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Periodic microphases universally emerge in systems for which short-range inter-particle attraction is frustrated by long-range repulsion. The morphological richness of these phases makes them desirable material targets, but our relatively coarse understanding of even simple models hinders controlling their assembly. We report here the solution of the equilibrium phase behavior of a microscopic microphase former through specialized Monte Carlo simulations. The results for cluster crystal, cylindrical, double gyroid, and lamellar ordering qualitatively agree with a Landau-type free energy description and reveal the nontrivial interplay between cluster, gel, and microphase formation.

Introduction – Microphases supersede simple gas–liquid coexistence when short-range inter-particle attraction is frustrated by long-range repulsion (SALR). The resulting structures are both elegant and remarkably useful [1]. Block copolymers [2–4], for instance, form a rich array of periodic structures, such as lamellae, gyroid [5, 6] and exotic morphologies [7–11], whose robust assembly enables industrial applications in drug delivery [12, 13] and nanoscale patterning [14, 15], among others. Because microphase formation constitutes a universality class of sort [16], many other systems either exhibit or share the potential to form similar assemblies [1, 17]. In the latter category, colloidal suspensions are particularly interesting. The relative ease with which interactions between colloids can be tuned indeed suggests that a broad array of ordered microphases should be achievable [17]. Yet, in experiments [18–20] only amorphous gels and clusters have been observed in systems ranging from proteins [21] to micron-scale beads [22].

A variety of explanations have been advanced to explain the difficulty of assembling periodic microphases in colloids, including a glass-like dynamical slowdown upon approaching the microphase regime [23, 24], the existence of an equilibrium gel phase [25, 26], and the dynamical arrest of partly assembled structures due either to particle–scale sluggishness [27–29] or competition between morphologies [30–32]. In order to obtain a clearer physical picture of these effects and thus hopefully guide experimental microphase ordering, a better understanding of the relationship between equilibrium statics and dynamics is needed. Insights from theory and simulation would be beneficial, but both approaches face serious challenges. On the one hand, theoretical descriptions, such as density-functional theory [2, 5, 6], self-consistent field theory [33], random-phase approximation [34, 35] and others [26, 36], capture reasonably well the microphase structures, but corresponding dynamical descriptions are more limited [24, 28, 37–39]. On the other hand, the dynamics of particle-based models has been extensively studied by simulations [25, 27, 40–42], but our thermodynamic grasp of these models is rather poor [43–45]. In this Letter, we introduce the components needed to study the thermodynamic behavior of microscopic, microphase-forming models and thus help clarify the interplay between equilibrium ordering and sluggish dynamics.

Simulations – The square-well-linear (SWL) model studied here has a schematic interaction form that can be smoothly transformed into those of diblock copolymer and other microphase-forming models. Its radial pair interaction \( u(r) = u_{HS}(r) + u_{SALR}(r) \) includes hard-sphere volume exclusion \( u_{HS}(r) \) at the particle diameter \( \sigma \), which sets the unit of length, as well as a SALR contribution

\[
u_{SALR}(r) = \begin{cases} 
-\varepsilon, & r < \lambda \sigma \\
\xi \varepsilon (\kappa - r/\sigma), & \lambda \sigma < r < \kappa \sigma \\
0, & r > \kappa \sigma
\end{cases}
\]  (1)

The square-well attraction strength \( \varepsilon \), which sets the unit of energy, is felt up to \( \lambda \sigma \); beyond that point repulsion of strength \( \xi \varepsilon \) takes over and decays linearly. Note that choosing \( \xi = 0.05 \) places the system well above the Lifshitz point, \( \xi_L = 0.025(5) \), for the prototypical values \( \lambda = 1.5 \) and \( \kappa = 4 \) used here [1, 46–48]. We simulate systems containing between \( N = 800 \) and \( 8000 \) particles under periodic boundary conditions at fixed temperature \( T = 1/\beta \) (the Boltzmann constant is set to unity), fixing either pressure \( p \) or volume \( V \) (and thus number density \( \rho = N/V \)). For each state point, we perform between 10^5 and 10^6 Monte Carlo (MC) sweeps, which include non-standard MC moves [49–52], in order to obtain equilibrium configurations of the different phases studied [46]. The results presented here have been first equilibrated and then averaged over simulations at least five times longer than the structural relaxation time.

