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The unique properties of the individual lipids that compose biological membranes together determine the energetics of the surface. The energetics of the surface in turn govern the formation of membrane structures and membrane reshaping processes, and will thus underline cellular-scale models of viral fusion, vesicle-dependent transport, and lateral organization relevant to signaling. The spontaneous curvature, to the best of our knowledge, is always assumed to be additive. The letter describes observations from simulations of unexpected non-additive compositional curvature energetics of two lipids essential to the plasma membrane: sphingomyelin and cholesterol. A model is developed that connects molecular interactions to curvature stress, and which explains the role of local composition. Cholesterol is shown to lower the number of effective Kuhn segments of saturated acyl chains, reducing lateral pressure below the neutral surface of bending and favoring positive curvature. The effect is not observed for unsaturated (flexible) acyl chains. Likewise, hydrogen bonding between sphingomyelin lipids leads to positive curvature, but only at sufficient concentration, below which the lipid prefers negative curvature.

Phospholipid surfactants are the major structural component of the membranes that separate aqueous compartments in the cell. A bilayer formed of phospholipids is composed of two oppositely facing leaflets, with polar lipid headgroups facing the aqueous sides, and an oily interior. The surface is soft and effectively tensionless; it is deformed in cellular processes like endocytosis [1], vesicle fusion [2, 3], and viral entry [4]. Specific lipids are thought to substantially stabilize these deformed membranes due to their ability to support non-lamellar phases with pore-like character [5–8]. For example, caveolae are pits enriched in lipids such as sphingomyelin, cholesterol, and signaling proteins [9]. How caveolae maintain enriched lipid concentrations, however, remains an open question. A popular hypothesis is that lipid localization is stabilized by the formation of a liquid ordered phase (Lo) [10, 11], favored by sphingomyelin and cholesterol. Recent experiments suggest that Lo-like mixtures prefer highly curved membranes [12], offering a mechanism but awaiting a theoretical explanation.

These important biophysical problems — how does lipid composition determine the energetics of membrane deformations, and how do lipids co-localize at specific (curved) locations on the cell surface — are thus of considerable interest for a broad range of physiological processes. Predicting the material properties of the bilayer as its composition is varied is an ideal problem for simulation as it relies on precisely controlled conditions. Furthermore, one of the main conclusions of this work is that the fundamental experiment (the osmotic pressure dependence of the inverse hexagonal lipid phase) used to determine lipid curvature is misleading in important cases (here, cholesterol and palmitoylsphingomyelin, PSM). The underlying reason is fundamental — lipid spontaneous curvatures will frequently not be additive.

The starting point for describing the curvature properties of the bilayer is the Helfrich Hamiltonian [13],

\[
\bar{F}_H = \frac{k_c}{2} (c_1 + c_2 - c_0)^2 + k_g c_1 c_2 \\
F'_H(0) = -k_c c_0, 
\]

a second-order expansion of the free energy \( \bar{F}_H \) per unit area of a lipid leaflet in terms of the sum and product of the principal curvatures \( (c_1, c_2) \) of the surface. The force constant for bending is the bending modulus \( k_c \), \( k_g \) is the Gaussian curvature modulus, and \( c_0 \) is the spontaneous curvature. Here \( k_c \) and \( c_0 \) are defined on a leaflet/monolayer basis, rather than for a whole bilayer. The first derivative of the free energy with respect to total curvature, evaluated at zero curvature, is denoted \( \bar{F}'(0) \) and is important because it is an observable of a simulation, and its sign implies that of \( c_0 \). The sign convention for \( c \) is that positive curvature is convex with respect to the headgroups.

The spontaneous curvature displays a wide range depending on lipid type, from highly positively curved for single-tail phospholipids [14], to highly negative for lipids with a phosphatidylethanolamine head group [15]. In applications of the Helfrich Hamiltonian to membrane bending, the additivity assumption for \( c_0 \) is ubiquitous, see e.g., Refs. [16] and [17]. For a mixture of two lipids \( A \) and \( B \) with fractions \( f^A \) and \( f^B \), and spontaneous curvatures \( c_0^A \) and \( c_0^B \), the spontaneous curvature is

\[
c_0^{\text{mix}} = f^A c_0^A + f^B c_0^B. 
\]

Violation of Eq. 3 indicates clearly that lipid-lipid interactions couple strongly to curvature; i.e., the environment around a lipid affects its curvature preference. A non-additive effect of cholesterol for saturated or unsaturated chains was previously observed for \( k_c \) [18], but the experiment is insensitive to \( c_0 \).
This paper reports two cases of strong non-linearity in lipid mixtures simulated with the CHARMM all-atom forcefield [19], evident as a violation of Eq. 3 confirmed by computing $F'(0)$ from Eq. 2: (i) The effect of cholesterol on lipids with saturated chains is dramatically different from those with unsaturated chains. (ii) PSM hydrogen bonding interactions induce positive curvature at high PSM concentration. Both of these lipids are critical structural components of the plasma membrane.

