

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Vortex-Hole Duality: A Unified Picture of Weak- and Strong-Coupling Regimes of Bosonic Ladders with Flux

S. Greschner and T. Vekua Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 073401 — Published 18 August 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.073401

## Vortex-hole duality: a unified picture of weak and strong-coupling regimes of bosonic ladders with flux

S.  $Greschner^1$  and T. Vekua<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, 30167 Hannover, Germany <sup>2</sup>James Franck Institute, The University of Chicago, Chicago IL 60637, USA

(Dated: July 26, 2017)

Two-leg bosonic ladders with flux harbor a remarkable vortex-hole duality between the weakcoupling vortex lattice superfluids and strong-coupling charge-density-wave crystals. The strongcoupling crystalline states, which are realized in the vicinity of  $\pi$ -flux, are independent of particle statistics, and are related with the incompressible fractional quantum Hall states in the thin-cylinder limit. These fully gapped ground states, away of  $\pi$ -flux, develop nonzero chiral (spin) currents. Contact-interacting quantum gases permit exploration of this vortex-hole duality in experiments.

*Dualities* encode important non-perturbative information in statistical, condensed matter and high-energy physics, by mapping weak and strong coupling regimes and providing a way for their unified description [1].

A quantum system, depending on conditions, can manifest one of its dual natures profoundly. In a weakly coupled gas or liquid, where positions of particles are not fixed, at sufficiently low temperatures quantum effects set in, and, as a result, Bose particles can develop phase coherence and superfluidity. For strong repulsive interparticle interactions, crystals can form, where each particle is localized to a certain position in space to get as far as possible from the others. Phases of particles, being conjugate variables of densities, fluctuate strongly in crystals. Fluids can develop eddy currents, or vortices when excited. In superfluids with global phase coherence, vortices get topological protection by quantization. Crystals also harbour excitations of topological nature e.g. point defects such as vacancies (holes).

The purpose of this letter is to demonstrate a spectacular correspondence between the topological defects of superfluids and crystals, referred in the following as a vortex-hole duality, realized between weak and strongcoupling regimes of bosonic ladders with flux.

Fig. 1 shows the microscopic configurations of local particle currents (arrows) and densities (filled circles) of a few dual weak and strong-coupling ground states of bosonic ladders with flux. In weak-coupling limit the phases of particles are the relevant degrees of freedom, whereas in strong-coupling particle densities play a dominant role. Vortices are indicated by letter V in those plaquettes of Fig. 1, where  $\int_{\Box} \nabla \Theta dl = 2\pi + \phi$ , where  $\Theta$  is local phase and integration is along the boundary l of the plaquette  $\Box$ . Holes, defects of the local particle density distribution, are localized on rungs, indicated by letter H. Vortices (elementary loop-currents), topological excitations of weak-coupling regime, repel each other [2] (like same pole magnets) and vortex lattices (VL) at commensurate vortex density  $\rho_V$  are dual to hole crystals of charge-density-wave (CDW) states at  $\rho_H = \rho_V$  realized in strong-coupling regime, as we will show. Table I sum-



FIG. 1. Microscopic structures of vortex-hole dual configurations of weak (a), (c), (e) and strong-coupling (b), (d), (f) ground states of bosonic ladders with flux. Dual configurations are (a)  $\rho_V = 1/2$  vs (b)  $\rho_H = 1/2$ , (c)  $\rho_V = 1/3$  vs (d)  $\rho_H = 1/3$  and (e)  $\rho_V = 1/4$  vs (f)  $\rho_H = 1/4$ . Note, that in (a) particle densities are uniform along the ladder, and in (b) particle currents do not show modulations. In contrast, in (c) and (e) particle densities show modulations, similar to particle currents in (d) and (f).

marizes the weak and strong-coupling duality relations. In the weak coupling regime of bosonic ladders few VL superfluids were observed [3, 4] to survive quantum fluctuations on top of classical Josephson-junction (JJ) limit [5]. A vortex in classical JJ limit, where phase at each ladder site has definite value, carries a quantum of a fluxoid and is localized on  $\xi_V \sim \sqrt{J/2J_\perp}$  plaquettes [2]. Numerical simulations of Bose-Hubbard model on a two-leg ladder with flux showed that particle densities get depleted in the plaquettes where vortices sit, when including quantum fluctuations, and topological excitations of the VL states are domain walls, carrying fractional fluxoids [3, 4].

