

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

No Thermalization without Correlations Dmitry V. Zhdanov, Denys I. Bondar, and Tamar Seideman Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 170402 — Published 24 October 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.170402

No thermalization without correlations

Dmitry V. Zhdanov,^{1,*} Denys I. Bondar,² and Tamar Seideman¹

¹Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

²Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

The proof of the long-standing conjecture is presented that Markovian quantum master equations are at odds with quantum thermodynamics under conventional assumptions of fluctuationdissipation theorems (implying a translation invariant dissipation). Specifically, except for identified systems, persistent system-bath correlations of at least one kind, spatial or temporal, are obligatory for thermalization. A systematic procedure is proposed to construct translation invariant bath models producing steady states that well-approximate thermal states. A quantum optical scheme for the laboratory assessment of the developed procedure is outlined.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{p}_n \rangle = - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_n} U(\hat{x}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{F}_n^{\text{fr}} \right\rangle, \tag{1a}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle \hat{x}_n \rangle = \frac{1}{m_n} \langle \hat{p}_n \rangle, \tag{1b}$$

⁹ where $U(\hat{x})$ is a potential energy operator and m_k are ¹⁰ effective masses. In this Letter, we study the case where $\hat{F}^{\text{fr}} = \hat{F}^{\text{fr}}(\hat{p})$ is position-independent. In this form, 11 ¹² Eqs. (1) apply to many quantum phenomena including the translational motion of an excited atom in vacuum 13 [1], light-driven processes in semiconductor, nanoplas-14 monic and optomechanical systems [2–4], superconduct-15 ¹⁶ ing currents [5], quantum ratchets [6], energy transport ¹⁷ in low-dimensional systems [7], dynamics of chemical re-¹⁸ actions [8], two-dimensional vibrational spectroscopy and ¹⁹ NMR signals [9, 10] as well as more exotic entirely quantum dissipative effects [11, 12]. 20

The term $\hat{F}^{\text{fr}}(\hat{p})$ in Eqs. (1) admits a simple classi-21 cal interpretation as friction acting on effective parti-22 cles moving in a potential $U(\mathbf{x})$. Such classical dynam-23 ics are described by the familiar Langevin, Drude and 24 Fokker-Plank models when the system-bath interactions 25 are treated as (i) memoryless (Markovian) and (ii) trans-26 ²⁷ lation invariant (position-independent). However, we will show that these two assumptions are at odds with 28 ²⁹ guantum thermodynamics. Specifically, we will prove ³⁰ a long-standing no-go conjecture that completely positive¹ Markovian translation-invariant quantum dynamics 31 obeying Eqs. (1) cannot thermalize. 32

The no-go conjecture was demonstrated by Lindblad 33 as early as in 1976 [14] for a quantum harmonic oscil-³⁵ lator with a Gaussian damping². Subsequently his par-

Introduction. A stochastic interaction of a quantum 36 ticular result was extended to a general quantum sys-6 system with a bath brings up the term \hat{F}^{fr} in the re- 37 tem under the weight of mounting numerical evidence, 7 lations for time-dependent expectation values of system 38 however without proof. The no-go conjecture is de-facto * momenta $\hat{p} = \{\hat{p}_1, \ldots, \hat{p}_N\}$ and positions $\hat{x} = \{\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_N\}$: ³⁹ incorporated in all popular models such as the Red-40 field theory [17], the Gaussian phase space ansatz of ⁴¹ Yan and Mukamel [18], the master equations of Agar-⁴² wal [19], Caldeira-Leggett [20], Hu-Paz-Zhang [21], and ⁴³ Louisell/Lax [22], and the semigroup theory of Lindblad ⁴⁴ [23] along with specialized extensions in different areas of physics and chemistry. These models break either one 45 46 of assumptions (i) and (ii) or the complete positivity of ⁴⁷ quantum evolution (see [13, 24, 25] for detailed reviews, ⁴⁸ note errata [26]). This circumstance is a persistent source ⁴⁹ of controversies (see e.g. the discussions [27–29] of origi-⁵⁰ nal works [30, 31]). The matters were further complicated ⁵¹ by the discovery that the free Brownian motion $U(\hat{x})=0$ ⁵² circumvents the conjecture [32] (we will identify the full ⁵³ scope of possible exceptions below).

> The no-go result challenges studies of the long-time dy-⁵⁵ namics of open systems. On the one hand, model's ther-56 modynamic consistency is undermined by assumptions ⁵⁷ (i) and (ii). On other hand, the same assumptions open 58 opportunities to simulate large systems that are other-⁵⁹ wise beyond the reach. Specifically, the abandonment 60 of Markovianity entails a substantial overhead to store ⁶¹ and process the evolution history. The value of assump-⁶² tion (ii) can be clarified by the following example. Con-63 sider the re-thermalization of a harmonic oscillator cou-⁶⁴ pled to a bath (represented by a collection of harmonic 65 oscillators) after displacement from equilibrium by, e.g., 66 an added external field, a varied system-bath coupling, 67 or interactions between parts of a compound system. 68 To account for such a displacement without assump-⁶⁹ tion (ii), one needs to self-consistently identify the equi-⁷⁰ librium position for each bath oscillator, re-thermalize ⁷¹ the bath and modify the system-bath couplings accord-72 ingly. In practice, this procedure is intractable with-73 out gross approximations that lead to either numerical 74 instabilities or physical inaccuracies. Choosing among 75 a polaron-transformation-based method, Redfield, and 76 Förster (hopping) models of quantum transfer epitomizes 77 this dilemma [33].

