

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Transient Magnetophotoinduced Absorption Studies of Photoexcitations in π -Conjugated Donor-Acceptor Copolymers

Uyen N. V. Huynh, Tek P. Basel, Eitan Ehrenfreund, Gang Li, Yang Yang, S. Mazumdar, and

Z. Valy Vardeny

Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 017401 — Published 6 July 2017 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.017401

Transient magneto-photoinduced absorption studies of photoexcitations in π conjugated donor-acceptor copolymers

Uyen N. V. Huynh^{1†}, Tek P. Basel¹, Eitan Ehrenfreund², Gang Li^{3††}, Yang Yang³, S. Mazumdar⁴, and Z. Valy Vardeny^{1*}

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

²Department of Physics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

³Department of Materials Science & Engineering, University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095

⁴Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

We have utilized a plethora of transient and steady state optical and magneto-optical spectroscopies in a broad spectral range (0.25–2.5 eV) for elucidating the primary and long-lived photoexcitations in a low band-gap π -conjugated donor-acceptor (DA-) copolymer used for efficient photovoltaic solar cells. We show that both singlet excitons (SE) and intrachain triplet-triplet (TT) pairs are photogenerated in the DA-copolymer chains. From the picosecond transient magnetic field response of these species we conclude that the SE and TT spin states are coupled. The TT decomposition into two intrachain geminate triplet excitons maintains spin coherence and thus their spin-entanglement lasts into the microsecond time domain.

* Correspondence to: <u>val@physics.utah.edu</u>

The field of 'photoexcitations in π -conjugated polymers' has been debated since as early as 1980 with trans polyacetylene.¹ The debate heated up when the nature of the primary photoexcitations, namely free carriers vs. excitons was considered.² This debate took a new twist when the exciton dissociation in polymer/fullerene blends was discussed,^{3,4} since this process has bearing on potential applications in organic photovoltaic solar cells.⁵ Recently the organic solar cell quantum efficiency has dramatically increased when the low band-gap (E_g~1.4 eV) π -conjugated donor-acceptor (DA-) copolymers were introduced.^{6,7} However the nature of the primary photoexcitations in these materials has not been well characterized, probably because of limited available ultrafast spectroscopies in the mid-IR spectral range.⁸

The DA-copolymer chains contain, by definition, two different organic moieties with different electron affinities (see Fig. 1(a) inset) that play the role of electron donor (D) and electron acceptor (A).⁶⁻¹⁰ This intrachain DA character leads to lower Eg than that in more traditional polymers,¹¹ and therefore can absorb more photons from the solar spectrum that consequently increases the solar cell effciency.⁹⁻¹² However the small Eg (1.4-1.6 eV) in the most efficient DAcopolymers may lead to another phenomenon that has not been explored yet. It is known that the energy difference, Δ_{ST} between the singlet exciton (SE) and triplet exciton (TE) energies in polymers¹³ is about 0.7 eV. If this is true also in DA-copolymers, then the TE energy, E_T (= E_g - Δ_{ST} =1.4 to 1.6-0.7) is ~0.7-0.9 eV. This would lead to 'resonant condition' between the lowest SE (at $E_s = E_g \approx 1.4-1.6$ eV) and the intrachain triplet-triplet (TT)-pair state at energy $2E_T \approx 1.4-1.8$ eV. This may have strong influence on the photophysics of the DA-copolymers, since SE-TT coupling may occur. For investigating a possible SE-TT resonant interaction in DA-copolymers having $E_s \approx 2E_T$, we have used, for the first time, the transient magneto photoinduced absorption (t-MPA) technique in the time domain from 0.2 picosecond (ps) to milliseconds, which is the magnetic field effect (M-) of the transient photo-induced absorption (t-PA) spectrum. We indeed measured spin-entanglement between the SE and TT states in the copolymer chains resulting in a unique magnetic field response. Furthermore, the spin coherence is conserved upon TT decomposition into two geminate intrachain TE's, and consequently their spin-entanglement is maintained up to microseconds, the copolymer spin-lattice relaxation time.

