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Topological superfluids protected by mirror and time-reversal symmetries are exotic states of matter possessing Majorana Kramers pairs (MKPs), yet their realizations have long been hindered by the requirement of unconventional pairing. We propose to realize such a topological superfluid by utilizing $s$-wave pairing and emergent mirror and time-reversal symmetries in two coupled 1D ultracold atomic Fermi gases with spin-orbit coupling. By stacking such systems into 2D, we discover topological and Dirac-nodal superfluids hosting distinct MKP flat bands. We show that the emergent symmetries make the MKPs and their flat bands stable against pairing fluctuations that otherwise annihilate paired Majoranas. Exploiting new experimental developments, our scheme provides a unique platform for exploring MKPs and their applications in quantum computation.

Introduction.—Spin-orbit coupling (SOC) plays a crucial role in many topological quantum phenomena of condensed matter physics [1, 2]. In ultracold atomic gases, SOC has been experimentally realized by coupling different hyperfine ground states through counter-propagating Raman lasers [3–13]. Due to their highly controllability and free of disorder, the spin-orbit coupled ultracold atomic gases have opened a broad avenue for exploring novel topological quantum matter. In particular, the cooperation of three key ingredients, i.e., SOC, Zeeman coupling, and $s$-wave pairing interaction, can produce effective $p$-wave superfluids [14–16] that host Majorana excitations [17–19]. Because of their non-Abelian braiding statistics and potential applications in fault-tolerant quantum computing [20], topological defects containing unpaired Majoranas have been extensively studied in solid-state systems nowadays [21–37].

These superfluids with unpaired Majoranas belong to class D in the ten-fold way of Altland-Zirnbauer classification [38, 39]. Without additional symmetries, the coupling between two Majoranas can lift their zero-energy degeneracy. Time-reversal (TR) symmetry ($T^2 = −1$) can, however, dictate them to form a Kramers doublet, dubbed Majorana Kramers pair (MKP) [40–43]. Topological superfluids hosting protected MKPs belong to a completely distinct symmetry class, i.e., the DIII or mirror class [42]. Intriguingly, MKPs enjoy symmetry-protected non-Abelian braiding statistics [44, 45], which may constitute advantages for quantum computing.

There have been several tantalizing proposals for realizing topological superconductors hosting MKPs in solid-state materials [40–57], such as those proximitized devices exploiting the unconventional $s_\pm$-wave [41], $d_{x^2−y^2}$-wave [43], or spatially sign-switching pairing [21]. However, these schemes are challenging, as they strongly rely on the presence of exotic pairing and its fine control in materials [58]. In this context, ultracold atomic gases may provide a more controllable platform for exploring topological superfluids hosting MKPs [42]. In contrast to extrinsic proximity-induced superconductivity in solid-state platforms, superfluid orders in ultracold atomic gases are formed through intrinsic $s$-wave attractive interactions. In particular, a superfluid phase may be destroyed by quantum fluctuations in a 1D chain, therefore it is crucial to exploit weakly-coupled 1D chains or 2D/3D arrays to suppress quantum fluctuations. Yet, it has been shown that couplings between identical class D (and even class BDI [37]) chains induce edge pairing fluctuations that destroy Majorana modes [59, 60]. Thus, two questions naturally arise. Can TR-invariant topological mirror superfluids be realized in ultracold atomic gases with conventional $s$-wave pairing? If so, can TR and mirror symmetries protect MKPs from pairing fluctuations? In this Letter, we address these two important questions by showing that the remarkable physics of TR-invariant topological mirror superfluids and associated MKPs can be realized in ultracold atomic gases by utilizing experimentally accessible $s$-wave pairing and synthetic 1D SOC [3–10]. Here are our main findings.

First, although the Zeeman field from Raman coupling in synthetic SOC breaks TR symmetry in a Fermi gas, effective TR and mirror symmetries emerge for two coupled gases with opposite Zeeman fields (Fig. 1), which can be realized by changing the beam profile of one Raman laser from Gaussian to Hermite-Gaussian [61]. The emergent TR and mirror symmetries, together with $s$-wave pairing, can be exploited to realize TR-invariant topological mirror superfluids [42].

Second, by tuning the Zeeman field strength and chemical potential, our 1D system undergoes various phase transitions between different phases and the topological superfluid characterized by a $Z_2$ invariant and the emergence of MKPs. Even though the SOC is 1D, our 2D system exhibits both topological and Dirac-nodal [62] superfluids hosting distinct flat bands of MKPs. This extension strongly suppresses quantum fluctuations that may destroy the two superfluid phases.