The distinction between non-linearity and non-additivity is subtle. For example, cholesterol may have two different linear effects on $F'(0)$ at low concentration, depending on its lipid matrix. Yet this still could imply that its effect is non-additive. Observing a non-linear dependence on its lipid matrix. Important distinctions between the locality of lipid properties, linear-, local, additive model of lipid mixtures. Important distinctions between the locality of lipid properties, linearity, and additivity are discussed in detail in the Supplemental Information, §§IV [20].

Experimentally the spontaneous curvature $c_0$ of a lipid is determined by forming the inverse hexagonal phase of the lipid [15]. At zero osmotic stress [32], the curvature observed by x-ray scattering is $c_0$. Upon addition of small quantities of a test lipid to a host matrix of (typically) dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine (DOPE), the curvature preference changes [14]. Under the additive assumption, $c_0$ of the test lipid is obtained. For mixtures violating Eq. 3 the inferred $c_0$ will depend strongly on the host matrix, yielding values that would be incorrect in a different target membrane composition.

Molecular simulations yield values of $F'(0)$ (here the subscript $H$ is dropped as the model is no longer restricted to Helfrich) by computing the lateral pressure profile, and then calculating the first moment of a single leaflet via [33, 34]:

$$F'(0) = -\int_0^{L_c/2} \frac{z}{p_T(z) - p_N(z)}dz \quad \text{(sim).} \quad (4)$$

The integration is taken from $z = 0$, the bilayer center, to the top of the periodic cell. Note that in terms of the Helfrich Hamiltonian, $F'(0)$ is $-k_c c_0$. See §§V of the SI for a discussion of how the pressure profile is translated to $F'(0)$, §§VI for how the profile is calculated, and §§VII for an analysis of finite system size effects.

In a simulation it is simple to change lipid composition, compute $F'(0)$, and so test Eq. 3. A modest 100 nanosecond simulation (the minimum length used herein) achieves sufficient certainty. This timescale is sufficient for lipids to change their interacting partners multiple times, even in the case of 100% PSM bilayers where dynamics are slowed.

Before discussing the values of $F'(0)$ that indicate non-additivity for cholesterol and PSM shown in Figs. 1 and 3, a simple mechanical model of curvature will be presented to interpret the curvature dependence of the lipid-tail ordering effect of cholesterol and of PSM hydrogen bonding.

**Mechanical curvature model**

Consider a minimal description of the stresses in a lipid bilayer with a net cohesive and a net expansive part. The cohesive force is a combination of oily tail attraction and minimization of the hydrophobic/polar surface of the bilayer, with $\frac{dF_{c.e.}}{dA} = II$ positive; The effect of tail chain entropy acts to expand the surface, with $\frac{dF_{s.e.}}{dA} = -II$ to give zero total surface tension. Below, II will be estimated using polymer brush theory, following Ref. [35]. First it is necessary to estimate how changes in these forces affect $F'(0)$.

A pivotal first step is to assign these forces to regions of the bilayer. If the acyl chain contribution is assigned uniformly to the tail region, and the cohesive interaction to a surface dividing the polar and apolar regions (see SI §I for details), then for DOPC $F'(0) = 0.50 \text{ kcal/mol/Å}$ is obtained — about six times higher than expected. The discrepancy is likely due to the simplified assignment of the cohesive and expansive interactions. For example, moving part of the cohesive interaction into the tail region under the constraint of zero tension has the effect of reducing the negative curvature propensity of the leaflet; a similar result is obtained by including steric repulsion of headgroups.