Contact-interacting cold quantum gases loaded in one-

Weak-coupling (JJ)	Strong-coupling (quantum Hall)
Particle phases, flux $\leftarrow$	$\rightarrow$ Particle densities, chem. potential
Meissner state $\leftarrow$	$\rightarrow$ Mott insulator
Topologi	ical excitations
Vortices $\leftarrow$	$\rightarrow$ Holes
Vortex lattices, $\rho_V \leftrightarrow$	$\rightarrow$ Charge-Density-Waves, $\rho_H = \rho_V$
Top. excitations (domain walls)	
Fractional fluxoids $\ \leftarrow$	$\rightarrow$ Fractional charge
Vortex liquids +	$\rightarrow$ Superfluids

TABLE I. Duality relations between weak and strongcoupling regimes of bosonic ladders with flux. VL states shown in Fig. 1 (a), (c), and (e) survive moderate quantum fluctuations, due to the coherence of the multi-boson tunnelings between the ladder legs.

dimensional lattices, with additional second 'synthetic' dimension, can explore this duality in the presence of a homogeneous gauge field. The quantum engineering of synthetic orbital magnetism in neutral cold atom opticallattices has achieved a tremendous progress during the recent years [6-8]. In particular the synthetic-dimension approach [9], that combines a one dimensional optical lattice system with laser assisted transitions between the M internal degrees of freedom which form a compact artificial rung-dimension, allowed for further promising experimental realizations of M-leg ladder-like lattices with an artificial magnetic flux [10–12]. Since all particles on the same synthetic dimensional rung share the same optical lattice site, contact-interactions lead to exotic longranged interactions along the rungs, which for typical systems [10, 11] may be assumed to be SU(M) symmetric. The interplay of long-ranged interactions along the synthetic dimension and homogeneous gauge fields has attracted a considerable recent attention, as it gives rise to the ground states bearing analogies with quantum Hall-like behavior [13–21], or exhibiting exotic quantum magnetism [4, 18, 22-31].

Model- We consider two-component, M = 2, case and introduce index  $\zeta = 0, 1$ , running along the synthetic dimension. Our microscopic model is a one-dimensional SU(2) symmetric Bose-Hubbard model with spin-orbit coupling, which is equivalent to spinless bosons on twoleg ladder with flux and with the same onsite interactions as interactions along rungs,

$$H = -J \sum_{j=1;\zeta=0,1}^{L} [b_{j+1,\zeta}^{\dagger} b_{j,\zeta} + b_{j,\zeta}^{\dagger} b_{j+1,\zeta}] - \mu \sum_{j} n_{j} \quad (1)$$
$$-J_{\perp} \sum_{j} [e^{i\phi_{j}} b_{j,1}^{\dagger} b_{j,0} + \text{H.c.}] + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\zeta,\zeta'} n_{j,\zeta} n_{j,\zeta'}.$$

 $b_{j,\zeta}$  denotes bosonic annihilation operator on ladder site  $j,\zeta$  and  $n_j = \sum_{\zeta} n_{j,\zeta}$  denotes particle density on rung j. L is the total number of sites along the real space

direction, hoppings along ladder legs/rungs are denoted by  $J/J_{\perp}$  respectively and U is the Hubbard interaction strength.

We will study Model (1) for  $U \gg J, J_{\perp}$ , which is relevant for experiments involving a confinement by a deep optical lattice where the interaction U becomes the dominant energy scale. We consider the limit of  $U \to \infty$ , the so called rung-hard-core limit and address the effects of finite U in supplementary materials [32]. Since the exchange of particles is forbidden in the rung-hard-core limit, we need not specify statistics of the particles. Particle density is denoted by  $\rho = \sum_j \langle n_j \rangle / L = N/L$ . In particular in the rung-hard-core limit the maximal particle density is one particle per ladder rung  $\rho = 1$ . The density of holes is defined as  $\rho_H = 1 - \rho$ .

