

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Topological Septet Pairing with Spin-3/2 Fermions: High-Partial-Wave Channel Counterpart of the ^{3}He-B Phase Wang Yang, Yi Li, and Congjun Wu Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 075301 — Published 10 August 2016 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.075301

## Topological septet pairing with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ fermions – high partial-wave channel counterpart of the <sup>3</sup>He-B phase

Wang Yang,<sup>1</sup> Yi Li,<sup>2</sup> and Congjun Wu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, California 92093, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

We systematically generalize the exotic <sup>3</sup>He-B phase, which not only exhibits unconventional symmetry but is also isotropic and topologically non-trivial, to arbitrary partial-wave channels with multi-component fermions. The concrete example with four-component fermions is illustrated including the isotropic f, p and d-wave pairings in the spin septet, triplet, and quintet channels, respectively. The odd partial-wave channel pairings are topologically non-trivial, while pairings in even partial-wave channels are topologically trivial. The topological index reaches the largest value of  $N^2$  in the p-wave channel (N is half of the fermion component number). The surface spectra exhibit multiple linear and even high order Dirac cones. Applications to multi-orbital condensed matter systems and multi-component ultra-cold large spin fermion systems are discussed.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Rp., 67.30.H-, 73.20.At, 74.20.Mn

Superconductivity and paired superfluidity of neutral fermions possessing unconventional symmetries are among the central topics of condensed matter physics. If Cooper pairs formed by spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  fermions carry nonzero spin, their orbital symmetries are usually in the odd partial-wave channels, except in the case of oddfrequency pairings [1, 2]. The *p*-wave paired superfluidity [3, 4] includes the <sup>3</sup>He A-phase exhibiting point nodes [5], the fully gapped B-phase [6], and the recently reported polar state with linear nodes [7, 8]. The p-wave superconductivity has also been extensively investigated in heavy fermion systems including UGe<sub>2</sub>, URhGe, UCoGe [9]. The *p*-wave superfluid  ${}^{3}$ He and superconductors exhibit rich topological structures of vortices and spin textures under rotations or in external magnetic fields, respectively [10, 11]. In addition, experimental signatures of the possible nodal f-wave superconductivity have also been reported in  $UPt_3$  [12, 13].

Among unconventional pairing phases, the pairing structure of the <sup>3</sup>He-*B* phase is distinct. In spite of its non-*s*-wave symmetry and non-trivial spin configuration, the overall pairing structure remains isotropic: It is invariant under the combined spatial and spin rotations carrying total angular momentum zero. Its shows the relative spin-orbit symmetry breaking from  $SO_L(3) \otimes SO_S(3)$  to  $SO_J(3)$  [3] where *L*, *S*, and *J* represent the orbital, spin, and total angular momentum, respectively. The relative spin-orbit symmetry-breaking has also been studied in the context of Pomeranchuk instability termed as unconventional magnetism leading to the dynamic generation of spin-orbit coupling [14, 15].

Furthermore, the <sup>3</sup>He-B phase possesses non-trivial topological properties [16–18]. Topological states of matter have become a major research focus since the discovery of the integer quantum Hall effect [19–21]. Recently, the study of topological band structures has extended from time-reversal (TR) breaking systems to TR invariant systems [22–24], from two to three dimensions

[17, 25, 26], and from insulators to superconductors [16– 18, 27–30]. The <sup>3</sup>He-B phase is a 3D TR invariant topological Cooper pairing state. Its bulk Bogoliubov spectra are analogous to the 3D gapped Dirac fermions belonging to the DIII class characterized by an integer-valued index [17]. The non-trivial bulk topology gives rise to the gapless surface Dirac spectra of the mid-gap Andreev-Majorana modes [31]. Evidence of these low energy states has been reported in recent experiments [32].

