



# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Weaving Knotted Vector Fields with Tunable Helicity

Hridesh Kedia, David Foster, Mark R. Dennis, and William T. M. Irvine

Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 274501 — Published 29 December 2016

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.274501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.274501)

# Weaving knotted vector fields with tunable helicity

Hridesh Kedia,<sup>1,\*</sup> David Foster,<sup>2</sup> Mark R. Dennis,<sup>2</sup> and William T.M. Irvine<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, James Franck Institute, Enrico Fermi Institute, The University of Chicago, 929 E 57th St, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*HH Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TL, UK*

We present a general construction of divergence-free knotted vector fields from complex scalar fields, whose closed field lines encode many kinds of knots and links, including torus knots, their cables, the figure-8 knot and its generalizations. As finite-energy physical fields they represent initial states for fields such as the magnetic field in a plasma, or the vorticity field in a fluid. We give a systematic procedure for calculating the vector potential, starting from complex scalar functions with knotted zero filaments, thus enabling an explicit computation of the helicity of these knotted fields. The construction can be used to generate isolated knotted flux tubes, filled by knots encoded in the lines of the vector field. Lastly we give examples of manifestly knotted vector fields with vanishing helicity. Our results provide building blocks for analytical models and simulations alike.

*Introduction.* The idea that a physical field—such as a magnetic field—could be weaved into a knotty texture, has fascinated scientists ever since Lord Kelvin conjectured that atoms were in fact vortex knots in the aether. Since then, topology has emerged as a key organizing principle in physics, and knottiness is being explored as a fundamental aspect of classical and quantum fluids [1–8], magnetic fields in light and plasmas [9–19], liquid crystals [20–23], optical fields [24, 25], nonlinear field theories [26–29], wave chaos [30], and superconductors [31, 32].

In particular, helicity—a measure of average linking of field lines—is a conserved quantity in ideal fluids [33, 34] and plasmas [35–37]. Helicity thus places a fundamental topological constraint on their evolution [1, 10], and plays an important role in turbulent dynamo theory [38–40], magnetic relaxation in plasmas [41–43], and turbulence [44, 45]. Beyond fluids and plasmas, helicity conservation leads to a natural connection between the minimum energy configurations of knotted magnetic flux tubes [10, 42, 46], and tight knot configurations [47, 48], and tentatively with the spectrum of mass-energies of glueballs in the quark-gluon plasma [49–51].

Knotted field configurations provide a natural setting for studying helicity, but more subtlety is required to tie a knot in the lines of a vector field than in a shoelace: all the streamlines of the entire space-filling field must twist to conform to the knotted region. The difficulty of constructing knotted field configurations with controlled helicity makes it challenging to understand the role of helicity in the evolution of knotted structures [1, 10, 12].

In this Letter, we show how to explicitly construct knotted, divergence-free vector fields with a wide range of topologies which have finite-energy and tunable helicity, and give a systematic prescription for calculating the helicity of these knotted fields.

Studying the dynamics of these knotted field configurations in fluids and plasmas may deepen our understanding of helicity, give insights into the longstanding problem of ‘magnetic relaxation under topological constraints’ [52], and help understand the stability of plas-

mas in knotatrons – magnetic confinement devices in the shape of knots [53].




FIG. 1. Knotted structures encoded in the level sets of the complex scalar fields  $\psi = P(u, u^*, v, v^*)/Q(u, u^*, v, v^*)$ , where  $(u, v)$  are functions of  $(x, y, z)$  (see Eq. (3)). (a) Figure-8 knots:  $\psi = v / (64u^3 - 12u(3 - 2v^2 + 2v^{*2}) + (14v^2 + 14v^{*2} - v^4 + v^{*4}))$ . (b) Linked rings:  $\psi = u^2 / (u^2 - v^2)$ . (c) Trefoil knots:  $\psi = u^3 / (u^3 + v^2)$ . Level curves of  $\psi$  encode torus knots and links when  $Q(u, v)$  is of Brieskorn form [54]:  $u^p + v^q$ . (d) Figure-8 knots (symmetric):  $\psi = u / (64v^3 - 12v(3 + 2u^2 - 2u^{*2}) - (14u^2 + 14u^{*2} + u^4 - u^{*4}))$ . (e) Linked trefoil knots, constructed from 2 copies of the Milnor polynomial for a trefoil knot. See Supplemental Material for details. (f)  $C_{3,2}^{2,3}$  cable knots:  $\psi = (uv) / (v^4 - 2u^3v^2 - 2iu^3v + u^6 + \frac{1}{4}u^3)$ .

