



This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Theoretically Palatable Flavor Combinations of Astrophysical Neutrinos

Mauricio Bustamante, John F. Beacom, and Walter Winter Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 161302 — Published 15 October 2015

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.161302

Theoretically palatable flavor combinations of astrophysical neutrinos

Mauricio Bustamante,^{1,2} John F. Beacom,^{1,2,3} and Walter Winter⁴

¹Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics (CCAPP),
Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

²Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

³Department of Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

⁴DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany
bustamanteramirez.1@osu.edu, beacom.7@osu.edu, walter.winter@desy.de

(Dated: August 26, 2015)

The flavor composition of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos can reveal the physics governing their production, propagation, and interaction. The IceCube Collaboration has published the first experimental determination of the ratio of the flux in each flavor to the total. We present, as a theoretical counterpart, new results for the allowed ranges of flavor ratios at Earth for arbitrary flavor ratios in the sources. Our results will allow IceCube to more quickly identify when their data imply standard physics, a general class of new physics with arbitrary (incoherent) combinations of mass eigenstates, or new physics that goes beyond that, e.g., with terms that dominate the Hamiltonian at high energy.

Introduction.— The discovery of astrophysical neutrinos with energies up to a few PeV by the IceCube Collaboration [1–4] is tremendously important for multimessenger astronomy as well as for new tests of neutrino properties. While the origin of these neutrinos is still unclear, there are important clues in the energy spectrum and sky distribution, and a component from cosmic distances (\sim Gpc) is required [5–24]. These are the most extreme energies and distances for detected neutrinos.

The flavor composition is also expected to be important, because the ratio of flux in each flavor to the total cancels the unknown normalization. The ratios depend on the physical conditions at the source, the effects of standard flavor mixing, and on potential new physics [5, 25–36].

The first IceCube results on flavor composition have been published recently [35], and were followed by results obtained with a combined-likelihood analysis of several data sets with more statistics [37]. Accordingly, there has been intense interest in deducing flavor ratios from IceCube data [9, 31, 34, 38, 39].

In this Letter, we use ternary plots or "flavor triangles" to show the flavor composition at Earth. We systematically explore which regions of this plot can be populated from theoretical perspectives —without or with new physics—including the uncertainties in source flavor composition and neutrino mixing parameters. We also note prospects for the proposed volume upgrade, IceCube-Gen2 [40].

We make no distinction between ν and $\bar{\nu}$, because, except for yet-unobserved high-energy events, IceCube cannot distinguish between them. (In addition, their cross sections agree to better than $\simeq 5\%$ in this energy range [41, 42].)

All plots shown in the main text are for the normal neutrino mass hierarchy (NH), in which ν_1 is the lightest mass eigenstate. Corresponding plots for the inverted hierarchy (IH), in which ν_3 is lightest, are given in the Supplemental Material; the differences are modest.

Flavor identification in IceCube.— IceCube can discriminate between muon tracks (from ν_{μ} , mostly) and cascades (from charged-current interactions of ν_{e} and ν_{τ} , mainly, and from neutral-current interactions of all flavors). If higher-energy events are observed, it will be possible to isolate $\bar{\nu}_{e}$ cascades via the Glashow resonance [43–45], and ν_{τ} and $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ via double-bang and lollipop topologies [46–48]. In their absence, there is an experimental degeneracy between the electron and tau neutrino flavor content at Earth [34, 35]. In contrast, theoretically predicted flavor ratios, even in models with new physics, have a μ - τ symmetry due to that mixing angle being near-maximal.

