert, Thomas K. Gaisser, Elisa Resconi, and Olaf Schulz, "Vetoing atmospheric neutrinos in a high energy neutrino telescope," Phys. Rev. D 79, 043009 (2009), arXiv:0812.4308 [astro-ph].
- [110] Thomas K. Gaisser, Kyle Jero, Albrecht Karle, and Jakob van Santen, "Generalized self-veto probability for atmospheric neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D 90, 023009 (2014), arXiv:1405.0525 [astro-ph.HE].
- [111] Luis A. Anchordoqui *et al.*, "Cosmic Neutrino Pevatrons: A Brand New Pathway to Astronomy, Astrophysics, and Particle Physics," JHEAp **1-2**, 1–30 (2014), arXiv:1312.6587 [astro-ph.HE].
- [112] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Neutrinos and Cosmic Rays Observed by IceCube," Adv. Space Research (2017), 10.1016/j.asr.2017.05.030, arXiv:1701.03731 [astro-ph.HE].

- [113] Tamar Kashti and Eli Waxman, "Flavoring astrophysical neutrinos: Flavor ratios depend on energy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 181101 (2005), arXiv:astroph/0507599.
- [114] Paolo Lipari, Maurizio Lusignoli, and Davide Meloni, "Flavor Composition and Energy Spectrum of Astrophysical Neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D 75, 123005 (2007), arXiv:0704.0718 [astro-ph].
- [115] Olga Mena, Sergio Palomares-Ruiz, and Aaron C. Vincent, "On the flavor composition of the high-energy neutrino events in IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 091103 (2014), arXiv:1404.0017 [astro-ph.HE].
- [116] Mauricio Bustamante, John F. Beacom, and Walter Winter, "Theoretically palatable flavor combinations of astrophysical neutrinos," Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 161302 (2015), arXiv:1506.02645 [astro-ph.HE].
- [117] Aaron C. Vincent, Sergio Palomares-Ruiz, and Olga Mena, "Analysis of the 4-year IceCube high-energy starting events," Phys. Rev. D 94, 023009 (2016), arXiv:1605.01556 [astro-ph.HE].
- [118] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Flavor Ratio of Astrophysical Neutrinos above 35 TeV in IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 171102 (2015), arXiv:1502.03376 [astroph.HE].
- [119] Kohta Murase, Markus Ahlers, and Brian C. Lacki, "Testing the Hadronuclear Origin of PeV Neutrinos Observed with IceCube," Phys. Rev. D 88, 121301 (2013), arXiv:1306.3417 [astro-ph.HE].
- [120] Kohta Murase, Dafne Guetta, and Markus Ahlers, "Hidden Cosmic-Ray Accelerators as an Origin of TeV-PeV Cosmic Neutrinos," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 071101 (2016), arXiv:1509.00805 [astro-ph.HE].
- [121] S. R. Kelner, Felix A. Aharonian, and V. V. Bugayov, "Energy spectra of gamma-rays, electrons and neutrinos produced at proton-proton interactions in the very high energy regime," Phys. Rev. D 74, 034018 (2006), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 79, 039901 (2009)], arXiv:astroph/0606058 [astro-ph].
- [122] Georges Aad *et al.* (ATLAS), "Search for strong gravity signatures in same-sign dimuon final states using the ATLAS detector at the LHC," Phys. Lett. B **709**, 322– 340 (2012), arXiv:1111.0080 [hep-ex].
- [123] Serguei Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), "Search for microscopic black holes in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV," JHEP **04**, 061 (2012), arXiv:1202.6396 [hep-ex].
- [124] Marek Paul Kowalski, Search for neutrino induced cascades with the AMANDA-II detector, Ph.D. thesis, Humboldt U., Berlin (2004).
- [125] Shirley Weishi Li, Mauricio Bustamante, and John F. Beacom, "Echo Technique to Distinguish Flavors of Astrophysical Neutrinos," (2016), arXiv:1606.06290 [astro-ph.HE].
- [126] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Searches for Extended and Point-like Neutrino Sources with Four Years of IceCube Data," Astrophys. J. **796**, 109 (2014), arXiv:1406.6757 [astro-ph.HE].
- [127] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Constraints on Galactic Neutrino Emission with Seven Years of IceCube Data," Astrophys. J. **849**, 67 (2017), arXiv:1707.03416 [astro-ph.HE].
- [128] Andrii Neronov and Dmitry V. Semikoz, "Evidence the Galactic contribution to the IceCube astrophysical neutrino flux," Astropart. Phys. **75**, 60–63 (2016), arXiv:1509.03522 [astro-ph.HE].