One immediately notices that for a  $\pi$ -flux, the spiraling in-plane magnetic field of the Model (1) becomes a staggered field directed along x axes in spin space. Hence we define an order parameter, corresponding to emergent U(1) symmetry at  $\pi$ -flux - the expectation value of  $2S^x = \sum_j 2S_j^x = \sum_j b_{j,0}^{\dagger}b_{j,1} + H.c.$ 

CDW states - Remarkably, as we will show, the staggered field hard-core Hubbard model exhibits a devilstaircase like structure of CDW phases at fractional fillings  $1/2 < \rho < 1$ . These CDW states are stabilized due to the effective interplay between interactions and strong magnetic field which tends to localize the particle in tight cyclotron orbitals. At unit-filling  $\rho = 1$ , the ground state is a perfect Néel-Mott insulator state  $2\langle S_i^x \rangle = (-1)^j$  (at  $U = \infty$ ,  $\pi$ -flux and  $\rho = 1$  the Néel-Mott insulator is an exact eigenstate and ground state of Model (1)). The staggered field induced Néel order at  $\phi = \pi$  plays a crucial role in localizing the holes and for emergence of CDW states. This becomes clear if one introduces a single hole on top of unit-filling for  $U = \infty$ . Then, since particles can not pass each other and since hopping does not flip spin of the particles, hole motion will scramble Néel order, by creating a string of displaced particles, which tends to bind the single hole to their initial positions [32].

Nevertheless, one can imagine that two nearestneighbour holes can move together by forming a bound state, avoiding frustration of the Néel order. The analytic solution of two-hole problem for Fermi-Hubbard model shows that two holes when introduced on top of the Néel-Mott state, form bound states (and this happens in fourth order of J) only for  $U < U_c$ , where  $U_c \simeq 4J^{\frac{5}{3}}/J_{\perp}^{\frac{4}{3}}$ for  $J_{\perp} \ll J$  and  $U_c = 4\sqrt{6}J_{\perp}$  for  $J_{\perp} \gg J$  [47]. At  $U = \infty$ (where Fermi and Bose-Hubbard models are equivalent), in the ground state holes stay far apart of each other [32], and due to localized character of single-hole states the CDW phases are formed at rational (commensurate with lattice) hole densities, exactly in the same way as VL states are formed in classical JJ limit [2]: it is a result of the competition between the repulsion among holes (that tries to space holes uniformly apart of each other) and



FIG. 2. The dependence of vortex density on flux  $\rho_V(\phi)$ in weak-coupling classical JJ limit and the hole density dependence on chemical potential  $\rho_H(\mu)$  in the strong-coupling  $U = \infty, \phi = \pi$  limit [32] due to vortex-hole duality exhibit a remarkable similarity. With doubling  $J_{\perp}/J$  from (a) to (b) fewer plateaus are formed in  $\rho_V(\phi)$  and  $\rho_H(\mu)$  curves.

 $J_{\perp}$  that binds holes to rungs.

It is also expected from the above considerations at  $\pi$ flux (especially in the limit of tightly localized on-rung holes  $J_{\perp} \gg J$ ) that the largest hole density for CDW states in the case of contact interactions exhibits a hole on every other rung, the CDW state at  $\rho_H = \rho = 1/2$ , which is also a fully polarized state. When adding holes on top of the CDW state at  $\rho_H = 1/2$ , they can move via second order processes  $\sim J^2/J_{\perp}$  maintaining the fully polarized background. Hence states for  $\rho_H > 1/2$  are expected to be gapless, but showing CDW order with a periodicity of 2 rungs, referred as supersolid for  $J_{\perp} \gg J$  [48]. One can easily obtain analytical ground state properties of this supersolid ground state for any  $J_{\perp}$  in hardcore limit at  $\pi$ -flux. Hence, for  $0 < \rho < 1/2$  we obtain for the equation of state  $\rho(\mu) = \arccos \left[ \frac{-J_{\perp}^2 + \mu^2}{2J^2} - 1 \right] / \pi$ . The spontaneously developed density imbalance between the even and odd rungs in the supersolid ground state,  $\mathcal{O}_{CDW} = \sum_{j} (-)^{j} \langle n_{j} \rangle / L$ , is for any  $J_{\perp}$  given by,  $\mathcal{O}_{CDW} = \frac{J_{\perp}}{\pi\sqrt{4J^2 + J_{\perp}^2}} \mathcal{F}\left[\pi\rho, \frac{4J^2}{4J^2 + J_{\perp}^2}\right], \text{ with the elliptic in-}$ tegral of the second kind  $\mathcal{F}(\phi, k)$ . Note, that CDW order of the supersolid state saturates to a maximal possible value for  $J_{\perp}/J \to \infty$  [48].

