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In an extensive computational experiment, we test Polyakov’s conjecture that under certain circumstances an isotropic Heisenberg model can develop algebraic spin correlations. We demonstrate the emergence of a multi-spin U(1) order parameter in a Heisenberg antiferromagnet on interpenetrating honeycomb and triangular lattices. The correlations of this relative phase angle are observed to decay algebraically at intermediate temperatures in an extended critical phase. Using finite-size scaling, we show that both phase transitions are of the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless type and at lower temperatures, we find long-range $Z_6$ order.

PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm

In statistical mechanics it is assumed [1, 2] that 2D Heisenberg magnets cannot develop algebraic order at finite temperatures since interaction of the Goldstone modes causes the spin-wave stiffness to renormalize to zero. However, in his pioneering work on this subject [3], Polyakov speculated that a 2D Heisenberg magnet might develop algebraic order if the system were to develop a “vacuum degeneracy”; he further suggested that this possibility might be explored experimentally. Recently Orth, Chandra, Coleman and Schmalian (OCCS) have proposed that frustration can provide a mechanism to realize Polyakov’s conjecture; here fluctuations induce an emergent XY order parameter that decouples from the rotational degrees of freedom [4, 5]. However these arguments were based on a long-wavelength renormalization group analysis, leaving open the possibility that short-wavelength fluctuations could preempt the scenario via unanticipated transitions into different phases [6–8]. In this Letter, we report a computational experiment that detects the development of an emergent XY order parameter in a 2D Heisenberg spin model with power-law correlations, confirming the OCCS mechanism and its realization of the Polyakov conjecture.

The OCCS mechanism relies on the formation of a multi-spin U(1) order parameter describing the relative orientation of the magnetization between a honeycomb and a triangular lattice. The development of discrete multi-spin order is well known in systems with competing interactions: an example is the fluctuation-induced $Z_2$ order in the $J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model [9]. This mechanism is thought to be responsible for the high temperature nematic phase observed in the iron-pnictides [10–13]. In the OCCS mechanism, the emergent $U(1)$ order parameter is subject to a $Z_6$ order-by-disorder potential at short distances. At intermediate temperatures this potential is irrelevant (in the renormalization group sense) and scales to zero at long distances, leading to emergent power-law correlations. Remarkably, the stiffness of the emergent $U(1)$ order parameter remains finite in the infinite system, despite the short-range correlations of the underlying Heisenberg spins. In this XY manifold the binding of logarithmically interacting defect vortices leads to multi-step ordering via two consecutive transitions in the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) uni-

FIG. 1. (color online). Finite temperature phase diagram of classical windmill Heisenberg antiferromagnet as a function of inter-sublattice coupling $J_{th}/\bar{J}$, $\bar{J} = \sqrt{J_{tt} J_{hh}}$. Below a coplanar crossover temperature $T_{cp}$, emergent XY spins appear and undergo two BKT phase transitions: at $T_>$ from a disordered to a critical phase with algebraic order and then at $T_<$ into a $Z_6$ symmetry broken phase with discrete long-range order. At zero temperature the system undergoes a first order transition at $J_{th} = \bar{J}$ from a 120°/Néel ordered windmill phase to a collinear phase.
versatility class [4, 5, 14].

The Hamiltonian studied by OCCS is the “Windmill Heisenberg antiferromagnet”, given by $H = H_{tt} + H_{AB} + H_{tA} + H_{tB}$ with

$$H_{ab} = J_{ab} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\{\delta_{ab}\}} S_j^a \cdot S_{j+\delta_{ab}}^b,$$

where $S_j^a$ denote classical Heisenberg spins at Bravais lattice site $j$ and basis site $a \in \{t, A, B\}$. The windmill lattice can be described as interpenetrating and coupled triangular ($t$) and honeycomb ($A, B$) lattices. The indices $\delta_{ab}$ relate nearest-neighbors of sublattices $a, b$, counting each bond once. The antiferromagnetic exchange couplings are $J_{tt} = J_{tA} = J_{tB}$ and $J_{hh} = J_{AB}$, and we introduce $J = \sqrt{J_{tt}J_{hh}}$.

We employ large-scale parallel tempering classical Monte-Carlo simulations to obtain the finite temperature phase diagram shown in Fig. 1. As the emergent order parameter is a multi-spin object, we had to design a specific non-local Monte-Carlo updating sequence consisting of three sub-routines: (i) a heat bath step [15] in which a randomly chosen spin is aligned within the local exchange field of its neighbors according to a Boltzmann weight; (ii) a standard parallel tempering move [16, 17] for which we run parallel simulations at 40 temperature points and switch neighboring configurations according to the Metropolis rule; finally step (iii) is specifically tailored to our system where the emergent spins, defined below, exhibit a minute $\mathbb{Z}_6$ order-by-disorder potential.

