

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Large Bulk Photovoltaic Effect and Spontaneous Polarization of Single-Layer Monochalcogenides

Tonatiuh Rangel, Benjamin M. Fregoso, Bernardo S. Mendoza, Takahiro Morimoto, Joel E. Moore, and Jeffrey B. Neaton Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 067402 — Published 8 August 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.067402

## Large bulk photovoltaic effect and spontaneous polarization of single-layer monochalcogenides

Tonatiuh Rangel,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Benjamin M. Fregoso,<sup>2,3,\*</sup> Bernardo S. Mendoza,<sup>4</sup>

Takahiro Morimoto,<sup>2</sup> Joel E. Moore,<sup>2</sup> and Jeffrey B. Neaton<sup>1, 2, 5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA

<sup>4</sup>Centro de Investigaciones en Óptica, León, Guanajuato, México

<sup>5</sup>Kavli Energy Nanosciences Institute at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

We use a first-principles density functional theory approach to calculate the shift current and linear absorption of uniformly illuminated single-layer Ge and Sn monochalcogenides. We predict strong absorption in the visible spectrum and a large effective three-dimensional shift current ( $\sim 100 \ \mu A/V^2$ ), larger than has been previously observed in other polar systems. Moreover, we show that the integral of the shift-current tensor is correlated to the large spontaneous effective threedimensional electric polarization ( $\sim 1.9 \ C/m^2$ ). Our calculations indicate that the shift current will be largest in the visible spectrum, suggesting that these monochalcogenides may be promising for polar optoelectronic devices. A Rice-Mele tight-binding model is used to rationalize the shift-current response for these systems, and its dependence on polarization, in general terms with implications for other polar materials

Introduction: The shift current is a dc current generated in a material under uniform illumination [1–4], and gives rise to such phenomena as the bulk photovoltaic effect (BPVE) [1]. A necessary condition for the BPVE in a material is the lack of inversion symmetry. Interestingly, in the BPVE, the resulting photovoltage is not limited by the band gap energy, and a junction or interface is not required to generate a current. These properties of the BPVE motivate great interest in possible optoelectronic applications of noncentrosymmetric systems, and they have been suggested to play a role in emerging functional materials, including hybrid halide perovskites [5].

studied The BPVE is much less in twodimensional (2D) materials [6-8]. 2D materials represent the ultimate scaling in thickness with mechanical, optical and electronic properties unique relative to their bulk counterparts. For example, single-layer group-IV monochalcogenides GeS, GeSe, SnS and SnSe are actively being investigated [9–17] due to their band gaps and large carrier mobilities suitable for optoelectronics. Centrosymmetric in the bulk, the monochalcogenides lack inversion symmetry in singlelaver form, allowing for the emergence of a spontaneous polarization and a BPVE. Although broken inversion symmetry is necessary for a non-zero shift current, the relationship between shift current and polarization at a given frequency is complex and depends on the degree of asymmetry and spatial localization of the valence and conduction states [5]. On the other hand, the shift-current spectra integrated over frequency is clearly correlated to polarization, as shown in this manuscript.

In this Letter we use first principles density functional theory methods, supplemented by a tight-binding model, to predict and understand the spontaneous polarization and BVPE in single-layered monochalcogenides.



FIG. 1. (Color online) The crystal structure of singlelayer group-IV monochalcogenides MX, where M=Ge,Sn, and X=S, Se. In (a) we show the 3D view of the single-layer and in (b)-(d) the projections of the single-layer crystal on the Cartesian axes. Structures with  $0, \pm P_0$  polarizations are also shown in inset (b) and (d). A two-fold rotation along z (plus translations) determines the polarization axis, read text.

In addition to confirming their established favorable band gaps and strong absorption [18, 19], we demonstrate that the monochalcogenides exhibit a large in-plane shift current, up to 100  $\mu$ A/V<sup>2</sup>. Using a Rice-Mele tightbinding model, we find that the integral of the frequencydependent shift-current tensor is well correlated to the spontaneous polarization; and this integral is maximized at an optimal value of polarization.