> Remarkably, assumption (ii) enables to model the dis-78 79 placed state equilibrium by simply adjusting the po-

dm.zhdanov@gmail.com

¹ Positivity of quantum evolution guarantees satisfaction of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle at all times. It was shown that the requirements for positivity and complete positivity coincide for some quantum systems including a harmonic oscillator [13].

² The Gaussian damping corresponds to $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}} = \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{lbd}}_{\mu \hat{x} + \eta \hat{p}} \ (\mu, \eta \in$ \mathbb{C}^N) in Eq. (2a) and can be cast to form (3), as shown in Sec. I of Ref. [15]). The original paper [14] deals with one-dimensional case. The multidimensional extension can be found e.g. in [16].



The errors (expressed in the terms of Bures Figure 1. distance D_{B} between the thermal state $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\mathrm{th}}$ and its approximation $\hat{\rho}_{st}$) in modeling thermal states of a 1D quantum ¹¹⁶ where κ_k and μ_{aux} are N-dimensional real vectors, \tilde{f}_k are tions (due to a change $U(\hat{x}) \rightarrow U(\hat{x} - \Delta x_0)$ in the potential energy) using the conventional quantum optical master equation (dashed lines) and the proposed translation-invariant dissipation model defined by Eqs. (2),(3) and (11) (solid lines). (a) The error dependence on displacement Δx_0 for several temperatures θ . (b) The error dependence on temperature θ for different values of κ (in units of $\kappa_0 = \hbar^{-1} \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$).

 $_{\infty}$ tential energy \hat{U} . Fig. 1a shows that without this as-⁸¹ sumption the potential adjustment yields steady state $_{\rm s2}$ $\hat{\rho}_{\rm st}$ significantly different from the canonical equilibrium $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}} \propto e^{-\frac{\hat{H}}{\theta}}$, where $\theta = k_{\text{B}}T$ and \hat{H} is system Hamiltonian. 83 Motivated by these arguments, we propose in this Let-84 ⁸⁵ ter a general recipe to construct approximately thermal-⁸⁶ izable bath models under assumptions (i) and (ii). Fig. 1 ⁸⁷ illustrates this recipe in application to the above exam-⁸⁸ ple. The resulting mismatch between $\hat{\rho}_{st}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{th}$ is small, ⁸⁹ especially at high temperatures and in the weak system-⁹⁰ bath coupling limit. (The calculations details will be ex-91 plained below.)

92 degrees of freedom. We found it helpful in reservoir en-94 ⁹⁶ resulting bath models are realizable in the laboratory and can be used for coupling atoms and molecules nonrecipro-97 cally [34]. However, the scope of our recipe is limited by 98 the applicability of assumptions (i) and (ii) and, there-99 ¹⁰⁰ fore, cannot encompass strongly correlated systems (as in the case of Anderson localization [35]). 101

The key results. Starting by formalizing the problem, 102 ¹⁰³ we write the general master equation that accounts for ¹⁰⁴ memoryless system-bath interactions and ensures posi-105 tivity of the system density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ at all times [23]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\rho} = \mathscr{L}[\hat{\rho}], \ \mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_0 + \mathscr{L}_{rel},$$
 (2a)

$$\mathscr{L}_{0}[\odot] = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\odot, \hat{H}], \ \hat{H} = H(\hat{p}, \hat{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_{n}^{2}}{2m_{n}} + U(\hat{x}), \ (2b)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm rel} = \sum_{k=1} \mathscr{L}_{\hat{L}_k}^{\rm lbd}, \quad \mathscr{L}_{\hat{L}}^{\rm lbd}[\hat{\rho}] \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \hat{L} \hat{\rho} \hat{L}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{L}^{\dagger} \hat{L} \hat{\rho} + \hat{\rho} L^{\dagger} \hat{L}), \quad (2c)$$

106 where \odot is the substitution symbol defined, e.g., in [36]. $_{107}$ The superoperator $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}}$ accounts for system-bath cou-

¹⁰⁸ plings responsible for the friction term \hat{F}^{fr} in Eq. (1a) ¹⁰⁹ and depends on a set of generally non-Hermitian oper-¹¹⁰ ators \hat{L}_k . Based on theorems by A. Holevo [37, 38], B. ¹¹¹ Vacchini [39–41] has identified the following criterion of ¹¹² translational invariance for the \mathscr{L}_{rel} :

¹¹³ Lemma 1 (The justification is in Sec. I of Ref. [15]). ¹¹⁴ Any translationally invariant superoperator \mathscr{L}_{rel} of the ¹¹⁵ Lindblad form (2c) can be represented as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{rel}} = \sum_{k} \mathscr{L}_{\hat{A}_{k}}^{\text{lbd}} + \mathscr{L}_{\text{aux}} \text{ with}$$
 (3a)

$$\hat{A}_{k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-i\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{k}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}} \tilde{f}_{k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{aux}} = -i[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{aux}}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + f_{\text{aux}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}), \odot]. \quad (3b)$$

harmonic oscillator in the displaced equilibrium configura- 117 complex-valued functions and f_{aux} is real-valued³. The ¹¹⁸ converse holds as well.