Flavor composition at the source.— The flavor composition at the source could be quite different depending on the physical conditions. For the pion decay chain, which is often used as standard ("pion beam"), one expects a composition $(f_{e,S}:f_{\mu,S}:f_{\tau,S})=(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{2}{3}:0)_S$, with $f_{\alpha,S}$ the ratio of $\nu_{\alpha} + \bar{\nu}_{\alpha}$ to the total flux, where $f_{e,S} + f_{\mu,S} + f_{\tau,S} = 1$. Synchrotron cooling of secondary muons in strong magnetic fields leads to a transition to (0:1:0)_S ("muon damped") at higher energies, which depends on the field strength; see, e.g., Refs. [5, 38, 49– 51]. If these muons pile up at lower energies [51], or if there are contributions from charmed meson decays [29, 52, 53], then $(\frac{1}{2}:\frac{1}{2}:0)_S$ is expected. Neutron decays [5] lead to $(1:0:0)_S$. Small deviations, $\lesssim 5\%$ in the ν_e/ν_μ ratio, are expected from effects such as the helicity dependence of muon decays [5, 54]. If several of the above processes in the source compete, arbitrary flavor compositions $(f_{e,S}: 1 - f_{e,S}: 0)$ can be obtained [51]. If, in addition, ν_{τ} are produced, such as by oscillations in a matter envelope [55–57], even $(f_{e,S}: f_{\mu,S}: 1 - f_{e,S} - f_{\mu,S})$ (with $0 \le f_{\mu,S} \le 1 - f_{e,S}$) could be possible. Dark matter annihilation or decay could yield any mixture, but $(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3})_{S}$ is the most natural.

Flavor composition at Earth.— Here we focus on a diffuse flux, which is composed of small contributions from many sources over a wide range of distances, and detected with energy resolution $\gtrsim 10\%$ (and binned more coarsely). In this case, the neutrinos are, at least effectively, an incoherent mixture of mass eigenstates. Even for the solar $\Delta m_\odot^2 \approx 8 \cdot 10^{-5} \ {\rm eV^2}$ and PeV energies, the vacuum oscillation length is only $\sim 10^{-13}$ Gpc, much smaller than the complete baseline. (Depending on the physics in the production region, there can be also wave packet decoherence in the source [58–60].) As a consequence, the flavor composition at Earth [58] is $f_{\beta,\oplus} = \sum_{i,\alpha} |U_{\beta i}|^2 \, |U_{\alpha i}|^2 \, f_{\alpha,\rm S},$ with U the PMNS matrix [61], implying $\sum_\beta f_{\beta,\oplus} = 1$. For a pion beam, the flavor composition evolves roughly into flavor equipartition at the detector, $(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3})_\oplus$.

New physics in neutrino propagation might modify the flavor composition. We categorize classes of new-physics models below.

Flavor content of the mass eigenstates.—

Figure 1 shows the flavor content $|U_{\alpha i}|^2$ of the mass eigenstates, which is the fundamental input that determines flavor ratios at Earth without or with new physics. It also illustrates the underlying three-flavor unitarity of our analysis, *i.e.*, $|U_{\alpha 1}|^2 + |U_{\alpha 2}|^2 + |U_{\alpha 3}|^2 = 1$, which allows the flavor content to be displayed in a ternary plot [63]. This is appropriate because the mixing angles to sterile neutrinos must be quite small [64, 65].

The long axis of each region is set by the uncertainty in θ_{23} and δ_{CP} , while the short axis is set by the uncertainty in θ_{12} . The effect of the uncertainty in θ_{13} is tiny. Even if θ_{23} were to be precisely determined soon, it is less likely that δ_{CP} will be, and the uncertainty in the latter will still span a large range in $|U_{\tau 1}|^2$ and $|U_{\tau 2}|^2$.

Standard flavor mixing.— Figure 2 shows the allowed region for the flavor composition at Earth assuming arbitrary flavor composition at the source and standard neutrino mixing (including parameter uncertainties). The region is quite small: even at 3σ it covers only about 10% of the available space. There is little difference between $f_{\tau,S} = 0$ and $f_{\tau,S} \neq 0$.

There is a theoretical symmetry along the line $(f_{e,\oplus}: (1-f_{e,\oplus})/2: (1-f_{e,\oplus})/2)$ coming from nearly-maximal mixing. On the other hand, the experimental degeneracy pulls towards $(f_{e,\oplus}: 1-2f_{e,\oplus}: f_{e,\oplus})$, on account of the difficulty of distinguishing between electromagnetic and hadronic cascades. Thus, theory and experiment are complementary, which enhances the discriminating power of flavor ratios.