A classical problem from mathematics is the study of knots and links as nodal lines (zeros) of complex scalar fields [25, 54–57]. In fact, the level sets of a complex scalar field can give rise to collections of knotted curves that smoothly intertwine to fill up space. Well-known examples are the Hopf fibration [11, 13, 14, 58–60], Seifert fibrations [15, 61] and Milnor fibrations [25, 54, 62]. Many knots can be embedded as the nodal lines of complex scalar fields, in the family of lemniscate knots and their generalizations [63], which includes all torus knots and links [55], the figure-8 knot and generalizations [25]

(including the borromean rings and Turk's head knot), cable knots [64], and links of any of these.

Some representative examples of knotted complex scalar fields are illustrated in Fig. 1, where the level curves wind around knotted or linked tori, encoding the Hopf link (Fig. 1(b)), the trefoil knot (Fig. 1(c)), the figure-8 knot [25] (Fig. 1(a),(d)), a link of two trefoils (Fig. 1(e)) and a cable knot (Fig. 1(f)). In all of these examples, the level curves of the complex scalar field  $\psi$ , for any complex value of  $\psi$ , organize around a core set of lines where  $\psi = 0, \infty$  (zeros and poles of  $\psi$ ). Our construction of knotted vector fields follows from such knotted complex scalar fields, based on [29, 55, 63], where the level curves of constant complex amplitude are collections of knotted curves filling up space.

A vector field tangent to the level curves of a complex scalar field  $\psi$  is given simply by the the cross product  $-i\nabla\psi^* \times \nabla\psi = \nabla \times \text{Im}(\psi^*\nabla\psi)$ . A vector field with the same flow lines is

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\nabla\psi^* \times \nabla\psi}{(1 + \psi\psi^*)^2}. \quad (1)$$

This field is smooth everywhere, divergence-free ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ ) and has finite energy ( $\int d^3x |\mathbf{B}|^2 < \infty$ ). This vector field arises in a variety of different contexts, and was used previously to construct knotted initial states for electromagnetic fields [11, 15], and topological solitons in ideal magnetohydrodynamics [9].

Since the flow lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  (i.e. the level sets of  $\psi$ ) can clearly be knotted, it is natural to suppose that such fields have nontrivial helicity. Explicitly calculating the helicity  $\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  requires the choice of a vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ . A natural candidate,

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \frac{(\psi^* \nabla\psi - \psi \nabla\psi^*)}{(1 + \psi^*\psi)}, \quad (2)$$

suggests that the helicity of the knotted vector field  $\mathbf{B}$  vanishes. We will show that  $\mathbf{A}$  in Eq. (2) has a singular part which can be systematically removed, leading to a nonsingular vector potential which allows explicit calculation of the helicity of all these knotted fields.

The helicity of the resulting knotted vector field can be computed explicitly, and may be varied without changing the underlying knotted structure. Furthermore, these fields may be restricted to the interior of knotted flux tubes [65], whose helicity can be calculated exactly. Lastly, we construct knotted fields with vanishing total helicity, but non-vanishing helicity in the interior of knotted flux tubes—tori tangent to the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

*Rational maps.* Rational maps have found success in approximating certain minimum energy solutions of the Skyrme model [66], and this technique was extended by Sutcliffe [29] to approximate knotted solutions of the Skyrme-Faddeev model. The knotted vector field construction described here is based on rational maps of similar form. A rational map is defined