Structure, symmetries and ab-initio methods. Our DFT calculations are performed with the generalized gradient approximation including spin-orbit coupling. We use the ABINIT code [20], with Gaussian pseudopotentials [21] and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [22]. We fully relax atomic positions in supercells



FIG. 2. (Color online) Shift-current spectra (a), shift vector integrated over  $\mathbf{k}$  (b) and linear absorption (c) of single-layer GeS. The large in-plane shift-current response in the visible range is dominated by the shift vector and corresponds to the large absorption along zz and yy.

that include at least 10 Å of vacuum between layers. Our relaxed lattice parameters are shown in Table I and agree with previous work [10] (see SI for details, which includes Ref. [23]).

Bulk monochalcogenide crystals MX (M=Ge,Sn and X=S,Se) are orthorhombic with point group mmm and space group Pnma (No. 62). They consists of van der Waals-bonded double layers of metal monochalchogenide atoms in an armchair arrangement. The space group of the bulk crystal contains eight symmetries including a center of inversion which prevents spontaneous electric polarization and BPVE. Upon exfoliation, the resulting single "double layer" primitive cell has four atoms. In this work, the layers are chosen to be oriented perpendicular to the x axis as shown in Fig. 1a. The single-layer structure has four symmetries, including a two-fold rotation with respect to z (plus translation), 2[001] + (1/2, 0, 1/2), which determines the direction of the in-plane spontaneous polarization of the layer along the z axis. In addition, the 2D-system has two mirror symmetries with respect to x and y, m[100] + (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) and m[010] + (0, 1/2, 0). Hence its point group, which determines the non-zero components of the optical response tensors, is mm2.

As a consequence of the mirror symmetries with respect to the x and y axis of a single monochalcogenide layer, all the cross-components terms of the imaginary part of the dielectric function,  $\epsilon_2^{ab}$ , vanish together with the tensor components xxx, xyy, xzz, yxx, yyy and yzz of the shift current. Only seven components are symmetry-allowed [24]: zxx, zyy, zzz, yyz and xzx, as well as components obtained by interchanging the last two indices. Symmetry, however, does not dictate the magnitude of the response in each direction, and consequently we compute the matrix elements below.

Spontaneous polarization. We calculate the spontaneous polarization of single-layer chalcogenides using the modern theory of polarization [25, 26], as implemented in ABINIT. We first identify an adiabatic path between the ground state and a centrosymmetric geometry with, in this case, zero polarization. We parametrize the atomic displacements along a path between these geometries (Fig. 1b, 1d) with  $\lambda$  as  $\mathbf{R}^{i}(\lambda) = \mathbf{R}_{0}^{i} + \lambda(\mathbf{R}_{f}^{i} - \mathbf{R}_{0}^{i}),$ where  $\mathbf{R}_{0}^{i}$  ( $\mathbf{R}_{f}^{i}$ ) is the initial (final) position of *i*th atom in the centrosymmetric (non-centrosymmetric) structure. We calculate the minimum-energy path between the  $\pm P_0$ configurations, as detailed in the SI. The minimal energy path is indistinguishable from the linear path used here. The polarization for various 2D monochalcogenides has also been theoretically studied recently [13, 17, 27– 32]. Our adiabatic polarization path, as shown schematically in Fig. 1b and (d). Table I shows the spontaneous electric polarization per unit area,  $P_0a$ , and an effective 3D polarization assuming an active single-layer thickness a = 2.6 Å. Interestingly, GeSe has a significantly higher effective 3D polarization,  $1.9 \text{ C/m}^2$ , than most prototypical ferroelectrics, e.g.,  $0.0028 \text{ C/m}^2$  in CaMn<sub>7</sub>O<sub>12</sub> [33],  $0.26 \text{ C/m}^2$  in BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [34, 35],  $0.37 \text{ C/m}^2$  in KNbO<sub>3</sub> [36] and 0.9  $C/m^2$  in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> [37, 38]. The energy barriers, provided in Table I, are much larger than room temperature. However, since reorientation under an applied electric field is often facilitated by domain wall motion, future experiments are necessary to conclusively demonstrate ferroelectric switching behavior.