Because the electron Cooper pair can only be either spin singlet or triplet, the *p*-wave  ${}^{3}$ He-B phase looks the only choice of the unconventional 3D isotropic pairing state. In this article, we will show that actually there are much richer possibilities of this exotic class of pairing in all the partial-wave channels of  $L \geq 1$ . We consider multi-component fermions in both orbital-active solid state systems and ultra-cold atomic systems with large spin alkali and alkaline-earth fermions, both of which have recently attracted a great deal of attention [33–41]. For simplicity, below we introduce an effective spin s to describe the multi-component fermion systems with the component number expressed as  $2N = 2s + 1 \ge 4$ . Compared with the 2-component case, their Cooper pair spin structures are greatly enriched [34, 42]. For example, the 4-component spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  systems can support the *f*-wave septet, p-wave triplet, and d-wave quintet pairings, all of which are fully gapped and rotationally invariant. Neverthe the odd partial-wave channel ones, i.e., the p and f-wave pairings are topologically non-trivial. Their topological properties are analyzed both from calculating the bulk indices and surface Dirac cones of the Andreev-Majorana modes. For the *p*-wave case, the topological indices from all the helicity channels add up leading to a large value of  $N^2$ . Correspondingly the surface spectra exhibit the coexistence of 2D Dirac cones of all the orders from 1 to 2N - 1.

We begin with an f-wave spin septet Cooper pairing

Hamiltonian in a 3D isotropic system of spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} \epsilon_{\vec{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}(\vec{k}) c_{\alpha}(\vec{k}) - \frac{g}{V_0} \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}', m, \nu} P^{\dagger}_{m, \nu}(\vec{k}) P_{m, \nu}(\vec{k}'), (1)$$

in which  $\epsilon_{\vec{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \mu$  and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential.  $\alpha = \pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$  is the spin index, g is the pairing interaction strength, and  $V_0$  is the system volume. The pairing operator is defined as  $P_{m,\nu}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) = c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\vec{k})Y_{3m}(\hat{k})[S^{3\nu}R]_{\alpha\beta}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}(-\vec{k})$ where  $\hat{k} = \vec{k}/k, \ Y_{3m}(\hat{k})$ 's with  $-3 \leq m \leq 3$  are the 3rd order spherical harmonic functions, and  $S^{3\nu}$  with  $-3 \leq \nu \leq 3$  are the rank-3 spherical tensors based on the spin operator  $\vec{S}$  in the spin  $\frac{3}{2}$ -representation, where  $\nu$  is the eigenvalue of  $S_z$ . For later convenience,  $Y_{3m}(\hat{k})$  are normalized according to  $\sum_m |Y_{3m}(\hat{k})|^2 = 1$ . R is the charge conjugation matrix defined as  $R_{\alpha\beta} = (-)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}$  satisfying  $R\vec{S}^T R^{-1} = -\vec{S}$  such that  $R_{\alpha\beta}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}$  transforms in the same way under rotation as  $c_{\alpha}$  does. The expressions for spherical harmonic functions and spin tensors are presented in Supplemental Material (SM) I.

After the mean-field decomposition, Eq. 1 becomes

$$\frac{H_{MF}}{V} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}}' \Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) H(\vec{k}) \Psi(\vec{k}) + g \sum_{m,\nu} \Delta_{m,\nu}^{*} \Delta_{m,\nu}, \quad (2)$$

in which  $\vec{k}$  is summed over half of momentum space;  $\Psi(\vec{k}) = (c_{\vec{k},\alpha}, c^{\dagger}_{-\vec{k},\alpha})^T$  is the Nambu spinor; the order parameter  $\Delta_{m,\nu}$  is defined through the self-consistent equation as

$$\Delta_{m,\nu} = \frac{g}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}} \langle G | c_{\gamma}(-\vec{k}) Y^*_{3m}(\vec{k}) R^{\dagger} S^{3\nu,\dagger} c_{\delta}(\vec{k}) | G \rangle \quad (3)$$

with  $\langle G|...|G\rangle$  meaning the ground state average. The matrix kernel  $H(\vec{k})$  in Eq. 2 is expressed as

$$H(\vec{k}) = \epsilon(\vec{k})\tau_3 \otimes I_{4\times4} + \hat{\Delta}(\vec{k})\tau_+ + \hat{\Delta}(-\vec{k})\tau_-, \qquad (4)$$

