

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Protocol Dependence and State Variables in the Force-Moment Ensemble

Ephraim S. Bililign, Jonathan E. Kollmer, and Karen E. Daniels Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 038001 — Published 23 January 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.038001

Protocol-Dependence and State Variables in the Force-Moment Ensemble

Ephraim S. Bililign, Jonathan E. Kollmer, Karen E. Daniels

Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA

(Dated: December 4, 2018)

Stress-based ensembles incorporating temperature-like variables have been proposed as a route to an equation of state for granular materials. To test the efficacy of this approach, we perform experiments on a twodimensional photoelastic granular system under three loading conditions: uniaxial compression, biaxial compression, and simple shear. From the interparticle forces, we find that the distributions of the normal component of the coarse-grained force-moment tensor are exponential-tailed, while the deviatoric component is Gaussiandistributed. This implies that the correct stress-based statistical mechanics conserves both the force-moment tensor and the Maxwell-Cremona force-tiling area. As such, two variables of state arise: the tensorial angoricity ($\hat{\alpha}$) and a new temperature-like quantity associated with the force-tile area which we name *keramicity* (κ). Each quantity is observed to be inversely proportional to the global confining pressure; however only κ exhibits the protocol-independence expected of a state variable, while $\hat{\alpha}$ behaves as a variable of process.

PACS numbers:

Granular systems are characterized by the absence of thermal fluctuations and their ability to maintain a mechanically stable, jammed state in the absence of an external driving force. The formulation of a statistical description of jammed configurational states has been an open question for the behavior of such athermal, macroscopic particles undergoing solely repulsive contact-interactions [1–5]. A promising statistical mechanics candidate, the force-moment ensemble, postulates a temperature-like state variable, conjugate to stress, called angoricity [6]. For simulations of frictionless particles, angoricity has been shown to underpin an equation of state in the microcanonical ensemble [7], and for frictional particles, it has also satisfied a zeroth-law test [8]. However, the correct functional form of the ensemble remains the subject of recent debate [9-14], and the issue is closely tied to recent developments of a general theory of amorphous solids [15, 16].

It is also unknown whether such statistical descriptions are appropriate, given that interparticle force distributions and probability densities in configuration space are known to be dependent on the loading protocol [17, 18]. Numerous systems, granular [19–21] and otherwise [22, 23], encode system history. Furthermore, shear-jamming [24, 25] is distinct from compressive jamming [26], with the jamming transition occurring over a range of packing fractions and with additional states of minimum shear stress. While some properties of angoricity have been observed in shear-jammed systems [27], there has been no systematic comparison across loading conditions.

To address these questions, we report measurements of particle positions and force-moment tensors for clusters of particles within experiments on a photoelastic granular material; this allows us to measure vector contact forces at the particle scale. We subsequently extract the granular variables of interest in the force-moment ensemble by making measurements of angoricity for separate tensorial components and loading protocols. We find that this statistical mechanics framework conserves, under configurational perturbations, both the force-moment tensor [45] and the Maxwell-Cremona force-tiling area [11], leading to two protocol-dependent and one protocol-independent conjugate variables.

Force-moment ensemble.— By analogy to the equilibrium thermodynamic energy, we calculate the global force-moment tensor [6], $\hat{\Sigma} = \sum_N \vec{r}_{\mu\nu} \otimes \vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$ over an experiment containing N particles (enumerated μ, ν) with centers separated by a displacement $\vec{r}_{\mu\nu}$ and interparticle contact force $\vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$. States of $\hat{\Sigma}$ are enumerated by the number of configurations $\Omega(\hat{\Sigma})$ and associated configurational entropy, $S = \ln \Omega$. The tensorial angoricity is correspondingly defined to be $\alpha_{ij} = \partial S/\partial \Sigma_{ij}$, and we expect a Boltzmann-like probability of observing a local cluster of m nearby particles with local force-moment tensor $\hat{\sigma}$ within a jammed particulate bath at angoricity $\hat{\alpha}$, given by: $\mathcal{P}(\hat{\sigma}|\hat{\alpha}) = \Omega(\hat{\sigma})e^{-\hat{\alpha}\cdot\hat{\sigma}}/Z(\hat{\alpha})$, where : denotes the double inner (scalar) product, $\hat{\alpha} : \hat{\sigma} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\alpha}^T\hat{\sigma})$.

