

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Charge Redistribution from Anomalous Magnetovorticity Coupling

Koichi Hattori and Yi Yin Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 152002 — Published 5 October 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.152002

### Charge redistribution from anomalous magneto-vorticity coupling

Koichi Hattori<sup>1,2</sup> and Yi Yin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

<sup>2</sup>RIKEN-BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000, U.S.A

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000, USA

(Dated: September 19, 2016)

We investigate novel transport phenomena in a chiral fluid originated from an interplay between a vorticity and strong magnetic field, which induces a redistribution of vector charges in the system and an axial current along the magnetic field. The corresponding transport coefficients are obtained from an energy-shift argument for the chiral fermions in the lowest Landau level (LLL) due to a spin-vorticity coupling and also from diagrammatic computations on the basis of the linear response theory. Based on consistent results from the both methods, we observe that the transport coefficients are proportional to the anomaly coefficient and are independent of temperature and chemical potential. We therefore speculate that these transport phenomena are connected to quantum anomaly.

#### INTRODUCTION

A number of intensive and extensive studies have shown that the dynamics of chiral fermions in various systems manifests itself in anomalous transport phenomena induced by the quantum anomaly. The broad set of such systems includes the primordial electroweak plasma in the early universe [1], the QCD matter created in the relativistic heavy-ion collisions [2], and newly invented condensed matter systems - Weyl and Dirac semimetals [3, 4] (see also Refs. [5–7] for recent reviews).

One prominent example of such anomalous transport phenomena is known as the Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME) [8, 9], that is, an induction of a vector (electric) current in response to a magnetic field  $\boldsymbol{B}$ . In the presence of a chirality imbalance quantified by the axial chemical potential  $\mu_A$ , the vector current is induced along  $\boldsymbol{B}$  as

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{V,\text{CME}} = q_f C_A \mu_A \boldsymbol{B} \,, \tag{1}$$

where  $q_f$  is the electric charge of the chiral fermion and  $C_A = 1/2\pi^2$  is the nonrenormalizable coefficient characterizing the chiral anomaly relation

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{A} = q_{f}^{2}C_{A}\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}.$$
 (2)

The CME current has been investigated by various theories and methods which consistently confirm Eq. (1) (see Refs. [5, 9] for reviews). This indicates the universality of CME attributed to the topological nature of the chiral anomaly.

It is also known that the magnetic field induces not only the vector current but also an axial current. Namely, the Chiral Separation Effect (CSE) [10] emerges in the presence of a vector chemical potential  $\mu_V$  as

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{A,\text{CSE}} = q_f C_A \mu_V \boldsymbol{B} \,. \tag{3}$$

A vorticity in a chiral fluid plays a similar role as that of the magnetic field, and hence induces anomalous vector and axial currents, – this is referred as the Chiral Vortical Effect (CVE) [2, 11–13]. The CME and CVE have been understood on the equal footing within the framework of anomalous hydrodynamics from the second law of thermodynamics [14].

It should be emphasized that the above studies are devoted to the separate effects of the magnetic field  $\boldsymbol{B}$  or the vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ . In the pioneering hydrodynamic analysis with the anomaly [14], both vorticity and magnetic field are accounted as the first order in the gradient expansion. Consequently, the coupling between  $\boldsymbol{B}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  is dropped as a higher-order effect in that systematic framework. However, in the context of magnetohydrodynamics, the magnetic field is not screened in a medium, and its strength can be much larger than the gradients, suggesting the importance of going beyond the conventional gradient expansion.

In this letter, we will show that the interplay between the vorticity and strong magnetic field induces a local vector charge density

$$\Delta j_V^0 = q_f \frac{C_A}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \,, \tag{4}$$

where the vorticity is defined by  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{v}$ . Below, Eq. (4) will be consistently derived both from an analysis of the energy shift by a spin-vorticity coupling in the lowest Landau level (LLL) and from a diagrammatic computation on the basis of the Kubo formula. Remarkably,  $\Delta j_V^0$  in Eq. (4) is proportional to anomaly coefficient  $C_A$ , and does not depend on temperature and chemical potential. This suggests a connection to the underlying quantum anomaly as discussed below.