We select a (global) rotation axis perpendicular to the average plane of the triangular spins, which exhibit (local) $120^\circ$ order, and rotate all honeycomb spins around this axis by a randomly chosen angle and accept according to the Metropolis rule. This Monte Carlo algorithm was applied at least for $9 \times 10^5$ Monte-Carlo steps of which the first half is discarded to account for thermalization.

The emergent phases we are interested in occur for $J_{th} \leq J$ where the zero temperature ground state is characterized by coplanar $120^\circ$ order of the triangular spins and Néel order of the honeycomb spins (see Fig. 1) [18]. This order has $SO(3) \times O(3)/O(2)$ symmetry and is described by five Euler angles $(\theta, \phi, \psi) \times (\alpha, \beta)$. As shown in the inset of Fig. 2, the angles $(\alpha, \beta)$ describe the orientation of the honeycomb spins relative to the coordinate system $t$, $(\gamma = 1, 2, 3)$ set by the triangular spins. The Euler angles $(\theta, \phi, \psi)$ relate $t$ to a fixed coordinate system. While the relative orientation can be changed without energy cost at $T = 0$, thermal fluctuations induce order-by-disorder potentials [19–21]. These potentials arise due to the fact that low-energy fluctuations around a given ground state have entropies that depend on $\alpha$ and $\beta$, a dependence that is captured via the free-energy. Considering Gaussian thermal fluctuations around the classical ground state, one finds a contribution to the free energy equal to [22, 23]

$$F_{\text{pot}} = \frac{N}{NT} \cos(2\beta) \left[ 0.131 \frac{J_{th}^2}{J^2} - 10^{-4} \frac{J_{th}^6}{J^6} \cos^2(3\alpha) \right].$$

The first term forces the spins to become coplanar ($\beta = \pi/2$) below a coplanarity crossover temperature $T_{cp}$. More precisely, long-wavelength excitations out of the plane acquire a mass and are gapped out for $T < T_{cp}$. The second term shows that the remaining $U(1)$ relative angle $\alpha$ is subject to a $\mathbb{Z}_6$ potential.

As shown in Fig. 2, we track this coplanarity crossover within the Monte-Carlo simulations by measuring the coplanarity estimator

$$\kappa = 1 - \frac{3}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\cos^2 \beta_j),$$

where $\cos \beta_j = S_j^A \cdot (S_j^t \times S_{j+\delta_{tt}}^t)$ with $\delta_{tt}$ being a nearest-neighbor vector on the triangular lattice. At high temperatures, where no relative spin configuration is preferred, a straightforward averaging over all orientations of the three spins entering the definition of $\beta_j$, yields the value $\kappa = 1/3$. On the other hand, for a completely coplanar state we have all $\beta_j = \pi/2$ and thus $\kappa = 1$. For local triangular $120^\circ$ and honeycomb Néel order that is uncorrelated with each other one finds $\kappa = 0$. Our Monte-Carlo results show that coplanarity develops as soon as $T \lesssim 0.25J$ and $\kappa$ smoothly approaches unity for lower temperatures. Interestingly, $\kappa$ depends only weakly on $J_{th}$ as long as $J_{th} \gtrsim J/10$. We define the location of the coplanar crossover $T_{cp}$ shown in Fig. 1 to be the location of the minimum of $\kappa$. Note that down to the lowest temperatures we observe substantial out-of-the plane fluctuations and $\kappa < 1$. We have identified these to be predominantly of short-wavelength nature.

Below the coplanar crossover temperature $T_{cp}$ one may define emergent XY spins $m_j$ at all Bravais lattice sites...
via projecting the honeycomb spin \( S_j^A \) (or \( S_j^B \cong -S_j^A \)) onto the plane that is spanned by the three nearest-neighbor triangular spins and normalizing
\[
m_j = \frac{\langle S_j^A \cdot t_{1,j} + S_j^A \cdot t_{2,j} \rangle}{\| \langle S_j^A \cdot t_{1,j} + S_j^A \cdot t_{2,j} \rangle \|} = (\cos \alpha_j, \sin \alpha_j).
\]

We study the behavior of these emergent spins in the remainder of this paper. The local triangular triad \( t_{i,j} \) is defined as follows: the spins on the triangular lattice are first partitioned into three classes \( \{ S_j^{t,X}, S_j^{t,Y}, S_j^{t,Z} \} \) as shown in Fig. 2. One then defines \( t_{1,j} = S_j^{t,X} \) and \( t_{2,j} \) to point along the component of \( S_j^{t,Y} \) that is perpendicular to \( t_{1,j} \). Finally, \( t_{3,j} = t_{1,j} \times t_{2,j} \) completes the local triad. We show below that although the system exhibits out-of-the-plane fluctuations and \( \kappa < 1 \), the emergent spins \( m_j \) decouple from these fluctuations and behave as \( U(1) \) degrees of freedom.