We have focused our studies on the π -conjugated DA-copolymer PDTP-DFBT (Fig. 1(a) inset);¹⁴ but other DA-copolymers with different D-A moieties have similar photoexcitations.^{12,15} As is clearly seen in Fig.1(a) the PDTP-DFBT backbone structure does not possess inversion symmetry in contrast to the more traditional π -conjugated polymers; this has important implications on the photoexcitation species in these materials. For example, the SE is not strictly an odd-parity state, whereas the TT is not strictly a covalent state; this effect may provide the basis of a possible interaction between these two states.

For our studies, we have used standard cw spectroscopies such as photoluminescence (PL), photoinduced absorption (PA)¹⁶ and its magnetic field version, namely MPA .¹⁷ We have also used the optically-detected magnetic resonance of the PL¹⁸ to identify the spin state of the longlived photoexcitations, as well as electro-absorption (EA)¹⁹ to discern the excited states of this DA-copolymer. Our *unique* transient ps experimental setup in the mid-IR is described in details elsewhere.¹⁶ The pump excitation beam was delivered by pulsed Ti:sapphire laser; it provides pulses of 150 fs duration, energy 0.1 nJ/pulse, 80 MHz repetition rate at 1.55 eV photon energy. Whereas the probe spectral range could be varied between 0.25-1.1 eV. The transient photoexcitations density (~ $2x10^{16}$ cm⁻³ at t=0) was monitored by the changes, ΔT of the probe transmission, *T* induced by the modulated pump, and measured by an InSb detector (Judson IR) using a phase-locked technique with a lock-in amplifier (SR830).¹⁶

The PDTP-DFBT absorption and PL spectra are shown in Fig. 1(a). The Stokes shifted 0-0 PL band peaks at 1.38 eV, considerably lower than in any traditional π -conjugated polymers.²⁰ To more precisely determine the energies $E_s=E(1^1B_u)$, and $E(m^1A_g)$ of the most strongly coupled even-parity state, we measured the EA spectrum of pristine PDTP-DFBT film deposited on an inter-digitated electrode substrate, subjected to a modulated applied voltage at frequency *f*; where the EA was measured at 2*f*. In general, the EA spectrum in π -conjugated polymers shows two dominant optical features; a derivative-like Stark shift feature at $E(1^1B_u)$, and a field-induced absorption at $E(m^1A_g)$ due to the partial symmetry breaking associated with the applied field.^{19, 21} The EA spectrum of PDTP-DFBT (Fig. 1(b)) indeed exhibits such spectral signatures; a derivative-like feature with zero-crossing at ~1.55 eV, which we identify as $E(1^1B_u)$, and a positive band with 0-0 vibronic transition at ~1.95 eV, which we assign as $E(m^1A_g)$ (see Fig. 1(b) inset). The energy difference, $\Delta E=E(m^1A_g)-E(1^1B_u)$ has been traditionally used to estimate

the exciton binding energy.^{16, 21} Thus in PDTP-DFBT we get $\Delta E \approx 0.4 \text{ eV}$, which is also expected to be the transition energy of the PA band from the photogenerated 1^1B_u exciton into the m¹A_g ($1^1B_u \rightarrow m^1A_g$), namely PA_{SE}.^{16, 20}