where  $\tau_3$  and  $\tau_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 \pm i\tau_2)$  are the Pauli matrices acting in the Nambu space.  $\hat{\Delta}(\vec{k})$  is defined in the matrix form in spin space as

$$\hat{\Delta}(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\nu} (S^{3\nu} R) d^{*,\nu}(\vec{k}), \tag{5}$$

where  $d^{*,\nu}(\vec{k}) = \Delta_{m,\nu} Y_{3m}(\hat{k})$  and is dubbed as the *d*-tensor in analogy to the *d*-vector in <sup>3</sup>He. The usual *d*-vector is represented in its three Cartesian components, while here, the *d*-tensor is a rank-3 complex spherical tensor.

We consider the isotropic pairing with total angular momentum J = 0, which is a generalization of the *p*wave <sup>3</sup>He-B phase. Similarly, it is fully gapped, and thus conceivably energetically favorable within the meanfield theory. Its  $d^{\nu}(\vec{k})$  can be parametrized as  $d^{\nu}(\vec{k}) =$ 




FIG. 1: Pictorial representations of the pairing matrices over the Fermi surfaces of (a) the isotropic *f*-wave septet pairing and (b) the isotropic *p*-wave triplet pairing with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions. Intuitively, the *f*-wave matrix kernels  $U(\hat{k})S^{30}U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$  and the *p*-wave ones  $U(\hat{k})S^{10}U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$  for each wavevector  $\vec{k}$  are depicted in their orbital counterpart harmonic functions in (a) and (b), respectively.

 $c_f \Delta_f (\frac{k}{k_f})^3 Y_{3\nu}(\hat{k})$ , where  $c_f$  is an overall normalization factor given in SM II,  $\Delta_f$  is the complex gap magnitude, or, equivalently,

$$\hat{\Delta}(\vec{k}) = \Delta_f(\frac{k}{k_f})^3 K_f(\hat{k}) R \tag{6}$$

in which  $K_f = c_f U(\hat{k}) S^{30} U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$ ;  $U(\hat{k})$  rotates the zaxis to  $\hat{k}$  as defined in the following gauge  $U(\hat{k}) = e^{-i\phi_k s_z} e^{-i\theta_k s_y}$  in which  $\theta_k$  and  $\phi_k$  are polar and azimuthal angles of  $\hat{k}$ , respectively. The explicit form of  $\hat{\Delta}(\hat{k})$  and the corresponding spontaneous symmetry breaking pattern are presented in SM II and III, respectively.

With the help of the helicity operator  $h(\hat{k}) = \hat{k} \cdot \vec{S}$ ,  $K_f(\hat{k})$  can be further expressed in an explicitly rotational invariant form as

$$K_f(\hat{k}) = -\frac{5}{2}h^3(\hat{k}) + \frac{41}{8}h(\hat{k}), \tag{7}$$

which is diagonalized as  $U^{\dagger}(\vec{k})K_{f}(\hat{k})U(\hat{k}) = (-\frac{5}{2}S_{z}^{3} + \frac{41}{8}S_{z})$ . For a helicity eigenstate with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , the corresponding eigenvalue  $\xi_{\lambda}$  of  $K_{f}(\hat{k})$  reads  $\xi_{\lambda} = -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{9}{4}, -\frac{9}{4}, \frac{3}{4}$  for  $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ , respectively. The Bogoliubov quasi-particle spectra are  $E_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\epsilon^{2}(\vec{k}) + |\Delta_{f}|^{2}(\frac{k}{k_{f}})^{6}\xi_{\lambda}^{2}}$  satisfying  $E_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) = E_{-\lambda}(\vec{k})$  due to the parity symmetry.