It is worth pointing out that Eq. (4) does not create a globe vector charge, i.e.,  $\int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \Delta j_V^0 = 0$ . This can be seen as  $\int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}) =$  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial V} d\boldsymbol{S} \cdot (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}) = 0$  for a homogenous magnetic field  $\boldsymbol{B}$ . As usual, we assume that the flow velocity  $\boldsymbol{v}$  vanishes sufficiently fast at the asymptotic region. Therefore, Eq. (4) indicates a redistribution of the vector charge in the system. In general, due to the inherent inhomogeneity of the vorticity, Eq. (4) will induce intriguing charge distribution patterns in a chiral fluid.

We will also show that, accompanying the induction of the local vector charge imbalance (4), a new contribution to the axial current emerges as

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{j}_{A} = |q_{f}| \frac{C_{A}}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}, \qquad (5)$$

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \boldsymbol{B}/|\boldsymbol{B}|$  is the unit vector along the magnetic field. This is an analogue of CSE (3) induced by the imbalance of vector charge  $\mu_V$ . Here, it is remarkable that the axial current is dynamically generated without an initial finite value of  $\mu_V$ .

The generation of the vector charge density in chiral media is also discussed in condensed matter physics on the basis of the realization of an effective axial gauge field [15, 16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, Eqs. (4) and (5) are new in literature. Since the vorticity is one of the most important dynamical variables in magnetohydrodynamics, its coupling to the strong magnetic field, indicated by Eqs. (4) and (5), should be incorporated in anomalous magnetohydrodynamics (see also Eq. (21) below). Results reported in this letter clearly open a new avenue for studying the intriguing interplay occurring in a wide variety of chiral media in strong magnetic fields.

#### PHYSICAL PICTURE

Prior to performing an explicit diagrammatic analysis, we first provide a physical picture as to why the vorticity would induce a local vector-charge density when coupled to a magnetic field.

We shall consider chiral fermions in the presence of a static and homogeneous magnetic field B. The energy spectra of chiral fermions are discretized into the Landau levels (LLs). We next turn on a slowly varying velocity field v which leads to a nonzero vorticity  $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times v$ . After a sufficiently long time, each fluid cell reaches a local equilibrium with the single particle distribution function given by  $f(\epsilon, \omega) = f_0(\epsilon')$  where  $f_0$ denotes the equilibrium distribution function. Our key observation is that the vorticity shifts the single particle energy from  $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon'$  by an amount  $\Delta \epsilon \equiv \epsilon' - \epsilon = -\mathbf{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$ . Here, S is the intrinsic angular momentum (spin) carried by fermions. Such an energy shift due to the spinvorticity coupling can be derived by observing the shift of the single-particle Hamiltonian in a rotating frame [17]. The energy shift also naturally arises in the equilibrium fermion distribution by computing the distribution function which maximizes the entropy [11, 18] or by working out a constraint imposed by the detailed balance [19]. In the every higher LL, the spin-vorticity coupling splits the degenerated spin states into the opposite directions, so that these effects cancel at the linear order in  $\omega$  when averaging over the spin. We will therefore concentrate

on the unique grand state, i.e., the lowest Landau level (LLL).

In the LLL, the spin directions of both right and left handed particles are frozen in the same direction along the magnetic field  $\mathbf{S}_{R/L} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(q_f) \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ , and those of antiparticles are oriented in the opposite direction. Consequently, the energy shift in the LLL has no dependence on the chirality and is given by

$$\Delta \epsilon_{LLL}^{\pm} = \mp \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(q_f) \, \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \,, \tag{6}$$

where the upper and lower signs refer to a particle and antiparticle, respectively. Below, we take  $B = B \hat{e}_3$  without loss of generality.

