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We show that, because of the inevitable twist and lattice mismatch in heterobilayers of transition metal dichalcogenides, interlayer excitons have six-fold degenerate light cones anomalously located at finite velocities on the parabolic energy dispersion. The photon emissions at each light cone are elliptically polarized, with major axis locked to the direction of exciton velocity, and helicity specified by the valley indices of the electron and the hole. These finite-velocity light cones allow unprecedented possibilities to optically inject valley polarization and valley current, and the observation of both direct and inverse valley Hall effects, by exciting interlayer excitons. Our findings suggest potential excitonic circuits with valley functionalities, and unique opportunities to study exciton dynamics and condensation phenomena in semiconducting 2D heterostructures.

Monolayer group-VIB transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are attracting interests for their visible-range direct bandgap that promises optoelectronics [1–5], and the exotic valley physics including the valley Hall effect [6, 7], the valley magnetic moment [8–11], and the valley optical selection rules [6, 12–16]. Due to the strong Coulomb interaction, the optical response is dominated by excitons, the hydrogen-like bound state of an electron-hole pair. The demonstrated electrostatic tunability and optical controllability of valley configurations of excitons in monolayer TMDs have implied new optoelectronic device concepts not possible in other material systems [13–17].

Stacking different TMDs monolayers to form van der Waals heterostructures opens up a new realm to extend their already extraordinary properties [18]. MoX$_2$/WX$_2$ (X=Se, S) heterobilayers have been realized [19–25], which feature a type-II band alignment with the conduction (valence) band edges located in MoX$_2$ (WX$_2$) layer. Exciton then has the lowest energy in an interlayer configuration, from which luminescence is observed [19–21]. Due to the spatially indirect nature, interlayer excitons in MoSe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayers have shown long lifetime exceeding nanosecond, repulsive interaction, and electrostatically tunable resonance [19], all of which are highly desirable for the realization of excitonic circuits and condensation [26–29]. An unprecedented aspect of this interlayer exciton system is that the heterobilayers in general have incommensurate structures due to lattice mismatch and twist in the stacking which, together with the valley physics inherited from the monolayers, bring in radically new properties.

Here we find that interlayer excitons in twisted MoX$_2$/WX$_2$ heterobilayers have unique six-fold degenerate light cones, at finite center-of-mass velocities on the parabolic energy dispersion. In these light cones, an interlayer exciton can directly interconvert with an elliptically polarized photon, with helicity depending on the electron and hole valley indices. These light cones allow resonant optical injection of excitonic valley polarization and valley current with versatile controllability, as well as the observation of valley Hall and inverse valley Hall effects of interlayer excitons. Contrary to known exciton systems, here the exciton lifetime is long at the energy minimum while optical injection and probe are allowed at finite velocities, suggesting unique opportunities to study exciton dynamics and condensation phenomena.

For lattice matching heterobilayers of AA-like (0° twist) or AB-like (60° twist) stacking, all light cones merge into a single one, and the quantum interference leads to dependence of the brightness and the polarization selection rules on the interlayer translation.

The optically active interlayer excitons are the ones with electrons (holes) from the $\pm K'$ ($\pm K$) valleys in MoX$_2$ (WX$_2$), where layer-hybridization is substantially quenched by the large band offsets between the layers [3, 30–35]. The term light cones here refer to the exciton phase-space regions where interconversion with photon is directly allowed (without phonon or impurity assistance). Interlayer exciton in heterobilayers is distinct from existing systems since a small twist and/or lattice mismatch shifts the electron valleys away from the hole valleys (Fig. 1(a)). The small mismatch between the electron and hole valleys can nevertheless be compensated by the exciton’s kinematic momentum $Q$ (Fig. 1(b)), to allow radiative recombination with a direct transition. This happens at $Q = \pm Q_0, \pm C'¥_3Q_0$, or $\pm C'_5Q_0$, where $Q_0 \equiv r K - r' K'$ is the displacement from the MoX$_2$ Brillouin zone (BZ) corner $r' K'$ to a nearest WX$_2$ BZ corner $r K$, with $r' = r$.
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the six light cones associated with different velocities, valley current (Fig. 2(a)). Under excitation by a linearly
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configuration ($\hat{C}_2$), 0, or $\pm \hat{C}_3Q_0$ can directly recombine to emit photon. (c) These light cones at finite velocities are illustrated by the bright spots on the energy dispersion, while the exciton is optically dark at the energy minimum at $Q = 0$.

