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We have observed the effect of the Aharonov-Casher (AC) interference on the spectrum of a superconducting system containing a symmetric Cooper pair box (CPB) and a large inductance. By varying the charge $n_g$ induced on the CPB island, we observed oscillations of the device spectrum with the period $Δn_g = 2e$. These oscillations are attributed to the charge-controlled AC interference between the fluxon tunneling processes in the CPB Josephson junctions. The measured phase and charge dependences of the frequencies of the $|0⟩ → |1⟩$ and $|0⟩ → |2⟩$ transitions are in good agreement with our numerical simulations. Almost complete suppression of the tunneling due to destructive interference has been observed for the charge $n_g = e(2n + 1)$. The CPB in this regime enables fluxon pairing, which can be used for the development of parity-protected superconducting qubits.

The Aharonov-Casher (AC) effect is a non-local topological effect: the wave function of a neutral particle with magnetic moment moving in two dimensions around a charge acquires a phase shift proportional to the charge [1]. This effect has been observed in experiments with neutrons, atoms, and solid-state semiconductor systems (see, e.g., [2–4] and references therein). Similar effects have been predicted for superconducting networks of nanoscale superconducting islands coupled by Josephson junctions. For example, the wave function of the flux vortices (fluxons) moving in such a network should acquire a phase that depends on the charge on superconducting islands [5]. Indeed, oscillations of the network resistance in the flux-flow regime have been observed as a function of the gate-induced island charge [6]; these oscillations have been attributed to the interference associated with the AC phase. However, this attribution is not unambiguous, because qualitatively similar phenomena can be produced by the Coulomb-blockade effect due to the quantization of charge on the superconducting islands [7].

More recently, indirect evidence for the AC effect in superconducting circuits has been obtained in the study of suppression of the macroscopic phase coherence in one-dimensional (1D) chains of Josephson junctions by quantum fluctuations [8]. The quantum phase slips (QPS) in the junctions can be viewed as the charge-sensitive fluxon tunneling [9, 10] provided the conditions discussed below are satisfied. Microwave experiments [11] have demonstrated that dephasing of a fluxonium, a small Josephson junction shunted by a 1D Josephson chain, can be due to the effect of fluctuating charges on the QPS in the chain. Applications of the AC effect in classical Josephson devices have been discussed in Refs. [7, 12].

In this Letter we describe microwave experiments which provide direct evidence for the charge-dependent interference between the amplitudes of fluxon tunneling. We have studied the microwave resonances of the device consisting of two nominally identical Josephson junctions separated by a nanoscale superconducting island (the so-called Cooper-pair box, CPB) and a large inductance. A similar device with even greater kinetic inductance provides a physical implementation of the fault tolerant qubit (see below and Ref. [13]). The spectrum of the device is determined by the QPS rate in the CPB junctions, which depends on the charge of the superconducting island. The abrupt change of the phase difference across each junction by $2π$ (see below) can be considered as adding/subtracting a single fluxon to the superconducting loop formed by the CPB and the superinductor. We have observed almost complete suppression of the fluxon tunneling due to the destructive AC interference for the charge on the central CPB island $q = e(2n + 1)$. This complete suppression of fluxon tunneling provides an unequivocal evidence for the Aharonov-Casher phase and clearly distinguishes this effect from the Coulomb-blockade-related effects. Our results obtained for this well-controlled system allow for direct quantitative comparison with the theory.

The studied device (Fig. 1) consists of a superconducting loop that includes a Cooper pair box and a superconducting inductor with a large Josephson inductance $L$, the so-called superinductor [11]. Below we refer to this loop as the device loop. The magnetic flux $Φ$ in this loop controls the phase difference across the superinductor. The design of our superinductor has been described in Ref. [14]; the superinductor used in this experiment consisted of 36 coupled cells, each cell represented a small superconducting loop interrupted by three larger and one smaller Josephson junctions (Fig. 1b). The inductance $L$ reaches its maximum when the unit cell is threaded by the magnetic flux $Φ_0/2$. In this regime of full frustration, $L$ exceeds the Josephson inductance of the CPB junctions by two orders of magnitude.