We are now ready to compute the change of the density of chiral fermions  $n_{R/L}$  due to the vorticity. As explained above, we only need to consider the contributions from the LLLs where the fermion dynamics is reduced to the (1+1) dimensional along **B**. Expanding  $f_0(\epsilon')$  up to the linear order in  $\Delta \epsilon$ , and using the linear dispersion relation of the right-handed LLL fermion, i.e.,  $\epsilon_{\text{LLL}} = +p^3$ , we find

$$\Delta n_R = \left(\frac{|q_f B|}{2\pi}\right) \left[\Delta \epsilon_{LLL}^+ \int_0^\infty \frac{dp^3}{2\pi} \frac{\partial f_0(p^3)}{\partial p^3} + \Delta \epsilon_{LLL}^- \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{dp^3}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \bar{f}_0(p^3)}{\partial p^3}\right]$$
$$= q_f \frac{C_A}{4} (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) \left[f_0(0) + \bar{f}_0(0)\right]$$
$$= q_f \frac{C_A}{4} (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}). \tag{7}$$

Here, the factor of  $|q_f B|/2\pi$  is the density of states in the LLL per unit transverse area. The Fermi-Dirac distribution functions of particles and antiparticles are given by  $f_0(\epsilon) = 1/[e^{(\epsilon-\mu)/T} + 1]$  and  $\bar{f}_0(\epsilon) =$  $1/[e^{-(\epsilon-\mu)/T} + 1]$ , respectively. We have used the fact that  $f_0(\infty) = \bar{f}_0(-\infty) = 0$ . Remarkably, one finds an identity  $f_0(0) + \bar{f}_0(0) = 1$ , which is independent of temperature *T* and chemical potential  $\mu$ . Consequently, the last line in Eq. (7) is also independent of *T* and  $\mu$ . For the left-handed fermions with  $\epsilon_{\text{LLL}} = -p^3$ , a similar computation leads to  $\Delta n_L = \Delta n_R$ . Therefore, we find  $\Delta n_V = \Delta n_L + \Delta n_R = q_f C_A(\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})/2$ . This is the aforementioned result shown in Eq. (4).

Furthermore, since the chiral fermions in the LLL are moving along  $\hat{e}_3$  with the speed of light, the generation of  $\Delta n_{R,L}$  also induces currents  $\Delta j_R^3 = \operatorname{sgn}(S_R)\Delta n_R$  and  $\Delta j_L^3 = -\operatorname{sgn}(S_L)\Delta n_L$ . Therefore, from Eq. (7), we find an axial current  $\Delta j_A^3 = \Delta j_R^3 - \Delta j_L^3 = |q_f|C_A(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega})\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}/2$ . This verifies Eq. (5). On the other hand, the vector current vanishes  $\Delta j_V^3 = \Delta j_R^3 + \Delta j_L^3 = 0$ . Alternatively, one might also interpret the amount of the energy shift (6) as an effective chemical potential  $\Delta \mu_{R,L} = -\Delta \epsilon_{LLL}^+$ (see also Ref. [20] for a discussion on the analogy between rotating and charge density). Plugging the effective vector chemical potential  $\Delta \mu_V = (\Delta \mu_R + \Delta \mu_L)/2 =$   $\operatorname{sgn}(q_f)\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$  into the CSE current (3), we again find the generation of the axial current (5) along the magnetic field. Note that the sign of the axial current depends only on the direction of the vorticity and is independent of that of the magnetic field.

Importantly, since Eq. (7) and thus Eq. (4) manifestly depend on the anomaly coefficient  $C_A$ , but neither T nor  $\mu$ , it is natural to speculate that the form of Eq. (4) is nonrenormalizable and is tied to the chiral anomaly. In the subsequent section, we will verify Eqs. (4) and (5) by an explicit field-theoretical computation, and provide a further evidence on the connection to the quantum anomaly.

