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We propose a robust scheme for generating macroscopic superposition states of spin or motion with the aid of a single photon. Shaping the wave packet of the photon enables high-fidelity preparation of non-classical states of matter even in the presence of photon loss. Success is heralded by photodetection, enabling the scheme to be implemented with a weak coherent field. We analyze applications to preparing Schrödinger cat states of a collective atomic spin or of a mechanical oscillator coupled to an optical resonator. The method generalizes to preparing arbitrary superpositions of coherent states, enabling full quantum control. We illustrate this versatility by showing how to prepare Dicke or Fock states, as well as superpositions in the Dicke or Fock basis.

Macroscopic quantum superposition states theoretically have wide-ranging applications in precision measurement [1, 2], quantum error correction [3], continuous-variable quantum communication [4], and tests of fundamental physics [5–8]. To date, Schrödinger cat states have been prepared in groundbreaking experiments with optical [9, 10] and microwave [11–13] photons and in chains of trapped ions [14, 15]. Increasingly macroscopic cat states of matter could advance the stability of atomic clocks to fundamental quantum limits [2, 16] or elucidate the interplay of quantum mechanics and gravity [5–8].

One approach to generating Schrödinger’s cat states that has been proposed in diverse contexts employs an ancilla qubit, mapping a superposition of the qubit into a superposition of two coherent states of a collective spin [17, 18] or microwave field [11–13, 19]. A challenge is that the ancilla—e.g., a photon [20] or Rydberg atom [17–19]—is generally subject to dissipation. In dissipative systems, heralded schemes [21–29] can generate highly pure non-classical states that require much stronger coupling to access deterministically [30, 31]. A particularly versatile scheme proposed by Chen et al. “carves” a many-atom entangled state from a simple initial state via a quantum non-demolition measurement with a single photon [32]. Still, the measurement fidelity is fundamentally limited by finite interaction strength relative to the photon loss rate.

Here, we propose a heralded scheme for generating macroscopic superposition states with high fidelity even at finite interaction-to-decay ratio, using only modulated laser light and a single-photon detector. Our scheme employs a photon as a “brush” for painting superpositions of coherent states at designated points in the phase space of a collective atomic spin or a mechanical oscillator. The phase space points are selected by shaping the time dependence of the photon pulse. The approach generalizes to selecting multiple points or a continuous curve in phase space, enabling full quantum control.

Our approach is illustrated in Fig. 1, where we first show how to prepare the collective spin $\mathbf{J}$ of an atomic ensemble in a superposition of two distinct orientations

![Fig. 1. Painting Schrödinger’s cat states with shaped single photons. A cat state of (a) spin or (b) motion, with phase separation $\Phi$, is generated by a photon in a superposition of two pulses separated by a time $T = \Phi/\Omega_{S/M}$. The single photon is introduced by driving a cavity with a weak coherent field. Success is heralded by detection of the photon by the single-photon counter (SPC) at the cavity output.](image-url)
The same method can generate a cat state of the motion of a mechanical oscillator initialized in its ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$. We consider a Hamiltonian

$$H_M = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_M (P^2 + X^2) - g_0 c^\dagger c X,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $X = x/x_0$ denotes the displacement normalized to the zero-point length $x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/(m\Omega_M)}$ for mass $m$ and frequency $\Omega_M$; $P$ is the conjugate momentum; and $g_0$ is the optomechanical coupling strength. If a photon enters the cavity, it displaces the equilibrium position of the oscillator by an amount $X_1 = |g_0/\Omega_M|$, and the coherent state $|\psi_0\rangle$ begins to rotate about the new equilibrium position in phase space (Fig. 1b). Thus, a photon entering at a superposition of times $t = 0$ and $t = T$, and detected at time $t_d > T$, projects the oscillator into the superposition of coherent states shown in Fig. 1b. The angular separation $\Phi = \Omega_M T$ on a circle of radius $X_1$ results in a phase-space separation $2X_1 \sin(\Phi/2)$, which can be large for $g_0 > \Omega_M$.

Imparting a well-defined phase shift $\Phi$ with a single photon requires that the photon pulse be short in time or, equivalently, broad in frequency compared to the uncertainty in the $J_z$- or $X$-dependent cavity frequency. To nevertheless obtain an appreciable heralding probability, we should drive the cavity with a coherent pulse strong enough to produce a small probability for a single photon to enter the cavity, but weak enough to avoid two-photon events. To ensure that we detect only photons that have interacted with the system, and not the reflected component of the input field, we consider a two-sided cavity driven from one end, with a detector at the far end.