It is worth emphasizing that a large magnitude of $L$ and, thus, a small value of the superinductor energy $E_L = (Φ_0)^2/2L$, is essential for the observation of the
AC effect in our experiment. Indeed, the classification of

the device states by the discrete values of the phase

\( \varphi = 2 \pi n \) and, thus, the notion of fluxons can be justified

if \( E_L \ll E_J \) because only in this limit one can ignore the

phase drop across the CPB (for more details see Supple-

mentary Materials [15]). In this respect, the studied de-

cvice resembles the fluxonium [16], in which a single junc-

tion is shunted by a superinductor. Large inductance \( L \)

is an important distinction of our device from the structure

proposed in Ref. [7] for the observation of suppression of

macroscopic quantum tunneling due to the AC effect. In

the small-\( L \) case considered in Ref. [7], the phase weakly

fluctuates around the value \( 2 \pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \) and the phase slips are

completely suppressed (cf. Ref. [17]). Note that the

condition \( E_L \ll E_J \) was not satisfied in Ref. [8], so the

data interpretation in terms of fluxon tunneling can be

questioned. Large \( L \) values are also important for the

spectroscopic measurements: the superinductor reduces

the device resonance frequency down to the convenient-

for-measurements 1-10 GHz range.

For the dispersive measurements of the device reso-

nances, a narrow portion of the device loop with the

kinetic inductance \( L_{sh} \) was coupled to the read-out

lumped-element resonator (for details of the readout

design, see [18, 19]). The global magnetic field, which
determines the fluxes in both the device loop, \( \Phi \), and the
ユニット cells of the superinductor, \( \Phi_L \), has been generated by a superconducting solenoid. The offset charge on the

CPB island was varied by the gate voltage \( V_g \) applied to

the microstrip transmission line (Fig. 1b).

The device, the readout circuits, and the microwave

(MW) transmission line (Fig. 1b) were fabricated us-

ing multi-angle electron-beam deposition of Aluminum

through a lift-off mask (for fabrication details, see Refs.

[18, 19]). Six devices have been fabricated on the same

chip; they were addressed individually due to different

resonance frequencies of the read-out resonators. The pa-

rameters of the CPB junctions were nominally the same

for all six devices, whereas the maximum inductance of

the superinductor was systematically varied across six

devices by changing the in-plane dimensions of the small

junctions in the superinductors [14]. Below we discuss

the data for one representative device; Table I summa-

rizes the parameters of junctions in the CPB junctions

and superinductor (throughout the Letter all energies are

given in the frequency units, 1 K \( \approx 20.8 \) GHz).

In the two-tone measurements, the microwaves at the

second-tone frequency \( f_2 \) excited the transitions between

the \( \ket{0} \) and \( \ket{1} \) quantum states of the device, which

resulted in a change of its impedance [20]. This change

was registered as a shift of the resonance of the readout res-

onator probed with microwaves at the frequency \( f_1 \). The

microwave set-up used for these measurements has been
described in Refs. [14, 18, 19]. The resonance frequency

\( f_{01} \) of the transition between the \( \ket{0} \) and \( \ket{1} \) states was

measured as a function of the charge \( n_g \) and the flux in

the device loop. The \( f_{01} \) measurements could not be ex-


Table I. Parameters of Josephson junctions in the represen-

tative device. Parameters of the CPB junctions correspond
to the fitting parameters; parameters of the superinductor