FIG. 2. Organization of the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  around lines where  $\psi = P(u, v)/Q(u, v)$  is 0 or  $\infty$ . (a), (d)  $Q = 0$  corresponds to the trefoil and figure-8 knots.  $Q_{\text{trefoil}} = u^3 + v^2$ ,  $Q_{\text{fig-8}} = 64v^3 - 12v(3 + 2u^2 - 2u^{*2}) - (14u^2 + 14u^{*2} + u^4 - u^{*4})$ . (b), (e) The lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  are tangent to nested knotted tori (blue) organized around the knots where  $Q = 0$ . (c), (f)  $P(u, v) = 0$  corresponds to the  $z$ -axis. The lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  are tangent to nested tori (cyan) organized around  $P(u, v) = 0$ .

as the ratio of two complex-valued polynomials  $\psi = P(u, u^*, v, v^*)/Q(u, u^*, v, v^*)$ , where the nodal lines (zeros) of  $Q(u, u^*, v, v^*)$  have the form of the desired knot, and  $P(u, u^*, v, v^*)$  is chosen to encode the desired helicity (Fig. (4)). Here, as in Fig. 1,  $(u, v)$  are complex coordinates on  $S^3$  which stereographically project (see Supplemental Material) to coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by

$$u = \frac{2(x + iy)}{1 + r^2}, \quad v = \frac{2z + i(r^2 - 1)}{1 + r^2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , and  $(u^*, v^*)$  denote complex conjugates of  $(u, v)$ .

Such  $\psi$  automatically give rise to a vector field  $\mathbf{B}$  as in Eq. (1), whose flow lines coincide with the level curves of  $\psi$ . The core set of lines that organize the flow lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  are the zeros of  $P$  and  $Q$  (see Fig. 2). A wide variety of knotted fields  $\mathbf{B}$  can be constructed from rational maps  $\psi$  by encoding the desired knot in the zeros of  $Q(u, u^*, v, v^*)$  for the various kinds of knot listed above (see Supplemental Material for details).

*Structure of knotted field lines.* We rewrite  $\mathbf{B}$  using Euler potentials [67–70]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= \nabla \left( \frac{\psi\psi^*}{1 + \psi\psi^*} \right) \times \frac{1}{4\pi i} \nabla \log \left( \frac{\psi}{\psi^*} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla\chi \times \nabla\eta \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\chi = (\psi\psi^*)/(1 + \psi\psi^*)$ ,  $\chi \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta = \frac{1}{2i} \log(\psi/\psi^*)$ ,  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . We note that as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$|\nabla\chi| \sim O(1/r)$ ,  $|\nabla\eta| \sim O(1/r)$ , so that the energy density  $|\mathbf{B}|^2 \sim O(1/r^4)$  and the energy of all such fields, as the square integral of  $\mathbf{B}$ , is finite.

The lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  are tangent to surfaces of constant  $\chi$  and Seifert surfaces of constant  $\eta$  (see Fig. 3), which can be considered as a generalization of the surfaces of constant  $\rho$  ( $\leftrightarrow \chi$ ) and constant  $\phi$  ( $\leftrightarrow \eta$ ) in cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \phi, z)$ , with the knot  $Q = 0$  replacing the  $z$ -axis.

The surfaces of constant  $\chi$  are knotted tori, nested inside one another (Fig. 3), with smaller values of  $\chi$  corresponding to larger tori, and the largest value  $\chi = 1$  corresponding to the knot  $Q = 0$  at the center of the tori (Fig. 2(a),(d)). Isosurfaces of smaller  $\chi$  are increasingly bigger knotted tori, eventually colliding to give tori organized around  $P = 0$ , as shown in Fig. 2(c),(f) in cyan, which converge to the lines  $P = 0$  as  $\chi \rightarrow 0$ .

By contrast,  $\eta$  is constant on Seifert surfaces for the core set of lines:  $P = 0$ ,  $Q = 0$ . Seifert surfaces for  $Q = 0$  are shown in Fig. 3. Since  $\eta$  is well-defined only in a multiply-connected volume which excludes the core set of lines, the helicity of  $\mathbf{B}$  can be non-vanishing [71], in spite of being expressible in terms of Euler potentials.

