Continuous Quantum Nondemolition Measurement of the Transverse Component of a Qubit


DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.133601
Continuous quantum nondemolition measurement of the transverse component of a qubit


Department of Applied Physics and Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

(Dated: August 19, 2016)

Quantum jumps of a qubit are usually observed between its energy eigenstates, also known as its longitudinal pseudo-spin component. Is it possible, instead, to observe quantum jumps between the transverse superpositions of these eigenstates? We answer positively by presenting the first continuous quantum nondemolition measurement of the transverse component of an individual qubit.

In a circuit QED system irradiated by two pump tones, we engineer an effective Hamiltonian whose eigenstates are the transverse qubit states, and a dispersive measurement of the corresponding operator. Such transverse component measurements are a useful tool in the driven-dissipative operation engineering toolbox, which is central to quantum simulation and quantum error correction.

A qubit is traditionally described in the computational basis, formed by its energy (longitudinal) eigenstates, the ground state $|g\rangle$ and the excited state $|e\rangle$. It is possible to continuously monitor the longitudinal component of the qubit, namely the operator $|e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$, which corresponds to $\sigma_z$ in the Pauli matrix basis. However, no experiment has yet continuously monitored the transverse component of an ensemble of spins which is the most common measurement. The difficulty of continuous measurement of $\sigma_x$ arises because it does not commute with the static Hamiltonian ($\propto \sigma_z$), and hence the projected states evolve during the measurement process. To overcome this difficulty, we would like our measurement to evolve according to the Hamiltonian, and appear as a $\sigma_x$ measurement in the rotating frame in which the static Hamiltonian has been suppressed. Of course, it is possible to stroboscopically measure any component of the pseudo-spin in the Larmor frame, but in this Letter we are focusing on continuous, rather than discrete projective measurements of $\sigma_x$.

Why would one want to perform a continuous $\sigma_x$ measurement of a qubit? One direct application is to probe decoherence mechanisms with higher frequency and time resolution than usual stroboscopic methods. More fundamentally, however, we would like to master the methods by which we impose not only a given field belonging to the Hilbert space of the system (here $\sigma_x$ rather than $\sigma_z$), but also to implement the dissipation conjugate to that field and the measurement of its associated fluctuations. This mastery is particularly timely in view of recent progress in quantum control and bath engineering that allow us to synthesize desired Hamiltonian and open-system operations. Moreover, such techniques are at the heart of quantum error correction.

In this Letter, we implement a quantum nondemolition (QND) measurement of the transverse component of a superconducting artificial atom, embedded in a traditional circuit-QED setup. Using pump tones, we engineer a new effective qubit in the transverse basis, dispersively coupled to a cavity mode. This new Hamiltonian commutes with the desired measurement operator $\sigma_x$. Thus, with the help of a quantum-limited amplifier, we perform continuous, projective measurements and observe quantum jumps between the eigenstates of the effective qubit, which are the transverse superpositions of the original qubit eigenstates.

Our system consists of a transmon qubit with frequency $\omega_c$ coupled to a 3D superconducting cavity with frequency $\omega_c$ (see Fig. 1a). We apply two pump tones to the system, a sideband tone detuned from the cavity frequency by $\Delta_c$ and a Rabi tone at the qubit frequency (see Fig. 1b - the additional readout tone is a probe that will be described later). Treating the transmon qubit as a 2-level system and within the dispersive approximation, we can write the Hamiltonian for our system:

$$H = \omega_q a^\dagger a + \frac{\chi}{2} a^\dagger a \sigma_z + \chi R \cos(\omega_q t) \sigma_x + \epsilon_{sb} \cos(\omega_{sb} t)(a + a^\dagger)$$

where $a$ is the cavity lowering (annihilation) operator and $\sigma_z$ is the energy operator of the qubit, modeled here as a two-level system. $\chi$ is the dispersive shift between the qubit and cavity, $\Omega_{R}$ is the amplitude of the Rabi drive in frequency units (Rabi frequency), and $\epsilon_{sb}$ is the amplitude of the sideband drive at frequency $\omega_{sb} - \omega_c$. By moving to a rotated and displaced frame (see for details), we can approximate our system by the time-independent Hamiltonian:

$$H_{JC} = \Delta_c d^\dagger d + \frac{\Omega_R}{2} \sigma_x + \frac{\chi}{2} (a^\dagger d \sigma_x^+ + a d^\dagger \sigma_x^-),$$

where we introduce the displaced cavity lowering operator $d = a - \bar{a}$ and the steady state amplitude of the...
cavity $\tilde{a} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{eff}}}{\Delta c} |g\rangle$, where $\kappa$ is the cavity decay rate (see Fig. 1b). Notice the qubit now has an energy splitting in the $\sigma_x$ basis given by $\Omega_R$ and we have introduced the raising (lowering) operators $\sigma^+_x = (\sigma_x + i \sigma_y)/2$ between the eigenstates of $\sigma_x$, which obey $\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_x^+ \sigma_x^- = 1$.