As an extension to this theory, we incorporate the effect of the Maxwell-Cremona diagram, which is formed by mapping contact forces to a tiling of contacting polygons, as a representation of static force balance [11]. The total area of the tiling, *a*, is a conserved quantity, as rearrangements in force correspond to transfers of area between polygons [10, 14]. (Note: although only frictionless packings sustain strictly convex force-tiles, we observe 85% of our tiles to be convex even though they are frictional.) To incorporate this additional conservation law, we consider an extended Boltzmann-like term to account for the probability of observing a cluster of local force-moment $\hat{\sigma}$ and tiling area *a*:

$$\mathcal{P}(\hat{\sigma}, a | \hat{\alpha}, \kappa) = \frac{\Omega(\hat{\sigma}, a)}{Z(\hat{\alpha}, \kappa)} \exp\left(-\hat{\alpha} : \hat{\sigma} - \kappa a\right), \qquad (1)$$

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the global angoricity associated with $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $Z(\hat{\alpha}, \kappa)$ is the partition function [10, 13]. We further define $\kappa = \partial S/\partial a$ as the Lagrange multiplier associated with a, herein referred to as keramicity (from the Greek word $\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \rho \varsigma$ meaning tile).

Experimental details.– We conduct experiments on a twodimensional granular system composed of N = 890 bidisperse (Vishay PhotoStress PSM-4) circular discs with an equal



FIG. 1: (a) Example photoelastic images at $\Gamma = 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ Nm for each of three loading schemes – biaxial, uniaxial, and shear^A – shown schematically according to which walls move. Shear^B (dashed lines) is the opposite of shear^A (solid lines). Bright particles are under larger force; large-scale light gradients have been removed by image-processing. (b) Joint probability distributions $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{v}^f, \Gamma)$ for dimensionless mean local free volume \tilde{v}^f (averaged over clusters of size m = 8) and global confining pressure Γ for each protocol. Dashed lines are linear fits using a weighted average. (c) A force-balanced particle within a jammed packing has an associated Maxwell-Cremona tile, formed by a closed loop of all vector forces acting on a particle.

fraction of radii $R_s = 5.5 \text{ mm}$ and $R_l = 7.7 \text{ mm} (R_l/R_s = 1.4)$. A subfluidizing upflow of air passing through a porous polypropylene sheet floats the particles to eliminate basal friction. A rigid support grid ensures that the surface is level to reduce drift, and smooth to prevent particle clustering. Further experimental details are provided in [8].

Each granular configuration is subject to quasistatic loading under one of three protocols: (1) uniaxial compression, (2) biaxial compression, and (3) simple shear, shown in Fig. 1. Under all protocols, the discs are initially confined to a square region of 48×48 cm bounded by two walls controlled by stepper motors, and two fixed walls. Under uniaxial compression, a single motorized wall compresses the system with a series of steps of constant size (0.6 mm), while under biaxial compression, both motorized walls compress the system with the same step size. The loading walls then retract and the system dilates to a loose packing, activating a brief overheard flow of turbulent air which rearranges the particles to produce a new random configuration. Under simple shear, after slowly compressing to approach the jamming transition, one wall moves in while another moves out. We conduct the shear experiments under both combinations of the wall displacements (denoted by shear^A and shear^B) to isolate the effects of experimental asymmetries such as boundary misalignment or height/gravity gradients.

We repeat the experiment to obtain at least 1,000 packings under each protocol, and for each packing capture images taken under polarized light, which contain the photoelastic response to interparticle forces. We track particle positions using MATLAB and use Voro++, a Voronoï tessellation tool, to determine disc neighbors and local volumes [28]. From the polarized images, we determine the normal and tangential contact forces using non-linear least-squares minimization between a numerically constructed fringe pattern and the actual image [29, 30]. This provides the forces $\vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$ and relative positions $\vec{r}_{\mu\nu}$ needed to construct the force-moment tensor. The full dataset will be available for download at [31].