Next we study the pairing topological structure. The pairing Hamiltonian Eq. 4 in the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (B-deG) formalism possesses the particle-hole symmetry  $C_pH(\vec{k})C_p^{-1} = -H^*(-\vec{k})$  with  $C_p = \tau_1 \otimes I_4$ . Furthermore, the isotropic pairing state described by Eq. 6 is TR invariant satisfying  $C_TH(\vec{k})C_T^{-1} = H^*(-\vec{k})$  with  $C_T = I_2 \otimes R$ , and thus it belongs to the DIII class. The associated topological index is integer-valued which will be calculated following the method in Ref. [30].  $H(\vec{k})$  is




FIG. 2: The gapless surface spectra for the isotropic f-wave septet pairing in (a) and for the isotropic p-wave triplet pairing in (b) with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions.

transformed with only two off-diagonal blocks as  $\epsilon(\vec{k})\tau_1 + \Delta_f(\frac{k}{k_f})^3 K(\hat{k})\tau_2$ . The singular-value-decomposition to its up-right block yields  $U(\hat{k})L(k)\Lambda(k)U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$ , in which L(k) and  $\Lambda(k)$  are two diagonal matrices only dependent on the magnitude of k defined as  $L_{\lambda\lambda}(k) = E_{\lambda}(k)$ and  $\Lambda_{\lambda\lambda}(k) = e^{i\theta_{\lambda}(k)}$ , respectively. The angles satisfy  $\tan \theta_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) = -\frac{\Delta_f \xi_{\lambda}}{\epsilon_{\vec{k}}} (\frac{k}{k_f})^3$  and for simplicity  $\Delta_f$  is set as positive. The  $k^3$ -dependence of the pairing amplitude is regularized: Beyond a cutoff  $k_c$ ,  $\Delta_f$  vanishes.

The topological index is calculated through the SU(4) matrix  $Q_{\vec{k}} = U(\hat{k})\Lambda(k)U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$  as

$$N_w = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^3 \vec{k} \epsilon^{ijl} \text{Tr}[Q^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}} \partial_i Q_{\vec{k}} Q^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}} \partial_j Q_{\vec{k}} Q^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}} \partial_l Q_{\vec{k}}], \quad (8)$$

which is integer-valued characterizing the homotopic class of the mapping i.e.,  $\pi_3(SU(4)) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Nevertheless,  $N_w$  is only well-defined up to a sign: After changing  $\Delta_f \to -\Delta_f$ ,  $N_w$  flips the sign. As shown in SM IV, at  $\mu > 0 N_w$  is evaluated as

$$N_w = \sum_{\lambda = \pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}} \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_\lambda).$$
(9)

Its dependence on  $\operatorname{sgn}(\xi_{\lambda})$  is because  $\theta_{\lambda}(\vec{k})$  varies from  $0 \to \frac{\pi}{2} \to \pi$  at  $\xi_{\lambda} > 0$  but from  $\pi \to \frac{\pi}{2} \to 0$  as k varies from 0 to  $k_f$  to  $+\infty$ . A similar form of Eq. 9 was obtained in Ref. [30] in which the Fermi surface Chern number plays the role of  $\lambda$  in Eq. 9. For two helicity pairs of  $\lambda = \pm \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ , their contributions are with opposite signs, and thus  $N_w = 2$ .

The non-trivial bulk topology gives rise to gapless surface Dirac cones. Because of the pairing isotropy, without loss of generality, an open planar boundary is chosen at z = 0 with  $\mu(z) = \epsilon_f > 0$  at z < 0 and  $-\infty$  at z > 0. The mean-field Hamiltonian becomes  $H(\vec{k}_{\parallel}, z)$  in which  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = (k_x, k_y)$  remains conserved while the translation symmetry along the z-axis is broken. The symmetry on the boundary is  $C_{v\infty}$  including the uni-axial rotation around the z-axis and the reflection with respect to any vertical plane.  $C_{v\infty}$  is also the little group symmetry at  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ , then the four zero Andreev-Majorana modes at  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0$  are  $s_z$  eigenstates denoted as  $|0_{\alpha,f}\rangle$ . The associated creation operators  $\gamma^{\dagger}_{\alpha}$  are solved as

$$\gamma_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz \quad [ e^{i(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4})} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0, z) \\ + e^{-i(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4})} c_{-\alpha}(\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0, z)] u_{\alpha}(z), (10)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the phase of  $\Delta$ ;  $u_{f,\alpha}(z)$  is the zero mode wavefunction exponentially decaying along the z-axis, and its expression is presented in SM V.