($\tau' = -\tau$) if the twist angle $\theta$ is near $0^\circ$ ($60^\circ$). Thus, in the
phase space parametrised by $Q$, there are six light cones at finite velocities (Fig. 1(c)). With low symmetry of the excitons, photon emission at each light cone can have a general form of being elliptically polarized. The exciton states at $Q_0$, $\pm \hat{C}_3Q_0$, and $\pm \hat{C}_3Q_0$ have the same electron-hole valley configuration ($\tau'$, $\tau$) and are related by the $\hat{C}_3$ rotation, so their elliptical polarizations are also $\hat{C}_3$ rotations of each other. The rest three light cones are their time-reversal counterparts with the valley configuration ($-\tau'$, $-\tau$) (Fig. 2(a)).

With the helicity determined by the valley index and the major axis of the elliptical polarization locked to the exciton moving direction, the finite-velocity light cones make possible injection of valley polarization as well as valley current (Fig. 2(a)). Under excitation by a linearly polarized light, the absorption rates are all different at the six light cones associated with different velocities, giving rise to valley current (Fig. 2(a)). Using $\phi$ to denote the angle between the major axis of polarization of the light cone at $Q_0 = Q_0y$ and that of a linearly polarized pumping light, a pure valley current is injected with rate $\frac{33}{4(1-\epsilon^2)} \hbar \frac{\nu}{m_0} \alpha$ in the direction $x \sin 2\phi + y \cos 2\phi$, $\alpha$ being the population injection rate.

This exciton system is an ideal platform to study the Berry phase effect in the Bloch band. The valley dependent Berry curvatures of electrons and holes in monolayer TMDs can be inherited by the exciton[6, 36, 37], giving rise to an exciton valley Hall effect that is observable from the spatial pattern of emission polarization (Fig. 2(b)) [36, 38]. The elliptically polarized emission on the two edges with opposite helicity is a phenomenon unique to this heterobilayer interlayer exciton system. The optical injection of excitonic valley current through the finite-velocity light cones further makes possible the observation of inverse valley Hall effect, where the valley current induces a number current in perpendicular direction due to the skewed motion by the Berry curvature (Fig. 2(c)).

We present now the theoretical details that backup the above general statements on the anomalous light cones. We first give the description of interlayer valley excitons at vanishing interlayer coupling, and then analyze their light-interaction effects due to the residue interlayer coupling. Using $|e_{\tau', k'}\rangle$ ($|h_{\tau', k}\rangle$) to denote the electron (hole) Bloch state at momentum $k'$ ($k$) measured from $\tau'K'$ ($\tau K$) in MoX$_2$ (WX$_2$) layer in the absence of interlayer hybridization, the exciton wavefunction writes [39, 40]

$$|X_{\tau', \tau, Q}(0)\rangle = \sum_{\Delta Q} \Phi_I(\Delta Q)|e_{\tau', \frac{m_0 Q}{m_0} + \Delta Q}|h_{\tau, \frac{m_0 Q}{m_0} - \Delta Q},$$

with the eigenenergy $E_I(Q) = \frac{\hbar^2 \varrho^2}{2M_0} + \Delta_g - E_b$. $M_0 \equiv m_e + m_h$, $\Delta_g$ the bandgap, $E_b$ the binding energy, and $\Phi_I(\Delta Q)$ describes the electron-hole relative motion with $\Delta Q \equiv \frac{m_0 k' - m_0 k}{M_0}$, $Q \equiv k + k'$ is the kinematical momentum associated with the center-of-mass velocity $\langle X_{\tau'}^{0}(0), m_{\tau'}(0)k' + m_{\tau}(0)k\rangle |X_{\tau}(0)\rangle = \frac{\tau}{\tau'}Q (40)$, a good quantum number even in absence of translational invariance.