Evans and co-workers experimentally validated a model of the interplay between chain entropy and the cohesive stress $\Pi$ [36]: polymer brush theory. Chain confinement is parameterized by $x$, the ratio of the tail length $h_t$ to its theoretical maximum all-trans extension, $h_t^0$, with $x = \frac{h_t}{h_t^0}$ ranging between zero and one. For short chains, Flory showed that the free energy of a confined freely-jointed chain is approximately:

$$F_{c.e.} \approx \frac{3k_B T n_s}{2} x^2,$$ \quad (5)

accurate up to $\approx 90\%$ of maximum chain extension [37]. Assuming incompressibility, the projected area is also related to $x$ by $a = \frac{z_n}{x}$, where a value of $a_c = 22.5 \text{ Å}^2$ is used for the limiting value of the chain area. Note that none of the conclusions are changed by using a value of $20 \text{ Å}^2$ for $a_c$, but that the confinement values $x$ are lowered and values of $n_s$ are increased for each system. The derivative of the chain free energy with respect to area is

$$\frac{dF_{c.e.}}{da} = -\frac{3k_B T n_s}{a_c} x^3,$$ \quad (6)

and within this model is equal to $-II$. The strength of the force is modulated by the degree of confinement (large values of $x$) and the number of independent units of the polymer ($n_s$). Note that the quantity $n_s$ is treated as an
inherent property of the acyl chain that, when confined, cannot be computed by the standard means of polymer theory [37]. Through interactions with the environment, however, the chain may stiffen, decreasing \( n_s \). For example, cholesterol condenses saturated acyl chains and reduces the number of effectively independent polymer segments and thus reduces lateral stress. The strategy in this work is to infer \( n_s \) from \( K_A \), as described below.

In Evans’ work, \( \Pi \) is determined by a model of the chain free energy, which is balanced by all of the other complex and unknown bilayer stresses that depend linearly on the area. Thus, both the first derivative \( \Pi \) and the second derivative \( K_A \) are related to confinement and \( n_s \) through:

\[
K_A = 6\Pi = \frac{n_s k_B T x^3}{a_c} \quad \text{(polymer brush theory)}.
\]

The value of \( n_s \) can therefore be deduced from \( K_A \) by dividing by \( \frac{18k_B T x^3}{a_c} \). The value of \( K_A \) is in turn available from a zero surface tension simulation of a lipid bilayer through the relation [38]:

\[
K_A = \frac{A_0 k_B T}{(A - A_0)^2}.
\]

Note that \( n_s \) depends strongly on the limiting value of \( a_c \) chosen (directly and through \( x \)). Nevertheless, the values of the Kuhn length for the acyl chains of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) and for polymethylene melts are roughly equal (approximately 1 nm, or \( n_s = 2 \)). Alternative reasonable choices for \( a_c \) do not affect the conclusions of this work.

A general conclusion of the polymer-brush model is that without an enhancement of tail-cohesion, increasing \( \Pi \) and thus increasing \( K_A \) should promote a more positive value of \( F'(0) \) (implying a stronger negative curvature preference). As shown below, cholesterol in combination with saturated acyl chains violates this trend that is seen in the other lipids.

Non-additivity of cholesterol spontaneous curvature

Fig. 1 plots \( F'(0) \) for mixtures of cholesterol in bilayers of diarachidonyl-PC (DAPC), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-PC (POPC), and DPPC. Note that if \( F'(0) \) is interpreted through the Helfrich Hamiltonian to be \(-k_c c_0\), and with \( k_c \) necessarily positive, the sign of \( F'(0) \) is the opposite of that of \( c_0 \). For DAPC and POPC, cholesterol induces a stronger negative curvature preference, consistent with inverse hexagonal phase measurements in DOPE and DOPC [39] and implying that cholesterol induces negative curvature. However, in DPPC, cholesterol has a net positive effect on spontaneous curvature for up to 33% cholesterol by mole, implying that cholesterol has positive curvature. Due to the different behavior of cholesterol depending on the presence of saturated acyl chains, it cannot be described by an additive model. Simulations of a liquid ordered phase with majority DPPC and cholesterol indicate substantial condensation of the acyl chains of DPPC [40], consistent with a reduction of \( n_s \) and a strong cohesive force in the tail region.

Figure 2 shows the area compressibility \( K_A \) and \( n_s \) computed from simulations of phospholipid/cholesterol mixtures. Selected points in the plot of \( K_A \) have been labeled with their corresponding value of \( x \), illustrating how the values of \( K_A \) for DPPC are low considering how confined the tails are (\( x \) closer to 1). For DPPC, in the regime of applicable \( x \), the number of independent units drops with increasing cholesterol concentration, in contrast to the other lipids simulated.

The polymer brush model provides a consistent explanation for why cholesterol-rich liquid ordered phases prefer positive curvature relative to disordered phases.
DOPE at low concentration, consistent with a recent x-ray experiment on PSM in DOPE [43]. The non-linear variation of $F'(0)$ indicates that PSM/DOPE lipid curvature free energetics cannot be interpreted by a local additive model (See SI §IV).