> The primary findings of this work are summarized in 119 ¹²⁰ the following two no-go theorems.

> ¹²¹ No-go theorem 1. Let $|\Psi_0\rangle$ be the ground state (or ¹²² any other eigenstate of \hat{H}), such that $\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{p} | \Psi_0 \rangle = 0$, 123 and which momentum-space wavefunction $\Psi_0(\boldsymbol{p}) = \langle \boldsymbol{p} | \Psi_0 \rangle$ 124 is nonzero almost everywhere, except for some isolated 125 points. Then, no translationally invariant Markovian ¹²⁶ process of form (2) and (3) can steer the system to $|\Psi_0\rangle$.

> The idea of the proof, whose details are given 128 in Sec. II of Ref. [15], is to show that the state $_{129} \hat{\rho}_0 = |\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0|$ can be the fixed point of superopera-¹³⁰ tor $e^{t\mathscr{L}}$ only if $\mathscr{L}_{rel} \equiv 0$. First, note that the linearity ¹³¹ and translation invariance of the dissipator (3) imply 132 that $\mathscr{L}_{rel}[\int g(\boldsymbol{x}')e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{x}'\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}\hat{\rho}_0e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\boldsymbol{x}'\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}}d^N\boldsymbol{x}']=0$ for any function ¹³³ $g(\mathbf{x}')$. This equation can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm rel}[\Psi_0(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})g(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}})\Psi_0(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})^{\dagger}]=0 \tag{4}$$

It will be shown elsewhere that the proposed recipe is $_{134}$ using the identities $e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \boldsymbol{x}' \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}} |\Psi_0\rangle = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar} \Psi_0(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) |\boldsymbol{x}'\rangle$ and ⁹³ capable of accurately accounting for electronic and spin ¹³⁵ $\int g(\mathbf{x}') |\mathbf{x}'\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}'| d^N \mathbf{x}' = g(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$, where $|\mathbf{x}'\rangle$ is the eigenstate 136 of position operator: $\hat{x}_k | \boldsymbol{x}' \rangle = x'_k | \boldsymbol{x}' \rangle$. Let us choose gineering and optimal control problems. Moreover, the $_{137} g(x) = e^{-i\lambda x}$, where λ is an arbitrary real vector, and 138 move to the right the \hat{x} -dependent terms in the lhs 139 of Eq. (4) using the commutation relations $e^{-i\tilde{\lambda}\hat{x}}\hat{p}$ = 140 $(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}+\hbar\tilde{\lambda})e^{-i\tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}}$ with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=\boldsymbol{\lambda},\pm\boldsymbol{\kappa}_k.$ This rearrangement ¹⁴¹ brings Eq. (4) to the form $\tilde{G}_{\lambda}(\hat{p})e^{-i\lambda\hat{x}}=0$ (note that all ¹⁴² the operators of form $e^{\pm i\tilde{\kappa}_k\hat{x}}$ expectedly cancel out ow-¹⁴³ ing to translation invariance of \mathscr{L}_{rel}). The last equality 144 can be satisfied only if the function $G_{\lambda}(p)$ vanishes iden-145 tically for all p and λ . However, careful inspection of ¹⁴⁶ Sec. II of Ref. [15] shows that the latter happens only if 147 $\mathscr{L}_{rel}=0.$

> The statement of the 1-st no-go theorem can be ¹⁴⁹ strengthened for a special class of quantum systems. Let

³ The Gaussian dissipators $\mathscr{L}_{\mu_k \hat{x} + \tilde{f}_k^G(\hat{p})}^{\text{lbd}} (\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^N)$ can be reduced to the form Eq. (3) as a limiting case $\kappa_k \to 0$, as shown in Sec. I of Ref. [15]. The generalized unitary drift term \mathcal{L}_{aux} accounts for ambiguity of the separation of the quantum Liouvillian $\mathcal L$ in Eq. (2a) into Hamiltonian and relaxation parts.

¹⁵⁰ $B(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ be the Blokhintsev function [42], which is related ¹⁹⁰ ¹⁵¹ to Wigner quasiprobability distribution $W(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{x})$ as

$$B(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{x}} W(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{x}) \,\mathrm{d}^{N} \boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (5)

¹⁵² No-go theorem 2. Suppose that the Blokhintsev func-153 tion $B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of the thermal state $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\mathrm{th}} \propto e^{-\frac{\hat{H}}{\theta}}$ characterized ¹⁵⁴ by temperature $k_{\rm B}T = \theta$ is such that

$$\forall \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} : B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) > 0, \quad B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{p}, -\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad (6a)$$

$$\forall \boldsymbol{p} \neq \boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \neq \boldsymbol{0} : B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) < B_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{0}).$$
(6b)

¹⁵⁵ Then, no translationally invariant Markovian process (2) ¹⁵⁶ and (3) can asymptotically steer the system to $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}}$.