Optical absorption and shift current. One notable feature of single-layer monochalcogenides is their promising band gaps energies in the visible range [12, 18, 19]. In Table I, we show the computed DFT-PBE gaps, which are a good estimate of the corresponding optical gaps. Although in principle ab initio many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) would be a more rigourous approach to optical gaps, in this case, we expect the PBE singleparticle gaps to be indicative in this case since excitonic effects, as large as 1 eV in GeS [19], can fortuitously cancel the well-known tendency of PBE to underestimate the transport gap. In Sn-based materials, the PBE gaps are smaller by  $\sim 0.5 \text{ eV}$  than in MBPT (see Table I), and hence the responses are redshifted and should be treated with more caution. In addition, since exciton formation can enhance shift current at exciton resonances [39], strong excitonic effects in monochalcogenides could lead to even larger shift currents.

We calculate the imaginary part of the the dielectric function,  $\epsilon_2^{ab}$ , within the independent particle approximation. As shown in Fig. 2, the absorption is strong  $\epsilon_2 \sim 50$ , in the visible range of 1.5 to 3 eV, due to their direct or

	Polarization		Energy	Supercell	Band gap (eV)		
	2D	3D	barrier	param. (Å)	DFT-PBE	GW-BSE	$Expt.^*$
	(nC/m)	$(C/m^2)$	(K)	a $b$ $c$	D I	D	D
$\operatorname{GeS}$	0.48	1.9	5563	$15.0 \ 3.7 \ 4.5$	1.9  1.7	2.2 [19]	1.6 [12]
GeSe	0.34	1.3	1180	$15.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.3$	1.2  1.2	1.6, 1.3 [18, 19]	1.2 [12]
$\operatorname{SnS}$	0.24	0.8	384	$15.0 \ 4.1 \ 4.3$	1.5  1.4		
$\operatorname{SnSe}$	0.17	0.6	80	$15.0 \ 4.3 \ 4.4$	0.9  0.9	1.4 [18]	

TABLE I. Left: Ground state polarization of single-layer monochalcogenides. The 3D effective polarizations are for a layer thickness of a = 2.6 Å. The energy barrier between the ground-states with opposite polarization calculated within DFT-PBE are also shown. Right: Direct (D) and indirect (I) band gaps calculated with DFT-PBE and optical gaps reported from *GW*-BSE calculations. \* Experimental optical gaps of few-layer chalcogenides are also shown for comparison.

nearly-direct band gap [7]. For comparison, we calculate the absorption coefficient  $\alpha = \omega \epsilon_2/c$ , with light frequency  $\omega$  and speed of light c. For the 2D monochalcogenides, of thickness ~ 2.6 Å,  $\alpha \sim 0.5-1.5 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-1}$ , and similar values were found for graphene and MoS<sub>2</sub> (0.7 and 1–  $1.5 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively) [40]. The zz and yy tensor components are larger than xx due to the intrinsic crystal anisotropy, in agreement with previous work [12, 18, 19]. In addition to the energy gaps and the large absorption in the visible, single-layer monochalcogenides have a large shift-current response, as shown below.

The dc shift current is generated to second order in the electric field. Consider a monochromatic electric field of the form  $E^b(t) = E^b(\omega)e^{i\omega t} + E^b(-\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$ . The shift-current response can be expressed in terms of the third-rank tensor  $\sigma^{abc}(0; \omega, -\omega)$  as,

$$J_{\rm shift}^{a}(\omega) = 2\sum_{bc} \sigma^{abc}(0;\omega,-\omega)E^{b}(\omega)E^{c}(-\omega).$$
(1)

The shift-current tensor is given by [3]

$$\sigma^{abc}(0;\omega,-\omega) = -\frac{i\pi e^3}{2\hbar^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\pi^3} \sum_{nm} f_{nm} \left(r^b_{mn} r^c_{nm;a} + r^c_{mn} r^b_{nm;a}\right) \delta(\omega_{mn} - \omega), \quad (2)$$

where  $r_{mn}^{a}$  are velocity matrix elements. The  $r_{mn;b}^{a}$  are generalized derivatives [3], defined as  $r_{mn;b}^{a} = \partial r_{mn}^{a}/\partial k^{b} - i(A_{nn}^{b} - A_{mm}^{b})r_{nm}^{a}$ , where  $A_{nm}^{a}$  are the Berry connections, with the *a* and *b* indices denote Cartesian directions. We define the Fermi-Dirac occupation numbers  $f_{nm} = f_n - f_m$ , and the band energy differences as  $\hbar\omega_{nm} = \hbar\omega_n - \hbar\omega_m$ . For linearly-polarized incident light, b = c, the integrand in Eq. 2 is proportional to the shift "vector"  $R_{nm}^{ab}$  [3], defined as  $(1/2) \text{Im}[r_{nm}^{b}r_{mn;a}^{b} - r_{mn}^{b}r_{mn;a}^{b}]|r_{nm}^{b}|^{-2}$ .