The region shown includes the possibility of energy-dependent flavor composition at the source; see the Supplemental Material for an example. It also includes the possibility that the diffuse flux has contributions from sources with different flavor compositions, because of the linear mapping between those at the source and those at Earth.

Whereas the first IceCube flavor ratio analysis [35] used only three years of contained-vertex events, the updated analysis [37], whose exclusion curves are shown in Fig. 2, combines several different data sets collected over four years, including through-going muons. The exclu-

sion curves of both analyses are compatible.

Figure 3 shows that if the flavor composition at the source could be restricted from astrophysical arguments, the allowed regions at Earth could become tiny (and will shrink when the mixing parameters are better known). A source composition of $(1:0:0)_S$ is already disfavored at $\geq 2\sigma$. While the current IceCube fit is compatible with the standard $(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3})_{\oplus}$ at 1σ , the best-fit point cannot be reached within the Standard Model.

An upgrade of IceCube would have excellent discrimination power, as indicated by the projected sensitivity curves we estimate for IceCube-Gen2 and show in Fig. 3. We reduced the IceCube uncertainties by a factor 5, corresponding to an exposure increased by a factor ~ 25 (~ 6 times larger effective area [40] and twelve years instead of three). The true sensitivity might be worse (due to sparser instrumentation) or better (due to new techniques or to the discovery of flavor-identifying signals [43, 44, 46, 48, 51, 66–74]). To be conservative, we assumed the best fit will correspond to the most-frequently considered composition, $(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3})_{\oplus}$, for which it will be most difficult to test for new physics.

Flavor ratios with new physics.— New physics can modify the flavor composition at production, during propagation, or in interaction. In the first two cases, it will affect the flavor composition that reaches the detector; this is our focus. In the last case —which includes, e.g., non-standard interactions [75] and renormalization group running of the mixing parameters [76]— we assume that new physics, possibly energy-dependent, can be separated by probing the interaction length in Earth via the angular dependence of the neutrino flux [77–80].

In extreme scenarios, there could be only one mass eigenstate present at detection, and the flavor composition would correspond to that of one eigenstate. This could happen if all but one mass eigenstate completely decays or if matter-affected mixing at the source singles out a specific one for emission.

Figure 4 shows the allowed region if we restrict ourselves to a general class of new-physics models —those in which arbitrary combinations of incoherent mass eigenstates are allowed (we give examples below of models that can access the area outside this region). The α -flavor content of an allowed point is computed as $k_1 |U_{\alpha 1}|^2 + k_2 |U_{\alpha 2}|^2 + k_3 |U_{\alpha 3}|^2$, where the k_i are varied under the constraint $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 1$ and the values of the mixing parameters are fixed. To generate the complete region, we repeat the procedure by varying the mixing parameters within their uncertainties.

For a particular new-physics model, the functional forms and values of the k_i are determined by its parameters. The most dramatic examples include all variants of neutrino decay among mass eigenstates, both partial and complete [25, 81–84], and secret neutrino interactions [85–91]; the k_i in these cases depend on neutrino lifetimes and new coupling constants, respectively. Other examples are pseudo-Dirac neutrinos [92–94] and decoherence on the Planck-scale structure of spacetime [95–

101].

Even with this general class of new-physics models, only about 25% of the flavor triangle can be accessed. The current IceCube best fit cannot be reached even by invoking this class of physics models. IceCube-Gen2 will be needed to strongly constrain such new-physics models.

Interestingly, there is more than one way in which the standard $\left(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}\right)_{\oplus}$ composition can be generated, such as through the standard mixing of $\left(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{2}{3}:0\right)_{S}$, or through a fortuitous incoherent mix of mass eigenstates due to decay.

Already, complete decay in the most often used neutrino decay scenario (only ν_1 stable) for the NH can be ruled out at $\gtrsim 2\sigma$ (see Ref. [84] for a weaker exclusion at 1σ based on their own analysis of tracks and cascades), and bounds on the neutrino lifetimes can be set [102].

To access the white region in Fig. 4, a broader class of new-physics models is required. Possible examples are models with violation of CPT and/or Lorentz invariance (which alter the dispersion relations) [25, 100, 103–106], or the equivalence principle [107–109], and coupling to a torsion field [110].