This Hamiltonian resembles the Jaynes-Cummings [12, 37] (JC) Hamiltonian $\omega^{\text{eff}} a^\dagger a + \omega^{\text{eff}} \sigma_x + g^{\text{eff}} (\sigma^+ + a^\dagger \sigma^-)$, where the effective cavity is the displaced cavity, the effective atom is the $\sigma_x$ qubit and the coupling term is $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\gamma g^{\text{eff}}}{2}$. Thus, we have created an effective JC Hamiltonian where both the frequencies and the coupling term are completely tunable in situ by varying the amplitudes and frequencies of the Rabi and sideband pumps (see also Ref. 38 for a different method to generate an effective JC Hamiltonian).

Since the parameters are completely tunable, we can operate in either the strong ($g^{\text{eff}} \gg \kappa$) or weak ($g^{\text{eff}} \ll \kappa$) coupling regime, and also in the resonant ($|\Omega_R - \Delta_c| \ll g^{\text{eff}}$) or dispersive ($|\Omega_R - \Delta_c| \gg g^{\text{eff}}$) regime. In the resonant regime, the scheme becomes identical to that used in Ref. 17 to cool a superconducting qubit to an eigenstate of $\sigma_x$. This process can be interpreted as a sideband cooling [39–41] scheme where a red-detuned drive cools the effective qubit, utilizing cavity dissipation. In our JC picture, the fast decay rate ($\kappa$) of the cavity simply takes the system to its ground state, in which the qubit is in $|$-$\rangle$ - an eigenstate of $\sigma_x$.

This Letter will instead focus on the dispersive regime. In the limit $|\Omega_R - \Delta_c| \gg \frac{g^{\text{eff}}}{2}$ the interaction becomes dispersive and we can diagonalize the system [30, 36, 42] to obtain the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{disp}} = \Delta_c d^\dagger d + \frac{\Omega_R + \zeta/2}{2} \sigma_x + \frac{\zeta}{2} d^\dagger d \sigma_x,$$

where $\zeta \approx \frac{g^{\text{eff}}}{\Omega_R - \Delta_c}$ is a dispersive shift between the cavity and the $\sigma_x$ qubit. Note that unlike the resonant sideband cooling regime, the existence of the dispersive shift has no opto-mechanical analog [43] as it relies on the nonlinearity of the system. Another novelty of Eq. 3 is that $\zeta$ is tunable in situ. The absence of a frequency matching condition in this regime means that fine-tuning of the pump tones is not required. Thus, we can perform a continuous QND measurement of the $\sigma_x$ component of the qubit using traditional dispersive readout protocols [30]. This is done by applying a readout tone at $\Delta_c$ in the effective frame, or at $\omega_c$ in the lab frame (see Fig. 1b).

Our experimental setup consists of a transmon qubit at frequency $\omega_q/2\pi = 4.9$ GHz coupled to a 3D aluminum cavity with frequency $\omega_c/2\pi = 7.48$ GHz. The cavity has two coupling pins, a weakly coupled input pin with quality factor $Q_{in} \approx 5 \cdot 10^5$ and strongly coupled output port with $Q_{out} \approx 1900$, and thus a total decay rate $\kappa/2\pi = 4$ MHz. The qubit has a decay time $T_1 = 90\mu$s, a coherence time of $T_2R = 40\mu$s, and in thermal equilibrium it has an excited state population of 12%.

The dispersive coupling between the qubit and cavity is $\chi/2\pi = -3.2$ MHz. All drives were applied at the input port and the signal from the output port was amplified by a Josephson Parametric Converter (JPC), a nearly quantum-limited amplifier [32, 44], before being demodulated to extract $I, Q$ quadrature measurement outcomes.

We applied a cavity sideband tone with $\Delta_c/2\pi = 15$ MHz such that the cavity steady state population was $n_{\text{th}} = |\tilde{a}|^2 = 12$ photons, which sets $g^{\text{eff}}/2\pi = \chi |\tilde{a}|/4\pi = 5.5$ MHz. We also applied a resonant readout tone on the cavity such that $n = 0.9$ photons. The histograms in Fig. 2 correspond to a 1 s long readout pulse demodulated every 400 ns. In Fig. 2a the Rabi tone was off and our measurement projects the system to eigenstates of $\sigma_z$, the top (bottom) distributions corresponding to the ground (excited) states of the qubit. As we turn up the Rabi tone, our measurement no longer commutes with the system Hamiltonian and a “competition” takes place between the measurement and Rabi drive, sometimes called the quantum Zeno effect [45, 46]. When the Rabi frequency is below our measurement rate [46] $\Gamma_m/2\pi = 2.8$ MHz we can still observe two distinct states (Fig. 2b), but as it gets much stronger, the measurement can no longer distinguish them (Fig. 2c).