Fig. 2 shows the calculated effective shift-current spectra for GeS parallel and perpendicular to the polarization axis. (The shift-current spectra for GeSe, SnS and SnSe have similar features, see SI.) We report the responses assuming an active single-layer thickness of a = 2.6 Å [41] We find a broad maximum of the order of 100  $\mu$ A/V<sup>2</sup> which, importantly, occurs in the visible range (1.5 - 3.3)

eV). The in-plane components, zzz and zyy, are larger than the out-of-plane component zxx, consistent with the large absorption along zz and yy. We compare this response with that of prototypical ferroelectic materials in the same frequency range, e.g.,  $0.05 \ \mu A/V^2$  in BiFeO<sub>3</sub> [5], and 5  $\ \mu A/V^2$  in BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [5], which are much smaller. Additionally,  $0.5 \ \mu A/V^2$  is reported for hybrid halide perovskites [5] and NaAsSe<sub>2</sub> [42], and 250  $\ \mu A/V^2$  (= 400 mA/W) is found for state-of-the-art Si-based solarcells [43] (see SI for details on conversion between shiftcurrent and A/W units). The BPVE for 2D monochalcogenides is therefore quite large.

The absorption and shift-current spectra are related by the velocity matrix elements  $r_{nm}$  entering Eq. 2, explaining why peaks in  $\epsilon_2$  tend to correspond to peaks in the shift current spectra. To explore the relationship between  $\epsilon_2^{bb}$  and  $\sigma^{abb}$ , in Fig. 2b, we show the shift vector integrated over the Brillouin zone (BZ) [5, 44],

$$e\overline{R}^{ab}(\omega) = e\Omega \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{8\pi^3} \sum_{nm} f_{nm} R^{ab}_{nm} \delta(\omega_{nm} - \omega).$$
(3)

For the monochalcogenides,  $e\overline{R}^{bb}(\omega)$  contains all features of  $\sigma^{abb}(\omega)$ , and hence dominates the shift-current response. Following the analysis of Ref. [44], we interpret  $e\overline{R}$  as a collective shift of polarization upon excitation, due to transitions from valence to conduction states with distinct center of mass [45]. Thus, wavefunction Berry phases play a fundamental role in the BPVE, which we further explore next.

Polarization and shift current. To understand the relation between  $\sigma^{abb}$  and P, consider a short-circuit bulk ferroelectric illuminated by unpolarized light with a flat broad spectrum. The short-circuit current in the z-direction,  $I_{\rm sc} = AE_0^2 \int d\omega (\sigma^{zyy}(0;\omega,-\omega) + \sigma^{zzz}(0;\omega,-\omega))$ , is proportional to the integral of the shift current tensor. Here, the cross sectional area is A and the amplitude of the electric field is  $E_0$ . To first non-vanishing order in  $\lambda$  both the polarization and the integral are linear in  $\lambda$ ,  $P(\lambda) = \partial_{\lambda}P(0) \ \lambda + \cdots$ ,  $\int \sigma^{abb}(\lambda) = (\int \partial_{\lambda}\sigma^{abb}(0)) \ \lambda + \cdots$ , and hence proportional to each other.