In the limit of a weakly transmissive input mirror, the driving of the cavity is described by a Hamiltonian

$$H_{in}(t) = \mathcal{E}(t)c^\dagger + \mathcal{E}^*(t)c.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The conditional evolution is governed by the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $[33]

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_{in} + H_{S/M} + \left(\omega_c - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right)c^\dagger c,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $H_{S/M}$ describes the spin/mechanical system and its interaction with the intracavity light (Eq. 1 or Eq. 3); $\omega_c$ is the frequency of the bare cavity mode; and $\kappa$ is the cavity linewidth. Conditioned on transmission of exactly one photon, at time $t_d$, the final heralded state of the system is

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \langle 0|\sqrt{\kappa} \hat{T} e^{-i \int_0^{t_d} H_{\text{eff}}(t) \, dt} |0\rangle \otimes |\psi_0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state of the cavity and $\hat{T}$ is the time-ordering operator $[34]$. To analyze the conditional evolution, we let $H_n = \langle n| H_{S/M} |n\rangle$ denote the Hamiltonian projected onto the subspace with $n$ photons in the cavity. For the spin system, $H_n$ generates a precession

$$U_n(t) \equiv e^{-i H_n t} = e^{-i n \Omega_S J_z t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)

by an angle proportional to the intracavity photon number. For a mechanical oscillator, $H_n$ generates a phase-space rotation

$$U_n(t) \equiv e^{-i H_n t} = e^{-i (\Omega_m (n^2 - X_n) (x - X_n) t) - i \Omega_m X_n^2 t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)

about a point $X_n = n g_0 / \Omega_M$ that depends on photon number, where $a$ is the annihilation operator for phonons in the mechanical resonator. We assume an input field sufficiently weak that at most one photon enters the cavity ($n = 0$ or 1). In this limit, the dynamics of the mechanics are analogous to those of the spins: if the oscillator is initialized in the vacuum state, then in either system, $U_n$ generates a non-trivial rotation $U_1(\varphi/\Omega)$ if and only if there is one photon in the cavity.

Drawing on the principle of Fig. 1, we will apply these light-induced rotations to prepare target superposition states of the generic form

$$|\psi_s\rangle = \int_0^{\varphi_{\text{max}}} d\varphi f(\varphi) U_1(\varphi/\Omega) |\psi_0\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

with $\varphi_{\text{max}} \leq 2\pi$. The coefficients $f(\varphi)$ will be determined by the shape of the input pulse $\mathcal{E}(t)$. If we apply a weak input field $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_0(t) e^{-i \omega c t}$ for times $t \geq 0$, detecting a photon at time $t_d$ projects the system into a state

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \sqrt{\kappa} \int_0^{t_d} d\tau \mathcal{E}_0(t_d - \tau) e^{-\kappa \tau/2} U_1(\tau) |\psi_0\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Here, the integral is over the photon’s duration $\tau$ in the cavity. The exponential decay reflects the fact that the photon is unlikely to have entered long before detection. Comparing the heralded state $|\psi_1\rangle$ with the target state $|\psi_+\rangle$, we choose a pulse shape

$$\mathcal{E}_0(t) = \epsilon f(\varphi_{\text{max}} - \Omega t) e^{-\kappa t/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Here, $\epsilon$ parameterizes the field strength and must satisfy $\epsilon \ll \Omega$ to ensure that at most one photon interacts with the system. The exponential decay compensates for the finite cavity lifetime and hides all information about when the photon entered the cavity. Thus, the pulse shape in Eq. 10 produces a heralded state

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} \epsilon}{\Omega} e^{\kappa t_d/2} U_1(t_d - \varphi_{\text{max}}/\Omega) |\psi_+\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

equivalent to the target state $|\psi_+\rangle$ up to an overall rotation.

To produce a Schrödinger cat state, our derivation confirms that the input field should consist of a pair of short pulses. Following Eq. 10, we set...
\[ \mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\Omega}} \left[ \delta(t) + \delta(t-T)e^{i\phi} \right] e^{-i\omega_c t - \kappa t/2}. \]  

In practice, \( \delta(t) \) represents a pulse so short that the transmission amplitude for a single pulse would be independent of the system state \( (J_z \text{ or } X) \), corresponding to a bandwidth \( B \gg \Omega\sqrt{N} \) for an \( N \)-atom spin ensemble or \( B \gg g_0 \) for the mechanical oscillator. The two pulses interfere to produce a transmission amplitude that does depend on the spin or motional state due to the \( J_z \)- or \( X \)-dependent cavity dispersion [32, 34]. Conditioned on detecting a photon at a time \( t_d > T \), the system is thus projected into an equally weighted superposition of two coherent states, as in Eq. 2 with \( c_T = e^{i\phi}c_0 \).

By extension, shaping the time dependence of the input field allows for “painting” more general superpositions of coherent states, with amplitudes specified by \( f(\phi) \). Note that the description \( f(\phi) \) for a given target state is not unique, because the coherent states form an over-complete basis. As a further consequence, although the phase-space trajectory specified by \( f(\phi) \) is restricted to lie on a circle, arbitrary target states \( |\psi_x \rangle \) are accessible by painting along such a path.