The surface zero modes  $|0_{\alpha,f}\rangle$  at  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  possess an important property that the gapped bulk modes do not have: They are chiral eigen-modes satisfying  $C_{ch}|0_{\alpha,f}\rangle =$  $(-)^{\nu_{\alpha}}|0_{\alpha,f}\rangle$  with  $\nu_{\alpha} = 0$  for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\nu_{\alpha} = 1$ for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ , respectively, in which the chiral operator is defined as  $C_{ch} = iC_pC_T = i\tau_1 \otimes R$ . The mean-field Hamiltonian  $H(\vec{k}_{\parallel}, z)$  is in the DIII class satisfying the particle-hole and TR symmetries, and it transforms as  $C_{ch}H(\vec{k}_{\parallel},z)C_{ch}^{-1} = -H(\vec{k}_{\parallel},z)$ . Thus  $C_{ch}$  is a symmetry only for zero modes. For a nonzero mode  $|\psi_n\rangle$  and its chiral partner  $|\psi_{\bar{n}}\rangle = C_{ch}|\psi_n\rangle$ , their energies are opposite to each other, *i.e.*,  $\epsilon_{\bar{n}} = -\epsilon_n$ . If a perturbation  $\delta H$ remains in the DIII class, then  $C_{ch}\delta H C_{ch}^{-1} = -\delta H$ .  $\delta H$ can only mix two zero modes with opposite chiral indices because  $\langle 0_{\alpha,f} | \delta H | 0_{\beta,f} \rangle = (-)^{\nu_{\alpha} + \nu_{\beta} + 1} \langle 0_{\alpha,f} | \delta H | 0_{\beta,f} \rangle$ , and it is nonzero only if  $\nu_{\alpha} \neq \nu_{\beta}$ .

As moving away from  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ , the zero modes evolve to the midgap states developing energy dispersions. At  $k_{\parallel} \ll k_f$ , these midgap states can be solved by using the  $k \cdot p$  perturbation theory within the subspace spanned by the zero modes  $|0_{\alpha,f}\rangle$  at  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ . By setting  $\delta H =$  $H(\vec{k}_{\parallel}, z) - H(0, z)$ , the effective Hamiltonian to the linear order of  $k_{\parallel}$  is

$$H_{mid}^{f}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) = \frac{9\Delta_{f}}{4k_{f}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ik_{-} & 0 & O(k_{-}^{3}) \\ ik_{+} & 0 & -2ik_{-} & 0 \\ 0 & 2ik_{+} & 0 & -ik_{-} \\ O(k_{+}^{3}) & 0 & ik_{+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (11)$$

where  $k_{\pm} = k_x \pm i k_y$ . The matrix elements in the same chiral sector are exactly zero, and the elements at the order of  $O(k_{\pm}^3)$  are neglected. The solutions consist two sets of 2D surface Dirac cone spectra represented by  $E_{\pm}^{a(b)}(\vec{k}_{\parallel}) = \pm v_{a(b)}k_{\parallel}$ . The velocities are solved as  $v_{a(b)} = \frac{9}{4}\frac{|\Delta_f|}{k_f}(\sqrt{2}\pm 1)$ . We also develop a systematic method beyond the  $k \cdot p$  theory to solve the midgap spectra for all the range of  $k_{\parallel}$  as presented in SM VIII, and the results are plotted in Fig. 2 (a). In addition to the Dirac cones, there also exists an additional zero energy ring not captured by Eq. 11, which is located at  $k/k_f = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$  as analyzed in SM V.

Now we move to other unconventional isotropic pairings of spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions in the *p* and *d*-wave channels.