In Fig. 3 we show the integral of the shift-current tensor over the frequency range (up to 6 eV) for GeS as



FIG. 3. (Color online) Non-monotonic dependence of the integral of the shift-current tensor vs. electric polarization for GeS; the integral is normalized by  $-3 \times 10^{10} \text{ As}^{-1} \text{V}^{-2}$ , its value at the ground state with polarization  $P_0 = 1.9 \text{ C/m}^2$ . The tensor components zzz, zyy and zxx are shown in black, green and blue points, respectively. For small P the integral is directly proportional to polarization (dashed lines), but it is non-monotonic for large P. The integral reaches it maxima at  $P(\delta_m)$ , which is close to  $P_0$ .

a function of polarization along the adiabatic path of Fig. 1b. For small polarization, the integral grows linearly with polarization, as expected. However, for larger polarization there is non-monotonic behavior which we explain below with a tight-binding model. Notice that without the integral, the expansion coefficients become frequency dependent and the current could increase or decrease with polarization with no general relationship.

The Rice-Mele tight-binding model. As mentioned previously, the monochalcogenide layer has a 2[001] + (1/2, 0, 1/2) symmetry that transforms the the upper three atoms in Fig. 1b onto the lower three. This suggests there is an effective one-dimensional description of the armchair structure in the z direction, and in fact, as we show below, the trends in the integral of the shiftcurrent tensor along z are captured by a simple model Rice-Mele (RM) model [46, 47]. The RM Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[ \left(\frac{t}{2} + (-1)^{i} \frac{\delta}{2}\right) (c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i+1} + h.c.) + (-1)^{i} \Delta c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} \right],$$
(4)

where  $\delta$  parametrizes the structural distortion relative to the centrosymmetric structure,  $\Delta$  the staggered on-site potential, and  $c_i^{\dagger}$  is the creation operator for electrons at site *i*. Inversion symmetry is broken when both  $\Delta \neq 0$ and  $\delta \neq 0$ , and preserved otherwise. For this two-band model Eq. 2 gives (see SI for more details),

$$\int d\omega \ \sigma^{zzz}(0;\omega,-\omega) = e^3 \int dk \ \frac{|v_{cv}|^2 R_{cv}}{4E^2}, \qquad (5)$$

where  $R_{cv} = \partial \phi_{cv} / \partial k + A_{cc} - A_{vv}$  is the shift vector and is gauge invariant.  $A_{nm} = i \langle u_n | \partial_k | u_m \rangle$  are the Berry connections, and  $\phi_{nm}^b$  is defined by  $r_{nm}^b = |r_{nm}^b|e^{-i\phi_{nm}^b}$ , where E(k) is the band dispersion and  $v_{cv}$  is the matrix element of the velocity operator. The Rice-Mele model allows for a complete analytic solution for the optical response and these results will be presented elsewhere [48]. The polarization is  $P(\delta) = (e/2\pi) \int dk \ (A_{vv}(k,\delta) - A_{vv}(k,0))$ . The model has two independent parameters  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$ . To make contact with the monochalcogenides, we set t = 1, and  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$  are related by the energy gap,  $2\sqrt{\delta_0^2 + \Delta_0^2} = 1.9$  eV (for GeS). Choosing parameters  $(t, [0, \delta_0], \Delta_0) = (1, [0, -0.87], 0.4)$  eV fits the zzzab-initio integral for GeS well and corresponds to a gap of 1.9 eV. The RM model polarization is  $P_0 = P(\delta_0)$ .

As the RM model is a good description of the integral of the shift-current tensor in monochalcogenides, we now explore the relation between polarization and shift current within this model. The integral of the shift current tensor in Eq. 5 is determined by the competition between the shift vector and the velocity matrix elements  $\hbar^2 |v_{cv}|^2 / 4E^2 \equiv |r_{cv}|^2$ . These in turn are controlled by  $\delta$  and  $\Delta$ , which have opposing tendencies: whereas increasing  $\Delta$  tends to localize charge at lattice sites, increasing dimerization  $\delta$  moves the center of charge away from them (leading to an increase in polarization). We find that for  $\delta \ll \Delta$ ,  $R_{cv}$  is sharply peaked at k = 0 but  $|v_{cv}|^2/4E^2$  peaks at  $\pi/c$ , and hence the integral is small. As  $\delta$  increases,  $R_{cv}$  and  $|v_{cv}|^2/4E^2$  broaden, and the integral increases; the integral reaches a maximum at an optimum value,  $\pm \delta_m$  which to lowest order in  $\Delta$  is

$$\delta_m = \Delta + O(\Delta^3 \log \Delta), \tag{6}$$

where the polarization takes the value  $P(\delta_m)$ . For GeS, GeSe and SnS  $\delta_0$  (-0.9, -0.5 and -0.6 respectively) is relatively close to the optimal  $\delta_m$  values of -0.5, -0.5 and -0.6 respectively, whereas for SnSe  $\delta_m$  (0.4) is farthest from  $\delta_0$  (-0.2), see SI. Therefore, consistent with Refs. 7 and 5, the large shift-current in these monochalcogenides results from two competing factors, i.e., a large shift vector and large velocity matrix elements (linear absorption strength), both of which can be moduled with polarization (and therefore composition, structure, and external electric field).