To provide a recipe for full quantum control, we expand the state of the system in the basis of Dicke states \( |J_z = m \rangle \) or displaced Fock states \( |\alpha \rangle \). In the latter case, we let \( m \) denote the phonon number when the equilibrium position is displaced by a single intracavity photon by defining \( \alpha = a - X \). In the expansions

\[ |\psi_0 \rangle = \sum_m c^0_m |m \rangle, \quad |\psi_\alpha \rangle = \sum_m c^\alpha_m |m \rangle \]  

of the initial and final states, the coefficients are related by \( c^\alpha_m = c^0_m f_m \), where \( f_m = \int_0^{\infty} d\varphi f(\varphi) e^{i\varphi} \) is the Fourier transform of the weighting function \( f(\varphi) \) in Eq. 8.

Thus, to prepare \( |\psi_\alpha \rangle \), we apply an input field

\[ \mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} \sum_m c^\alpha_m c^0_m e^{-i(\omega_c + \Omega m - \mu)t - \kappa t/2} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T], \]  

where \( \mu = 0 \) for the spin case and \( \mu = X^2 \) for the mechanical oscillator. The field is applied up to time \( T = \varphi_{\text{max}} / \Omega \leq 2\pi / \Omega \), with \( \mathcal{E}(t) = 0 \) for \( t \notin [0, T] \). The target state is theoretically accessible from any initial state in which \( c^0_m \neq 0 \) whenever \( c^\alpha_m \neq 0 \).

A Dicke state \( |\psi_\alpha \rangle = |m \rangle \) offers an illuminating example. The state \( |m \rangle \) is prepared by a photon of center frequency \( \omega_c + \Omega m \) in a pulse of duration \( 2\pi / \Omega \) with decaying intensity. The frequency is chosen so that the field is transmitted through the cavity only if \( J_z = m \) [32]. The decaying intensity is best understood by considering the measurement back-action, namely, the spin rotation due to the ac Stark shift \( \Omega \). Since the Dicke state is symmetric under rotations about \( J_z \), the spin should have equal probability \( \propto |f(\varphi)|^2 \) of being rotated by any angle \( 0 < \varphi < 2\pi \), conditioned on detection of the photon. To ensure that the detection time \( t_d > 2\pi / \Omega \) provides no information about when the photon entered the cavity, the intensity of the drive must decay at rate \( \kappa \).

A Fock state of motion can be prepared similarly. When a photon enters the cavity, it exerts a force that drives the system along an arc of radius \( X_1 \) in phase space. An exponentially shaped pulse of length \( 2\pi / \Omega \) ensures that this arc is equally likely to end at any point on a circle, thus painting the circular quasiprobability distribution of a Fock state \( |m \rangle \). Choosing a center frequency \( \omega_c + \Omega (m - X_1^2) \) ensures that the photon can enter the cavity and remain there until time \( T \) only by exciting the \( m^{th} \) motional sideband.

The scheme for preparing Fock states bears a superficial resemblance to a method demonstrated in recent experiments [28, 35]. There, a single-phonons Fock states are generated by driving the optical cavity at a frequency \( \omega_c + \Omega \) and detecting only photons emitted at frequency \( \omega_e \). By contrast, the paintbrush method obviates filtering of the optical field emanating from the cavity—a technically limiting aspect in experiments to date.

Moreover, a coherent superposition \( |\psi_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c^\alpha_0 |0 \rangle + c^\alpha_1 |1 \rangle) \) can be generated with the same technique, which allows for encoding arbitrary qubit states in the oscillator. For example, to prepare the equal superposition \( |\psi_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) starting from the undisplaced vacuum, we require a drive field

\[ \mathcal{E}(t) = \epsilon A e^{-i(\omega_e - X_1^2 \Omega) t} e^{-\kappa t} \left( X_1 + e^{-i\Omega t} \right). \]  

where \( A = \epsilon X_1^2 / (2\pi \sqrt{2} X_1) \). The engineered driving is not significantly more complex than what is needed to generate a Fock state, demonstrating the versatility of the paintbrush technique.

In principle, even in a lossy cavity, shaping the input pulse according to the loss rate \( \kappa \) enables heralded preparation of arbitrary target states with perfect fidelity. In practice, the heralding rate must compete with the dark count rate of the photodetector. At the same time, the input field must be weak enough to ensure that the detected photon is the only one that has interacted with the system. To analyze this trade-off, we consider the general case where the input field is not necessarily weak.