Local free volumes, preferred to global values for particlescale statistical mechanics [32-34, 46], are calculated for clusters of m = 8 particles, using the m - 1 particles nearest to each central particle. To account for the particle bidispersity [35], we determine the local free volume of a cluster from $v^f = (1/m) \sum_i v_i - v_{\min}(R_i)$ where R_i is R_s (or R_l) for small (or large) particles and v_i is the corresponding particle Voronoï volume. Normalizing by the smallest possible Voronoï volume v_{\min} and averaging over many randomly selected clusters yields the global measure $\tilde{v}^f = \langle v^f / v_{\min} \rangle$. Figure 1b demonstrates that histograms of the mean local free volume \tilde{v}^f , and global confining pressure $\Gamma = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(\hat{\Sigma})$ vary depending on the loading protocol. Note that this "pressure" has units of Nm instead of the usual 2D pressure N/m² since we have chosen, for simplicity, not to divide by the particle area.

We observe that across all three protocols there are two characteristic mean free volumes: $\tilde{v}^f \approx 0.28$ observed for biaxial loading and $\tilde{v}^f \approx 0.32$ observed for shear loading. The looser-packed value for sheared samples is expected from Reynolds dilatancy and the Poynting effect [36–38, 44]. States prepared by uniaxial compression exhibit both values, in line with principal component analysis [39]. Along each $\tilde{v}^f(\Gamma)$ characteristic curve, we observe \tilde{v}^f decreases approximately linearly as a function of Γ , except in the case of shear, which by experimental design was constrained to constant volume and therefore free volume.

Measuring temperaturelike quantities.— In the absence of an explicitly known partition function, we measure the temperaturelike quantities $(\hat{\alpha}, \kappa)$ using the method of overlapping histograms [40, 41], as has previously been applied to granular ensembles [7, 8, 13, 34]. We construct histograms of the components of $\hat{\sigma}$ over the entire range of (\tilde{v}^f, Γ) shown in Fig. 1, binning states by the global measure Γ . We neglect data with $\Gamma < 10^{-3}$ Nm, set by the lower limit of our force resolution. From within each packing, we randomly generate clusters of size m = 8 from nearby discs. For each cluster, the force-



FIG. 2: (a) Histograms of local normal force-moment component p on clusters of m = 8 particles, at fixed Γ_i , for all three loading protocols (shear^A and shear^B combined) (b) Associated histogram logratios \mathcal{R} taken between probability distributions observed at confining pressure Γ_i and $\Gamma_{j_0} = \frac{1}{2} \max(\Gamma_i)$. Each $\mathcal{R}(p)$ is fit to a straight line with slope $\alpha_j^p - \alpha_i^p$ (Eq. 4, with $\kappa_j - \kappa_i \approx 0$).

moment tensor is computed additively over the particles in the cluster, and can be resolved into a normal $p = (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)/2$ and deviatoric $\tau = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)/2$ components for eigenvalues σ_1 and σ_2 . As observed by [7, 8], m = 8 is large enough that measurements of temperature-like variables are independent of m.

Under each of the four protocols, we compute the two associated distributions of the force-moment tensor, $\mathcal{P}(p|\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\tau|\Gamma)$. As shown in Fig. 2-3, we observe that as confining pressure increases, the distributions of both normal and deviatoric components broaden [7–9, 13]. Note that the states prepared under the two different shear-loading protocols (A, B) were found to exhibit spontaneous symmetry breaking, resulting in a bias towards negative and positive deviatoric components, respectively [42]. Consequently, we treat these two protocols separately. For $\mathcal{P}(p)$ in both shear protocols, the mean and variance are indistinguishable from each other, while for $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$, the mean is translated in opposite directions.

To proceed with the overlapping histogram methods, we utilize the ratio $\mathcal{R}^{\sigma}(\sigma,\Gamma_i,\Gamma_j) \equiv \log [\mathcal{P}(\sigma|\Gamma_i)/\mathcal{P}(\sigma|\Gamma_j)]$ with σ being either p or τ . To give this ratio more meaning, consider the distribution of Eq. 1 under the assumption that: (1) the local force tiling area a is strongly peaked at det $(\hat{\sigma})$ [10, 11, 13], and (2) the off-diagonal components of the local stress $\hat{\sigma}$ are small compared to the diagonal elements (see Supplemental Material). For isotropically prepared states, the normal stress typically dominates the shear stress [24, 27]. In