Figure 1(c) depicts the steady state PA (ss-PA) spectrum in a film of solid state solution, in which isolated pristine PDTP-DFBT chains are embedded in polystyrene matrix. The spectrum is dominated by a single PA band (PA_T) that peaks at ~0.95 eV, which we assign to the strongest transition in the TE manifold, namely $1^{3}B_{u} \rightarrow m^{3}A_{g}$ (Fig. 1(c)).¹⁶ From the PL-detected magnetic res powder pattern shown in S.I. (Fig. S.1) we conclude that the long-lived photoexcitations are TE's, with zero-field splitting parameters, D=38 mT and |E|=15 mT. We also performed steadystate magneto-PA (ss-MPA(B)), where MPA=[PA(B)-PA(0)]/PA(0) and B is the magnetic field, at the PA_T band (Fig. 1(d)), which shows a typical TE response.¹⁷ Since we determined E(m¹A_g)≈1.95 eV from the EA spectrum, we can now estimate its triplet counterpart, namely $E(m^{3}A_{g}) \approx 1.75$ eV which is lower by ~0.2 eV.²² Consequently, from $E(m^{3}A_{g})$ and PA_T transition energy in the triplet manifold we can determine the energy of the lowest TE, $E_T = E(1^3B_u) = E(m^3A_g) - E(PA_T) \approx 1.75 - 0.95 \approx 0.8$ eV (see Fig. 1(c)). It is thus clear that the lowest SE in PDTP-DFBT (=1.55 eV) is in resonance with twice the lowest triplet (2x0.8=1.6 eV), i.e. $E(1^{1}B_{u}) \approx 2E_{T}$, which calls for a possible interaction between the lowest SE and TT states in this copolymer.¹² This interaction may lead to the occurrence of a direct optical transition from the copolymer ground state into the TT manifold, as predicted theoretically.¹² This may be identified via the formation of two PA bands in the t-PA spectrum; a lower energy band, PA_1 close to PASE in the singlet SE manifold, and a higher energy band, PA2 that is related to TT pair excitations at energy slightly lower than PA_T.

We discuss the ps transient spectroscopy of PDTP-DFBT by first examining the mid-IR ps t-PA spectrum of a traditional π -conjugated polymer, which is a soluble derivative of the polymer poly-(p-phenylene-vinylene), namely DOO-PPV (Fig. 2(a)). The t-PA spectrum of DOO-PPV film contains a *single PA band* (PA_{SE}) due to the photogenerated SE that peaks at 0.95 eV.²² This PA band is correlated with the stimulated emission band of DOO-PPV and decays with a time constant, τ of ~200 ps, in agreement with the PL quantum efficiency of this polymer ($\tau/1(ns)\sim20\%$).²³

In contrast, the t-PA spectrum of the PDTP-DFBT copolymer film of 1% weight solid state solution in polystyrene, where the chains are *isolated* from each other exhibits *two PA bands* (Fig. 2(b)); namely PA₁ at 0.4 eV and PA₂ at 0.82 eV, which are formed within our experimental pump-probe time resolution (~300 fs). The two PA bands decay together, with time constant, $\tau \approx 30$ ps showing the same dynamics (Fig. S.2(a)). This PA lifetime agrees with the PL quantum efficiency of $\tau/1$ (ns)~3% that we measured in neat PDTP-DFBT films. Furthermore, PA₁ peaks at 0.4 eV where PA_{SE} should be. Based on these two findings we identify PA₁ as due to photogenerated SE in this copolymer (namely PA_{SE}), similar as in the t-PA spectrum of DOO-PPV (Fig. 2(a)). We also observed transient photoinduced dichroism, *P*(t) for the two t-PA bands (Fig. S.2(b)), and found that it decays similarly for the two PA bands, namely within ~50 ps. From the same PA decay dynamics and *P*(t) kinetics we infer that the two PA bands are correlated. Since PA₂ is close in energy to that of ss-PA_T (Fig. 1(c)) but not at the same photon energy we identify it as due to transition within the TT manifold (namely PA_{TT}). From their similar decay dynamics we consequently conclude that the SE and TT states are *correlated* in this copolymer.

As seen in Fig. 2(b) the two PA bands completely decay within 300 ps; at that time the t-PA spectrum is dominated by a different PA band that peaks at 0.94 eV; its spectrum is enlarged in Fig. 2(c). This PA band is exactly the same as PA_T in the ss-PA spectrum (Fig. 1(c)) that we have identified as TE, and therefore it is due to triplet excitons (PA_T) that are photogenerated in the ps time domain. Since the spin-orbit coupling of this copolymer is relatively weak due to lack of heavy atoms, intersystem crossing rate should be small and cannot explain the fast TE generation. We therefore conclude that the fast TE's are generated via decomposition of the TT excitations, namely *singlet fission*.