All these have in common that they either invalidate the concept of decoherence in the astrophysical neutrino flavor composition or they change the values of the mixing parameters. Ref. [111] adopted a generic effective theory approach in which the new-physics terms dominate the propagation Hamiltonian at high energies, and showed that such models are indeed able to populate almost the full triangle.

Another possibility is the existence of extra dimensions, which could lead to matter-like resonant mixing between active and sterile flavors [112]. Boosted dark matter [19, 113, 114] could generate neutrino-like events, even mimicking pure-flavor signatures.

Conclusions.— We have demonstrated that the allowed region of neutrino flavor composition at Earth under standard mixing is quite small, in spite of the uncertainties in the mixing parameters and flavor composition at the sources. The allowed region remains small even in the presence of a general class of new-physics models whose effect is to change the incoherent mix of mass eigenstates during propagation (e.g., neutrino decay and secret interactions). These results hardly depend on the mass hierarchy, and they hold for energy-dependent flavor compositions at the source or energy-dependent new physics, even when simultaneously present [115]; see the Supplemental Material.

In order to access the larger space of possible flavor combinations, a broader class of new physics during propagation —flavor-violating or capable of modifying the values of the mixing parameters— or at detection is required. Interestingly, the current IceCube best-fit composition lies in this region, though the standard $(\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3}:\frac{1}{3})_{\oplus}$ case is not excluded.

The power of IceCube to determine the composition is enhanced by the complementarity between its experimental ν_e - ν_τ degeneracy and the theoretical ν_μ - ν_τ sym-

metry coming from nearly-maximal mixing. The current bounds are not only compatible with most source compositions, but also with many potential new physics effects. However, the most favored neutrino decay scenario (only ν_1 stable) can be already ruled out at $\gtrsim 2\sigma$.

The smaller the allowed region with only standard mixing shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, the more sensitive IceCube is to new physics. Likewise, the smaller the new-physics region shown in Fig. 4, the more sensitive IceCube is to the broader class of new physics. The recent successes in measuring neutrino mixing parameters have been essential to making these regions small. Our results provide new perspectives that will sharpen and accelerate tests of flavor ratios.

Ideally, flavor ratios would be determined using a single class of point sources at known distances. No high-energy astrophysical sources have been resolved yet, however. We have shown that, even using a diffuse flux, flavor ratios can reveal information about source conditions and neutrino properties.

Data from a volume upgrade of IceCube in combination with improved measurements of the mixing parameters, including $\delta_{\rm CP}$, have the potential to nail down the flavor composition at the source or to identify new physics in propagation. However, it is not possible to extract the value of $\delta_{\rm CP}$ from astrophysical data alone if the flavor composition at the source is not known; see the Supplemental Material.

To fully exploit the power of neutrino flavors, advances in four directions are needed:

- 1. A volume upgrade of IceCube (IceCube-Gen2 [40]) or a corresponding experiment in seawater (e.g., KM3NeT [116]).
- 2. Reduction of the uncertainties in the values of the mixing parameters (especially θ_{23} and $\delta_{\rm CP}$).
- 3. Improvements in experimental techniques to reconstruct neutrino flavor and energy.
- 4. More systematic model building to better understand, or constrain, the region of flavor ratios at Earth that could be accessed by new physics.

Given the wealth of information about neutrino production, propagation, and interaction that the flavor composition provides, its precise determination should become a high-priority goal of ongoing and near-future experimental analyses.

Acknowledgements. We thank Markus Ackermann, Shunsaku Horiuchi, Kohta Murase, Kenny Ng, and Nathan Whitehorn for useful discussions and comments; we thank Marek Kowalski, Lars Mohrmann for that and for help reproducing the curves in Ref. [37]. JFB is supported by NSF Grant PHY-1404311. MB thanks the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington for its hospitality during 14 – 26 June, 2015, and the Department of Energy for partial support during the

completion of this work. WW thanks CCAPP for the hospitality during 29 April -3 May, 2015, where substantial progress was made on this project, and acknowledges support from the "Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics HAP" funded by the Initiative and Networking

Fund of the Helmholtz Association. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant no. 646623).