*Helicity calculation.* A smooth vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfying  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$  is needed to calculate the helicity  $\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  of these knotted fields explicitly. We now give a general prescription for computing such a vector potential, starting by rewriting  $\mathbf{A}$  in Eq. (2) as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \left( \frac{\psi \psi^*}{1 + \psi \psi^*} \right) \nabla \log \left( \frac{\psi}{\psi^*} \right). \quad (5)$$

Substituting  $\psi = P(u, u^*, v, v^*)/Q(u, u^*, v, v^*)$  gives

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \times \left[ \frac{|P|^2 \nabla \log \left( \frac{P}{P^*} \right) + |Q|^2 \nabla \log \left( \frac{Q}{Q^*} \right)}{|P|^2 + |Q|^2} - \nabla \log \left( \frac{Q}{Q^*} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

The last term containing  $\nabla \log(Q/Q^*)$  is singular at  $Q = 0$ . Since  $|Q|^2 \nabla \log(Q/Q^*) = Q^* \nabla Q - Q \nabla Q^*$ , this term in the fraction is smooth and nonsingular.

Hence the singular gauge transformation  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + (1/4\pi i) \nabla \log(Q/Q^*)$ , removes the singularity in  $\mathbf{A}$ , allowing the helicity to be computed directly. The vector potential  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  is smooth everywhere, giving the correct helicity  $\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ , which is equal to the Hopf invariant of the map  $\psi$  [29, 72] by the Whitehead integral formula. Hence we can explicitly compute the helicity [73] of arbitrary knotted fields  $\mathbf{B}$  and therefore, the Hopf invariant of arbitrary rational maps.

Surfaces of constant  $\log(Q/Q^*)$  yield explicit expressions for Seifert surfaces of the knot  $Q(u, u^*, v, v^*) = 0$  (see Fig. 3), and could be used to generate initial wavefunctions describing knotted vortices in superfluids and Bose-Einstein condensates.

The simplest illustration of our construction is given by the Hopf map [11, 13, 58–60]  $\psi = u/v$ . The vector




FIG. 3. Knotted field structures: knotted flux surfaces (blue) are surfaces of constant  $\chi$ , and Seifert surfaces for  $Q(u, u^*, v, v^*) = 0$  (orange) are surfaces of constant  $\log(Q/Q^*)$ . (a) Trefoil knot with  $Q_{\text{trefoil}}$ , (b) Figure-8 knot with  $Q_{\text{fig-8}}$  are defined in Fig. 2.

potential given by Eq. (5) has a singularity at  $v = 0$  (the unit circle in the  $xy$ -plane), which is removed via the singular gauge transformation  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A} + (1/4\pi i) \nabla \log(v/v^*)$ . The new vector potential  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  is smooth everywhere, and gives the correct helicity  $\mathcal{H} = \int d^3x \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 1$ , equal to the Hopf invariant of the map [29, 72].

*Tuning the helicity of a knotted field.* The helicity of  $\mathbf{B}$  can be tuned without changing the underlying knotted structure encoded in  $\mathbf{B}$ , as for rational maps [29]. The flow lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  contained in the knotted tori of constant  $\chi$  in the neighborhood of the knot  $Q = 0 \iff \chi = 1$ , encode knots of the same type as the knot  $Q = 0$ . However the degree of winding of these lines—and hence the helicity of  $\mathbf{B}$ —can be controlled by changing  $P(u, v)$ , as illustrated in Fig. 4.

Knotted fields encoding torus knots and links can be constructed from maps  $\psi = P(u, v)/Q(u, v)$  with  $P(u, v) = u^\alpha v^\beta$ ,  $Q(u, v) = u^q + v^p$ . The helicity of these fields can be varied without changing the underlying knotted structure by changing  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $P(u, v) = u^\alpha v^\beta$ . The helicity of  $\mathbf{B}$ , being equal to the Hopf invariant [29, 72] of the map  $\psi$ , is  $\mathcal{H} = \alpha p + \beta q$ . The lines of the field  $\mathbf{B}$  wind more for higher values of  $\alpha, \beta$  as indicated by the higher values of helicity.