The proof of this theorem is given in Sec. III of 157 ¹⁵⁸ Ref. [15] and generally follows the same logic as the out-¹⁵⁹ lined proof of the 1-st no-go theorem. Using Eq. (5) and 160 the familiar formula for the thermal state Wigner func-¹⁶¹ tion [43], it is easy to check that the criteria (6) are satis-¹⁶² fied for any θ in the case of a quadratic potential U. This ¹⁶³ means that the Lindblad's original conclusion on inabil-¹⁶⁴ ity to thermalize the damped harmonic oscillator using 165 the Gaussian friction term $\mathscr{L}_{rel} = \mathscr{L}_{\mu \hat{x} + \eta \hat{p}}^{lbd}$ is equally valid 166 for all Markovian translationally invariant dissipators.

¹⁶⁷ Corollary 2.1. No translationally invariant Markovian ¹⁶⁸ process of form (2) and (3) can steer the quantum har-¹⁶⁹ monic oscillator into a thermal state of form $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}} \propto e^{-\frac{\hat{H}}{\theta}}$.

Practical implications of the no-go theorems. In clas-170 171 sical thermodynamics, the bath is understood as a 172 constant-temperature heat tank "unaware" of a system ¹⁷³ of interest. However, the no-go theorems indicate that 174 system-bath correlations of at least one kind – spa-175 tial or temporal – become obligatory for thermalization ¹⁷⁶ once quantum mechanical effects are taken into account. 177 These correlations break the bath translation invariance or Markovianity assumptions, respectively. 178

Nevertheless, in the view of computational advantages 179 180 outlined above, it is desirable to incorporate these as-¹⁸¹ sumptions into the master equations (2) and (3). Now we 182 are going to introduce the recipe to construct such mod-183 els with a minimal error in the thermal state. In order 184 to proceed, note that in the limit $(\hbar \kappa_k)^2 \ll \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle$ Eqs. (2) ¹⁸⁵ and (3) reduce to the familiar Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\,\varpi(\boldsymbol{p})\simeq\operatorname{Tr}[\delta(\boldsymbol{p}-\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})\mathcal{L}_{0}[\hat{\rho}]]+}{\sum_{n,l}\frac{\partial^{2}D_{n,l}(\boldsymbol{p})\varpi(\boldsymbol{p})}{\partial p_{n}\partial p_{l}}-\sum_{n}\frac{\partial F_{n}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\boldsymbol{p})\varpi(\boldsymbol{p})}{\partial p_{n}}$$
(7)

distribution momentum probability 186 for the 187 $\varpi(\boldsymbol{p}) = \operatorname{Tr}[\delta(\boldsymbol{p} - \hat{\boldsymbol{p}})\hat{\rho}]$. The friction forces $\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ in Eq. (7) $_{188}$ as well as Eq. (1a) have the form

$$\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) = -\sum_{k} \hbar \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{k} |\tilde{f}_{k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})|^{2}, \qquad (8)$$

189 whereas the momentum-dependent diffusion operator is

$$D_{n,l}(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_k |\tilde{f}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})|^2 \kappa_{k,n} \kappa_{k,l}.$$
 (9)

Equations (8) and (9) can be satisfied by different sets ¹⁹¹ of κ_k and $f_k(p)$. We will exploit this non-uniqueness ¹⁹² to reduce the system-bath correlation errors. Our strat-¹⁹³ egy is reminiscent to the familiar way of making den-¹⁹⁴ sity functional calculations practical via error cancella-¹⁹⁵ tion in approximated exchange-correlation functionals. We shall demonstrate the generic procedure by consid-196 ¹⁹⁷ ering a one-dimensional oscillator with the Hamiltonian ¹⁹⁸ $\hat{H} = \frac{m}{2}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}\hat{x}^2$ (here the dimension subscript *n* is omit-¹⁹⁹ ted for brevity). Corollary 2.1 implies that $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}}[\hat{\rho}^{\mathrm{th}}_{\theta}] \neq 0$ ²⁰⁰ and $\hat{\rho}_{\rm st} \neq \hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\rm th}$ for any θ , where $\hat{\rho}_{\rm st} = \hat{\rho}|_{t \to \infty}$ is the actual ²⁰¹ fixed point of the evolution operator $e^{t\mathcal{L}}$. However, the ²⁰² net discrepancies can be reduced by imposing the follow-²⁰³ ing thermal population conserving constraint:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle e^{-\alpha \hat{H}} \right\rangle_{\theta} \Big|_{t=0} = 0; \ \left| \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left\langle e^{-\alpha \hat{H}} \right\rangle_{\theta} \right|_{t=0} \to \min \text{ for all } \alpha,$$
(10)

²⁰⁴ where $\langle \odot \rangle_{\theta}(t) = \text{Tr}[\odot e^{t\mathscr{L}}[\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}}]]$. This constraint can be ²⁰⁵ intuitively justified when the characteristic decay rates 206 are much smaller than the typical transition frequen-207 cies, such that the dissipation can be treated per-²⁰⁸ turbatively. Since the term $\mathscr{L}_{rel}[\hat{\rho}^{th}_{\theta}]$ generates only 209 rapidly oscillating off-diagonal elements in the basis $_{210}$ of H, Eq. (10) ensures that the first-order perturba-²¹¹ tion vanishes on average for the exact thermal state: ²¹² $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t e^{\tau \mathscr{L}_0} \mathscr{L}_{rel} e^{(t-\tau)\mathscr{L}_0} [\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{th}] d\tau = 0.$ ²¹³ In the case of the driftless dissipation $\mathscr{L}_{aux} = 0$, Eq. (10)