Much like the effect of cholesterol on saturated lipids, the molecular explanation is that a cohesive interaction is balanced by an expansive interaction between negatively charged phosphate groups. In this case, however, the cohesive interaction is due to amide-amide hydrogen bonding between PSM backbones. The difference between the lateral pressure profiles of DOPE and PSM shows this quite clearly, with a large cohesive peak near the amide-amide hydrogen bond depth, balanced by a repulsion above (SI Fig. S1). The explanation for the balance between amide cohesion and phosphate repulsion is further justified by replacing a portion of the phosphatidylcholine head-groups with hydroxyls to form ceramide. In simulations conducted at 340K, $F'(0)$ for PSM/ceramide mixtures of 0%, 5%, 10% and 20% ceramide is -0.088, -0.057, -0.012, 0.105 kcal/mol/Å, respectively (s.e. < 0.011 kcal/mol/Å). That is, the positive curvature effect of sphingomyelin is rapidly removed and replaced with strong negative curvature. The lack of a strong repulsion to balance the cohesive effect of the amide hydrogen bond (and thus maintain fluidity) is clear from the phase behavior of ceramide, which induces gel phase domains at even low fractions [44].

An alternative explanation for the data in Figure 3 is that the spontaneous curvature is additive, but that it is the globally averaged value of $k_c$ and $c_0$ that determine $F'(0)$. While this model cannot be ruled out without an independent, rigorous calculation of the bending modulus for the mixture, it requires small concentrations of PSM to influence the $k_c$ of DOPE non-locally and thus increase the negative curvature strain of DOPE. This is discussed at length in the SI, §IV.

The non-linear behavior of $c_0$ for PSM mixture resolves two experiments that appear to be in disagreement. Nuclear magnetic resonance experiments on small lipid vesicles indicate sphingomyelin prefers the positively curved outer leaflet [45, 46], consistent with a positive value of $c_0$. In contrast, x-ray crystallography of mixtures of sphingomyelin and DOPE in the inverse hexagonal phase at low sphingomyelin concentration (10% or less) show that sphingomyelin has a weak effect on the negative $c_{mix}^0$ of the mixture [43], indicating that it also has a negative curvature preference (though somewhat weaker than a PE lipid). The simulations and theoretical analysis in this letter resolve this discrepancy, predicting that PSM behaves much like a standard glycerol-PC lipid at low concentration, yet develops a positive $c_0$ when concentrations of PSM-PSM complexes become specific. The effect may be enhanced by tail condensation of PSM, much like the case with cholesterol.

The value of $F'(0)$ cannot distinguish between two pos-
sible models of curvature energetics of a mixture:

\[
F_{H,i} = \sum_i f_i \int \frac{k_r}{2} (c - c_{0,i})^2 \text{ (local)} \quad \text{and} \quad (9)
\]

\[
F_{H,g} = \int \frac{k_r}{2} (c - \sum_i f_i c_{0,i})^2 \text{ (global)}, \quad (10)
\]

as they have the same derivative with respect to \( c \) but differ in the chemical potential of lipid \( i \) in the leaflet. Here, \( f_i \) is the fraction of lipid \( i \) in the leaflet, and \( c_{0,i} \) is the spontaneous curvature of lipid \( i \). The local model is called this as the energetics are invariant even if \( f_i \) is computed individually for small patches. The difference is critical for interpreting the influence of curvature stress on, for example, relative lipid composition of the inner and outer leaflets of the plasma membrane (see Ref. [17] in which \( F_{H,g} \) was used). Although not apparent from \( F^l(0) \), it follows from our proposed local molecular mechanisms that it is the local lipid composition that determines energetics, rather than the global concentration. Thus, \( F_{H,i} \) is appropriate for describing the curvature dependence of the interactions between, e.g., sphingomyelin, with \( c_{0,i} \) determined by local composition.

In summary, a theoretical analysis of simulations of lipid mixtures demonstrates that lipid spontaneous curvatures are frequently non-additive. Changes in lipid composition can have dramatic effects, including changing the sign of the spontaneous curvature. The assumption of additivity underlies a widely used analysis of experimental data to determine spontaneous curvature, and so the present results need to be taken into account when analyzing such data. The results also have implications for cellular function, by providing a mechanism to couple complex membrane composition to the partitioning [47] and conformation [48] of integral membrane proteins.

The SI contains a list of simulations, simulation methodology, and other topics already noted in the text [20].
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