- M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube Collaboration), "First observation of PeV-energy neutrinos with IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 021103 (2013), arXiv:1304.5356 [astro-ph.HE].
- [2] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Evidence for High-Energy Extraterrestrial Neutrinos at the IceCube Detector," Science 342, 1242856 (2013), arXiv:1311.5238 [astro-ph.HE].
- [3] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Search for a diffuse flux of astrophysical muon neutrinos with the IceCube 59string configuration," Phys. Rev. D89, 062007 (2014), arXiv:1311.7048 [astro-ph.HE].
- [4] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Observation of High-Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos in Three Years of Ice-Cube Data," Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 101101 (2014), arXiv:1405.5303 [astro-ph.HE].
- [5] Paolo Lipari, Maurizio Lusignoli, and Davide Meloni, "Flavor Composition and Energy Spectrum of Astrophysical Neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D75, 123005 (2007), arXiv:0704.0718 [astro-ph].
- [6] Kohta Murase and Kunihito Ioka, "TeV-PeV Neutrinos from Low-Power Gamma-Ray Burst Jets inside Stars," Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 121102 (2013), arXiv:1306.2274 [astro-ph.HE].
- [7] Ranjan Laha, John F. Beacom, Basudeb Dasgupta, Shunsaku Horiuchi, and Kohta Murase, "Demystifying the PeV Cascades in IceCube: Less (Energy) is More (Events)," Phys. Rev. D88, 043009 (2013), arXiv:1306.2309 [astro-ph.HE].
- [8] Kohta Murase, Markus Ahlers, and Brian C. Lacki, "Testing the Hadronuclear Origin of PeV Neutrinos Observed with IceCube," Phys. Rev. D88, 121301 (2013), arXiv:1306.3417 [astro-ph.HE].
- [9] Walter Winter, "Photohadronic Origin of the TeV-PeV Neutrinos Observed in IceCube," Phys. Rev. D88, 083007 (2013), arXiv:1307.2793 [astro-ph.HE].
- [10] Arman Esmaili and Pasquale Dario Serpico, "Are Ice-Cube neutrinos unveiling PeV-scale decaying dark matter?" JCAP 1311, 054 (2013), arXiv:1308.1105 [hepph].
- [11] Soebur Razzaque, "The Galactic Center Origin of a Subset of IceCube Neutrino Events," Phys. Rev. D88, 081302 (2013), arXiv:1309.2756 [astro-ph.HE].
- [12] Markus Ahlers and Kohta Murase, "Probing the Galactic Origin of the IceCube Excess with Gamma-Rays," Phys. Rev. D90, 023010 (2014), arXiv:1309.4077 [astro-ph.HE].
- [13] Ruo-Yu Liu, Xiang-Yu Wang, Susumu Inoue, Roland Crocker, and Felix Aharonian, "Diffuse PeV neutrinos from EeV cosmic ray sources: Semirelativistic hypernova remnants in star-forming galaxies," Phys. Rev. D89, 083004 (2014), arXiv:1310.1263 [astro-ph.HE].
- [14] Cecilia Lunardini, Soebur Razzaque, Kristopher T. Theodoseau, and Lili Yang, "Neutrino Events at Ice-