#### DIAGRAMMATICAL COMPUTATIONS

We now perform the field-theoretical computation. We will consider the response of the chiral medium to the vorticity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  in the presence of external magnetic field. An inhomogeneous velocity field  $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})$  may be mimicked by turning on a fictitious gravitational field  $ds^2 = dt^2 + 2\boldsymbol{v} \cdot d\boldsymbol{x} dt - d\boldsymbol{x}^2$ , i.e.,  $g_{0i}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \delta_{ij} v^j(\boldsymbol{x})$ . Therefore, the Fourier representation of Eq. (4) is cast into

$$j_V^0 = \frac{\lambda}{2} \,\epsilon^{ljk} \,\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_l\left(iq_j\right) g_{0k} \,, \tag{8}$$

where we used  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{v}$ , and  $\lambda$  is the transport coefficient to be computed below. We again take the direction of the magnetic field to be  $\boldsymbol{B} = B\hat{e}_3$  and specify an inhomogeneous velocity profile as  $\boldsymbol{v} = v(x_1)\hat{e}_2$  or equivalently an inhomogeneous perturbation of the metric as  $\delta g_{02}(x_1)$ . Inverting Eq. (8), we find the Kubo formula

$$\lambda = (-2i) \lim_{\boldsymbol{q} \to 0} \left[ \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} G_{R}^{0,02}(\omega, \boldsymbol{q}) \right], \qquad (9)$$

with the retarded Green's function (see Fig. 1):

$$G_{R}^{0,02}(x-x') \equiv \left\langle j_{V}^{0}(x)T^{02}(x')\right\rangle \theta(t-t').$$
(10)

A similar Kubo formula was used to study the CVE without an external magnetic field in Ref. [21].

We now evaluate the Green's function (10) in a weak coupling theory. The vector current and energymomentum tensor of Dirac fermions are given by

$$j^{\mu}_{\nu}(x) \equiv \bar{\Psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\Psi(x), \qquad (11a)$$

$$T^{0i}(x) \equiv \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Psi}(x)(\gamma^0 D^i + \gamma^i D^0)\Psi(x),$$
 (11b)

where  $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$  and  $\gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ . The covariant derivative  $D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + iq_f A^{\mu}_{\text{ext}}(x)$  includes the gauge potential  $A^{\mu}_{\text{ext}}(x)$  for the magnetic field **B**.

We consider the one-loop diagram composed of the dressed Fermion propagators in the external magnetic



FIG. 1. One-loop diagram for the Kubo formula. The internal double lines represent the fermions in the LLL.

field. Below, we will restrict ourselves to the contributions from the lowest Landau levels (LLLs). This is because the anomalous currents such as the CME current are solely transported by the fermions populated in the LLL. We therefore project the fermion wave function into the LLL:  $\Psi = \mathcal{P}_+ \psi_{\text{LLL}}$  with  $\psi_{\text{LLL}}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\pm} = (1 \pm i s_f \gamma^1 \gamma^2)/2$  being the LLL wave function and the spin-projection operator with  $s_f \equiv \text{sgn}(q_f B)$ , respectively.

The coordinate representation of the retarded Green's function (10) is written as (cf. Fig. 1):

$$G_{R}^{0,02}(x-x') = \frac{1}{2i} \operatorname{tr} [\gamma^{0} \mathcal{P}_{+} S_{\mathrm{LLL}}(x,x') \times \gamma^{0} (D_{x'}^{2} S_{\mathrm{LLL}}(x',x))], (12)$$

where we have used the fact that the second term of Eq. (11b) vanishes for the transverse components (i = 1, 2), when the wave function is projected to the LLL. Here,  $S_{\text{LLL}}(x', x) = \langle \psi_{\text{LLL}}(x') \bar{\psi}_{\text{LLL}}(x) \rangle$  symbolically represents the LLL propagator in the medium and is factorized as [6, 22]:

$$S_{\text{LLL}}(x', x) = e^{i\phi(x', x)} \tilde{S}_{\text{LLL}}(x' - x),$$
 (13)

where the Schwinger phase is given by

$$\phi(x',x) = -q_f \int_x^{x'} dz^{\mu} \left[ A_{\mu}^{\text{ext}}(z) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ext}}(z^{\nu} - x^{\nu}) \right] , \ (14)$$