It is important to know whether the fast PA_T is photogenerated immediately, or is a by-product of the PA_{SE} and PA_{TT} decays. To study the PA_T fast dynamics, we have employed a Generic Algorithm (GA) as a numerical method to decompose the overlapping PA bands in the t-PA spectrum.^{24,25} Figure 2(c) shows three decomposed bands for SE, TT, and TE and their associated dynamics is shown in Fig. 2(d). We see that SE and TT are instantaneously photogenerated within 300 fs resolution and decay together. Importantly, some of PA_T is also generated within 300 fs; however, the decay of SE and TT *continues to generate TE*. We thus

conclude that PA_T is in fact a by-product of PA_{TT} , which is correlated with PA_{SE} . The following t-MPA studies further characterize the curious inter-relation of these primary photoexcitation species.

Figure 3(a) shows the transient magnetic field response, t-MPA(*B*) of PA_{SE} and PA_{TT} bands at a fixed time, *t*=200 ps; whereas Fig. 3(b) depicts the t-MPA time-evolution at a fixed field of B=300 mT. It is clearly seen that PA_{TT} increases with *B*; whereas PA_{SE} decreases with *B*, having the same t-MPA(*B*) response. Also the two t-MPA responses have the same dynamics, namely t-MPA_{TT} increases with time the same way that t-MPA_{SE} decreases with time. The t-MPA experiment was also attempted on the PA_{SE} band in the DOO-PPV polymer; however, we found *null response* at any delay time (see Fig. 2(a) inset); this 'control experiment' shows that PA_{SE} in DOO-PPV is due to pure SE and thus is not susceptible to relatively small magnetic fields. We thus conclude that the t-MPA obtained in PDTP-DFBT is a unique feature of the primary photoexcitations of this compound. Although the SE species in the copolymer have a predominantly spin singlet character (since they are instantaneously photogenerated) they nevertheless possess a unique spin character whose different components may have spin $\neq 0$.

Importantly, the t-MPA(*B*) response seen in Fig. 3(a) cannot be understood using the 'Merrifield model' spin Hamiltonian of TT-pair,²⁶ which describes well the *intermolecular* singlet fission and triplet-triplet annihilation processes in various organic compounds;²⁷ because this model does not fit the experimental t-MPA(*B*) response here (see Fig. S.3). Also the t-MPA(*B*) response does not originate from an isolated TE with larger zero field splitting parameters either (see Fig. 1(d)), since such a species would not show *two* PA bands.^{16, 22} We thus conclude that the obtained t-MPA originates from photoexcitations that have more elaborate properties compared to simple SE or TT, and thus the magnetic field response needs be described by a spin-Hamiltonian that has not been used before in the field of 'organic magnetic field effect'.^{17,26,27,28}

To explain the correlated, opposite t-MPA(B) response for PA_{SE} and PA_{TT} bands and its transient dynamics we have to consider that SE and TT are nearly in resonance. We therefore propose that there exists resonant spin-coupling among the lowest SE and the various spin-states of the lowest TT state (i.e. TT singlet (S), triplet (T), and quintet (Q)), which may be responsible for the obtained correlated t-MPA(B) response. To describe the t-MPA we employed an appropriate

spin-Hamiltonian in 10x10 Hilbert space (namely SE and 9 TT spin sub-states). The 10x10 Hamiltonian matrix is comprised of a 9x9 TT pair block, a 1x1 block of the SE state, and coupling terms between the various TT states and SE (see Supp. Info.).

A key ingredient for the MPA generation is spin-dependent decay rates for the four spin configurations (SE, S, T, and Q); this leads to field-dependent level population and decay rates. Because PA is proportional to the specific excited state population, namely SE or TT, and these populations are field-dependent, it generates MPA(B). Using the density matrix approach we have calculated the time and field-dependencies of each spin configuration density in each of the 10 levels (see Suppl. Info.). Identifying PA_{SE} with optical transition from the lowest SE and PA_{TT} with transitions from the triplet spin configuration we show in Fig. 3(a) the calculated response overlaid on the measured t-MPA(B) response of these two PA bands. For our calculation we have used a powder pattern angular average over the **B** direction with respect to the TE axes in the TT pair. The following best fitting parameters were used to obtain the fit shown in Fig. 3(a): The zero field splitting parameters of the two parallel TE in the TT state are: $D/2\mu_B=50$ mT, $E/2\mu_B=-18$ mT; the exchange interaction, X between the two TE's is $X/2\mu_B=7$ mT; the resonance coupling parameters between SE and the TT pair spin states are: SE-S, SE-T, SE-Q = 31, 32, 0 mT, respectively; the average system decay time is ~ 1 ns; and the spindependent decay rate ratios are: SE:S:T:Q =1.07:1:0.8:1. The good agreement between the experimental and calculated t-MPA(B) response validates our approach.