²¹⁴ is satisfied by the following functions $f_k(p)$ in Eq. (3):

$$\tilde{f}_k(p) = c_k e^{p\beta\hbar\lambda_k}, \quad \lambda_k = \kappa_k \tanh(\frac{\hbar\omega}{4\theta}), \quad (11)$$

²¹⁵ where $\beta = (m\hbar\omega)^{-1}$ and the constants c_k should be cho-²¹⁶ sen to satisfy Eq. (8). The corresponding dissipator (3) ²¹⁷ reproduces the familiar microphysical model of quantum ²¹⁸ Brownian motion (see e.g. Eq. (16) in Ref. [32]) in the ²¹⁹ limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0, \omega \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, the resulting dynamics ²²⁰ tends to decrease (increase) the average system energy $_{221}$ $\langle \hat{H} \rangle_{\theta}$ if its initial temperature θ' is higher (lower) than θ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \hat{H} \right\rangle_{\theta'} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{c_k^2}{\omega} \tilde{\gamma}_k^{\text{en}}(\theta', \theta) \left(\left\langle \hat{H} \right\rangle_{\theta} - \left\langle \hat{H} \right\rangle_{\theta'} \right) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad (12)$$

²²² where $\tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{\mathrm{en}}(\theta',\theta) = 2\omega\beta\hbar^{2}\kappa_{k}\lambda_{k}\exp\left(\beta\hbar^{2}\lambda_{k}^{2}\mathrm{coth}(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2\theta'})\right) > 0.$

Equation (12) suggests that $\hat{\rho}_{st}$ is close to $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{th}$. This 224 conclusion is supported by the simulations presented in ²²⁵ Fig. 2a for the isotropic dissipator $\mathscr{L}_{rel} = \mathscr{B}_{\kappa} \tilde{f}_{iso}$,

$$\mathscr{B}_{\kappa,\tilde{f}^{\mathrm{iso}}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{lbd}}_{\hat{A}^+} + \mathscr{L}^{\mathrm{lbd}}_{\hat{A}^-}, \quad \hat{A}^{\pm} = e^{\mp i\kappa\hat{x}} \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{iso}}(\pm \hat{p}).$$
(13)

²²⁶ One can see that the high-quality thermalization is read-²²⁷ ily achieved by tuning the free parameters c_k and κ_k even ²²⁹ in the strong dissipation regime.

To understand the result (11), note that the terms 230 $_{231} \mathscr{L}^{\text{lbd}}_{\hat{A}_k}$ in Eq. (3) represent independent statistical forces $_{232} \langle -\hbar \kappa_k | \tilde{f}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) |^2 \rangle$ contributing to the net friction $\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{\text{fr}} \rangle$. In 233 classical mechanics, such forces at $\theta=0$ steer the system



The dashed curves correspond to the case of functions $\tilde{f}^{iso}(p)_{278}$ of form $\mathscr{L}_{rel} = \mathscr{B}_{\kappa, \tilde{\sigma}^{iso}}$. Here approximated by Eq. (16) with parameters \tilde{c}_i chosen such that $\frac{\partial^l}{\partial p^l} (\tilde{f}^{iso}(p) - \tilde{g}^{iso}(p)) \Big|_{p=0} = 0 \text{ for } l=0,1,2.$ (b) The Doppler cooling setup to test the model (2), (3) in the laboratory.

235 menta, hence

$$\tilde{f}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) = 0$$
 when $\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_k < 0$ (classical mechanics). (14)

237 Eq. (14) introduces significant errors, as displayed by dot- 287 orem. The net result is a velocity-dependent radiation 238 ted curves in Fig. 2a. Thus, the "endothermic" tails of 288 pressure with vanishing fluctuations. The opposite case $_{239}$ $\tilde{f}_k(\hat{p})$ at $p\kappa_k > 0$ break the thermalization in the classi- $_{289}$ of large $\hbar |\kappa| \gg \sqrt{\langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle}$ and small $\tilde{g}^{iso}(p)^2$ is the strong shot 240 cal case, but reduce errors in the quantum mechanical 290 noise limit, where the stochastic character of light ab-241 treatment. To clarify this counterintuitive observation, 291 sorption is no longer averaged out, notably perturbing $_{242}$ note that the physical requirement $\frac{d}{dt}\langle \ddot{O}\rangle_{\theta} = 0$ for any $_{292}$ the thermal state. Note that a similar interpretation ap-²⁴³ observable \hat{O} in the thermodynamic equilibrium $\hat{\rho}_{st} = \hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{th}$ ²⁹³ plies to quantum statistical forces in Ref. [47]. $_{244}$ is violated by the master equations (2) and (3) due to 245 the no-go theorems, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \hat{x}_n^2 \rangle_\theta \bigg|_{t=0} = \hbar^2 \sum_k \left\langle \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{p}_n} \, \tilde{f}_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \right|^2 \right\rangle_\theta \bigg|_{t=0} > 0 \qquad (15)$$

²⁴⁶ in the driftless case $\mathscr{L}_{aux}=0$. The inequality (15) pro-²⁴⁷ vides further evidence for the no-go theorems and is the ²⁴⁸ hallmark of the "position diffusion", a known artifact in ²⁴⁹ the quantum theory of Brownian motion [41].