Knotted fields encoding other knot types such as lemniscate knots, cable knots, iterated torus links can be constructed from maps  $\psi = u^\alpha/Q(u, u^*, v)$  [25, 63, 64, 74]. Their helicity is given by  $\mathcal{H} = \alpha \deg_v(Q)$  where  $\deg_v(Q)$  is the highest power of  $v$  appearing in  $Q(u, u^*, v)$ , and can be tuned by changing  $\alpha$ .

The helicity of such knotted fields  $\mathbf{B}$  can be tuned further to yield negative values by substituting  $P$  or  $Q$  with their complex conjugates.

*Helicity of knotted flux tubes.* Knotted flux tubes—magnetic flux tubes in plasmas or vortex tubes in fluids—can be generated by restricting the knotted field  $\mathbf{B}$  to the interior of a knotted tube (Fig. 3):  $\chi > \chi_0$  (see Supplemental Material). Such a knotted flux tube contains flux  $(1 - \chi_0)$ , and its helicity can be calculated as in [12, 75] to be  $\mathcal{H}_{\chi_0} = (1 - \chi_0)^2 \mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ , as the helicity for a uniformly




FIG. 4. Tuning the helicity  $\mathcal{H}$  of the knotted field  $\mathbf{B}$  by changing  $P$  for two fixed knot types (set by  $\leftrightarrow Q$ ). Varying the helicity corresponds to varying amounts of winding of the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$ . (a,b,c,d): Trefoil knots with  $Q_{\text{trefoil}}$ , (e,f,g,h): Figure-8 knots with  $Q_{\text{fig.8}}$ , with  $Q$  functions as defined in Fig. 2.

twisted field with twist equal to  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ .

*Knotted fields with vanishing helicity.* Knotted fields  $\mathbf{B}$  constructed from rational maps  $\psi = P$  (i.e.  $Q = 1$ ), have vanishing helicity despite having knotted field lines.

This is because vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  in Eq. (2) is singularity-free, implying  $\mathcal{H} = 0$ . Geometrically, the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  tangent to the different knotted tori, are of different handedness, and the average linking between the lines vanishes. However, the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  in the interior of a knotted torus—such that the lines of  $\mathbf{B}$  are tangent to the torus i.e. the torus is a magnetic surface, so that the helicity in the torus is gauge-invariant—may have non-vanishing helicity which is difficult to compute analytically (see Supplemental Material).

Alternatively, the vanishing of total helicity follows from the vanishing of the Hopf invariant [29, 72] of the map given by  $\psi = P(u, u^*, v, v^*)$ , since the set of  $(u, u^*, v, v^*)$  such that  $\psi = \infty$  is a null set.

*Summary.* We have presented a general method for constructing physically viable knotted vector fields, encoding an arbitrary combination of knots woven together, and shown how to explicitly compute their helicity. Furthermore, we have shown how to construct knotted flux tubes, and calculate their helicity.

Knotted fields arising as solutions to Maxwell’s equations [19] have found application in the construction of topological solitons in magnetohydrodynamics [16] and

resistive MHD flows [17]. The knotted vector fields presented here encode a much larger variety of knots, possessing a richer structure, and studying their evolution could lead to new insights about the role of helicity in fluids [40] and plasmas [52, 53], and novel topological solitons.

Finally, our systematic procedure for calculating the helicity of the knotted field  $\mathbf{B}$ , may help accurately determine the Hopf charge of arbitrarily knotted Skyrme-Faddeev solitons [27, 29] and help tighten the lower bound on how their minimum energy grows with their Hopf charge [76].