For finite  $U < \infty$  at  $\phi = \pi$ , a single hole on top of unit-filling can gain kinetic energy by second-order hopping  $\sim J^2/(U+2J_{\perp})$  without leaving behind a perturbed Néel string, hence CDW states with  $\rho_H \ll 1$  get washedout quickly for  $U < \infty$ . In addition, with reducing Ufrom hard-core, particle statistics starts to show up and CDW and supersolid states turn out to be more robust for bosons than for fermions [49]. A detailed numerical analysis [32] shows that for example the CDW<sub>2/3</sub> phase remains stable for  $U \gtrsim 20J$  for bosons and  $U \gtrsim 30J$  for fermions.

Numerics - In order to obtain a quantitative phase



FIG. 3. Ground state phase diagram in the parameter space of  $\mu$  and  $J_{\perp}$  for  $U = \infty$  and  $\phi = \pi$ . For clarity only the most stable fractional CDW phases are shown. Inset shows equation of state  $\rho = \rho(\mu)$  of the  $U = \infty$  ladder at  $\pi$ -flux for (from left to right)  $J_{\perp} = J$ , J/2 and 0.

diagram in strong-coupling we perform density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [50, 51] calculations of Model (1). For  $\rho \geq 1/2$  and  $\phi = \pi$ , due to strong localization of the holes, infinite DMRG simulations turn out to be very efficient and give extent and structure of the (largest) CDW-phases consistent with the results of finite system size DMRG-simulations [32].

Fig. 1 compares dual configurations of local particle densities and currents of weak and strong coupling regimes of bosonic ladders. The microscopic structures of weak-coupling configurations have already been obtained for a single-component Bose-Hubbard model on a two-leg ladder with flux in Ref. [3, 4]. Strong-coupling configurations are obtained slightly away of  $\pi$ -flux, for Model (1) at  $U = \infty$ , where one can see that for  $\rho_H < 1/2$  local rung and leg-currents also show modulations. Exactly at  $\pi$ -flux all currents vanish in strong-coupling limit. However, away of  $\pi$ -flux CDW states support non-zero chiral (spin) current.

In Fig. 2, for different values of  $J_{\perp}/J$ , we compare the vortex-density-vs-flux curves, obtained from phase only model corresponding to classical JJ limit of bosonic ladder [32], with the hole-density-vs-chemical-potential curves obtained for Model (1) at  $U = \infty$  at  $\pi$ -flux.

The phase diagram at  $\pi$ -flux for  $U = \infty$ , in the parameter space of  $\mu/J$  and  $J_{\perp}/J$ , is summarized in Fig. 3. The inset shows  $\rho(\mu)$ -curves for different values of  $J_{\perp}/J$ .

In the weak-coupling picture including quantum fluctuations (of phases) introduces a mobility of vortices, that can melt VL states into vortex liquids [5]. Analogously in the strong-coupling limit away of  $\pi$ -flux CDW crystals can melt into superfluids [32]. In Fig. 4 we present the ground state phase diagram as function of  $\phi$  and  $\rho$  for  $U = \infty$ . Besides Meissner (M-SF) and vortex superfluid (V-SF) phases we observe a Meissner Mott-insulator (spi-



FIG. 4. Ground state phases in parameter space of flux and density for  $U = \infty$  and  $J_{\perp} = J$ . Dots indicate CDW states at rational values of  $\rho > 1/2$  at  $\phi = \pi$  [53]. Inset shows corresponding phase diagram for  $J \ll J_{\perp}$  when number of legs  $M \to \infty$ .

ral MI) phase (which at  $\phi = \pi$  evolves into Néel MI) and for  $\phi \simeq \pi$ , the emerging devil's staircase like set of CDW phases at fractional fillings and a supersolid (SS) ground state [52].