Obviously, at $t=0^+$ (i.e. immediately following pulse photoexcitation), no decay has occurred yet and thus t-MPA($t=0^+$,B)=0 for both PA_{SE} and PA_{TT}. As time progresses, the imbalance of spin densities increases leading to growing t-MPA(t,B) response, as observed experimentally. Figure 3(b) shows the calculated transient response of t-MPA(t,B=300mT) for PA_{TT} (positive) and PA_{SE} (negative) along with the respective experimental responses. Our model nicely reproduces the increasing t-MPA value with the delay time for both PA bands.

It is interesting to study the t-MPA response at longer times using transient nanoseconds to milliseconds PA spectroscopy. The optical set-up for these measurements was the same as the cw PA apparatus, except that the pump excitation was a pulsed laser (Quanta-ray) having 10 ns pulse duration at 10 Hz repetition rate, operated at 680 nm. For monitoring $\Delta T(t)$ we used a probe beam from a laser diode at 1300 nm (see details in the S.I. section S2(ii)).

Figures 3(c) and 3(d) show the t-PA decay and t-MPA evolution of PA_T in the microsecond (μ sec) time domain at 40K. Figure 3(c) shows that PA_T decay measured at 0.9 eV is strongly magnetic field dependent. From the change, Δ PA(*t*) in t-PA with *B* we obtain the t-MPA(*B*,t) response and study its time evolution. Figure 3(c) inset shows that the t-MPA at *B*=180 mT changes polarity at *t*~4 µsec. This is reflected in the t-MPA(*B*) response (Fig. 3(d)), which dramatically changes in the interval 1<*t*<10 µsec. In fact, t-MPA(*B*) response changes from an early time response that is similar to that obtained for PA_{TT} in the ps time domain (Fig. 3(a)), to a longer time response similar to that of individual, uncorrelated TE in the ss-MPA (Fig. 1(d)). We therefore interpret this interesting t-MPA(*B*) evolution as *spin-decoherence*, when the spins of the two geminate TE's that were born from the same TT photoexcitation, lose their initial spin*entanglement*. This experimental result is a strong evidence for the existence of initially photogenerated intrachain TT-pairs, which subsequently decompose into two geminate TE's in the ps time domain, *their spin entanglement is still preserved into the µsec time domain*.

In summary, we introduced here a new technique, namely the magnetic field effect of the transient photoinduced absorption, t-MPA for studying the primary and long-lived photoexcitations in pristine DA-copolymer films of PDTP-DFBT. Using t-PA spectroscopy in the mid-IR spectral range we found two distinct PA bands that originate from two primary photoexcitations in the DA-copolymer; namely SE and TT-pair. This result may be robust, unique to the class of π -conjugated copolymers. Using the t-MPA(*B*) magneto-spectroscopy we found that the SE and TT spin states in PDTP-DFBT strongly interact. We also discovered that the TT decomposition into two intrachain geminate TE's still maintains spin coherence, which lasts into the µsec time domain. The implication of the obtained SE-TT correlation on carrier photogeneration in copolymer/fullerene blends is studied and promised to be quite interesting.

Acknowledgements

We thank K. Aryanpour for help with the initial calculation; we also acknowledge Prof. L. Dou of Purdue University for the PDTP-DFBT synthesis. The work at the University of Utah was supported by the AFOSR under award number FA9550-16-1-0207 (ps transient spectroscopy); and NSF-MRSEC program DMR 1121252 (cw spectroscopy and the acquisition

of the experimental facilities for the sub-microsecond transient spectroscopy). The work at Technion was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF 598/14). The work at UCLA was supported by ONR (N00014-14-1-0648), AFOSR (FA2386-15-1-4108) and National Science Foundation (DMR-1335645). The work at Arizona was supported by NSF-CHE-1151475.