To map out the low temperature phase diagram we analyze the correlations of the emergent spins \( m_j \) in the following. First, we define the total magnetization as
\[
m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j = |m| (\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha).
\]

The magnetization amplitude \( |m| \) depends on the (linear) system size \( L \), in particular, it vanishes in the absence of long-range order for \( L \to \infty \). Performing the Monte-Carlo average, we show the dependence of \( |m| \) with system size \( L \) in Fig. 3(a). While it vanishes faster than algebraic at large temperatures, it exhibits power-law scaling \( |m| \propto L^{-\eta(T)/2} \) with \( 0 < \eta \lesssim 0.3 \) for intermediate temperatures, a key signature of a critical phase. At the lowest temperatures, the exponent approaches zero and the magnetization saturates. To directly prove that the system develops (discrete) long-range order, we show the direction of the magnetization vector expressed as \( \langle \cos(6\alpha) \rangle \) in Fig. 3(b). Clearly, \( \langle \cos(6\alpha) \rangle \) approaches its saturation value of unity at low temperatures and large system sizes. The relative phase vector \( m \) points into one of the six directions preferred by the \( \mathbb{Z}_6 \) potential in Eq. (2). The honeycomb spins are then aligned with one of the three triangular spin classes \( \{ S_j^{t,X}, S_j^{t,Y}, S_j^{t,Z} \} \), in agreement with the general order-from-disorder mechanism that spins tend to align their fluctuation Weiss fields to maximize their coupling [21].

To determine the universality class of the phase transition and the transition temperatures \( T_\gamma \) and \( T_\chi \), which partition the regimes of algebraic and long-range ordering, we perform a finite-size scaling analysis of the XY susceptibility and magnetization for various values of \( J_{th}/J \) [24–28]. As shown in Fig. 4 we obtain perfect data collapse using a BKT scaling ansatz. Since the susceptibility diverges when the system enters a critical phase, we can detect the upper transition at \( T_\gamma \) by analyzing
\[
\chi(T, L) = \frac{N}{T} \langle |m|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{NT} \langle |\sum_j m_j|^2 \rangle
\]
for different temperatures \( T \) and system sizes \( L \). We employ a BKT ansatz for the correlation length \( \xi_\gamma = \exp(a_\gamma \sqrt{T_\gamma/T - T}) \) with \( a_\gamma \) being a non-universal constant. Since \( \chi(T, \infty) \sim \xi_\gamma(T)^{2-\eta_\gamma} \) in the infinite system, it holds that \( \chi(T, L) \sim L^{2-\eta_\gamma} (\xi_\gamma(T)/L) \) with a universal function \( Y_\gamma(z) \). For \( J_{th}/J = 0.6 \) we extract the values \( T_\gamma = 0.200(4)J, a_\gamma = 1.9(3) \) and \( \eta_\gamma = 0.25(1) \) from optimizing the collapse. This agrees very well with the theoretically expected value \( \eta_\gamma = 1/4 \) [14].

Performing the analysis for other values of \( J_{th} \) yields data collapse of similar quality with a value \( \eta_\gamma = 0.25 \) within error bars. This determines \( T_\gamma(\text{scal.}) \) and the upper phase transition line in Fig. 1. As an independent way to determine \( T_\gamma \), we use the power-law scaling of the magnetization with the system size \( L \), which is ex-
expected to be \(\langle | m |\rangle \propto L^{-\eta/2}\) with \(\eta = 1/4\) at the upper transition. This yields \(T_\to(\eta)\) included in Fig. 1. The two temperatures agree within error bars with \(T_\to(\eta)\) being systematically slightly larger. Finally, we note that we have also tried to achieve data collapse using a scaling ansatz corresponding to a second order phase transition, but the resulting collapse is worse in this case, especially for data points close to the phase transition.