Relation with quantum Hall - In the following we will consider cases corresponding to M > 2 and assume that  $L \gg M$ . We note that region of stability of the CDWphases may be considerably increased with increasing number of components M and assuming periodic boundary conditions along rungs (cylinder or torus geometry). Moreover, following the discussion of Ref. [15], increasing M allows us to relate observed CDW states to fractional quantum Hall states in thin-cylinder limit. In case of M > 2-leg cylinder, the Hoffstadter-Harper model Eq. (1) is consistently defined for a flux  $\phi$  multiple of  $\frac{2\pi}{M}$ . We have checked that up to M = 6 at  $\frac{2\pi}{M}$  flux, similar picture as described for two-leg ladder at  $\pi$ -flux holds. Namely, for low densities,  $\rho < \frac{1}{M}$ , ground states are gapless, with spontaneously formed long-range modulated density,  $CDW_{\frac{1}{M}}$ . For densities  $\rho > \frac{1}{M}$  a devil's staircase like set of CDW states is expected to emerge. For  $U = \infty$ limit same arguments can be used to explain this picture, as for the two-leg ladders at  $\pi$ -flux [32]. This leads us in the limit  $M \to \infty$  to the ground state phase diagram as sketched in the inset of Fig. 4. For particle fillings  $\rho < \frac{\phi}{2\pi}$ the ground states are gapless and exhibit a CDW-order of period  $\frac{2\pi}{\phi}$ . For larger fillings  $\rho > \frac{\phi}{2\pi}$  a region of fully gapped  $CDW_{\rho}$  states is formed due to the interplay of commensurate density and periodic potential.

The above discussion allows us to follow the relation between the emerging devil's staircase of fractional CDW-phases for *M*-leg cylinder at  $\phi = 2\pi/M$ , realized for  $1/M \leq \rho \leq 1$  with the similar incompressible states of quantum Hall system in thin-cylinder limit [54–58] realized for filling  $1/M \leq \nu \leq 1$  [32]. Recent works [15–17] indicate that CDW states of *M*-leg ladders approach corresponding fractional quantum Hall states also in topological properties with increasing *M*.

Summarizing, we have presented a unifying view of weak and strong-coupling physics of interacting bosons on two-leg ladders with flux based on vortex-hole duality. This is a broader version of exact duality mappings (such as Kramers-Wannier duality) and implies the equivalence between: the mechanisms of the emergence of VL and CDW states, the ground state degeneracies of the dual configurations, and quantum numbers of topological excitations on top of the dual ground states. All these properties of weak and strong-coupling dual ground states are identical under vortex-hole exchange [59]. Hence, duality can be used as a unifying language for describing weakcoupling and strong-coupling phases of many-body systems, even when there is no exact symmetry mappings between the two, as suggested for two-dimensional systems [60, 61]. Once the strongly interacting regime is reached, the predicted vortex-hole duality may be observed within state-of-the-art cold-atom experiments in the near future [32].

We also showed that strong contact interactions give rise to a rich phase diagram for (fermionic as well as bosonic) quantum gases in a one-dimensional lattice, with an additional second synthetic dimension, in the presence of the uniform gauge field. In particular devil's staircase-like structure of CDW states emerges at  $\pi$ flux without the need of long-range (e.g. dipolar) interactions between the particles, which can be related with the fractional quantum Hall states in thin-cylinder limit [15, 16, 58]. Hence, two cornerstone condensed matter systems (both defined on two-leg ladder lattices) the classical Josephson-junctions array and the quantum Hall system - can be related to each other through the vortex-hole duality [62].

On practical side, due to duality, we expect that in thermodynamic limit a critical value of hopping anisotropy exists in strong coupling limit  $J_{\perp}^c/J$ , like Aubry's breaking-of-analyticity point in weak-coupling classical JJ limit [64], where devil's staircase of density vs chemical potential curve changes from incomplete to complete one. This expectation is consistent with our numerical observation shown in Fig. 2 and in the inset of Fig. 3, where one can see that with increasing  $J_{\perp}/J$ less and less densities are realized in the CDW staircase as function of the chemical potential.