Discussion and conclusions We have calculated the shift-current response and spontaneous electric polarization of a family single-layer monochalcogenides; MX where M = Ge, Sn, and X = S, Se. We find a large shift current and a large polarization compared with prototypical ferroelectric materials. The fact the maximum current occurs in the visible range highlights the potential of these materials for optoelectronic applications. Further, the large spontaneous polarization can serve as knob to engineer the photoresponse. The integral of the shift-current tensor over frequency is clearly dependent on polarization and by means of a RM model, we find an optimal value of polarization where the current is maximum.

BMF and TR made equal contributions. We thank J. Sipe, F. de Juan, S. Barraza-Lopez, S. Coh, R. A. Muniz, S. E. Reyes-Lillo for useful discussions. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, through the Theory FWP [KC2301] in LBNL. BMF acknowledges support from AFOSR MURI, Conacyt, and NERSC contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. TM acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative Theory Center Grant. JEM acknowledges LDRD funding from LBNL Contract No. DEAC02-05CH11231. BSM acknowledges partial sup- port from CONACYT-Mexico GoGa no. 153930. This work is also supported by the Molecular Foundry through the DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under the same contract number. We acknowledge the use of computational resources at the NERSC

\* These two authors contributed equally.

- B. I. Sturman and P. J. Sturman, *Photovoltaic and Photo-refractive Effects in Noncentrosymmetric Materials* (CRC Press, 1992).
- [2] R. von Baltz and W. Kraut, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5590 (1981).
- [3] J. E. Sipe and A. I. Shkrebtii, Phys. Rev. B 61, 5337 (2000).
- [4] L. Z. Tan, F. Zheng, S. M. Young, F. Wang, S. Liu, and A. M. Rappe, Npj Comput. Mater. 2, 16026 (2016).
- [5] S. M. Young, F. Zheng, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 236601 (2012); S. M. Young and A. M. Rappe, *ibid.* **109**, 116601 (2012); F. Zheng, H. Takenaka, F. Wang, N. Z. Koocher, and A. M. Rappe, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **6**, 31 (2015); S. M. Young, F. Zheng, and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. Applied **4**, 054004 (2015).
- [6] A. Zenkevich, Y. Matveyev, K. Maksimova, R. Gaynutdinov, A. Tolstikhina, and V. Fridkin, Phys. Rev. B 90, 161409 (2014).
- [7] A. M. Cook, B. M. Fregoso, F. de Juan, and J. E. Moore, Nat. Commun 8, 14176 (2017).
- [8] Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, S. Li, and A. V. Andreev, Phys. Rev. B 92, 241406 (2015).
- [9] L. Li, Z. Chen, Y. Hu, X. Wang, T. Zhang, W. Chen, and Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 1213 (2013).
- [10] A. K. Singh and R. G. Hennig, Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 042103 (2014).
- [11] F. Wang, S. M. Young, F. Zheng, I. Grinberg, and A. M. Rappe, Nat. Commun. 7, 10419 (2016).
- [12] P. Ramasamy, D. Kwak, D.-H. Lim, H.-S. R a, and J.-S. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. C 4, 479 (2016).
- [13] M. Wu and X. C. Zeng, Nano Lett. 16, 3236 (2016).
- [14] C. Kamal, A. Chakrabarti, and M. Ezawa, Phys. Rev. B 93, 125428 (2016).
- [15] S.-D. Guo, arXiv:1608.00362 [cond-mat] (2016), arXiv: 1608.00362.
- [16] C. Xin, J. Zheng, Y. Su, S. Li, B. Zhang, Y. Feng, and F. Pan, J. Phys. Chem. C 120, 22663 (2016).