The heralded state for arbitrary drive strength (Eq. 6) can be evaluated analytically for the spin system [34] or numerically for the oscillator. We define the success rate \( R_\alpha(t) = \langle \psi_1 | \psi_\alpha \rangle \) as the probability per unit time that a single photon is transmitted after time \( T \) and no other photons are transmitted in the same trial. In this case, the target state is prepared with fidelity \( F_\alpha = \langle \psi_\alpha | \psi_\alpha \rangle / \langle \psi_\alpha | \psi_\alpha \rangle \) in the absence of dark counts.

With increasing drive strength, \( F_\alpha \) decreases more slowly than does the success rate \( R_\alpha \), so in practice the fidelity is limited by effects of imperfect detection.
Two practical limitations are finite quantum efficiency $Q$ and the dark count rate $R_d$ of the detector. Accounting for these effects, a lower bound on the fidelity of the state heralded by a detector click at time $t > T$ is [34]

$$F_{\text{min}}(t) = \frac{F_c(t) R_s(t)}{R(t) + R_d/Q}, \quad \text{(16)}$$

where $R_s(t) = \kappa|\psi^+(t)\rangle\langle\psi^+(t)|$ is the transmission rate. In the weak drive limit, $R_t = R_s$, but $R_s$ decreases for increasing drive strength because of multi-photon events, reducing the fidelity. For spins driven by the field in Eq. 14, $R_t(t) = \kappa|\epsilon\rangle/\Omega^2 e^{-\kappa t}$, while $R_s/R_t \approx e^{-\kappa/\Omega^2}$ [34].

Figure 2(a) shows the dependence of fidelity on drive strength and dark count rate for spin cat states of angular separation $\Phi = \Omega_S T = 2\pi/3$. At low dark count rate $R_d$, the fidelity is near unity in the weak-drive limit, at the expense of a low detection rate $QR_e \propto |\epsilon|^2$. With increasing dark counts, the optimum drive strength increases, and multi-photon events begin to reduce the fidelity. High fidelity is attainable for $R_d \ll Q\kappa e^{-\kappa T}$, a condition easily satisfied if the time $T$ required to rotate the spins is not much longer than the cavity lifetime.

Finite atom-light coupling strength limits the rotation induced by a single photon [34]. Specifically, the dispersive coupling is accompanied by absorption that broadens the cavity linewidth to $\kappa_N$ for $N$ atoms, reducing the single-photon phase shift imparted within the cavity lifetime to $\Phi_c = \Omega_S/\kappa_N$. A fundamental limit $\Phi_c = \sqrt{\eta/2(N)}$ is set by the single-atom cooperativity $\eta = G^2/\Gamma$, where $G$ is the vacuum Rabi frequency and $\Gamma$ is the linewidth of the atomic transition to which the cavity couples. Rotations larger than $\Phi_c$ occur only at an exponentially decaying success rate.

The effect of finite cooperativity is illustrated in Fig. 2(b). The maximum cat size attainable with high fidelity, in units of the coherent state width, is roughly $\Phi_c\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{\eta/2}$. Yet the attainable cat size furthermore depends logarithmically on $Q\kappa/R_d$ and thus is enhanced by the fact that $Q\kappa \sim 10^{3} - 10^{4}/s$ can be orders of magnitude higher than the dark count rate. For $Q\kappa/R_d = 10^5$, a spin cat of size $\Phi\sqrt{N} = 11$ can be prepared with 95% fidelity at cooperativity $\eta = 50$.

For motional cat states generated using the double-pulse sequence in Eq. 12, the cat size is at most $\sim g_0/\Omega_M$. To produce this separation, the two pulses must have an amplitude ratio on the order of $e^{-\pi\kappa/2\Omega_M}$, leading to an exponential suppression of count rates as $\kappa$ becomes larger than $\Omega_M$. Making $\kappa$ and $\Omega_M$ approximately equal, we find that $g_0 > \kappa$ is required to generate large cat states. Small motional cat states could be prepared in current atom optomechanics experiments harnessing a Bose-Einstein condensate as a low-mass oscillator to achieve $g_0 \approx \kappa$ [36]. Figure 3 shows figures of merit (red curves) for generating a state separated by three times the coherent-state width (Wigner function in Fig. 3.i) with $\kappa_N = g_0 = 8\Omega_M$ [34].
lar states in other demonstrated optomechanical systems, such as membrane-in-the-middle \([37, 38]\) (\(X_2^2 \sim 10^{-9}\)) or superfluid resonators \([39]\) (\(X_2^2 \sim 10^{-10}\)), may also be possible if dark counts can be sufficiently suppressed.

We have demonstrated how to prepare arbitrary target states of spin or motion using a robust single-photon heralding scheme. A weak, time-shaped coherent pulse of light enables high-fidelity preparation of non-classical states even in the presence of photon loss. The generation of cat states can be made more deterministic by driving an ancilla qubit to emit a single time-shaped photon into the cavity. Extended to multiple spatially separated cavities, the painting scheme could generate long-distance entangled states in quantum networks \([40]\).
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