The *p*-wave triplet one is topologically non-trivial, and the analysis can be performed in the same way as above. The pairing matrix is  $\hat{\Delta}_p(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\nu=0,\pm 1} (S^{1\nu}R) d_p^{*\nu}(\vec{k}) = \Delta_p \frac{k}{k_f} K_p(\hat{k}) R$ , where  $S^{1\nu}$  is the rank-1 spin tensor,  $d_p^{\nu} = \Delta_p(\frac{k}{k_f}) Y_{1\nu}(\hat{k})$ , and  $K_p(\hat{k}) = \hat{k} \cdot \vec{S}$  is just the helicity operator. The quasi-particle spectra are fully gapped as  $E_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2(\vec{k}) + |\Delta_p|^2(\frac{k}{k_f})^2 \lambda^2}$ , and the topological index of this pairing can be evaluated based on Eq. 9 by replacing the eigenvalues of  $K_f(\hat{k})$  with those of  $K_p(\hat{k})$ . The contributions from two helicity pairs of  $\lambda = \pm \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$  add up leading to a high value  $N_w = 4$ . In comparison, the topological index of the <sup>3</sup>He-B phase is only 1, and thus their topological sectors are different in spite of the same pairing symmetry.

The surface spectra of the isotropic *p*-wave pairing with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions are interesting: They exhibit a cubic Dirac cone in addition to a linear one. Consider the same planar boundary configuration as before, similarly for each spin component  $\alpha$  there exists one zero mode at  $k_{\parallel} = 0$  labeled by  $|0_{\alpha,p}\rangle$ . Again we perform the  $k \cdot p$  analysis at  $k_{\parallel} \ll k_f$  in the subspace spanned by  $|0_{\alpha,p}\rangle$  with respect to  $\delta H = H(k_{\parallel}, z) - H(0, z)$ . The chiral eigenvalue of  $|0_{\alpha,p}\rangle$  is  $(-)^{\nu_{\alpha}} = \operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)$ , which leads to a different structure of effective Hamiltonian from that of the f-wave one. Only  $|0_{\pm\frac{1}{2},p}\rangle$  can be directly coupled by  $\delta H$ , which leads to a linear Dirac cone. In contrast, the pair of states  $|0_{\pm\frac{3}{2},p}\rangle$  are not directly coupled, rather  $|0_{\frac{3}{2},p}\rangle$ and  $|0_{-\frac{1}{2},p}\rangle$  are coupled through the 2nd order perturbation theory, and so do  $|0_{\frac{3}{2},p}\rangle$  and  $|0_{\frac{1}{2},p}\rangle$ . Consequently,  $|0_{\pm\frac{3}{2},p}\rangle$  are coupled at the order of  $(\delta H)^3$  developing a cubic Dirac cone as shown in SM VII. The above analysis is confirmed by the solution based on the non-perturbative method in SM VIII as plotted in Fig. 2 (b).

In contrast, the *d*-wave spin quintet isotropic pairing of spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions are topologically trivial. By imitating the analyses above, we replace  $K_f(\hat{k})$  with  $K_d(\hat{k}) = 2(\hat{k} \cdot \vec{S})^2 - \frac{5}{2}I_4$ . Different from the kernels  $K_p$  and  $K_f$ in odd partial-wave channels,  $K_d$ 's eigenvalues are even with respect to the helicity index, i.e.,  $\xi_{\lambda}^d = \xi_{-\lambda}^d$ , such that  $N_w$  vanishes. This result agrees with that 3D TR invariant topological superconductors should be parity odd as shown in Ref. [43]. The explicit calculation of the surface spectra in SM VII confirms this point showing the absence of zero modes.

The above analysis can be straightforwardly applied to multi-component fermion systems with a general spin value  $s = N - \frac{1}{2}$ . The spin-tensors at the order of l are denoted as  $S^{lm}$  with  $0 \le l \le 2S$  and  $-l \le m \le l$ . For each partial-wave channel  $0 \le l \le 2S$ , there exists an isotropic pairing with the pairing matrix  $\hat{\Delta}(\hat{k}) = \Delta_l (\frac{k}{k_f})^l K_l(\hat{k}) R$ in which  $K_l = U(\hat{k}) S^{l0} U^{\dagger}(\hat{k})$ , whose topological index  $N_w(l)$  is determined by the sign pattern of the elements of the diagonal matrix  $S^{l0}$ . For even and odd values of  $l, S^{l0}_{\alpha\alpha} = \pm S^{l0}_{-\alpha-\alpha}$ , respectively, and thus  $N^l_w$  vanishes when l is even, while for odd values of l,