We are grateful to F. Heidrich-Meisner, D. Pirtskhalava, C. Ortix, T. Giamarchi, L. Santos, and P. Wiegmann for useful discussions. S.G. acknowledges support of the German Research Foundation DFG (project no. SA 1031/10-1). TV was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under the Grants NSF DMR-1206648. Simulations were carried out on the cluster system at the Leibniz University of Hannover, Germany.

- N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang, and E. Witten, Annals of Physics 374, 395 (2016).
- [2] M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. B 33, 3125 (1986).
- [3] S. Greschner, M. Piraud, F. Heidrich-Meisner, I. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and T. Vekua, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 190402 (2015).
- [4] S. Greschner, M. Piraud, F. Heidrich-Meisner, I. P. Mc-Culloch, U. Schollwöck, and T. Vekua, Phys. Rev. A 94, 063628 (2016).
- [5] E. Orignac and T. Giamarchi, Phys. Rev. B 64, 144515 (2001).
- [6] M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, M. Lohse, J. T. Barreiro, B. Paredes, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 185301 (2013).
- [7] H. Miyake, G. A. Siviloglou, C. J. Kennedy, W. C. Burton, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 185302 (2013).
- [8] M. Atala, M. Aidelsburger, M. Lohse, J. T. Barreiro, B. Paredes, and I. Bloch, Nature Phys. 10, 588 (2014).
- [9] A. Celi, P. Massignan, J. Ruseckas, N. Goldman, I. Spielman, G. Juzeliunas, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 043001 (2014).
- [10] B. K. Stuhl, H.-I. Lu, L. M. Aycock, D. Genkina, and I. B. Spielman, Science **349**, 1514 (2015).
- [11] M. Mancini, G. Pagano, G. Cappellini, L. Livi, M. Rider, J. Catani, C. Sias, P. Zoller, M. Inguscio, M. Dalmonte, and L. Fallani, Science **349**, 1510 (2015).
- [12] L. F. Livi, G. Cappellini, M. Diem, L. Franchi, C. Clivati, M. Frittelli, F. Levi, D. Calonico, J. Catani, M. Inguscio, and L. Fallani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 220401 (2016).
- [13] B. Narozhny, S. Carr, and A. Nersesyan, Phys. Rev. B 71, 161101 (2005).
- [14] F. Grusdt and M. Höning, Phys. Rev. A 90, 053623 (2014).
- [15] S. Barbarino, L. Taddia, D. Rossini, L. Mazza, and R. Fazio, Nature Comm. 6, 8134 (2015).
- [16] T.-S. Zeng, C. Wang, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 095302 (2015).
- [17] L. Taddia, E. Cornfeld, D. Rossini, L. Mazza, E. Sela, and R. Fazio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 230402 (2017).
- [18] A. Petrescu and K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 054520 (2015).
- [19] E. Cornfeld and E. Sela, Phys. Rev. B 92, 115446 (2015).
- [20] A. Petrescu, M. Piraud, G. Roux, I. McCulloch, and K. L. Hur, arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.05134 (2016).
- [21] M. Calvanese Strinati, E. Cornfeld, D. Rossini, S. Barbarino, M. Dalmonte, R. Fazio, E. Sela, and L. Mazza, Phys. Rev. X 7, 021033 (2017).
- [22] S. K. Ghosh, U. K. Yadav, and V. B. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. A 92, 051602 (2015).
- [23] S. K. Ghosh, S. Greschner, U. K. Yadav, T. Mishra, M. Rizzi, and V. B. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. A 95, 063612 (2017).
- [24] G. Roux, E. Orignac, S. R. White, and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B 76, 195105 (2007).
- [25] S. T. Carr, B. N. Narozhny, and A. A. Nersesyan, Phys. Rev. B 73, 195114 (2006).
- [26] Z. Yan, S. Wan, and Z. Wang, Scientific Reports 5, 15927 (2015).
- [27] M. Piraud, F. Heidrich-Meisner, I. P. McCulloch, S. Greschner, T. Vekua, and U. Schollwöck, Phys. Rev.

B **91**, 140406(R) (2015).