FIG. 3: (a) Histograms of local deviatoric force-moment component τ on clusters of m = 8 particles, at fixed Γ_i , for all four loading protocols (shear^A and shear^B separated) (b) Associated histogram logratios \mathcal{R} taken between probability distributions observed at confining pressure Γ_i and $\Gamma_{j_0} = \frac{1}{2} \max(\Gamma_i)$. Each $\mathcal{R}(\tau)$ is fit to a parabola with coefficients $\alpha_j^{\tau} - \alpha_i^{\tau}$ and $\kappa_j - \kappa_i$ (Eq. 5).

this limit, we can integrate Eq. 1 to isolate distributions:

$$\mathcal{P}(p|\Gamma) = \frac{\hat{\Omega}(p,\Gamma)}{Z(\hat{\alpha},\kappa)} \exp\left(-\alpha^p p - \kappa p^2\right),\tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\tau|\Gamma) = \frac{\hat{\Omega}(\tau,\Gamma)}{Z(\hat{\alpha},\kappa)} \exp\left(-\alpha^{\tau}\tau + \kappa\tau^{2}\right), \tag{3}$$

for modified densities of state $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ (see Supplemental Material). Thus, we have three temperature-like quantities: α^p and α^{τ} , the normal and deviatoric components of the angoricity tensor, and κ , the keramicity. By taking the logarithm ratios of these two distributions, (Eq. 2-3) are

$$\mathcal{R}^p(p,\Gamma_i,\Gamma_j) = \mathcal{R}^p_0 + (\alpha^p_j - \alpha^p_i)p + (\kappa_j - \kappa_i)p^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\tau}(\tau,\Gamma_i,\Gamma_j) = \mathcal{R}_0^{\tau} + (\alpha_j^{\tau} - \alpha_i^{\tau})\tau - (\kappa_j - \kappa_i)\tau^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0 = \log (Z_i/Z_j)$, and (i, j) denotes two sets of states of pressure Γ_i and Γ_j (see Supplemental Material). Because ratios are sensitive to counting error in small values of the denominator, we only examine data for which $\mathcal{P} > 10$.

In Fig. 2b and Fig. 3b, we illustrate typical logarithm ratios for several representative histograms; all ratios are computed relative to the same reference histogram. We observe that \mathcal{R}^p



FIG. 4: Temperature-like variables: (a) Normal inverse angoricity, $1/\alpha^p$ against confining pressure Γ , as computed for three loading conditions $[\alpha^p\Gamma = 0.29 \pm 0.01$ (biaxial), 0.34 ± 0.01 (uniaxial), 0.43 ± 0.01 (shear)]. (b) Deviatoric inverse angoricity, $1/\alpha^{\tau}$ plotted against the same, indicating opposite dependencies on confining pressure based on the loading protocol $[\alpha^{\tau}\Gamma = -1.5 \pm 0.1$ (uniaxial), 1.6 ± 0.1 (biaxial), 0.59 ± 0.03 (shear^A), -1.0 ± 0.3 (shear^B)]. (c) The inverse keramicity $(1/\kappa)$ evolves linearly with confining pressure and does so with equal proportionality under all protocols $[\kappa\Gamma = (3.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}]$. Dashed lines represent linear fits.

is linear in p with some arbitrary offset associated with the ratio of partition functions. The slope of $\mathcal{R}^p(p)$ gives the differential normal angoricity, $\alpha_j^p - \alpha_i^p$ between states at confining pressures Γ_j and Γ_i . However, the ratios in Fig. 2b do not provide confident fits beyond a first order polynomial in p, such that the difference $\kappa_j - \kappa_i$ cannot be established from this approach due to experimental limitations in the range of p [13].

In contrast, similar ratios computed in Fig. 3b are found to be parabolic with a non-zero second-order coefficient, matching the expectation from Eq. 5, and we fit these ratios to a polynomial: $x\tau^2 + y\tau + z$. The fit parameter x, corresponding to changes in the variance of force-moment component distributions, gives $x = \kappa_i - \kappa_j$, the difference in keramicity between states of global confining pressure Γ_i and Γ_j . As before, we also identify the fit parameter y to be associated with $y = \alpha_j^{\tau} - \alpha_i^{\tau}$. Thus, by taking the logarithm of the ratio of two closely-overlapped histograms with sufficient proximity in local free volume [7], we can compute relative measures of α^p , α^{τ} , and κ to within an additive constant \mathcal{R}_0 [8].