To determine the lower transition temperature \(T_c\), we perform a finite size scaling analysis of the magnetization amplitude \(\langle | m |\rangle(T, L)\). Since it holds in the infinite system that \(\langle | m |\rangle(T) \propto \xi(T)^{\eta_c/2}\) with correlation length \(\xi_c = \exp(a_<\sqrt{T_c}/\sqrt{T_\to - T})\) and non-universal factor \(a_<\), it follows for a finite system that \(\langle | m |(T, L)\rangle = L^{-\eta_c/2}Y_m(\xi_c(T)/L)\), where \(Y_m(x)\) is a universal function. In Fig. 4(b) we show the best data collapse for \(J_{th} = 0.6J\) which yields \(T_c = 0.18(1)\), \(\eta_c = 0.11(1)\) and \(a_< = 5.0(5)\). This is in good agreement with the theoretically expected value of \(\eta_c = 1/9\) at the lower transition [6, 14].

Two independent ways to obtain \(T_c\) are (i) to investigate the power-law scaling of \(\langle | m |\rangle\) with system size and (ii) to directly look for the symmetry breaking as indicated by the quantity \(\langle \cos(6\alpha)\rangle\). Using the first method, we find that our data can be fitted to \(\log(\langle | m |\rangle) \propto -2(\eta / 2) \log L\) with a temperature-dependent slope \(\eta(T)\) that is monotonically decreasing over the full range \(0 < T < T_c\). At high temperatures, we find \(\eta(T_c) \approx 0.25\) (as expected) and we define \(T_c(\eta)\) as the temperature where \(\eta(T_c) = 1/9\). The fact that the system appears to be critical within our simulation even for lower temperatures (with an exponent \(\eta < 1/9\)) is a simple consequence of the fact that the system size is much smaller than the correlation length [25, 28]. If we were able to reach larger system sizes in the simulation, we would eventually see a saturation of \(\langle | m |\rangle\) to a finite value.

Next we discuss the second method to detect \(T_c\), namely direct observation of symmetry-breaking. We see in Fig. 3(b) that \(\langle \cos(6\alpha)\rangle\) approaches unity at low temperatures and large system sizes. In a finite-size system, we can observe this ordering only for not too small values of \(J_{th} \geq 0.8J\) because the bare value of the order-from-disorder six-fold potential scales with \((J_{th}/J)^6\) with an additional small numerical prefactor \(10^{-4}\) (see Eq. (2)). While the lower phase transition occurs when this potential becomes relevant at long lengthscales, independently of the bare value, the finite size system serves as a cut-off of the scaling making an effect of the potential only visible at sufficiently large bare values. To extract the transition temperature \(T_c\) from \(\langle \cos(6\alpha)\rangle\) we have to take into account that while at low temperatures the Gaussian order-from-disorder potential predicts free energy minima at \(\alpha = 2\pi n/6\) (in agreement with our simulation), at intermediate temperatures we observe in the finite size system a tendency of the spins to prefer a relative direction corresponding to a negative value of \(\langle \cos(6\alpha)\rangle\) (see inset in Fig. 3(b)). This is presumably a result of nonlinear spin fluctuations around the classical ground state order, similarly to the effect of quenched disorder [21]. We thus identify the transition temperature \(T_c(\xi_0)\) as the location of the minimum of \(\langle \cos(6\alpha)\rangle(T)\) which yields temperatures that are within error bars in agreement with the ones predicted from scaling.

We note that in the critical phase that develops for \(T \in [T_c, T\to]\), the phase \(\alpha\) behaves as a perfect, decoupled XY order parameter. Once the vortices bind at the BKT transition \(T_{BKT}\), the ensemble of thermodynamically accessible states divides up into distinct degenerate subspaces, each defined by a pair of winding numbers \(\{n_x, n_y\}\) with

\[
n_l = \int_0^L \frac{dx}{2\pi} \nabla \alpha(x), \quad (l = x, y),
\]

where \(L\) is the linear size of the system, indicating the presence of an emergent topological phase [29]. The multiple degeneracies of this state confirm the Polyakov hypothesis that a power-law phase is possible with a degenerate vacuum.
In conclusion, employing extensive parallel-tempering Monte-Carlo simulations, we have presented conclusive evidence for an emergent critical phase in a 2D isotropic classical Heisenberg spin model at finite temperatures. This realizes the Polyakov conjecture [3] that Heisenberg magnets can develop algebraic order if they exhibit a vacuum degeneracy. Using finite size scaling we have shown that the transitions are in the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless universality class and determined the transition temperatures. At low temperatures, we find direct evidence of long-range order in the relative orientation of the spins via breaking of a discrete six-fold symmetry induced by an order-from-disorder potential. Direct numerical analysis of the spin stiffness tensor, the metric of the associated SO(3) × U(1) topological manifold, and its Ricci flow will be the topic of future work.
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