Thirdly, as evidenced by our self-consistent calculations [63–68], the degeneracies of MKPs and their flat bands are symmetry protected against pairing fluctuations, which are known to annihilate paired Majoranas for coupled 1D chains. (All these results also apply to the 3D case.) Therefore, our scheme provides a simple experimentally feasible route for realizing TR-invariant topo-
logical and Dirac-nodal superfluids, paving the way for observing MKPs and exploring their non-Abelian statistics [44, 45] and interaction effects [46, 47].

**Model.**—Consider two coupled 1D Fermi gases of ultracold atoms with the same SOC but opposite Zeeman fields. (A double-well trapping potential along $y$ is used to create this system.) As sketched in Fig. 1, the SOC can be achieved by two counter-propagating Raman lasers coupling two atomic hyperfine states [1] and [2]. This setup is the same as those in previous experiments [3–13], except that one laser beam is changed from Gaussian to Hermite-Gaussian HG$_{01}$ mode [61], and can be described by the Hamiltonian $h_k = h^2 k^2 / 2m + \Omega \sigma_z + \delta \sigma_y + 2\kappa \sigma_y$ in a rotated basis with $[1, 2] = (|\uparrow\rangle \pm i |\downarrow\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$. Here $k$ is the quasi-momentum in each gas, $\alpha$ is the SOC strength, $\delta$ is the two-photon detuning, and $\Omega = \Omega_0 y \exp(-y^2/w^2)$ is the position-dependent Raman coupling serving as the Zeeman field. Given the antisymmetric HG$_{01}$ beam, the Zeeman field is opposite at the two gases, which is crucial for realizing an emergent TR symmetry.

Taking into account the $s$-wave interaction induced superfluidity, the physics of our 1D Fermi gas system can be described by the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian [69] $H_k = \Psi^\dagger_k H_{BdG}^k \Psi_k / 2$ with

$$H_{BdG}^k = [\xi_k + 2\alpha \sin k \sigma_y - t_\perp s_z] \tau_z + \Omega_0 \sigma_z s_z + \Delta \tau_x$$

expressed in the Nambu spinor basis $\Psi_k = (\phi_k, i\sigma_y \phi^\dagger_{-k})$. Here $\phi_k = (c_{k\uparrow}, c_{k\downarrow}, c_{k\downarrow}, c_{k\uparrow})^T$ with $c_{k\sigma,n}$ the fermion annihilation operators; $\sigma$, $s$, and $\tau$ are Pauli matrices acting on the fermion spin, *double chain*, and particle-hole spaces, respectively; $\xi_k = -2t \cos k - \mu$ is the intrachain kinetic energy with a chemical potential $\mu$, $t_\perp$ is the inter-chain coupling, and $\delta = 0$ has been chosen for the detuning. The lattice regularization of the free-space fermion kinetic energy would not change any essential physics [69]. Importantly, the Zeeman field $\Omega \sigma_z s_z$ is exactly opposite for the two chains, and the $s$-wave pairing order parameter $\Delta$ must be self-consistently determined [63–68]. Diagonalizing the Hamiltonian (1), we obtain the quasiparticle energy spectrum

$$E(k) = \pm \left[ (2\alpha \sin k \pm t_\perp)^2 + \Omega^2 + \Delta^2 + \xi_k^2 \right]^{1/2} + 2\sqrt{(\Delta^2 + \xi_k^2)\Omega^2 + (2\alpha \sin k \pm t_\perp)^2\xi_k^2},$$

with two-fold degeneracies at $k = 0$ and $\pi$ due to an emergent TR symmetry, as we elaborate below.

Symmetry & invariant.—The model (1) has three independent symmetries that govern the underlying physics. First, there is an intrinsic particle-hole symmetry reflecting the BdG redundancy: $P H^k_{BdG} P^{-1} = -H^k_{BdG}$ with $P = \tau_y \sigma_y K$ and $K$ the complex conjugation. Second, even though the TR symmetry is explicitly broken by the Zeeman field within each chain, Eq. (1) is still invariant under TR followed by chain inversion, i.e.,

$$\vec{T} H_{BdG}^k \vec{T}^{-1} = H_{BdG}^k, \quad \vec{T} = is_x \sigma_y K.$$  

Given that $\vec{T}^2 = -1$, such an emergent TR symmetry dictates the Kramers degeneracies found in the spectrum (2) at $k = 0$ and $\pi$. Note that the composite operation of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\vec{T}$ also leads to a chiral symmetry: $C H_{BdG}^k C^{-1} = -H_{BdG}^k$ with $C = \mathcal{P} \vec{T}$. Thirdly, the setup has a mirror symmetry such that the two chains are the mirror images of each other, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M} H_{BdG}^k \mathcal{M}^{-1} = H_{BdG}^k, \quad \mathcal{M} = is_x \sigma_y.$$  