with  $F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ext}} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{\text{ext}} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{\text{ext}}$ . The above integrand is curlfree, and hence the integral is path-independent. Therefore, a straightforward calculation gives  $D_{x'}^{\mu} \phi(x', x) = \phi(x', x) \{\partial_{x'}^{\mu} - iq_f F_{\text{ext}}^{\mu\nu} \Delta x_{\nu}/2\}$ , where  $\Delta x^{\mu} = x'^{\mu} - x^{\mu}$ . Consequently, the Schwinger phases in Eq. (12) cancel each other as  $\phi(x, x') + \phi(x', x) = 0$ . The remaining parts then depend only on the difference  $\Delta x^{\mu}$  and are independent of the gauge potential, indicating the manifest translational and gauge invariances.

With these manifest symmetries, we are now ready to transform (12) into the Fourier space:

$$G_R^{0,02}(q) = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \gamma^0 \mathcal{P}_+ \tilde{S}_{\text{LLL}}(p+q) \right. \\ \left. \times \left( p^2 + is_f \frac{|q_f B|}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^1} \right) \gamma^0 \tilde{S}_{\text{LLL}}(p) \right].$$
(15)

Note that  $\tilde{S}_{LLL}$  is completely factorized into the transverse and longitudinal parts as [6, 23]:

$$\tilde{S}_{\text{LLL}}(p_{\parallel}, p_{\perp}) = 2e^{-\frac{|p_{\perp}|^2}{|q_f B|}} S_{1+1}(p_{\parallel}), \qquad (16)$$

where  $p_{\parallel}^{\mu} = (p^0, 0, 0, p^3)$  and  $p_{\perp}^{\mu} = (0, p^1, p^2, 0)$ . This of course is anticipated from the dimensional reduction in the LLL. The longitudinal part  $S_{1+1}(p_{\parallel})$  is the (1+1)dimensional Fermion propagator in a medium. At this moment, its explicit form is not important. The integration over the transverse momentum  $p_{\perp}$  in Eq. (15) can be easily performed, and we then arrived at

$$G_R^{0,02}(q) = is_f \frac{|q_f B|}{8\pi} \left( q^1 + is_f q^2 \right) \Pi_R^{00}(q_{\parallel}) \,, \quad (17)$$

where  $q^1$  and  $q^2$  are components of the external momentum  $q^{\mu}$ .

Remarkably, we find that the retarded Green's function  $G_R^{0,02}$ , which determines the medium's response to the vorticity in (3+1) dimension, is connected to the polarization tensor in (1+1) dimension:

$$i\Pi_R^{00}(q_{\parallel}) \equiv \int \frac{d^2 p_{\parallel}}{(2\pi)^2} \operatorname{tr}_{{}_{2D}}[\gamma^0 S_{1+1}(p_{\parallel}+q_{\parallel})\gamma^0 S_{1+1}(p_{\parallel})].$$
(18)

Furthermore, since this polarization tensor  $\Pi_R^{00}$  is related to the chiral anomaly in (1+1) dimension, it is one-loop exact and is not subject to any temperature or density correction for the massless fermion [23, 24]. Here, the one-loop exact form is given by

$$\Pi_R^{00}(q_{\parallel}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{(q_3)^2}{\omega^2 - (q_3)^2} \right], \tag{19}$$

where  $\omega \equiv q^0$ . By plugging the result of the Green's function (17) and (19) into the Kubo formula (9), the transport coefficient  $\lambda$  is finally obtained as

$$\lambda = \frac{C_A}{2} q_f B \,. \tag{20}$$

Inserting  $\lambda$  into Eq. (8), we indeed verify Eq. (4) which was also obtained from the physical argument presented in the previous section. We also note that one should take  $\omega \to 0$  limit first in Eq. (9) as in the perturbative computations of other vorticity-induced transport phenomena [21] (see also Ref. [25] for discussions).