junctions were estimated using the Ambegaokar-Baratoff re-

lation and the resistance of the test junctions fabricated

on the same chip.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Junctions</th>
<th>In-plane areas, ( \mu \text{m}^2 )</th>
<th>( E_J, \text{GHz} )</th>
<th>( E_C, \text{GHz} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPB</td>
<td>( 0.11 \times 0.11 )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superinductor large</td>
<td>( 0.30 \times 0.30 )</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superinductor small</td>
<td>( 0.16 \times 0.16 )</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. (color online) Panel (a): The transmitted microwave power \( |S_{21}|^2 \) at the first-tone frequency \( f_1 \) as a function of the second-tone frequency \( f_2 \) and the gate voltage \( V_g \) measured at a fixed value of \( \Phi_L = 0.5 \Phi_0 \). The power maxima correspond to the resonance excitations of the device \( (f_2 = f_{01}) \), the superinductor \( (f_L) \), and the read-out resonator \( (f_R) \). Note that the resonance measurements could not be extended below \(~1\) GHz because of a high-pass filter in the second-tone feedline. Panel (b): The frequency dependence of the transmitted microwave power measured at \( V_g = 0 \) V and \( \Phi_{SL} = 0.5 \Phi_0 \).

Figure 1. (color online) Panel (a): The schematics of the circuit containing the device and the readout lumped-element resonator. The CPB Josephson junctions are shown as crosses. Panel (b): The layout of the device, the read-out resonator, and the MW transmission line. The superinduc-
tor consists of 36 coupled cells, each cell represented a small superconducting loop interrupted by three larger and one smaller Josephson junctions [14].
tended below \(\sim 1\) GHz because of a high-pass filter in the second-tone feedline.

The results discussed below have been obtained in the magnetic fields that correspond to \(\Phi_L \approx \Phi_0/2\) where \(L\) reaches its maximum [14]. Because the device loop area \((\sim 1,850 \mu m^2)\) was much greater than the superinductor unit cell area \((15 \mu m^2)\), the phase across the chain could be varied at an approximately constant value of \(L\). All measurements have been performed at \(T = 20\) mK.

The resonances corresponding to the \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) transition are shown in Fig. 2a as a function of the gate voltage \(V_g\) at a fixed value of the magnetic field that is close to full frustration of the superinductor unit cells \((\Phi_L \approx 0.5\Phi_0)\). The dependence \(f_{01}(V_g)\) is periodic in the charge on the CPB island, \(n_g\), with the period \(\Delta n_g = 1\) (here and below the charge is measured in units \(2e\) \((mod\ 2e)\)). The increase of temperature above 0.3K resulted in reducing the period in half due to the thermally generated quasiparticles population. Figure 2 also shows the resonance of the read-out resonator at \(f_\pi = 6.45\) GHz and the self-resonance of the superinductor \(f_\pi \approx 5.5\) GHz. All three resonances are shown in Fig. 2b for \(n_g \approx 0.47(V_g = 0)\) and \(\Phi_L \approx 0.5\Phi_0\). Weaker resonances observed at \(f_2 \approx 3\) GHz and 4.8 GHz at \(V_g = -30mV\) correspond to the multi-photon excitations of the higher modes of the superinductor.

Note that no disruption of periodicity neither by the quasiparticle poisoning [21] nor by long-term shifts of the offset charge was observed in the data in Fig. 2a that were measured over 80 min. With respect to the quasiparticle poisoning, this suggests that on average, the parity of quasiparticles on the CPB island remains the same on this time scale. In the opposite case, the so-called “eye” patterns would be observed on the dependences of the resonance frequency on the gate voltage [22]. Significant suppression of quasiparticle poisoning was achieved due to the gap engineering [21] (the superconducting gap in the thin CPB island exceeded that of the thicker leads by \(\sim 0.2K\), as well as shielding of the device from infrared photons [23].

The expected flux dependence of the energy levels of the device is shown in Fig. 3a. This flux dependence can be understood by noting that in the absence of fluxon tunneling (the dotted curves in Fig. 3a corresponding to \(n_g = 0.5\) and identical CPB junctions) different states are characterized by a different number \(m\) of fluxons in the device loop. At \(E_J \gg E_L\) the energies of these states are represented by crossing parabolas \(E_L(m, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} E_L(m - \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0})^2\). The phase slip processes mix the states with different numbers of fluxons and lead to the level repulsion. The qualitative picture of fluxon tunneling and AC interference is in good agreement with the observed level structure shown in Fig. 3b.