$$N_w(l) = \sum_{\lambda>0} 2\lambda \operatorname{sgn}(S^{l0}_{\lambda\lambda}), \qquad (12)$$

in which  $S_{\alpha\alpha}^{l0} = (-)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} \langle S\alpha, S - \alpha | SS; l0 \rangle$  up to an overall factor. The largest value of  $N_w$  is reached for the *p*-wave case: Since  $S^{10} \propto S_z$ , contributions from all the components add together leading to  $N_w = N^2$ . The <sup>3</sup>He-B phase of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  fermions and the isotropic *p*-wave pairing with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions are two examples. As for the surface zero modes  $|0_{\alpha,p}\rangle$  at  $\vec{k}_{\parallel} = 0$ , their chiral indices equal  $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)$ . As a result, similar to the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  case, when performing the  $k \cdot p$  analysis for midgap states within the subspace spanned by  $|0_{\alpha,p}\rangle$ , only  $|0_{\pm\frac{1}{2},p}\rangle$  are directly coupled leading to a linear Dirac cone, and other pairs of  $|0_{\pm\alpha,p}\rangle$  are indirectly coupled at the order of  $(\delta H)^{2\alpha}$  leading to high order Dirac cones.

Multi-component fermion systems are not rare in nature. In solid state systems, many materials are orbitalactive including semiconductors, transition metal oxides, and heavy fermion systems. Due to spin-orbit coupling, their band structures are denoted by electron total angular momentum j and in many situations  $j > \frac{1}{2}$ . For example, in the hole-doped semiconductors, the valence band carries  $j = \frac{3}{2}$  as described by the Luttinger model [44]. Superconductivity has been discovered in these systems including hole-doped diamond and Germanium [45– 47]. Although in these materials, the Cooper pairings are mostly of the conventional s-wave symmetry arising from the electron-phonon interaction, it is natural to further consider unconventional pairing states in systems with similar band structures but stronger correlation effects. The *p*-wave pairing based on the Luttinger model has been studied in Ref. [40]. In ultra-cold atom systems, many alkali and alkaline-earth fermions often carry large hyperfine spin values  $F > \frac{1}{2}$ , and thus their Cooper pair spin structures are enriched taking values from 0 to 2F not just singlet and triplet as in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  case [34, 36, 42].

In multi-component solid state systems, there often exists spin-orbit coupling. For example, the Luttinger model describing hole-doped semi-conductors [44], contains an isotropic spin-orbit coupling  $H_{so} = \gamma_2 k^2 (\hat{k} \cdot \vec{S})^2$ . Since  $H_{so}$  is diagonalized in the helicity eigenbasis, we only need to update the kinetic energy with  $\epsilon_{k\lambda} = \epsilon_k + \gamma k^2 \lambda^2$  in the mean-field analysis, which satisfies  $\epsilon_{k\lambda} = \epsilon_{k,-\lambda}$ , and the pairing structure described by Eq. 6 is not affected. The topological properties are the same as analyzed before because the index formula Eq. 9 remains valid and the surface mid-gap state calculation can be performed qualitatively similarly. Nevertheless, the symmetry breaking pattern is changed. The relative spin-orbit symmetry is already explicitly broken by the  $H_{so}$ . The spin-orbit coupled Goldstone modes in <sup>3</sup>HeB become gapped pseudo-Goldstone modes with the gap proportional to the spin-orbit coupling strength  $\gamma_2$ .

In summary, we have found that multi-component fermion systems can support a class of exotic isotropic pairing states analogous to the <sup>3</sup>He-B phase with unconventional pairing symmetries and non-trivial topological structures. High-rank spin tensors are entangled with orbital partial-waves at the same order to form isotropic gap functions. For the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  case, the odd partial-wave channel pairings carry topological indices 2 and 4 for the f and p-wave pairings, respectively, while the d-wave channel pairing is topologically trivial. The surface Dirac cones of mid-gap modes are solved analytically which exhibit two linear Dirac cones in the f-wave case, and the coexistence of linear and cubic Dirac cones in the *p*-wave case. Generalizations to systems with even more fermion components can be performed straightforwardly. This work provides an important guidance to search for novel non-trivial topological pairing states in both condensed matter and ultra-cold atom systems.