According to Eq. (15), $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{\theta} \Big|_{t=0}$ is sensitive to 250 ²⁵¹ smoothness of $\tilde{f}_k(\boldsymbol{p})$. Specifically, the rhs of Eq. (15) 252 is exploded by any highly oscillatory components of 253 $f_k(p)$ and diverges if $f_k(p)$ is discontinuous. This en-²⁵⁴ tirely quantum effect is the origin of poor performance ²⁵⁵ of the clipped solutions (14) seen in Fig. 2a. Equa-²⁵⁶ tion (15) uncovers unavoidable errors in the poten- $_{311}$ zero temperature and $\Delta x_0 \sim 0.1 \hbar \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\theta \sim \hbar \omega$. For low-²⁵⁷ tial energy. The optimal solutions (11) enforce error $_{312}$ frequency molecular vibrational modes ($m \sim 10^4$ atomic ²⁵⁸ cancellation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \rangle_{\theta} \Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle U(\hat{x}) \rangle_{\theta} \Big|_{t=0}$ between ki- ³¹³ units, $\omega \sim 200 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$), these shifts are of order 0.4 Å and ²⁵⁹ netic and potential energies leaving the total energy in- ³¹⁴ 0.04 Å, respectively, which are in the range of typical $_{260}$ tact $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \hat{H} \rangle_{\theta} \Big|_{t=0} = 0$. In fact, the error cancellation is $_{315}$ molecular geometry changes due to optical excitations

²⁶¹ achieved with a large class of physically feasible func-262 tions $f_k(\mathbf{p})$ that may substantially differ from the solu-²⁶³ tions (11) everywhere but the region of high probability 264 density $\varpi(p) = \operatorname{Tr}[\delta(\hat{p}-p)\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}}]$ (however, note the remark ²⁶⁵ in Sec. IV of Ref. [15]). This is illustrated in Fig. 2a by ²⁶⁶ dashed curves overlapping with solid curves.

The master equations (2) and (3) provide accurate ²⁶⁸ non-perturbative description of collisions with a back-²⁶⁹ ground gas of atoms or photons [4, 40, 44–46]. Hence, ²⁷⁰ the above theoretical conclusions can be directly tested ²⁷¹ in the laboratory using well-developed techniques, e.g., Figure 2. (a) The accuracy of thermalization of the harmonic 272 the setup shown in Fig. 2b. Here a two-level atom is oscillator at $\theta=0$ by the dissipator $\mathscr{L}_{rel}=\Gamma\mathscr{B}_{\kappa,\tilde{f}}$ iso as function 273 subject to two orthogonally polarized counterpropagatof κ and Γ . The solid curves show the Bures distance $D_{\rm B}$ be- 274 ing monochromatic nonsaturating laser fields of the same tween the thermal state $\hat{\rho}_{\theta}^{\text{th}}$ and its approximation $\hat{\rho}_{\text{st}}$ for the 275 amplitude \mathscr{C} and frequency ω_{l} . We show in Sec. IV of case $\tilde{f}^{\rm iso}(p)$ defined by Eq. (11) with $c = \omega/\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{\rm en}(0,0)}$. The 276 Ref. [15] that the translational motion of the atom can dotted curves represent the clipped versions (14) of $\tilde{f}^{iso}(p)$. 277 be modeled using Eq. (2) with an isotropic friction term

$$\kappa = \frac{\omega_1}{c}, \quad \tilde{g}^{\text{iso}}(p) = \tilde{c}_1 (\tilde{c}_2^2 + (p - \tilde{c}_3)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{c}_k \in \mathbb{R}$$
(16)

²⁷⁹ and the parameters \tilde{c}_k can be tuned by \mathscr{C} and ω_l .

Now we are ready to clarify why the deviations from 280 $_{234}$ to the state of rest by acting against the particles' mo- $_{281}$ canonical equilibrium increase with $|\kappa|$ in Fig. 2a. The ²⁸² parameters $\hbar |\kappa|$ and $\tilde{g}^{iso}(p)^2$ in Eq. (16) can be regarded 283 as the change of atomic momentum after absorption of 284 a photon and the absorption rate. The case of small $_{285} \hbar |\kappa| \ll \sqrt{\langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle}$ and large $\tilde{q}^{iso}(p)^2$ implies tiny and frequent 236 However, clipping the functions (11) according to 286 momentum exchanges subject to the central limit the-

> The dissipative model (2) and (3) with optimized pa-294 ²⁹⁵ rameters (11) is further analyzed in Fig. 1 using the same ²⁹⁶ parameters as in Fig. 2a. Both Figs. 1 and 2a indicate 297 that thermalization can be modeled for a wide range ²⁹⁸ of recoil momenta $\hbar \kappa \in (-(\hbar \sqrt{\beta})^{-1}, (\hbar \sqrt{\beta})^{-1})$ and the ²⁹⁹ higher the temperature, the better the accuracy. Thus, ³⁰⁰ Eqs. (8) and (9) enable to simulate a variety of velocity dependences of friction and diffusion.