Figure 3b shows the main result of this Letter: the dependences of the resonance frequencies of the \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) and \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle\) transitions \((f_{01} \text{ and } f_{02}, \text{ respectively})\) on

![Figure 3](image-url)
the flux in the device loop for the charges \( n_g = 0 \) and 0.5. In line with the level modeling, at \( n_g = 0 \) the frequency \( f_{01} \) periodically varies as a function of phase, but never approaches zero. On the other hand, when \( n_g = 0.5 \), the amplitudes of fluxon tunneling across the CPB junctions acquire the Aharonov-Casher phase difference \( \pi \). Provided that the CPB junctions are identical, the destructive interference should completely suppress fluxon tunneling, which results in vanishing coupling between the states \( |m\rangle \) and \( |m \pm 1\rangle \) and disappearance of the avoided crossing. Since the difference \( E_L(m, \Phi) - E_L(m \pm 1, \Phi) \) is linear in \( \Phi \), the spectrum at \( n_g = 0.5 \) should acquire the sawtooth shape. This is precisely what has been observed in our experiment. To better fit the experimental data, we have assumed that the Josephson energies are slightly different for the CPB junctions (\( \Delta E_J < 0.5 \) GHz); for this reason, the minima of the theoretical sawtooth-shaped dependence \( f_{01}(\Phi) \) are slightly rounded. Fitting allowed us to extract all relevant energies (see the caption to Fig. 3). The amplitude of the single phase slips does not exceed 0.2 GHz, the amplitude of the double phase slips is 0.4 GHz.

The studied device has the potential to become the building block of the fault tolerant qubit. Namely, it can be used to implement a protected qubit in which two logical states correspond to different parities of fluxons in the device loop, the so-called “flux-pairing” qubit. Two conditions have to be satisfied for the realization of protected states [13]. Firstly, the rate of cotunneling of pairs of fluxons should be significantly increased by reducing the ratio \( E_J/E_C \) for the CPB junctions. Note that at \( n_g = 0.5 \), the AC phase for cotunneling of fluxon pairs is \( 2\pi \) and the interference is constructive. In this regime, the CPB represents a \( \cos(\phi/2) \) Josephson element which energy is \( 4\pi \)-periodic (see Supplementary Materials [15]). Secondly, for the proper operation of the flux-pairing qubit, the inductance of the superinductor should be further increased (approximately by an order of magnitude in comparison with the device described above). To satisfy the latter challenging requirement without reducing the superinductor resonance frequency, the parasitic capacitance of the superinductor should be significantly reduced. Such a qubit would not only be characterized by much improved coherence, but, even more importantly, would enable certain fault-tolerant gates [13]. The flux-pairing qubit is dual to a recently realized charge-pairing qubit [19].

To conclude, we have observed the effect of the Aharonov-Casher interference on the spectrum of the Cooper pair box (CPB) shunted by a large inductance. Large values of \( L \) (\( E_L \ll E_J \)) are essential for the observation of the AC effect with the Cooper pair box; in this important respect our devices differ from the earlier proposed structures [7]. We have demonstrated that the amplitudes of the fluxon tunneling through each of the CPB junctions acquire the relative phase that depends on the CPB island charge \( n_g \). In particular, the phase is equal to 0 (mod \( 2\pi \)) at \( n_g = 2ne \) and \( \pi \) (mod \( 2\pi \)) at \( n_g = e(2n + 1) \). The interference between these tunneling processes results in periodic variations of the energy difference between the ground and first excited states of the studied quantum circuit; the period of the oscillations corresponds to \( \Delta q = 2e \). The phase slip approximation provides quantitative description of the data and the observed interference pattern evidences the quantum coherent dynamics of our large circuit.
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