Since the mirror symmetry with $M^2 = -1$ is a spatial symmetry, naturally $[\mathcal{M}, O] = 0$ with $O = \mathcal{P}$, $\vec{T}$ and $C$.

In light of the above symmetry analysis, the Hamiltonian (1) belongs to both the DIII class [38, 39] and the mirror class [42] in topological classification. It follows that a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index $\nu$ [69, 70] and a mirror winding number $\gamma_m$, with $\nu = \gamma_m \mod 2$ [42], can both be used for characterizing the band topology of model (1).

We find that the transitions between topologically distinct phases occur at the phase boundary where

$$\xi_k^2 + \Delta^2 = \Omega^2, \quad 4\alpha^2 \sin^2 k = t_\perp^2.$$  

For $t_\perp = 0$, the quasiparticle gap closes at $k = 0$, and the phase boundary reduces to that of single-chain superfluids [22, 23]. For a finite $t_\perp$, the quasiparticle gap closes at a finite $k$, and the critical Zeeman fields read

$$\Omega_\pm = \left[ (2t \sqrt{1-t_\perp^2 / 4\alpha^2} \pm \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$  

Applying the established formulas for $\nu$ [69, 70] and $\gamma_m$ [42] to Eq. (1), we conclude that

$$\nu = \gamma_m \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Omega_- < |\Omega| < \Omega_+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$  

Our model in the nontrivial regime realizes not only a TR-invariant topological superfluid but also the first topological mirror superfluid [42] in degenerate gases.
Self-consistent phase diagram.—In ultracold atomic gases, the local s-wave pair potential in real space must be determined in a self-consistent manner [63–68], together with the quasiparticle energies and wave functions. In our numerical calculations [69], the chemical potential is fixed without loss of generality, and the open boundary condition is used for the purpose of observing MKPs. We choose $L = 120$ as the length of chain, $t$ as the energy unit, and $\langle \Delta \rangle = \sum_i |\Delta_i|/L$ as the pairing strength.

Figure 2(a) plots the phase diagram in the $\Omega$-$\mu$ plane, which is symmetric with respect to $\mu = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$. Evidently, the numerical phase boundaries are in good harmony with those determined by Eq. (5). In total, there are five distinct phases: the normal superfluid, topological superfluid, metal with SOC, polarized insulator, and trivial vacuum. The vacuum state occurs when $|\mu|$ is too large to cross the single-particle bands. The system becomes the polarized insulator near $|\mu| = 0$ if the Zeeman field strength $|\Omega|$ is sufficiently large; each lattice site per chain is occupied by one fermion of the same polarization. At relatively smaller $|\Omega|$ and $|\mu|$, superfluidity spontaneously emerges with a finite bulk pairing gap for quasiparticle excitations. In this regime, whereas it is the normal superfluid without any boundary zero mode if both $|\Omega|$ and $|\mu|$ approach zero, it becomes the topological superfluid with two degenerate zero modes per boundary, i.e., the MKP, if $|\mu|$ approaches to the original band degeneracies and if $|\Omega| > \Omega_\gamma$ as required in Eq. (7). As $|\Omega|$ further increases, the superfluidity gradually vanishes, and the metal phase emerges with an excitation gap scales linearly with $1/L$.

Figure 2(b) with $\mu = -2$ features the most appealing part of the phase diagram, where there are two successive phase transitions as $\Omega$ increases from 0. The first transition occurs at $\Omega = \Omega_\gamma$: the normal superfluid turns to the topological superfluid with the emergence of one localized MKP per boundary, as shown in Fig. 2(c). As $\Omega$ becomes stronger, the pairing strength $\langle \Delta \rangle$ becomes weaker. Eventually at the second transition, $\langle \Delta \rangle$ vanishes and the system enters into the metal phase with gapless single-particle excitations.