However, as the Rabi frequency increases beyond $\Delta_c$, two distinct distributions reappear (Fig. 2d,e). Fig. 2d shows the formation of two distributions, with most of the population in the bottom one and a faint top dis-
distribution. As the Rabi frequency increases even further, the bimodality of the histogram becomes more marked and the two sub-populations become more equal (Fig. 2c). We understand this behavior as follows: when the system in Eq. 2 is still close to the resonant regime \( g_{\text{eff}} \approx |\Omega_R - \Delta_c| \) not quite in the dispersive limit, cavity dissipation cools the system to \(|-\rangle = (|g\rangle - |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), analogous to the Purcell effect [17, 36, 47, 48]. Fig. 2d shows this effect, with the bottom distribution corresponding to the “ground state” \(|-\rangle\) and the faint top distribution corresponding to \(|+\rangle = (|g\rangle + |e\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \). As the Rabi frequency increases, the coupling becomes more dispersive \((g_{\text{eff}} \ll |\Omega_R - \Delta_c|)\) and the cooling effect weakens, as shown by the relative populations in Fig. 2e. The dispersive coupling parameter \( \zeta \) can be extracted from these measurements and agrees with our theoretical prediction [36]. Notice that as we increased the Rabi frequency, a faint distribution appeared near the center of the figure. This distribution corresponds to the 2nd excited \((|f\rangle)\) state of the qubit, which has an 8% population in Fig. 2e.

To prove that this measurement projects the qubit to the eigenstates of \( \sigma_x \), we prepared a well-defined state before performing our measurement. We prepared the ground state \(|g\rangle\) by standard \( \sigma_z \) dispersive measurement, applied a pulse to prepare a state on the Bloch sphere, and then turned on the Rabi tone with \( \Omega_R/2\pi = 70 \text{ MHz} \) and the readout tone (the sideband tone is applied throughout the experiment to maintain the same qubit frame - since the presence of this tone causes a Stark shift of the qubit frequency). In Fig. 3a(b), we prepared the system in \(|-\rangle \) \((|+\rangle)\) and observed 90% (85%) population in the bottom (top) distribution, limited by the state lifetime and the quality of our pulses [36]. In Fig. 3c,d, we prepared the qubit in \(|g\rangle\) and \(|i\rangle = (|g\rangle + i|e\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \) respectively, and observed a nearly 50:50 population in both distributions. The separation between the two states is \( 5.4 \) \( \sigma \), such that the infidelity due to the noise in the measurement chain is only about 1%.

The characterization of the measurement (in particular its fidelity) is further examined by rotating the qubit about the 3 main axes of the Bloch sphere (Fig. 3e-g). For each state we measure the expectation value \( \langle \sigma_x \rangle \). The dashed red lines correspond to the expected result of an ideal measurement of \( \langle \sigma_x \rangle \). The solid red lines are given by a simulation of the open qubit-cavity system to model state preparation errors, along with a scaling of 88% and a shift of 2% due to measurement imperfection [36]. In Fig. 3e,f, we observed the expected sinusoidal behavior as we project unto eigenstates of \( \sigma_x \). Fig. 3g shows the axis perpendicular to \( \sigma_x \) and so should have a constant expectation \( \langle \sigma_x \rangle = 0 \). We observed a 0.2 deviation from this distribution, leaning towards \(|-\rangle \) \((|+\rangle)\) for negative (positive) angles. This deviation, also captured by our theoretical prediction, is an artifact of the state preparation, albeit an interesting one as it is also an effect of the \( \sigma_x \) coupling. During the preparation pulse for states on the \( \sigma_y - \sigma_z \) plane, there is a Rabi drive along the \( \sigma_x \) axis, and so with the help of the sideband tone the system is cooled to its lower eigenstate - which changes depending on the direction of the pulse. Fig. 3e shows good agreement between the data and the theoretical prediction. In Fig. 3f,g, the discrepancy can be attributed to a slight nonlinearity in the relation between the amplitude of the preparation pulse and the Bloch sphere angle.

From these experiments, we conclude that the average fidelity of our \( \sigma_x \) measurement is 88%, which agrees with our theoretical prediction [36].