The authors are grateful to Ben Bode for useful discussions, and to the KITP and the Newton Institute for hospitality during the early part of this work. W.T.M.I. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Packard Foundation, D.F. and M.R.D. acknowledge support from the Leverhulme Trust Programme Grant ‘Scientific Properties of Complex Knots’

\* hridesh@uchicago.edu

[1] H. K. Moffatt, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **35**, 117 (1969).  
 [2] A. Enciso and D. Peralta-Salas, *Annals of Mathematics*

- 175**, 345 (2012).
- [3] D. Kleckner and W. T. M. Irvine, *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 253 (2013).
- [4] M. W. Scheeler, D. Kleckner, D. Proment, G. L. Kindlmann, and W. T. M. Irvine, *PNAS* **111**, 15350 (2014).
- [5] C. F. Barenghi, *Milan J. Math.* **75**, 177 (2007).
- [6] Y. Kawaguchi, M. Nitta, and M. Ueda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 180403 (2008).
- [7] D. S. Hall, M. W. Ray, K. Tiurev, E. Ruokokoski, A. H. Gheorghie, and M. Mttnen, *Nat Phys* **12**, 478 (2016).
- [8] D. Kleckner, L. H. Kauffman, and W. T. M. Irvine, *Nat. Phys.* (2016), 10.1038/nphys3679.
- [9] A. M. Kamchatnov, *JETP* , 117 (1982).
- [10] H. K. Moffatt, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **159**, 359 (1985).
- [11] A. F. Ranada, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **18**, 97 (1989).
- [12] A. Y. K. Chui and H. K. Moffatt, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **451**, 609 (1995).
- [13] W. T. M. Irvine and D. Bouwmeester, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 716 (2008).
- [14] W. T. M. Irvine, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 385203 (2010).
- [15] M. Arrayas and J. L. Trueba, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 025203 (2015).
- [16] A. Thompson, J. Swearngin, A. Wickes, and D. Bouwmeester, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 043104 (2014).
- [17] C. . Smiet, S. Candelaresi, A. Thompson, J. Swearngin, J. W. Dalhuisen, and D. Bouwmeester, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 095001 (2015).
- [18] A. F. Ranada, M. Soler, and J. L. Trueba, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 7181 (2000).
- [19] H. Kedia, I. Bialynicki-Birula, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 150404 (2013).
- [20] U. Tkalec, M. Ravnik, S. Copar, S. Zumer, and I. Musevic, *Science* **333**, 62 (2011).
- [21] G. P. Alexander, B. G.-g. Chen, E. A. Matsumoto, and R. D. Kamien, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 497 (2012).
- [22] T. Machon and G. P. Alexander, *PNAS* **110**, 14174 (2013).
- [23] A. Martinez, M. Ravnik, B. Lucero, R. Visvanathan, S. Zumer, and I. I. Smalyukh, *Nat. Mat.* **13**, 258 (2014).
- [24] M. V. Berry and M. R. Dennis, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **456**, 2059 (2000).
- [25] M. R. Dennis, R. P. King, B. Jack, K. O'Holleran, and M. J. Padgett, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 118 (2010).
- [26] L. Faddeev and A. J. Niemi, *Nature* **387**, 58 (1997).
- [27] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **455**, 4305 (1999).
- [28] E. Babaev, L. D. Faddeev, and A. J. Niemi, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 100512 (2002).
- [29] P. Sutcliffe, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **463**, 3001 (2007).
- [30] A. J. Taylor and M. R. Dennis, *Nature Communications* **7**, 12346 (2016).
- [31] E. Babaev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 177002 (2002).
- [32] E. Babaev, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 104506 (2009).
- [33] H. Helmholtz, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **55**, 25 (1858).
- [34] W. Thomson, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **6**, 94 (1869).
- [35] L. Woltjer, *PNAS* **44**, 489 (1958).
- [36] S. Chandrasekhar and L. Woltjer, *PNAS* **44** (1958).
- [37] W. A. Newcomb, *Annals of Physics* **3**, 347 (1958).