The presence of a τ^2 term is found for all three protocols, in agreement with predictions by Wu and Teitel [13], in which the multipliers α^p and κ are strictly related to only global measures of pressure. This allows the Boltzmann-like factor found in Eq. 1 to include terms that are quadratic in the components of the force-moment tensor.

Equations of state. As shown for angoricity under isotropic compression, we propose that the computed temperature-like state variables can be described by equations of state of the form $\alpha\Gamma = \lambda$ [7, 8, 43], where α represents any of $(\alpha^p, \alpha^\tau, \kappa)$ and λ is a constant. To test experimental adherence to this hypothesis, we identify $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 0$ (and $\frac{1}{\kappa} = 0$) as corresponding to the jamming point ($\Gamma = 0$) and reference differential temperature-like variable measurements accordingly (see Supplemental Material for procedure).

Repeating this procedure for all three temperature-like vari-

ables, we observe that the equation of state holds in all cases (Fig. 4). While for κ the constant λ is independent of loading protocol, for both α^p and α^τ the constant exhibits significant protocol-dependence. Interestingly, λ is always positive for α^p , but the sign varies for α^τ (values given in caption), corresponding to the direction of translation of $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$ in Fig. 3a, some of which are associated with experimental asymmetries. The magnitudes of the λ agree within 2 standard errors for shear^A vs. shear^B, and the signs differ due to the change in sign of τ . Because both components of $\hat{\alpha}$ are observed to be protocol-dependent, the tensorial angoricity is not valid as a variable of *state*, but rather a variable of *process*. By contrast, the keramicity behaves as a state variable.

Angoricity measures a granular packing's ability to accommodate configurational rearrangements of equivalent global force-moment tensor (equivalently, stress) on a componentwise basis, $\alpha_{ij} = \partial S/\partial \Sigma_{ij}$. Thus, we have shown that as packings are loaded above jamming, they gain more configurations from the presence of forces to be distributed (Fig. 4a). Meanwhile, as $\frac{1}{\alpha^p}$ (Fig. 4a) and $\frac{1}{\alpha^\tau}$ (Fig. 4b) grow in magnitude, the responsiveness of the number of configurations to increases in global stress ($\partial S/\partial \Sigma$, where Σ is either the normal or deviatoric component of $\hat{\Sigma}$) decreases. Finally, the protocol by which a granular packing is loaded does not impact the trend that more compressed states gain fewer additional configurations from equivalent additions to the global Maxwell-Cremona area tiling (Fig. 4c).

Conclusion.– We have demonstrated non-negligible curvature in the ratio of overlapped force-moment tensor histograms in jammed states of granular systems. This observation points towards a formulation of the force-moment ensemble that requires conservation of Maxwell-Cremona area tiling. The associated temperature-like variables all grow inversely with respect to confining pressure, but the keramicity, associated with the force tiling, is the only valid variable of state. The path-dependence of variables describing soft mat-

ter systems is not unfamiliar, but protocol-dependent scaling could be a route to a comprehensive granular equation of state. Meanwhile, the generalization of the force-moment ensemble to account for force-tiling statistics may yield a comprehensive statistical mechanics framework.

Acknowledgements.- We are grateful for support from the National Science Foundation (DMR-1206808) and James S. McDonnell Foundation, and for useful conversations with Bob Behringer, Rafi Blumenfeld, Bulbul Chakraborty, Daphne Klotsa, James Puckett, Steve Teitel, and Brian Tighe.