The existence of  $j_V^0$  also implies a corresponding term in the axial current  $\mathbf{j}_A = \bar{\Psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\Psi(x)$ . This is due to the relation between the vector and axial currents in the LLL,  $j_A^{\mu} = -s_f \epsilon_{\parallel}^{\mu\nu} j_{V\nu}$  with  $\epsilon_{\parallel}^{03} = -\epsilon_{\parallel}^{03} = +1$ . From this relation  $j_A^3 = s_f j_V^0$  and the vector charge density (4), we also verify Eq. (5). Of course, one can reach the same conclusion by starting out from Eq. (8) with the replacement of  $j_V^{\mu}$  by  $j_A^{\mu}$ .

We have so far considered a single-flavor and colorneutral fermion. Since the flavor dependence appears only in the overall factor of  $q_f$ , extension to multiflavor cases is simply implemented as the sum of fermion charges. The color factor  $N_c$  for quarks should be included just as the overall factors in Eqs. (4) and (5).

#### SUMMARY AND APPLICATIONS

We investigated novel anomalous transport phenomena in a chiral fluid in the presence of both vorticity and magnetic field. Our main results are summarized in Eqs. (4) and (5). Our analyses suggest that the corresponding transport coefficients are, due to the relation to the chiral anomaly in (1+1) dimension, protected from temperature and density corrections. The factorization in Eq. (17) plays a crucial for establishing the relation to the chiral anomaly. It would be interesting to examine Eqs. (4) and (5) by different approaches, for example, by means of the analytic solution of the Dirac equation in a rotating frame [20], the holographic correspondence [26], and the Wigner function formalism [27].

It is important to implement our findings into the "anomalous magnetohydrodynamics" [28]. Casting (4) into a covariant form, we propose the following realization of the magneto-vorticity coupling in the framework of anomalous magnetohydrodynamics:

$$u_{\mu}j_{V}^{\mu} = n_{0}(T,\mu;B) + \Delta n, \qquad \Delta n = C_{A}\omega_{\mu}B^{\mu}.$$
(21)

As in the conventional cases,  $n_0$  denotes the local equilibrium density as a function of temperature T and chemical potential  $\mu$  in the absence of vorticity, and  $u_{\mu}$  is the flow velocity. The magneto-vorticity coupling is included in  $\Delta n$  with  $\omega^{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta}$  and  $B^{\mu} \equiv \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} u_{\nu}$ . As mentioned in Introduction, this coupling term becomes comparable in magnitude to the first-order terms in the presence of strong magnetic fields. Therefore, the modification (21) should be included into anomalous magnetohydrodynamics together with the anomalous terms already considered in Ref. [14].

Finally, turning to phenomenological applications of our work, we call attention to the relativistic heavy-ion collisions where both a strong magnetic field and a rotation of the quark-gluon plasma are created [5]. While effects of the magnetic field and vorticity have been considered separately in the heavy-ion phenomelogy, their interplay has been overlooked up to now. It is also interesting to investigate effects of the coupling between magnetic fields and rotations of compact stars.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Y. Hidaka, Y. Hirono, M. Hongo, D. Kharzeev, L. McLerran, R. Pisarski, P. Surowka, M. Stephanov, and H.-U. Yee for helpful discussions, and K. Fukushima, X.-G. Huang, S. Lin, D. Satow and N. Yamamoto for comments on the draft. Y.Y. also acknowledges the stimulating environment of the "QCD Chirality" workshop 2016 at UCLA. This work is supported in part by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2016M590312 and, at the early stage, by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grants-in-Aid No. 25287066 (K.H.). K.H. is also grateful to support from RIKEN-BNL Research Center. This material is based upon work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contract No. DE-SC0012704, and within the framework of the Beam Energy Scan Theory (BEST) Topical Collaboration (Y.Y.).