Since our measurement is continuous, and is expected to be QND, we should be able to track the state of the effective \( \sigma_x \) qubit in real time. Evidence for the QND nature of our measurement is obtained from the observed quantum jumps between the states of our effective qubit. In Fig. 4, we show a cut from the 1 s jump trace histogrammed in Fig. 2e, where we have defined an angle \( \psi \) around the circumcenter of the \(|-\rangle, |+\rangle \) and \(|f\rangle \).
The qubit is prepared in $|\rangle$ (a), $|\rangle$ (b), $|\rangle$ (c) and $|\rangle$ (d) as is shown by the Bloch spheres. We then measure $I, Q$ histograms in the presence of the readout, sideband and Rabi drives ($\Omega R/2\pi = 70$ MHz). The results for the initializations $|\rangle$ and $|\rangle$ show two Gaussian distributions separated by $5.4 \sigma$. The initializations $|g\rangle$ and $|i\rangle$ show nearly 50:50 weight in both distributions as expected for $|\rangle$ (dashed white line) are identified as $|\rangle$ (c) and $|\rangle$ (g). The expectation value $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$ is plotted in blue for states prepared on the Bloch sphere surface in the $\sigma_x - \sigma_y$ plane (e), $\sigma_x - \sigma_z$ plane (f) and $\sigma_y - \sigma_z$ plane (g). The dashed red lines in (e)-(g) show the ideal expectation value $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$, while the solid red lines show a theoretical prediction based on a simulation of the cavity-qubit system, including measurement imperfection.

FIG. 3. The qubit is prepared in $|\rangle$ (a), $|\rangle$ (b), $|\rangle$ (c) and $|\rangle$ (d) as is shown by the Bloch spheres. We then measure $I, Q$ histograms in the presence of the readout, sideband and Rabi drives ($\Omega R/2\pi = 70$ MHz). The results for the initializations $|\rangle$ and $|\rangle$ show two Gaussian distributions separated by $5.4 \sigma$. The initializations $|g\rangle$ and $|i\rangle$ show nearly 50:50 weight in both distributions as expected for $|\rangle$ (dashed white line) are identified as $|\rangle$ (c) and $|\rangle$ (g). The expectation value $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$ is plotted in blue for states prepared on the Bloch sphere surface in the $\sigma_x - \sigma_y$ plane (e), $\sigma_x - \sigma_z$ plane (f) and $\sigma_y - \sigma_z$ plane (g). The dashed red lines in (e)-(g) show the ideal expectation value $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$, while the solid red lines show a theoretical prediction based on a simulation of the cavity-qubit system, including measurement imperfection.

the sideband tone [36]. This induced $T_{\text{jump}}$ limits the fidelity of our measurement, as the probability to stay in the same state during the $T_m = 400$ ns integration length is $e^{-T_m/T_{\text{jump}}} = 0.9$. We can also observe jumps to the qubit $|f\rangle$ state, which occur from both $|\rangle$ and $|\rangle$ due to the Rabi drive. In a future experiment, the dephasing induced by the photon shot-noise of the sideband [49] could be reduced by increasing the strength of the sideband tone and its detuning from the cavity frequency (see supplementary material). We could thus observe the intrinsic quantum jumps of the effective qubit due to the inherent dephasing noise of the bare transmon, which corresponds to the effective dissipation of our $\sigma_x$ qubit. Measuring the time correlations of quantum jumps [50] would then give access to the spectral density of qubit dephasing, potentially faster than traditional methods [9] and including both the negative and positive frequency components [49].

In conclusion, we have presented a method to synthesize a tunable effective JC Hamiltonian between a cavity and an effective qubit whose eigenstates are transverse superpositions of the bare qubit. We have used this technique in the dispersive regime to observe quantum jumps between the qubit eigenstates of $\sigma_x$ in the rotating frame. In addition to the direct application described in the previous paragraph, our experiment demonstrates a unique and simple example of the ability to engineer an effective Hamiltonian and measurement by the addition of a constant pump tone. Such new effective quantum operations are at the heart of quantum simulation and necessary for quantum error correction. Furthermore, our effective JC Hamiltonian could itself be tuned to reach the ultra-strong coupling regime ($g_{\text{eff}}/\omega_c^{\text{eff}} \approx 0.1$) and the deep-strong coupling regime ($g_{\text{eff}} > \omega_c^{\text{eff}}$, $\omega_q^{\text{eff}}$) [51] which...
has recently been experimentally attained [52, 53]. In addition, the potential sensitivity of the effect demonstrated in this paper to the effective detuning \( \Delta \), \(-\Omega_R\) could be useful for RF voltage metrology. The measurement of eigenstates of \( \sigma_x \) could also be interesting for a fundamental study of competing measurements of non-commuting variables. Recent work [54] has shown a protocol to measure two non-commuting Pauli operators of a qubit simultaneously. The protocol described here measures the remaining operator, and a combination of both experiments would allow us to measure all 3 Pauli operators of the qubit simultaneously with varying measurement strengths, potentially leading to novel quantum state monitoring [55].
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