- [38] R. Monchaux, M. Berhanu, S. Aumatre, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, B. Dubrulle, F. Ravelet, S. Fauve, N. Morandant, F. Ptrlis, M. Bourgoïn, P. Odier, J.-F. Pinton, N. Plihon, and R. Volk, *Phys. Fluids* **21**, 035108 (2009).
- [39] M. Steenbeck, F. Krause, and K.-H. Rdler, *Z. Naturforsch. A Phys. Sci.* **21**, 369 (2014).
- [40] H. K. Moffatt, *PNAS* **111**, 3663 (2014).
- [41] V. I. Arnold, *The asymptotic Hopf invariant and its applications*, 2 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1974).
- [42] M. H. Freedman, *J. Fluid Mech.* **194**, 549 (1988).
- [43] H. K. Moffatt, *Journal of Plasma Physics* **81** (2015), 10.1017/S0022377815001269.
- [44] M. M. Rogers and P. Moin, *Physics of Fluids (1958-1988)* **30**, 2662 (1987).
- [45] J. M. Wallace, J.-L. Balint, and L. Ong, *Phys. Fluids A: Fluid Dyn.* **4**, 2013 (1992).
- [46] H. K. Moffatt, *Nature* **347**, 367 (1990).
- [47] V. Katritch, J. Bednar, D. Michoud, R. G. Scharein, J. Dubochet, and A. Stasiak, *Nature* **384**, 142 (1996).
- [48] P. Pieranski and S. Przybyl, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 031801 (2001).
- [49] R. V. Buniy and T. W. Kephart, *Physics Letters B* **576**, 127 (2003).
- [50] R. V. Buniy and T. W. Kephart, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20**, 1252 (2005).
- [51] R. V. Buniy, J. Cantarella, T. W. Kephart, and E. J. Rawdon, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 054513 (2014).
- [52] H. K. Moffatt, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **472**, 20160183 (2016).
- [53] S. R. Hudson, E. Startsev, and E. Feibush, *Physics of Plasmas (1994-present)* **21**, 010705 (2014).
- [54] J. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces.*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* (Princeton University Press, 1969).
- [55] K. Brauner, *Abh.Math.Semin.Univ.Hambg.* **6**, 1 (1928).
- [56] B. Perron, *Inv. Math.* **65**, 441 (1982).
- [57] A. J. Taylor and M. R. Dennis, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 465101 (2014).
- [58] D. W. Lyons, *Math. Magazine* **76**, 87 (2003).
- [59] H. K. Urbantke, *J. Geom. Phys.* **46**, 125 (2003).
- [60] R. Mosseri and J.-F. Sadoc, *Struct. Chem.* **23**, 1071 (2012).
- [61] J. F. Sadoc and J. Charvolin, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 465209 (2009).
- [62] R. P. King, *Knottling of optical vortices*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southampton (2010).
- [63] B. Bode, M. R. Dennis, D. Foster, and R. P. King, *arXiv:1611.02563* (2016).
- [64] P. Jennings, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 315401 (2015).
- [65] Helicity in these flux tubes is gauge invariant [1, 75] because  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$  on the surface of these flux tubes, ie. they are magnetic surfaces.
- [66] C. J. Houghton, N. S. Manton, and P. M. Sutcliffe, *Nucl. Phys. B* **510**, 507 (1998).
- [67] D. Stern, *J. Geophys. Res.* **72**, 3995 (1967).
- [68] D. P. Stern, *American Journal of Physics* **38**, 494 (1970).
- [69] M. Hesse and K. Schindler, *J. Geophys. Res.* **93**, 5559 (1988).
- [70] K. K. Khurana, *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 11295 (1997).
- [71] R. Rosner, B. C. Low, K. Tsinganos, and M. A. Berger, *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **48**, 251 (1989).
- [72] J. H. C. Whitehead, *PNAS* **33**, 117 (1947).
- [73] Mathematically,  $\psi(u, v)$  takes its value on the complex projective plane,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  (i.e. the complex numbers with the point at  $\infty$ ), which is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere  $S^2$ : in this sense, helicity can be understood as the topological degree of the map  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ .
- [74] L. Rudolph, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **62**, 630 (1987).

- [75] M. A. Berger and G. B. Field, *J. Fluid Mech.* **147**, 133 (1984).
- [76] R. S. Ward, *Nonlinearity* **12**, 241 (1999).