- M. Giovannini and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. D57, 2186 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9710234 [hep-ph].
- D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, Nucl.Phys. A803, 227 (2008), arXiv:0711.0950 [hep-ph];
   D. Kharzeev and A. Zhitnitsky, *ibid.* A797, 67 (2007), arXiv:0706.1026 [hep-ph]; J. Liao, Pramana 84, 901 (2015), arXiv:1401.2500 [hep-ph].
- [3] D. Ciudad et al., Nature Materials 14, 863 (2015).
- [4] M. Neupane, S.-Y. Xu, R. Sankar, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, C. Liu, I. Belopolski, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, H. Lin, A. Bansil, F. Chou, and M. Z. Hasan, Nature Communications 5, 3786 (2014), arXiv:1309.7892 [cond-mat.meshall].
- [5] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, and G. Wang, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 88, 1 (2016), arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph].
- [6] V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rept. 576, 1 (2015), arXiv:1503.00732 [hep-ph].
- [7] X.-G. Huang, Rept. Prog. Phys. **79**, 076302 (2016), arXiv:1509.04073 [nucl-th].
- [8] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 3080 (1980).
- [9] D. E. Kharzeev, K. Landsteiner, A. Schmitt, and H.-U. Yee, Lect. Notes Phys. 871, 1 (2013), arXiv:1211.6245
   [hep-ph]; D. E. Kharzeev, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 75, 133 (2014), arXiv:1312.3348 [hep-ph].
- [10] D. T. Son and A. R. Zhitnitsky, Phys. Rev. D70, 074018 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0405216 [hep-ph].
- [11] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 20, 1807 (1979).
- [12] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Dutta, R. Loganayagam, et al., JHEP 1101, 094

(2011), arXiv:0809.2596 [hep-th].

- [13] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski, and A. Yarom, JHEP 0901, 055 (2009), arXiv:0809.2488 [hep-th].
- [14] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 191601 (2009), arXiv:0906.5044 [hep-th].
- [15] C.-X. Liu, P. Ye, and X.-L. Qi, Phys. Rev. B 87, 235306 (2013), arXiv:1204.6551 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [16] S. Ebihara, K. Fukushima, and T. Oka, Phys. Rev. B93, 155107 (2016), arXiv:1509.03673 [cond-mat.str-el].
- B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2639 (1988); F. W.
  Hehl and W.-T. Ni, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 2045 (1990).
- [18] F. Becattini, V. Chandra, L. Del Zanna, and E. Grossi, Annals Phys. **338**, 32 (2013), arXiv:1303.3431 [nucl-th].
- [19] J.-Y. Chen, D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, and Y. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 182302 (2014), arXiv:1404.5963 [hep-th].
- [20] H.-L. Chen, K. Fukushima, X.-G. Huang, and K. Mameda, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 104052 (2016), arXiv:1512.08974 [hep-ph].
- [21] I. Amado, K. Landsteiner, and F. Pena-Benitez, JHEP
  05, 081 (2011), arXiv:1102.4577 [hep-th]; K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, Phys. Rev. Lett.
  107, 021601 (2011), arXiv:1103.5006 [hep-ph].
- [22] J. S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82, 664 (1951).
- [23] K. Fukushima, K. Hattori, H.-U. Yee, and Y. Yin, Phys. Rev. D93, 074028 (2016), arXiv:1512.03689 [hep-ph].
- [24] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D9, 3320 (1974);
  R. Baier and E. Pilon, Z. Phys. C52, 339 (1991).
- [25] D. Satow and H.-U. Yee, Phys. Rev. D90, 014027 (2014), arXiv:1406.1150 [hep-ph].
- [26] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, and F. Pena-Benitez, JHEP 09, 121 (2011), arXiv:1107.0368 [hep-th].
- [27] J.-H. Gao, Z.-T. Liang, S. Pu, Q. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 232301 (2012), arXiv:1203.0725 [hep-ph]; J.-h. Gao and Q. Wang, Phys. Lett. **B749**, 542 (2015), arXiv:1504.07334 [nucl-th].
- M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D88, 063536 (2013), arXiv:1307.2454 [hep-th]; (2016), arXiv:1606.08205 [hep-th]; N. Yamamoto, D93, 065017 (2016), arXiv:1511.00933 [astro-ph.HE].