2D topological superfluids.—By stacking our double chains, we can obtain exotic 2D and 3D topological superfluids protected by the emergent TR and mirror symmetries. The extension to higher dimensions can suppress quantum fluctuations and stabilize long-range pairing orders. We focus on the 2D case [69], and the 3D generalization is straightforward. The staggered Zeeman field switches sign between neighboring chains along $\hat{y}$. This setup can be described by the BdG Hamiltonian

$$H_{k}^{\text{BdG}} = [\xi_{k_{x}} + 2\alpha \sin k_{x} \sigma_{y} - (t_{1} + t_{2} \cos k_{y})s_{x} - t_{2} \sin k_{y} s_{y}]z_{x} + \Omega \xi_{k_{y}} \sigma_{z} + [\Delta_{r}]z_{x}, \quad (8)$$

where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the alternating inter-chain couplings along $\hat{y}$. Such a system has an emergent property

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} H_{k}^{\text{BdG}}(k_{x}, k_{y}) \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} = H_{k}^{\text{BdG}}(-k_{x}, k_{y}), \quad (9)$$

i.e., the system respects the TR symmetry in Eq. (3) and belongs to class DIII with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ invariant $\nu_{k_{y}}$ for any $k_{y}$, which is an anomalous pumping parameter [44]. Consequently, there can be three distinct phases for Eq. (8). Whereas the superfluid is normal if $\nu_{k_{y}} = 0$ for

---

**FIG. 2:** (a) Phase diagram in the $\Omega$-$\mu$ plane, symmetric with respect to $\mu = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$. The contour plot shows the site-averaged pairing $\langle \Delta \rangle$ in the normal superfluid (N), topological superfluid (T), metal with SOC (M), polarized insulator (I), and trivial vacuum (V). The dotted red lines are the phase boundaries determined by Eq. (5). (b) Phase transitions along the white dotted line in (a). The black solid (red dotted) lines denote the first (second) quasiparticle excitation states (ES) in the spectrum, both of which are two-fold degenerate. (c) Probability distributions of the left (L) and right (R) MKPs at the red cross in (a). $\sum_{i} P_{L}(i) = \sum_{i} P_{R}(i) = 2$ are the hallmarks of MKPs. $\alpha = 1$ and $t_{\perp} = 0.5$ are used in (a)-(c).

**FIG. 3:** (a) Phase diagram in the $\Omega$-$\Delta$ plane for the 2D model (8). The red, green, and blue regions denote the normal (N), topological (T), and Dirac-nodal (D) superfluids, respectively. (b) Bulk quasiparticle spectrum for the Dirac superfluid labeled by the red star in (a). Each Dirac point is indexed by a winding number $\gamma_{k} = \pm 1$. (c)-(d) Quasiparticle spectrum with MKP edge flat bands under open boundary condition for the Dirac and topological superfluids labeled in (a). $t_{1} = t_{2} = 0.5, \alpha = 1$, and $\mu = -2$ are used in (a)-(d).
any \( k_y \), an unprecedented topological superfluid emerges if \( \nu_{k_y} = 1 \) for any \( k_y \). Remarkably in the topological phase, there emerges a flat band of MKPs at the edge along \( \hat{y} \), because there is a MKP corresponding to the nontrivial \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) invariant for any \( k_y \). (This edge flat band is a consequence of the bulk topological property, and the band flatness is protected by the TR and mirror symmetries, although the edge flat band itself may be trivial [71] if treated as a 1D system.) Intriguingly, if \( \nu_0 \neq \nu_x \), a nodal superfluid emerges. As the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) invariant changes from \( k_y = 0 \) to \( k_y = \pi \), the bulk gap must close at at least one \( k_y \) in between 0 and \( \pi \), separating the \( \nu = 0 \) and \( \nu = 1 \) regimes, and a flat band of MKPs emerge between the projected nodes [62] at the edge along \( \hat{y} \).

Figure 3(a) illustrates a representative phase diagram in the \( \Omega-\Delta \) plane. Indeed, all three phases emerge and the nodal superfluid intervenes the normal and topological ones. Surprisingly, we find that the nodes are Dirac points with linear dispersions and topological protections. Diagonalizing Eq. (8) yields the phase boundaries and the Dirac point positions, as determined by

\[
\xi_x^2 + \Delta^2 = \Omega^2, \quad 4\alpha^2 \sin^2 k_x = t_1^2 + t_2^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \cos k_y. \tag{10}
\]

The Dirac points are two-fold degenerate and come in multiples of four, as dictated by the \( \mathcal{T} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) symmetries that respectively flip \( k_x \) and \( k_y \). Moreover, any loop enclosing one such Dirac point has a total winding number \( \gamma_t = \pm 1 \) [62], protected by an emergent chiral symmetry

\[
\tilde{C} \mathcal{H}^{\text{BdG}}_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{C}^{-1} = -\mathcal{H}^{\text{BdG}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \tilde{C} = \tau_y \sigma_y. \tag{11}
\]

Figure 3(b) displays the four Dirac points and their \( \gamma_t \)'s accordingly. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) contrast the MKP edge flat bands in the Dirac-nodal and topological superfluids.