The electron (hole) Bloch function can be expanded by $e^{i(k' + \tau'k')r} (e^{i(k - \tau k)r})$, where $\tau'k' \equiv \tau'K' + G' (\tau K \equiv \tau K + G)$, and $G'$ ($G$) denotes all reciprocal lattice vectors in MoX$_2$ (WX$_2$). An electron-hole pair $|e_{\tau', k'}\rangle |h_{\tau, k}\rangle$ can recombine only when $k' + \tau'k' = -k + \tau k$. So the exciton light cones are at the $Q$-space points:

$$Q = \tau k - \tau'k',$$

In Fig. 3(c) and (e), $\tau k$ and $\tau'k'$ are shown in the extended zone scheme for a MoSe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayer at $\theta = 5^\circ$ and a MoS$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterobilayer at $\theta = 0^\circ$. When $\theta$ changes, $\tau'k'$ ($\tau k$) move on concentric circles denoted as $C_{n}'$ ($C_n$). The nearest ($\tau k, \tau'k'$) pairs on $C_0$ and $C_0'$ correspond to main light cones. And the nearest ($\tau k, \tau'k'$) pairs on $C_n$ and $C_n'$ define the $n$th Umklapp
light cones, where light coupling is an Umklapp type process (Fig. 3(b)). Fig. 3(d) and (f) shows the locations of these light cones as a function of twist angle \( \theta \), in a range \( Q \lesssim 0.1K \) that corresponds to a kinetic energy \( \frac{h^2Q^2}{2M_0} \gtrsim 60 \text{ meV} \). The six main light cones are located at \( Q_0 = (K - K' \cos \delta \theta)x - K' \sin \delta \theta y \) and its \( \frac{2\pi}{6} \) rotations, where \( \delta \theta \) is the deviation of \( \theta \) from 0° or 60°. 1st-Umklapp light cones also have six-fold degeneracy, located at 2\( Q_0 \). The main and 1st-Umklapp light cones are the lowest energy ones at \( \theta \approx 0° \) or 60°. There are twelve 2nd-Umklapp light cones (Fig. 3(b)), and six of them become the lowest energy ones when \( \theta \) is near the commensurate angle 21.8° or 38.2° in Fig. 3(d).

The interlayer hoppings (\( \hat{H}_T \)) will correct the wavefunction in Eq. (1), hybridizing \( |X_{\tau'}^{(0)}\rangle \) with small components of the intralayer valley excitons [40]. The interlayer exciton then acquires a finite transition dipole:

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\tau', \tau, Q} = \langle 0 | \hat{D} | X_{\tau'}^{(0)} \rangle + \langle 0 | \hat{D} | X_{\tau'} \rangle \frac{\langle X_{\tau'} | \hat{H}_T | X_{\tau}^{(0)} \rangle}{E_I(Q) - E_M} \\
+ \langle 0 | \hat{D} | X_{\tau} \rangle \frac{\langle X_{\tau} | \hat{H}_T | X_{\tau'}^{(0)} \rangle}{E_I(Q) - E_W}.
\]

(3)

Here \( \hat{D} \) is the electric dipole operator and \( |0\rangle \) is the vacuum state. The first term on the right-hand-side is from the transition dipole between \( |e_{\tau', k'}\rangle \) and \( |h_{\tau, k}\rangle \) which is small because of the spatial separation. The second and third terms describe respectively the light coupling mediated by bright intralayer exciton \( X_{\tau'} \) in MoX₂ layer through hole interlayer hopping, and \( X_{\tau} \) in WX₂ through electron hopping. \( E_M \) and \( E_W \) are the energies of the intralayer excitons, a few hundred meV above \( E_I \) as determined from optical and scanning tunneling measurements [19–21, 30]. \( \mathcal{D}_{\tau', \tau, Q} \) can be extracted from \textit{ab initio} calculations at several commensurate stackings [40], from which we can determine the dipole strength, the ellipticity and major axes of the emission polarization.