- S. F. Edwards and R. B. S. Oakeshott, Physica A 157, 1080 (1989).
- [2] H. M. Jaeger and S. R. Nagel, Science 255, 1523 (1992).
- [3] R. C. Ball and R. Blumenfeld, Physical Review Letters 88, 115505 (2002).
- [4] S. Martiniani, K. J. Schrenk, K. Ramola, B. Chakraborty, and D. Frenkel, Nature Physics (2017).
- [5] K. Ramola and B. Chakraborty, Journal of Statistical Physics 169, 1 (2017).
- [6] D. Bi, S. Henkes, K. E. Daniels, and B. Chakraborty, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 6, 63 (2015).
- [7] S. Henkes, C. S. O'Hern, and B. Chakraborty, Physical Review Letters 99, 038002 (2007).
- [8] J. G. Puckett and K. E. Daniels, Physical Review Letters 110, 058001 (2013).
- [9] R. Blumenfeld and S. F. Edwards, Journal of Physical Chemistry B 113, 3981 (2009).
- [10] B. P. Tighe, A. R. T. van Eerd, and T. J. H. Vlugt, Physical Review Letters **100**, 238001 (2008).
- [11] B. P. Tighe and T. J. H. Vlugt, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 4, 04002 (2011).
- [12] I. Jorjadze, L.-L. Pontani, and J. Brujic, Physical Review Letters 110, 48302 (2013).
- [13] Y. Wu and S. Teitel, Physical Review E 92, 022207 (2015).
- [14] S. Sarkar, D. Bi, J. Zhang, J. Ren, R. P. Behringer, and B. Chakraborty, Physical Review E 93, 042901 (2016).
- [15] E. DeGiuli, Physical Review Letters 121, 118001 (2018).
- [16] E. DeGiuli, Physical Review E 98, 033011 (2018).
- [17] T. S. Majmudar and R. P. Behringer, Nature 435 (2005).
- [18] T. Bertrand, R. P. Behringer, B. Chakraborty, C. S. O'Hern, and M. D. Shattuck, Physical Review E 93, 012901 (2016).
- [19] P. Chaudhuri, L. Berthier, and S. Sastry, Physical Review Letters 104, 165701 (2010).

- [20] J. D. Paulsen, N. C. Keim, and S. R. Nagel, Physical Review Letters 113, 068301 (2014).
- [21] C. Josserand, A. V. Tkachenko, D. M. Mueth, and H. M. Jaeger, Physical Review Letters 85, 3632 (2000).
- [22] S. M. Rubinstein, G. Cohen, and J. Fineberg, Physical Review Letters 96, 256103 (2006).
- [23] M. Huang and J. P. Sethna, Physical Review B 43, 3245 (1991).
- [24] D. Bi, J. Zhang, B. Chakraborty, and R. P. Behringer, Nature 480, 335 (2011).
- [25] H. A. Vinutha and S. Sastry, Nature Physics 12, 578 (2016).
- [26] A. J. Liu and S. R. Nagel, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 1 (2010).
- [27] D. Bi, J. Zhang, R. P. Behringer, and B. Chakraborty, Europhysics Letters 102, 34002 (2013).
- [28] C. H. Rycroft, G. S. Grest, J. W. Landry, and M. Z. Bazant, Physical Review E 74, 021306 (2006).
- [29] K. E. Daniels, J. E. Kollmer, and J. G. Puckett, Review of Scientific Instruments 88, 051808 (2017).
- [30] J. E. Kollmer, *Photo-Elastic Grain Solver*, http://github.com/jekollmer/PEGS.
- [31] Link to data at http://DataDryad.org will go here.
- [32] C. Song, P. Wang, and H. A. Makse, Nature 453, 629 (2008).
- [33] T. Aste and T. Di Matteo, Physical Review E 77, 021309 (2008).
- [34] S. McNamara, P. Richard, S. K. de Richter, G. Le Caër, and R. Delannay, Physical Review E 80, 031301 (2009).
- [35] F. Lechenault, F. da Cruz, O. Dauchot, and E. Bertin, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2006, 07009 (2006).
- [36] J. Ren, J. A. Dijksman, and R. P. Behringer, Physical Review Letters 110, 018302 (2013).
- [37] B. Andreotti, Y. Forterre, and O. Pouliquen, *Granular media: between fluid and solid* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [38] B. P. Tighe, Granular Matter **16** (2014).
- [39] H. Hotelling, Analysis of a Complex of Statistical Variables into Principal Components (Warwick & York, 1933).
- [40] P. Labastie and R. L. Whetten, Physical Review Letters 65, 1567 (1990).
- [41] D. S. Dean and A. Lefèvre, Physical Review Letters 90, 198301 (2003).
- [42] T. B. Nguyen and A. Amon, Europhysics Letters 116, 28007 (2016).
- [43] S. Henkes and B. Chakraborty, Physical Review Letters 95, 198002 (2005).
- [44] K. Baumgarten and B. P. Tighe, Physical Review Letters 120, 148004 (2018).
- [45] B. Chakraborty, Soft Matter 6, 2884-2893 (2010).
- [46] I. Jorjadze, L. L. Pontani and J. Brujic, Physical Review Letters 110, 048302 (2013).