> Finally, Fig. 1a benchmarks such simulations against 302 303 the commonly used quantum optical master equa-³⁰⁴ tion (QOME) [48] defined by Éq. (2c) with K=2, ³⁰⁵ $\hat{L}_1=\sqrt{2\Gamma\omega}(1-e^{-\frac{\hbar\omega}{\theta}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}, \hat{L}_2=\sqrt{2\Gamma\omega}(e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\theta}}-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{a}^{\dagger}$, where $_{306}$ \hat{a} is the harmonic oscillator annihilation operator. For 307 a correct comparison, the parameters of both models $_{308}$ are adjusted to ensure identical decay rates in Eq. (12). ³⁰⁹ Systematic errors in our model and QOME are compa-³¹⁰ rable for the equilibrium displacements $\Delta x_0 \sim \hbar \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ at

316 or liquid environments. We found the displacement- 324 $_{317}$ independent errors in the model (2) and (3) to be very ³¹⁸ important for quantum control via reservoir engineering. ³¹⁹ Furthermore, the same feature can also be exploited for 320 engineering the mechanical analogs of nonreciprocal opti-321 cal couplings [49] and energy-efficient molecular quantum ³²² heat machines [34]. These subjects will be explored in a 323 forthcoming publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank to Alexander Eisfeld for valuable discus-325 ³²⁶ sions and drawing our attention to Refs. [37–41]. We 327 are grateful to the anonymous referees for important sug-₃₂₈ gestions and insightful critic. T. S. and D. V. Zh. thank 329 the National Science Foundation (Award number CHEM-330 1012207 to T. S.) for support. D. I. B. is supported by 331 AFOSR Young Investigator Research Program (FA9550-332 16-1-0254).

- [1] M. Sonnleitner, N. Trautmann, and S. M. Bar- 380 333 nett, "Will a decaying atom feel a friction force?" 334 Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 053601 (2017). 335
- [2] A. Auffèves, D. Gerace, M. Richard, S. Portolan, M. F. 336 Santos, L. C. Kwek, and C. Miniatura, Strong Light-337 matter Coupling: From Atoms to Solid-state Systems 338 (World Scientific, 2013). 339
- G. J. Milburn and D.F. Walls, *Quantum optics* (Springer, 387 [3] 340 2008). 341
- [4] A. Barchielli and В. Vacchini, "Quantum 342 langevin equations for optomechanical systems," 343 New J. Phys. 17, 083004 (2015). 344
- [5] R. F. O'Connell, "Dissipative and fluctuation phe-345 346 nomena in quantum mechanics with applications," Int. J. Quantum Chem. 58, 569–581 (1996). 347
- P. Reimann, "Brownian motors: noisy transport far from 395 6 348 equilibrium," Physics Reports 361, 57-265 (2002). 349
- A. Dhar, "Heat transport in low-dimensional systems," $\left[7\right]$ 350 Adv. Phys. 57, 457–537 (2008). 351
- O. Kuhn, Y. Zhao, F. Shuang, and Y. Yan, "Generalized 399 [8] 352 quantum fokkerplanck theory and its application to laser 400 353 driven intramolecular hydrogen transfer reactions in con-354 densed phases," J. Chem. Phys. 112, 6104–6112 (2000). 355
- T. Steffen and Y. Tanimura, "Two-dimensional spec-356 [9] troscopy for harmonic vibrational modes with nonlin-357 ear system-bath interactions. i. gaussian-white case," 358 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 3115-3132 (2000). 359
- Y. Tanimura, "Stochastic liouville, langevin, fokker-[10]360 planck, and master equation approaches to quantum dis-361
- sipative systems," J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 082001 (2006). 362 Y. Rezek, "Reflections on friction in quantum mechan-[11] 363
- ics," Entropy 12, 1885–1901 (2010). 364 Kardar 365 [12]М. and R. Golestanian. "The 'fric-
- fluctuation- 413 tion of vacuum. and other 366 induced forces," Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 1233–1245, 367 arXiv:cond-mat/9711071. 368
- [13]D. Kohen, C. C. Marston, and D. J. Tannor, "Phase 369 space approach to theories of quantum dissipation," 370 J. Chem. Phys. 107, 5236 (1997). 371
- G. Lindblad, "Brownian motion of a quantum harmonic 419 [14]372 oscillator," Rep. Math. Phys. 10, 393–406 (1976). 373
- See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by 421 374 [15]publisher] for proofs of the no-go theorems and detailed 422 [32] B. 375 analysis of the Doppler cooling setup. 376
- "Quan-V. Dodonov and O. V. 377 [16]V. Manko. field," tum damped oscillator in a magnetic 378
- Physica A 130, 353–366 (1985). 379