In the two-center approximation [48, 49]:

\[
\langle 0 | \hat{D} | X_{\tau'}^{(0)} \rangle \propto \sum_{k, k'} \delta_{Q, \tau' \tau, k - k'} D_0^{2\tau} (\tau k) e^{-i\tau k \cdot r_0},
\]

\[
\langle X_{\tau'} | \hat{H}_T | X_{\tau}^{(0)} \rangle \propto \sum_{k, k'} \delta_{Q, \tau' \tau, k - k'} t_{2\tau}^{2\tau} (\tau k) e^{-i\tau k \cdot r_0},
\]

\[
\langle X_{\tau} | \hat{H}_T | X_{\tau'}^{(0)} \rangle \propto \sum_{k, k'} \delta_{Q, \tau' \tau, k - k'} t_0^{2\tau} (\tau k) e^{-i\tau k \cdot r_0},
\]

(4)

where \( t_m^n \) (\( D_m^n \)) are the Fourier components of hopping integral (transition dipole) between a W and a Mo \( d \) orbitals with magnetic quantum numbers \( m \) and \( n' \) respectively, which decay fast with the increase of \( |k| \) [40]. Eq. (4) shows that the strength and polarization of \( \mathcal{D}_{\tau', \tau} \) at the six-fold light cones have no dependence on the layer translation \( r_0 \) which appears in a phase factor \( e^{-i\tau k \cdot r_0} \) only.
Fig. 3(h) schematically shows interlayer excitons \( X'_{\tau'\tau} \) with \( \tau' = \tau \). These are the ones in the main and 1st Umklapp light cones at \( \theta = 0^\circ \) and in 2nd Umklapp light cones at \( \theta \approx 38.2^\circ \). Fig. 3(g) shows \( X_{\tau'\tau} \) with \( \tau' = -\tau \), which are the ones in the main and 1st Umklapp light cones at \( \theta \approx 60^\circ \) and in 2nd Umklapp light cones at \( \theta \approx 21.8^\circ \). The emission polarizations of the main light cones are illustrated in the insets. For MoSe\(_2\)/WSe\(_2\) heterobilayers, we find the emission polarization of \( X_{++} \) at \( \theta = 0^\circ \) has an ellipticity \( \epsilon < 0.35 \), which correspond to a ratio of 5 between the \( e_+ \) component of \( D_{++\mathbf{q}} \). The \( e_+ \) component is contributed by all three terms in Eq. (3), while the much smaller \( e_- \) component is from the \( \langle 0 | D_X^{(0)} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \) term only. \( X_{++} \) is simply the time reversal of \( X_{--} \). At \( \theta \approx 60^\circ \), the valley configurations \( X_{++} \) and \( X_{--} \) have \( \epsilon \approx 0.33 \).

From \textit{ab initio} calculations [40], \( X_{\pm\pm} \) at \( \theta = 0^\circ \) has a transition dipole strength of \( \sim 0.052D \) at the main light cones, and \( \sim 0.001D \) at both the 1st and 2nd Umklapp light cones. \( X_{\pm\pm} \) at \( \theta = 60^\circ \) has a transition dipole strength of \( \sim 0.052D \) at the main light cones, \( \sim 0.001D \) at 1st Umklapp, and \( \sim 0.0005D \) at 2nd Umklapp light cones. \( D \) is the dipole strength of the interlayer excitons [40, 50].