- Cube and the Fermi Bubbles," Phys. Rev. **D90**, 023016 (2014), arXiv:1311.7188 [astro-ph.HE].
- [15] Kazumi Kashiyama and Peter Meszaros, "Galaxy Mergers as a Source of Cosmic Rays, Neutrinos, and Gamma Rays," Astrophys. J. 790, L14 (2014), arXiv:1405.3262 [astro-ph.HE].
- [16] Xiao-Chuan Chang and Xiang-Yu Wang, "The diffuse gamma-ray flux associated with sub-PeV/PeV neutrinos from starburst galaxies," Astrophys. J. 793, 131 (2014), arXiv:1406.1099 [astro-ph.HE].
- [17] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Searches for Extended and Point-like Neutrino Sources with Four Years of IceCube Data," Astrophys. J. 796, 109 (2014), arXiv:1406.6757 [astro-ph.HE].
- [18] Atri Bhattacharya, Rikard Enberg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Charm decay in slow-jet supernovae as the origin of the IceCube ultra-high energy neutrino events," JCAP 1506, 034 (2015), arXiv:1407.2985 [astro-ph.HE].
- [19] Atri Bhattacharya, Raj Gandhi, and Aritra Gupta, "The Direct Detection of Boosted Dark Matter at High Energies and PeV events at IceCube," JCAP 1503, 027 (2015), arXiv:1407.3280 [hep-ph].
- [20] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Searches for small-scale anisotropies from neutrino point sources with three years of IceCube data," Astropart. Phys. 66, 39–52 (2015), arXiv:1408.0634 [astro-ph.HE].
- [21] Kohta Murase, "On the Origin of High-Energy Cosmic Neutrinos," Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (Neutrino 2014), AIP Conf. Proc. 1666, 040006 (2015), arXiv:1410.3680 [hep-ph].
- [22] Luis A. Anchordoqui, "Neutron β -decay as the origin of IceCubes PeV (anti)neutrinos," Phys. Rev. **D91**, 027301 (2015), arXiv:1411.6457 [astro-ph.HE].
- [23] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Search for Prompt Neutrino Emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts with IceCube," Astrophys. J. 805, L5 (2015), arXiv:1412.6510 [astroph.HE].
- [24] Markus Ahlers, Yang Bai, Vernon Barger, and Ran Lu, "Galactic TeV-PeV Neutrinos," (2015), arXiv:1505.03156 [hep-ph].
- [25] Gabriela Barenboim and Chris Quigg, "Neutrino observatories can characterize cosmic sources and neutrino properties," Phys. Rev. D67, 073024 (2003), hep-ph/0301220.
- [26] Zhi-zhong Xing and Shun Zhou, "Towards determination of the initial flavor composition of ultrahigh-energy neutrino fluxes with neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. D74, 013010 (2006), astro-ph/0603781.
- [27] Sandip Pakvasa, Werner Rodejohann, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Flavor Ratios of Astrophysical Neutrinos: Implications for Precision Measurements," JHEP 02, 005 (2008), arXiv:0711.4517 [hep-ph].

- [28] Arman Esmaili and Yasaman Farzan, "An Analysis of Cosmic Neutrinos: Flavor Composition at Source and Neutrino Mixing Parameters," Nucl. Phys. B821, 197– 214 (2009), arXiv:0905.0259 [hep-ph].
- [29] Sandhya Choubey and Werner Rodejohann, "Flavor Composition of UHE Neutrinos at Source and at Neutrino Telescopes," Phys. Rev. D80, 113006 (2009), arXiv:0909.1219 [hep-ph].
- [30] Kwang-Chang Lai, Guey-Lin Lin, and T.C. Liu, "Determination of the Neutrino Flavor Ratio at the Astrophysical Source," Phys. Rev. D80, 103005 (2009), arXiv:0905.4003 [hep-ph].
- [31] Olga Mena, Sergio Palomares-Ruiz, and Aaron C. Vincent, "Flavor Composition of the High-Energy Neutrino Events in IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 091103 (2014), arXiv:1404.0017 [astro-ph.HE].
- [32] Xun-Jie Xu, Hong-Jian He, and Werner Rodejohann, "Constraining Astrophysical Neutrino Flavor Composition from Leptonic Unitarity," JCAP 1412, 039 (2014), arXiv:1407.3736 [hep-ph].
- [33] Lingjun Fu, Chiu Man Ho, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Aspects of the Flavor Triangle for Cosmic Neutrino Propagation," Phys. Rev. **D91**, 053001 (2015), arXiv:1411.1174 [hep-ph].
- [34] Sergio Palomares-Ruiz, Aaron C. Vincent, and Olga Mena, "Spectral analysis of the high-energy Ice-Cube neutrinos," Phys. Rev. **D91**, 103008 (2015), arXiv:1502.02649 [astro-ph.HE].
- [35] M.G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube), "Flavor Ratio of Astrophysical Neutrinos above 35 TeV in IceCube," Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 171102 (2015), arXiv:1502.03376 [astro-ph.HE].
- [36] Andrea Palladino and Francesco Vissani, "New parametrization of cosmic neutrino oscillations," (2015), arXiv:1504.05238 [hep-ph].
- [37] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "A combined maximum-likelihood analysis of the high-energy astrophysical neutrino flux measured with IceCube," Astrophys. J. **809**, 98 (2015), arXiv:1507.03991 [astro-ph.HE].
- [38] Walter Winter, "Describing the Observed Cosmic Neutrinos by Interactions of Nuclei with Matter," Phys. Rev. D90, 103003 (2014), arXiv:1407.7536 [astro-ph.HE].
- [39] A. Palladino, G. Pagliaroli, F.L. Villante, and F. Vissani, "What is the Flavor of the Cosmic Neutrinos Seen by IceCube?" Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 171101 (2015), arXiv:1502.02923 [astro-ph.HE].
- [40] M.G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), "IceCube-Gen2: A Vision for the Future of Neutrino Astronomy in Antarctica," (2014), arXiv:1412.5106 [astro-ph.HE].
- [41] Raj Gandhi, Chris Quigg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Ultrahigh-energy neutrino interactions," Astropart. Phys. 5, 81–110 (1996), hep-ph/9512364.
- [42] Raj Gandhi, Chris Quigg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "Neutrino interactions at ultrahigh energies," Phys. Rev. D58, 093009 (1998), hep-ph/9807264.
- [43] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Haim Goldberg, Francis Halzen, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Neutrinos as a diagnostic of high energy astrophysical processes," Phys. Lett. **B621**, 18–21 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0410003 [hep-ph].
- [44] Atri Bhattacharya, Raj Gandhi, Werner Rodejohann, and Atsushi Watanabe, "The Glashow resonance at Ice-Cube: signatures, event rates and pp vs. $p\gamma$ interactions," JCAP **1110**, 017 (2011), arXiv:1108.3163 [astro-