Obtaining equilibrium information about microscopic SALR particle-based models requires going beyond the common free energy techniques used for simulating gas, liquids and crystals [51], because these techniques fail to account for the fluctuating occupancy of periodic microphase features [53]. The problem is similar to that encountered in multiple-occupancy crystals [44] and lattices with vacancies [54]. We thus consider an expanded differential form for the Helmholtz free energy per parti-
As expected, at high $T$ the system is disordered, while at low $T$ equilibrium microphases form. Four different ordered microphase morphologies are identified for $\rho \lesssim 0.45$: face-centered cubic (FCC) cluster crystal, cylindrical, double gyroid and lamellar phases (see depictions Fig. 2 and symmetry details in Ref. [46]). Although a Landau functional calculation for simple microphase formers suggests that a body-centered cubic (BCC) cluster crystal phase might also form [17, 56], we found this structure to be only metastable in our system. The absence of the BCC symmetry suggests that the effective repulsion between clusters is harsher than $1/r^8$ [57–59], which a Hamaker-like calculation confirms [46]. This morphology thus appears to be more sensitive than others to the form of the interaction potential. The other microphase morphologies considered, i.e., O70 [9], P–surface [60], ordered bicontinuous double diamond [61] and perforated lamellae [6], were all found to be unstable within the regime studied.

The highest temperature at which periodic microphases melt is the weakly first-order, order-disorder transition (ODT) [1, 2]. This transition replaces the second-order gas-liquid critical point for systems beyond the Lifshitz point, i.e., for $\xi > \xi_L$ [16, 46]. Melting of the periodic lamellae at $T_{ODT}$ is monitored by the decay of the order parameter

$$A(T) = \frac{1}{N}S(k^*; T),$$

(3)
FIG. 2. Summary (a) $T - \rho$ and (b) $p - T$ phase diagrams indicating the first-order (empty symbols), and the order-disorder transition (filled circle) as well as the cmc (filled triangles) and percolation (filled diamonds) lines. Errors are comparable to the symbol sizes, striped areas correspond to coexistence regime, and lines are guides for the eye. Clausius-Clapeyron results for the slope of the coexistence line (dashed lines) validate the numerical results in (b). Sample average density profiles for the different phases are given in (a). The inset provides percolation and cmc lines to higher $T$. Three triple points can be identified (filled squares): (i) fluid–FCC-cluster–cylindrical coexistence at $T = 0.410(5)$ and $\rho = 0.051(1)$, (ii) fluid–cylindrical–lamellar coexistence at $T = 0.491(4)$ and $\rho = 0.285(2)$, and (iii) cylindrical–double gyroid–lamellar coexistence at $T = 0.37(1)$ and $\rho = 0.15(1)$.

where $k^*$ is the low-$k$ maximum of the structure factor, $S(k; T)$. In our model, this transition occurs roughly halfway through the lamellar regime, at $\rho \approx 0.35$. Because $g_{\ell}$, and thus $\ell$, is fairly independent of temperature in this regime (Fig. 3(a)), we use the $T = 0.3$ value of $k^* \approx 2\pi/\ell$ to study the decay of $A(T)$. Simulation results indicate that although away from the transition the order parameter behaves nearly critically, $A(T)$ vanishes discontinuously at $T_{ODT} = 0.535(5)$ (Fig. 3(b)). Mechanistically, upon going through the transition lamellae become increasingly flexible, giving rise to a percolated network, as observed in diblock copolymers [62].