- [17] A. G. Redfield, "On the theory of relaxation processes," IBM Journal of Research and Development 1, 19–31 381 (1957).382
- 383 [18] Yi J. Y. and S. Mukamel, "Electronic dephasvibrational relaxation, and solvent 384 ing, friction in molecular nonlinear optical line shapes," 385 J. Chem. Phys. 89, 5160–5176 (1988). 386
- [19] G. S. Agarwal, "Brownian motion of a quantum oscilla-388 tor," Phys. Rev. A 4, 739–747 (1971).
- [20]A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, "Path in-389 tegral approach to quantum brownian motion," 390 Physica A **121**, 587–616 (1983). 391
- [21] G. W. Ford and R. F. O'Connell, "Limita-392 393 tions on the utility of exact master equations," Annals of Physics **319**, 348–363 (2005). 394
- [22]W. H. Louisell and L. R. Walker, "Densityoperator theory of harmonic oscillator relaxation," 396 Phys. Rev. 137, B204–B211 (1965). 397
- G. Lindblad, "On the generators of quantum dynamical [23]398 semigroups," Comm. Math. Phys. 48, 119–130 (1976).
- Y. Yan, F. Shuang, R. Xu, J. Cheng, X. Q. Li, [24]and H. Zhang, "Unified approach to the C. Yang, 401 402 blochredfield theory and quantum fokkerplanck equations," J. Chem. Phys. 113, 2068–2078 (2000). 403
- [25]D. I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, A. Campos, S. Mukamel, and 404 H. A. Rabitz, "Wigner-lindblad equations for quantum 405 friction," J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7, 1632 (2016). 406
- 407 [26] D. I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, A. Campos, S. Mukamel, and H. A. Rabitz, "Errata in "wigner-lindblad equations for 408 quantum friction," to be published,". 409
- H. M. Wiseman and W. J. Munro, "Comment on "dissi-410 [27] pative quantum dynamics with a lindblad functional"," 412 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5702–5702 (1998).

411

414

417

- [28]R. F. O'Connell, "Comment on "completely positive quantum dissipation"," Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 028901 (2001).
- 415 [29]Β. Vacchini, "Vacchini replies:," 416 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 028902 (2001).
- [30]S. Gao, "Dissipative quantum dynamics with a lindblad 418 functional," Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3101-3104 (1997).
- B. Vacchini, "Completely positive quantum dissipation," [31]420 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1374–1377 (2000).
- "Quantum Vacchini, optical versus quan-423 tum brownian motion master equation in terms of covariance and equilibrium properties," 424 J. Math. Phys. 43, 5446–5458 (2002). 425
- 426 [33] S. Jang, T. C. Berkelbach, and D. R. Reichman, "Coher-

- 427
- 428 beyond the hopping and super-exchange mechanisms,"
- New J. Phys. 15, 105020 (2013). 429
- Dmitry V Zhdanov, Denys I Bondar, and Tamar Seide-[34]430 man, "Quantum friction: environment engineering per-431 spectives," arXiv:1612.00573. 432
- R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, "Many-body localization 459 [35]433
- and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics," 434 Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 6, 15-38 (2015). 435
- [36]B. A. Grishanin and V. N. Zadkov, "Coherent-436 information analysis of quantum channels in simple quan-437 tum systems," Phys. Rev. A 62, 032303 (2000). 438
- A. S. Holevo, "On translation-covariant quantum markov 439 [37] equations," Izv. Math. 59, 427-443 (1995). 440
- A. S. Holevo, "Covariant quantum markovian evolu-[38] 441 442 tions," J. Math. Phys. 37, 1812 (1996).
- [39] F. Petruccione and В. Vacchini, "Quantum 443 description of einstein's brownian motion," 444 Phys. Rev. E 71, 046134 (2005). 445
- 40] B. Vacchini, "Master-equations for the study of decoher-446 ence," Int. J. Theor. Phys. 44, 1011-1021 (2009). 447
- 41] B. Vacchini and K. Hornberger, "Quantum linear boltz-448 mann equation," Phys. Rep. 478, 71-120 (2009). 449
- D. I. Blokhintzev, "The gibbs quantum ensemble and its 476 [42]450
- connection with the classical ensemble," J. Phys. (USSR) 477 [50] 451 452 **2**, 71 (1940).

- ent quantum dynamics in donorbridgeacceptor systems: 453 [43] M. S. Bartlett and J. E. Moyal, "The exact transition probabilities of quantum-mechanical os-454 cillators calculated by the phase-space method," 455 Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 45, 545 (1949). 456
 - [44] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, "Quantum 457 reservoir engineering with laser cooled trapped ions," 458 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4728 (1996).
 - Vacchini, B., "Translation-covariant markovian mas-[45]460 ter equation for a test particle in a quantum fluid,' 461 J. Math. Phys. 42, 4291–4312 (2001). 462
 - K. Hornberger and B. Vacchini, "Monitoring deriva-463 [46]tion of the quantum linear boltzmann equation," 464 Phys. Rev. A 77, 022112 (2008). 465
 - S. L. Vuglar, D. V. Zhdanov, R. Cabrera, T. Seide-466 [47]man, C. Jarzynski, H. A. Rabitz, and D. I. Bondar, 467 468 "Quantum statistical forces via reservoir engineering," arXiv:1611.02736. 469
 - [48]Crispin Gardiner and Peter Zoller, Quantum noise: a 470 handbook of Markovian and non-Markovian quantum 471 stochastic methods with applications to quantum optics, 472 Vol. 56 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2004). 473
 - A. Metelmann and A. A. Clerk, "Nonreciprocal pho-[49]474 ton transmission and amplification via reservoir engineer-475 ing," Phys. Rev. X 5, 021025 (2015).
 - G. Gasbarri, M. Toros, and A. Bassi, "General galilei covariant gaussian maps," arXiv:1703.05790. 478