- [17] P. Z. Hanakata, A. Carvalho, D. K. Campbell, and H. S. Park, Phys. Rev. B 94, 035304 (2016).
- [18] G. Shi and E. Kioupakis, Nano Lett. 15, 6926 (2015).
- [19] L. C. Gomes, P. E. Trevisanutto, A. Carvalho, A. S. Rodin, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 94, 155428 (2016).
- [20] X. Gonze *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **205** (2016), 10.1016/j.cpc.2016.04.003.
- [21] M. Krack, Theor. Chem. Acc. 114, 145 (2005).
- [22] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [23] F. Nastos, J. Rioux, M. Strimas-Mackey, B. S. Mendoza, and J. E. Sipe, Phys. Rev. B 76, 205113 (2007).
- [24] P. N. Butcher, Nonlinear Optical Phenomena (Engineering Experiment Station of the Ohio State University, 1965).
- [25] D. Vanderbilt and R. D. King-Smith, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4442 (1993).
- [26] R. Resta, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 123201 (2010).
- [27] L. C. Gomes, A. Carvalho, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 92, 214103 (2015).
- [28] R. Fei, W. Li, J. Li, and L. Yang, Applied Physics Letters 107, 173104 (2015).
- [29] M. Mehboudi, B. M. Fregoso, Y. Yang, W. Zhu, A. van der Zande, J. Ferrer, L. Bellaiche, P. Kumar, and S. Barraza-Lopez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 246802 (2016).
- [30] R. Fei, W. Kang, and L. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 097601 (2016).
- [31] M. Mehboudi, A. M. Dorio, W. Zhu, A. van der Zande, H. O. H. Churchill, A. A. Pacheco-Sanjuan, E. O. Harriss, P. Kumar, and S. Barraza-Lopez, Nano Lett. 16, 1704 (2016).
- [32] H. Wang and X. Qian, 2D Mater. 4, 015042 (2017).
- [33] R. D. Johnson, L. C. Chapon, D. D. Khalyavin, P. Manuel, P. G. Radaelli, and C. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 067201 (2012).
- [34] A. von Hippel, Rev. Mod. Phys. 22, 221 (1950).
- [35] J. Shieh, J. Yeh, Y. Shu, and J. Yen, Materials Science and Engineering: B 161, 50 (2009).
- [36] R. Resta, M. Posternak, and A. Baldereschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1010 (1993).
- [37] J. B. Neaton, C. Ederer, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014113 (2005).
- [38] G. Catalan and J. F. Scott, Advanced Materials 21, 2463 (2009).
- [39] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 94, 035117 (2016).
- [40] M. Bernardi, M. Palummo, and J. C. Grossman, Nano Lett. 13, 3664 (2013).
- [41] Here we show that a single-layer monochalcogenide has a large shift current response, moreover in the SI we show that the response of a thick 3D array of slabs, characterized by the Glass coefficient [49], is also large.
- [42] J. A. Brehm, S. M. Young, F. Zheng, and A. M. Rappe, The Journal of Chemical Physics 141, 204704 (2014).
- [43] M. Pagliaro, G. Palmisano, and R. Ciriminna, *Flexible Solar Cells* (Wiley-VCH; 1 edition, 2008).
- [44] B. M. Fregoso, T. Morimoto, and E. J. Moore, arXiv:1701.001 [cond-mat] (2017), arXiv: 1608.00362.
- [45] The integral of the shift-vector over  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\overline{R}$  has been studied for complex ferroelectric materials, such as BiTiO<sub>3</sub> and PbTiO<sub>3</sub> in Ref. 5, where the relation of  $\overline{R}$  and  $\sigma^{abb}$  was found dependent on the nature of the initial and final states in the transition, as discussed more throughly in

the SI.

- [46] D. Vanderbilt and R. D. King-Smith, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4442 (1993).
- [47] S. Onoda, S. Murakami, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **93**, 167602 (2004).

- [48] B. M. Fregoso *et al.*, To be published.
- [49] A. M. Glass, D. v. d. Linde, and T. J. Negran, Appl. Phys. Lett. 25 (1974), 10.1063/1.1655453.