Acknowledgments W. Y. and C. W. are supported by the NSF DMR-1410375 and AFOSR FA9550-14-1-0168. Y. L. is grateful for the support from the Princeton Center for Theoretical Science. C. W. acknowledges the supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11328403), the CAS/SAFEA International Partnership Program for Creative Research Teams of China, and the President's Research Catalyst Awards CA-15-327861 from the University of California Office of the President.

Note added. After the submission of this manuscript, the evidence for the septet pairing with spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  fermions has been reported in the rare earth-based half-Heusler superconductors [48].

- [1] V. L. Berezinskii, JETP Lett. **20**, 287 (1974).
- [2] F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1321 (2005).
- [3] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47, 331 (1975).
- [4] G. E. Volovik., *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Clarendon Press, ADDRESS, 2003).
- [5] P. Anderson and P. Morel, Phys. Rev. 123, 1911 (1961).
- [6] R. Balian and N. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 131, 1553 (1963).
- [7] K. Aoyama and R. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. B 73, 060504 (2006).
- [8] V. V. Dmitriev, A. A. Senin, A. A. Soldatov, and A. N. Yudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 165304 (2015).
- [9] D. Aoki and J. Flouquet, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 81, 011003 (2012).
- [10] K. Scharnberg and R. A. Klemm, Phys. Rev. B 22, 5233 (1980).
- [11] M. M. Salomaa and G. E. Volovik, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59,

533 (1987).

- [12] Y. Machida et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 157002 (2012).
- [13] E. R. Schemm *et al.*, Science **345**, 190 (2014).
- [14] C. Wu and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 036403 (2004).
- [15] C. Wu, K. Sun, E. Fradkin, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 75, 115103 (2007).
- [16] A. Y. Kitaev, Physics-Uspekhi 44, 131 (2001).
- [17] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).
- [18] S. Ryu, A. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. Ludwig, New J. Phys. **12**, 065010 (2010).
- [19] K. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 494 (1980).
- [20] M. Kohmoto, Ann. Phys. 160, 343 (1985).
- [21] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [22] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146802 (2005).
- [23] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [24] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [25] J. E. Moore and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 75, 121306 (2007).
- [26] R. Roy, New J. Phys. **12**, 065009 (2010).
- [27] J. Alicea et al., Nature Physics 7, 412 (2011).
- [28] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 040502 (2010).
- [29] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 077001 (2010).
- [30] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134508 (2010).
- [31] S. B. Chung and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 235301 (2009).
- [32] Y. Bunkov and R. Gazizulin, ArXiv:1504.01711 (2015).
- [33] C. Wu, Nat Phys 8, 784 (2012).
- [34] T.-L. Ho and S. Yip, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 247 (1999).
- [35] C. Wu, J.-p. Hu, and S.-c. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 186402 (2003).
- [36] C. Wu, Modern Physics Letters B **20**, 1707 (2006).
- [37] B. J. DeSalvo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 030402 (2010).
- [38] A. V. Gorshkov *et al.*, Nat Phys **6**, 289 (2010).
- [39] S. Taie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 190401 (2010).
- [40] C. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and M. J. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B 91, 165421 (2015).
- [41] L. Fu and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 097001 (2010).
- [42] C. WU, J. HU, and S.-C. ZHANG, International Journal of Modern Physics B 24, 311 (2010).
- [43] F. Zhang, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 056403 (2013).
- [44] R. Winkler, Spin-Orbit Coupling Effects in Two-Dimensional Electron and Hole Systems (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, ADDRESS, 2003).
- [45] Y. Takano *et al.*, Applied Physics Letters **85**, 2851 (2004).
- [46] E. A. Ekimov et al., Nature (London) 428, 542 (2004).
- [47] T. Herrmannsdörfer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 217003 (2009).
- [48] H. Kim et al., ArXiv:1603.03375 (2016).