**Discussion.**—It is instructive to consider the stability of MKPs and their flat bands in our proposed scheme. For an array of topological superfluids without the \( \mathcal{T} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) symmetries, it is known that Majoranas interactions spontaneously produce nonuniform pairing fields \( \Delta_j e^{i\phi_j} \) and edge supercurrent loops [60]. Since the phase fluctuations cannot be gauged away, the Majoranas can be gapped out in pairs. Neglecting long-range interactions, the Majorana annihilation is governed by the nearest-neighbor Josephson couplings as follows [59]:

\[
\delta H = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_0 \cos \phi_{ij} + i J_{ij} \gamma_i \gamma_j \sin(\phi_{ij}/2), \tag{12}
\]

with \( J_0, J_{ij} > 0 \) and \( \phi_{ij} = \phi_i - \phi_j \). While the first term favors a global phase coherence, the second term splits the Majorana zero modes through phase fluctuations.

In sharp contrast, the MKP flat bands of our system are robust against such phase fluctuations. This can be best understood from the symmetry perspective. Under the \( \mathcal{M} \) operation, the local pairing term \( \Delta_j e^{i\phi_j} c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger \) becomes \( \Delta_j e^{i\phi_j} c_{i+1}^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger \) since the sublattice and spin indices in Eq. (8) are simultaneously flipped. For the Josephson coupling, the \( J_{ij} \)-term must vanish as \( \phi_0 = \phi_{i+1} \) is dictated by mirror symmetry.

Our self-consistent calculations also agree with such a symmetry argument. Fig. 4(a) plots the BdG spectrum for a 100 \( \times \) 8 lattice model of Eq. (8). Consistent with Fig. 3(a), the system undergoes two transitions as the Zeeman field increases: from a normal superfluid to a topological one and eventually to a metal phase with \( \langle \Delta \rangle = 0 \). (Dirac points are absent due to the finite size effect.) The topological phase hosts eight-fold degenerate zero modes on the boundary along \( \hat{y} \), forming a MKP flat band that is also stable against the \( t_1-t_2 \) anisotropy. These remarkable features suggest that our proposed scheme is superior to previous ones.

Finally, a few comments are in order on relevant experiments. In the 2D setup, the Zeeman field switches sign between neighboring chains of distance \( b \). This can be realized through the periodic modulation \( \Omega_1 \sim \cos(\pi y/b) \) for one Raman laser. Such a modulation can be produced by a digital micromirror device [69, 72, 73], which can generate an arbitrary modulation of laser intensity. This setup can be generalized to a 3D lattice with \( \Omega_1 \sim \cos(\pi y/b) \cos(\pi z/c) \), where a boundary MKP flat band is anticipated. Our scheme of restoring TR symmetry via a spatial reflection can be generalized to various different systems, where the SOC's have been realized for other types of pseudospin states [74–77].

The MKPs can be experimentally detected using spatially resolved radio-frequency spectroscopy [69, 78–82], which measures the local density of states, similar to scanning tunneling microscope. Different from a single Majorana mode, the intrinsic two-fold degeneracy of a MKP can be further affirmed from the energy splitting and spatial separation of two Majoranas due to symmetry breaking [69], which can be induced by the imbalance of \( \Omega \) between the two chains. Our results not only provide a simple experimental scheme for realizing mirror- and TR-invariant topological and Dirac-nodal superfluids.
but also establish a unique platform for exploring MKPs and their applications in quantum computation.

Note added.—Near the submission of this manuscript, we became aware of an independent work [83] that explores MKPs in double semiconductor nanowires with proximity-induced s-wave pairing and ad hoc opposite Zeeman fields. While pairing fluctuation, mirror symmetry, Dirac phase, and flat band are not discussed in Ref. [83], the results based on the emergent time-reversal symmetry in the two works agree with each other.
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