For MoSe\(_2\)/WSe\(_2\) or MoS\(_2\)/WSe\(_2\) heterobilayers, neglecting the \( \sim 0.1\% \) lattice mismatch, they have commensurate structures at \( \theta = 0^\circ, 60^\circ, 21.8^\circ \) or 38.2\(^\circ\). At \( \theta = 0^\circ, 60^\circ \), all main and Umklapp light cones merge into a single cone at \( \mathbf{Q} = 0 \). At \( \theta = 21.8^\circ, 38.2^\circ \), the six 2nd Umklapp light cones merge into a single one at \( \mathbf{Q} = 0 \) (c.f. Fig. 3(d)). For the light cone at \( \mathbf{Q} = 0 \), the transition dipole is the superposition of multiple \( (\mathbf{r}, \tau'\mathbf{K'}) \) pairs each of which is associated with a distinct phase factor \( e^{-i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0} \) (c.f. Eq. (4)). Their interference then gives rise to the different \( r_0 \) dependence for the \( \sigma^+ \) and \( \sigma^- \) components of \( D_{\tau'\tau} \) [40]:

\[
\mathbf{e}_\tau \cdot D_{\tau'\tau} \propto e^{-i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0} + e^{-i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{C}_3\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0} + e^{-i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{C}_2^\prime\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0},
\]

\[
\mathbf{e}_{-\tau} \cdot D_{\tau'\tau} \propto e^{-i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0} + e^{-i(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{C}_3\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0 + \pi/2)} + e^{-i(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{C}_2^\prime\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0 + \pi/2)}.
\]

Thus both the strength and the elliptical polarization of the transition dipole change with \( r_0 \) [51], as shown in Fig. 4. The above relations dictate that at \( r_0 = 0 \) and \( \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} \) the in-plane transition dipole of \( X_{\tau'\tau} \) becomes fully circularly polarized, while at \( r_0 = \frac{2(a_1 + a_2)}{3} \) both \( e_\pm \) components of \( D_{\tau'\tau} \) vanish, in agreement with the \textit{ab initio} calculations in Fig. 4 [54]. Other values of \( r_0 \) break the 3-fold rotational symmetry, so elliptically polarized emission by \( X_{\tau'\tau} \) at \( \mathbf{Q} = 0 \) is allowed. For \( \theta = 0^\circ \), the transition dipole is strongest \( \sim 0.16D \) at \( r_0 = 0 \) (c.f. Fig. 4(a)), while for \( \theta = 60^\circ \), the transition dipole is strongest \( \sim 0.15D \) at \( r_0 = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{3} \) (c.f. Fig. 4(b)).

For interlayer excitons relaxed to the bottom of the energy dispersion, their photon emission need the assistance of phonon or impurity scattering into the finite velocity light cones. The inefficiency of such process, compared to the direct recombination of intralayer excitons, can be relevant to the long lifetime observed in the time resolved photoluminescence (PL) [19], which can be interesting for future study. The energy of the emitted photon has contributions from the exciton kinetic energy and the energy of phonon (if involved), the distribution of which may account for the large broadening of PL. Our results also explain why strong interlayer exciton PL is observed only at \( \theta \approx 0^\circ \) or \( 60^\circ \) [19], where the main light cones get sufficiently close to the bottom of energy dispersion. At \( \theta \approx 21.8^\circ \) or \( 38.2^\circ \) the 2nd Umklapp light cones are close to \( \mathbf{Q} = 0 \), however, the weak dipole of these light cones renders the PL inefficient.
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The Berry curvature of interlayer exciton $X_{\tau \tau'}$ is $\tau' \Omega_\tau + \tau \Omega_{\tau'}$. The electron and hole Berry curvatures $\Omega_\tau$ and $\Omega_{\tau'}$ from the MoX$_2$ and WX$_2$ respectively are both $\sim O(10)$ Å$^2$, but can have a difference of $\sim 50\%$ [3].


See the Supplemental Material for the detailed analysis of interlayer Coulomb interaction, interlayer hopping and transition dipole amplitude and $ab$ initio calculations, which includes Refs. [41–47].
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The interlayer separation also varies with $r_0$ in reality which can affect the dipole strength [52, 53], but not the selection rule.


In commensurate heterobilayers, the interlayer exciton transition dipole is given from the $ab$ initio calculations of the band-to-band dipole matrix elements at $\mathbf{K}$, normalized by the exciton envelope function. We have assumed the latter does not depend significantly on $r_0$. 