[†]Present address: Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, UK CB3 0HE.

^{††} Present address: Department of Electronic and Information Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

References

1. W. P. Su and J. R. Scrieffer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 5626-5629 (1980).

2. A. J. Heeger, S. Kivelson and J. R. Schrieffer, Rev. Mod. Physics 60, 781 (1988).

3. J. Halls, K. Pichler, R. H. Friend, S. Moratti and A. Holmes, Appl. Phys. Lett. 68, 3120 (1996).

4. S. Frolov, P. Lane, M. Ozaki, K. Yoshino and Z. V. Vardeny, Chem. Phys. Lett. **286**, 21 (1998).

5. G. Dennler, N. S. Sariciftci and C. J. Brabec, in *Semiconductor Polymers*, edited by G. Hadziioannou and G. G. Malliaras (WILEY-VCH, 2007), Vol. 1, pp. 455-530.

6. Y. Zuo, R. D. Champion and S. A. Jenekhe, Macromolecules **39**, 8712 (2006).

7. N. Blouin, A. Michaud and M. Leclerc, Adv Mater 19, 2295 (2007).

8. R. Tautz, E. D. Como, T. Limmer, J. Feldmann, H.-J. Egelhaaf, E. V. Hauff, V. Lemaur, D. Beljonne, S. Yilmaz and I. Dumsch, Nat Commun **3**, 970 (2012).

9. R. S. Kularante, H. D. Magurudeniya, P. Sista, M. C. Biewer and M. C. Stefan, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. **51**, 743 (2013).

10. Y. Liang, Z. Xu, J. Xia, S. T. Tsai, Y. Wu, G. Li, C. Ray and L. Yu, Adv Mater **22**, E135 (2010).

11. J. You, L. Dou, K. Yoshimura, T. Kato, K. Ohya, T. Moriarty, K. Emery, C.-C. Chen, J. Gao, G. Li and Y. Yang, Nat Commun **4**, 1446 (2013).

12. K. Aryanpour, T. Dutta, U. N. V. Huynh, Z. V. Vardeny and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Letters **115**, 267401 (2015).

13. A. P. Monkman, H. D. Burrows, L. J. Hartwell, L. E. Horsburgh, I. Hamblett and S. Navaratnam, Phys. Rev. Letters **86**, 1358-1361 (2001).

14. L. Dou, C.-C. Chen, K. Yoshimura, K. Ohya, W.-H. Chang, J. Gao, Y. Lin, E. Richards and Y. Yang, Macromolecules **46**, 3384 (2013).

15. U. Huynh, T. Basel, T. Xu, L. Lu, T. Zheng, L. Yu and Z. V. Vardeny, SPIE NanoScience and Engineering (International Society for Optics nd Photonics), 91650Z (2014).

16. T. Drori, J. Holt and Z. V. Vardeny, Phys. Rev. **B 82**, 075207 (2010).

17. B. R. Gautam, T. D. Nguyen, E. Ehrenfreund and Z. V. Vardeny, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 205207 (2012).

18. P. A. Lane, J. Shinar and K. Yoshino, Phys. Rev. **B 54**, 9308 (1996).

19. M. Liess, S. Jeglinski, Z. V. Vardeny, M. Ozaki, K. Yoshino, Y. Ding and T. Barton, Phys. Rev. **B 56**, 15712 (1997).

20. Z. V. Vardeny and M. Wholgenannt, in *Semiconducting Polymers*, edited by G. Hadziioannou and G. G. Malliaras (WILEY-VCH, 2007), pp. 235-275.

21. M. Chandross and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1497 (1997).

22. S. V. Frolov, Z. Bao, M. Wohlgenannt and Z. V. Vardeny, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2196 (2000).

23. I. D. W. Samuel, G. Rumbles and C. J. Collison, Phys. Rev. B 52, R11573 (1995).

24. A. Rao, P. C. Chow, S. Gélinas, C. W. Schlenker, C.-Z. Li, H.-L. Yip, A. K.-Y. Jen, D. S. Ginger, and R. H. Friend, Nature **500**, 435 (2013).