- [28] M. Di Dio, S. De Palo, E. Orignac, R. Citro, and M.-L. Chiofalo, Phys. Rev. B 92, 060506 (2015).
- [29] F. Kolley, M. Piraud, I. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and F. Heidrich-Meisner, New J. Phys. 17, 092001 (2015).
- [30] S. Natu, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 053623 (2015).
- [31] E. Anisimovas, M. Račiūnas, C. Sträter, A. Eckardt, I. B. Spielman, and G. Juzeliūnas, Phys. Rev. A 94, 063632 (2016).
- [32] See Supplementary material, which includes Refs. [33–46], for details on the single-hole localization, numerical methods, the stability of observed ground-state phases for  $U < \infty$  and for  $\phi < \pi$  as well as an additional discussion on the connection of the two-leg model with the thin-torus limit of fractional quantum Hall effect and a discussion of relevant temperatures and energy scales.
- [33] E. Granato, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 4797 (1990).
- [34] J. J. Mazo, F. Falo, and L. M. Floria, Phys. Rev. B 52, 10433 (1995).
- [35] C. Denniston and C. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3930 (1995).
- [36] R. B. Griffiths and W. Chou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1929 (1986).
- [37] G. Sun, A. Kolezhuk, and T. Vekua, Phys. Rev. B 91, 014418 (2015).
- [38] T. Vekua and G. Sun, Phys. Rev. B 94, 014417 (2016).
- [39] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 885 (2008).
- [40] G. Pagano, M. Mancini, G. Cappellini, P. Lombardi, S. Schäfer, H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, J. Catani, C. Sias, M. Inguscio, and L. Fallani, Nature Physics 10, 198201 (2014).
- [41] M. Höfer, L. Riegger, F. Scazza, C. Hofrichter, D. R. Fernandes, M. M. Parish, J. Levinsen, I. Bloch, and S. Fölling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 265302 (2015).
- [42] R. Zhang, Y. Cheng, H. Zhai, and P. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 135301 (2015).
- [43] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, and F. Wilczek, Nuclear Physics B 424, 443 (1994).
- [44] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 227902 (2003).
- [45] V. Korepin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 096402 (2004).
- [46] P. Calabrese and J. J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp., P06002 (2004).
- [47] J. Jaklic and P. Prelovsek, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6142 (1993).
- [48] T. Bilitewski and N. R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. A 94, 023630 (2016).
- [49] The robustness of the supersolid states may be related with the bosonic ferromagnetism.
- [50] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).
- [51] U. Schollwöck, Annals of Physics **326**, 96 (2011).
- [52] We note that since the sequence of fractional CDW states and supersolid instability at  $\phi = \pi$  are related to  $U = \infty$  flat spin-band physics, we do not expect them to be adequately described by bosonization approach [5].
- [53] Close to the V-SF boundary for  $\phi \gtrsim 0.6$  we observe a small region of enhanced density fluctuations which may be connected to the Laughlin-precursor states recently observed for hardcore bosons (without interactions along the rungs) in a similar regime in Ref. [20].
- [54] R. Tao and D. Thouless, Phys. Rev. B 28, 1142 (1983).
- [55] A. Seidel, H. Fu, D.-H. Lee, J. M. Leinaas, and J. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 266405 (2005).
- [56] E. J. Bergholtz, T. Hansson, M. Hermanns, and A. Karlhede, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 256803 (2007).

- [57] P. Rotondo, L. G. Molinari, P. Ratti, and M. Gherardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 256803 (2016).
- [58] T. Y. Saito and S. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. A 95, 043613 (2017).
- [59] Distinguishing properties of weak-coupling ground states from their dual strong-coupling counterparts is that the former are gapless superfluids, while the latter are gapped crystals.
- [60] R. Fazio and G. Schön, Phys. Rev. B 43, 5307 (1991).
- [61] Y. M. Blanter, R. Fazio, and G. Schön, Nuclear Physics

B-Proceedings Supplements 58, 79 (1997).

- [62] The duality between vortices and charges (bosonic Cooper pairs) across the superfluid-insulator transition has been suggested to be realized in superconducting twodimensional JJ arrays [60, 61, 63].
- [63] M. P. Fisher, G. Grinstein, and S. Girvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 587 (1990).
- [64] S. Aubry, Lect. Notes Math. 925, 221 (1982).