At low temperatures, clusters form upon increasing density even before the onset of periodic microphase ordering, as reported in prior simulations and experiments [21, 40]. The fluid equation of state allows us to locate the onset of clustering, which is akin to determining the critical micelle concentration (cmc) in a surfactant system [46]. Increasing $T$ along this line decreases the average cluster size $n_{\text{cmc}}$ (Fig. 3(c)), and the last hints of a cmc vanish around $T = 0.72(1)$ [46]. Even within the fluid of clusters, the intra-cluster cohesion is relatively weak, resulting in the clusters’ internal structure to also be fluid-like. This behavior contrasts with the crystallites observed in systems with shorter attraction ranges [27], but lowering temperature may also lead to internally-ordered clusters in this system. In spite of their internal fluidity, the clusters are not generally spherical, and their asphericity increases with $\rho$ [46]. For $T \gtrsim 0.45$, they even become wormlike and eventually percolate [46, 63], which gels the system (see below) before the first-order transition into the periodic microphase regime is reached. This behavior is similar to that observed in Refs. [18, 40], but contrasts with that of Ref. [27], where the percolating network was instead associated with incompletely ordered cylinder or lamellar phases. For $T \lessim 0.45$, by contrast, cluster elongation is preempted by the microphase regime. Although this last transition is reminiscent of the crystallization of purely repulsive particles, the clusters in the fluid phase are larger (Fig. 3(d)) and display a much wider range of sizes and morphologies than those in the FCC-cluster crystal [44, 46]. The FCC-cluster crystal assembly is thus expected to be more intricate than simple nucleation and growth.

We finally consider the percolated regime observed at temperatures above the periodic microphase regime. At
FIG. 3. (a) The equilibrium occupancy $g_{l}$ of lamellae depends only weakly on temperature in this regime, where $T = 0.3$ (dots), $T = 0.35$ (squares) and $T = 0.4$ (triangles). (b) Decay of $A(T)$ at $\rho = 0.35$ (dashed) fitted to an Ising critical form $A(T) \sim [1 - T/0.54]^{\beta_c}(\text{solid})$ with $\beta_c = 0.3264$ up to $T = 0.545$. We estimate $T_{\text{ODT}} = 0.535(5)$. (c) The average cluster size at the cmc, $\bar{n}_{\text{cmc}}$, decreases as $T$ increases (dots). The line is a guide for the eye. (d) Equilibrium FCC-cluster crystal occupancy, $n_l$ (solid symbols), and average fluid cluster size, $\bar{n}$ (empty symbols), at $T = 0.35$ (squares) and 0.40 (triangles). Because $\bar{n} > n_C$ at the cluster fluid–FCC-cluster crystal transition, most clusters in the fluid must shrink for crystallization to proceed.

$T \gg T_{\text{ODT}}$ the system behaves like a regular fluid, but as $T$ approaches $T_{\text{ODT}}$ the structural relaxation grows increasingly complex, even under the strongly non-local MC sampling we use here (Fig. 4): (i) particles at the surface are lot more mobile than those in the core [64, 65], and (ii) edges of the network reorganize much faster than its nodes. Being in equilibrium, the system does not age, but MC sampling is nonetheless arduous [46], making the system gel-like. This multi-timescale dynamics, in particular the sluggish relaxation of network nodes, may contribute to the difficulty of assembling microphases in colloids [25, 26]. Note that other mechanisms slowing down the dynamics could also emerge below $T_{\text{ODT}}$, including competing microphase morphologies [30–32] and spinodal-like arrest [27–29], but a systematic study of these non-equilibrium effects is left for future consideration.

Conclusion – We have developed a TI-based simulation method for solving the phase diagram of arbitrary continuous-space microphase-forming models. Our solution of the prototypical SWL model presents the periodic microphase sequence – cluster crystal, cylindrical, double gyroid and lamellar phases – of systems described by a comparable Landau functional [17]. Our search for ordered phases, however, was not exhaustive, hence other stable morphologies are possible. More importantly, we have clarified the thermodynamic interplay between fluids of spherical and wormlike clusters, the equilibrium percolating fluid (gel-like), and periodic microphases. This distinction is essential for separating equilibrium from non-equilibrium effects in the dynamical arrest of microphase formers [17, 25, 27, 29]. It is also essential for guiding experiments with SALR-like colloidal interactions, whose precise form can vary with system density [66]. Indeed, colloidal experiments have thus far only identified equilibrium cluster fluids and gels [18–21, 40]. Whether the challenge of assembling ordered microphases in colloids could be surmounted by tuning the properties of these disordered regimes or by identifying alternate assembly pathways remains, however, an open question.
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