25. S. Gélinas *et al.*, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C **115**, 7114 (2011).

26. R. E. Merrifield, Pure and Applied Chemistry 27, 481-498 (1971).

27. J. J. Burdett and C. J. Bardeen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 8597 (2012).

28. P. M. Zimmerman, Z. Zhang and C. B. Musgrave, Nat Chem 2, 648-652 (2010).

29. C. X. Sheng, S. Singh, A. Gambetta, T. Drori, M. Tong, S. Tretiak and Z. V. Vardeny, Sci. Rep. **3** (2013).

30. C. R. Timmel, U. Till, B. Brocklehurst, K. A. McLauchlan and P. J. Hore, Mol. Phys. **95**, 71-89 (1998).

31. E. Ehrenfreund and Z. V. Vardeny, Israel Journal of Chemistry 52, 552-562 (2012).

32. R. E. Merrifield, Pure and Applied Chemistry 27, 481-498 (1971).



FIG. 1 (color online). Steady state (ss) spectroscopies of pristine PDTP-DFBT π -conjugated DAcopolymer; the repeat unit is shown in panel (a) inset. (a) The photoluminescence (PL) and absorption spectra of the DA-copolymer film. (b) The copolymer electro-absorption (EA) spectrum, where the two important excited states (namely the lowest odd-parity, 1¹B_u and most strongly coupled even parity, m¹A_g) and their energy levels are assigned (inset). (c) The steady state photoinduced absorption (ss-PA) spectrum measured via the background PA (BG-PA) in the ps pump-probe correlation, modulated at 1 kHz (see S.I.). The triplet PA band (PA_T) is assigned. The inset shows the energy levels of the lowest TE state (T=1¹B_u) and its most strongly coupled excited state (T^{*}=m³A_g). (d) The steady state magneto-PA (ss-MPA(*B*)) response of PA_T (namely MPA_T) measured at 40K. The line through the data points is a fit based on TE having zero-field splitting parameters, *D*=38 mT and *E*=15 mT¹⁷ (see model in the S.I.).



FIG. 2 (color online). Room-temperature ps transient PA spectroscopy of pristine PDTP-DFBT embedded in a polystyrene matrix, where the copolymer chains are isolated. (a) The transient PA (t-PA) spectrum of DOO-PPV polymer film in the mid-infrared measured at t=0 excited at 3.1 eV that is shown here for comparison with the transient PA in PDTP-DFBT. The inset shows the lack of t-MPA(*B*) response at 100 ps. (b) The time evolution of the t-PA spectrum in isolated chains of PDTP-DFBT measured at several delay times, *t*, following the pump excitation at 1.55 eV. PA₁ and PA₂ bands are assigned. (c) The normalized SE (black), TT (red), and TE (blue) PA bands extracted from the t-PA spectrum in (b) using the Generic Algorithm (GA) method (see Suppl. Info.). (d) The PA band dynamics as calculated by the GA method plotted in logarithmical scale for *t*, which shows that early decay of the PA_{SE} and PA_{TT} leads to build-up of PA_{TE} within ~50 ps.



FIG. 3 (color online). Transient magneto-PA (t-MPA) response of pristine PDTP-DFBT film in the ps to microsecond time domains. (a) The t-MPA(*B*) response of PA_{SE} (blue line) and PA_{TT} (red line) measured at *t*=200 ps up to *B*=300 mT. The lines through the data are fits using 10x10 model Hamiltonian (see text). (b) The evolution of the t-MPA at *B*=300 mT for PA_{SE} (black triangle) and PA_{TT} (black star) up to *t*=200 ps. The red symbols are calculated based on the 10x10 model Hamiltonian (see text). (c) The PA decays in the µsec time domain measured at 0.9 eV and 40K, at magnetic field *B*=0 (black line) and *B*=180 mT (red line), respectively up to *t*=40 µsec. The inset shows the t-MPA at *B*=180 mT up to 40 µsec calculated from the PA decays dependence on *B*. (d) The t-MPA(*B*) response up to *B*=180 mT measured at different times, *t* as indicated.