- [129] Peter B. Denton, Danny Marfatia, and Thomas J. Weiler, "The Galactic Contribution to IceCube's Astrophysical Neutrino Flux," JCAP **1708**, 033 (2017), arXiv:1703.09721 [astro-ph.HE].
- [130] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "IceCube-Gen2: A Vision for the Future of Neutrino Astronomy in Antarctica," (2014), arXiv:1412.5106 [astro-ph.HE].
- [131] S. Adrian-Martinez *et al.* (KM3Net), "Letter of intent for KM3NeT 2.0," J. Phys. G **43**, 084001 (2016), arXiv:1601.07459 [astro-ph.IM].
- [132] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "Measurements using the inelasticity distribution of multi-TeV neutrino interactions in IceCube," (2018), arXiv:1808.07629 [hep-ex].
- [133] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Carlos A. Garcia Canal, Haim Goldberg, Daniel Gomez Dumm, and Francis Halzen, "Probing leptoquark production at IceCube," Phys. Rev. D 74, 125021 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0609214 [hepph].
- [134] Mathieu Ribordy and Alexei Yu Smirnov, "Improving the neutrino mass hierarchy identification with inelasticity measurement in PINGU and ORCA," Phys. Rev. D 87, 113007 (2013), arXiv:1303.0758 [hep-ph].
- [135] L. N. Lipatov, "Reggeization of the Vector Meson and the Vacuum Singularity in Nonabelian Gauge Theories," Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23, 338–345 (1976), [Yad. Fiz. 23, 642 (1976)].
- [136] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, and Victor S. Fadin, "Multi - Reggeon Processes in the Yang-Mills Theory," Sov. Phys. JETP 44, 443–450 (1976), [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 71, 840 (1976)].
- [137] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, and Victor S. Fadin, "The Pomeranchuk Singularity in Nonabelian Gauge Theories," Sov. Phys. JETP 45, 199–204 (1977), [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 72, 377 (1977)].
- [138] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, "The Pomeranchuk Singularity in Quantum Chromodynamics," Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28, 822–829 (1978), [Yad. Fiz. 28, 1597 (1978)].
- [139] Francois Gelis, Edmond Iancu, Jamal Jalilian-Marian, and Raju Venugopalan, "The Color Glass Condensate," Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 463–489 (2010), arXiv:1002.0333 [hep-ph].
- [140] Ernest M. Henley and Jamal Jalilian-Marian, "Ultrahigh energy neutrino-nucleon scattering and parton distributions at small x," Phys. Rev. D 73, 094004 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0512220 [hep-ph].
- [141] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Amanda M. Cooper-Sarkar, Dan Hooper, and Subir Sarkar, "Probing low-x QCD with cosmic neutrinos at the Pierre Auger Observatory," Phys. Rev. D 74, 043008 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0605086 [hep-ph].
- [142] Valerio Bertone, Rhorry Gauld, and Juan Rojo, "Neutrino Telescopes as QCD Microscopes," (2018), arXiv:1808.02034 [hep-ph].
- [143] P. Allison *et al.*, "Design and Initial Performance of the Askaryan Radio Array Prototype EeV Neutrino Detector at the South Pole," Astropart. Phys. **35**, 457–477 (2012), arXiv:1105.2854 [astro-ph.IM].
- [144] P. Allison *et al.* (ARA), "First Constraints on the Ultra-High Energy Neutrino Flux from a Prototype Station of the Askaryan Radio Array," Astropart. Phys. **70**, 62–80 (2015), arXiv:1404.5285 [astro-ph.HE].
- [145] P. Allison *et al.* (ARA), "Performance of two Askaryan Radio Array stations and first results in the search for ultrahigh energy neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D **93**, 082003

(2016), arXiv:1507.08991 [astro-ph.HE].

- [146] Steven W. Barwick, "ARIANNA: A New Concept for UHE Neutrino Detection," TeV Particle Astrophysics. Proceedings, 2nd Workshop, Madison, USA, August 28-31, 2006, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 60, 276–283 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0610631 [astro-ph].
- [147] S. W. Barwick *et al.* (ARIANNA), "A First Search for Cosmogenic Neutrinos with the ARIANNA Hexagonal Radio Array," Astropart. Phys. **70**, 12–26 (2015), arXiv:1410.7352 [astro-ph.HE].
- [148] Jaime Alvarez-Muiz *et al.* (GRAND), "The Giant Radio Array for Neutrino Detection (GRAND): Science and

Design," (2018), arXiv:1810.09994 [astro-ph.HE].

- [149] Angela V. Olinto et al., "POEMMA: Probe Of Extreme Multi-Messenger Astrophysics," Proceedings, 35th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2017): Bexco, Busan, Korea, July 12-20, 2017, PoS ICRC2017, 542 (2017), arXiv:1708.07599 [astroph.IM].
- [150] L. A. Anchordoqui *et al.*, "Upper bounds on the neutrino-nucleon inelastic cross section," JCAP **0506**, 013 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0410136 [hep-ph].