- ph.HE].
- [45] V. Barger, Lingjun Fu, J.G. Learned, D. Marfatia, S. Pakvasa, et al., "Glashow resonance as a window into cosmic neutrino sources," Phys. Rev. D90, 121301 (2014), arXiv:1407.3255 [astro-ph.HE].
- [46] John G. Learned and Sandip Pakvasa, "Detecting tauneutrino oscillations at PeV energies," Astropart. Phys. 3, 267–274 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9405296.
- [47] John F. Beacom, Nicole F. Bell, Dan Hooper, Sandip Pakvasa, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Measuring flavor ratios of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D68, 093005 (2003), erratum-ibid.D72, 019901 (2005), hep-ph/0307025.
- [48] Edgar Bugaev, Teresa Montaruli, Yuri Shlepin, and Igor A. Sokalski, "Propagation of tau neutrinos and tau leptons through the earth and their detection in underwater / ice neutrino telescopes," Astropart. Phys. 21, 491–509 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0312295 [hep-ph].
- [49] Tamar Kashti and Eli Waxman, "Flavoring astrophysical neutrinos: Flavor ratios depend on energy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 181101 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0507599.
- [50] M. Kachelriess, S. Ostapchenko, and R. Tomas, "High energy neutrino yields from astrophysical sources. 2. Magnetized sources," Phys. Rev. D77, 023007 (2008), arXiv:0708.3047 [astro-ph].
- [51] S. Hümmer, M. Maltoni, W. Winter, and C. Yaguna, "Energy dependent neutrino flavor ratios from cosmic accelerators on the Hillas plot," Astropart. Phys. 34, 205–224 (2010), arXiv:1007.0006 [astro-ph.HE].
- [52] M. Kachelriess and R. Tomas, "High energy neutrino yields from astrophysical sources. 1. Weakly magnetized sources," Phys. Rev. D74, 063009 (2006), arXiv:astroph/0606406.
- [53] Rikard Enberg, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, "High energy neutrinos from charm in astrophysical sources," Phys. Rev. D79, 053006 (2009), arXiv:0808.2807 [astro-ph].
- [54] S. Hümmer, M. Rüger, F. Spanier, and W. Winter, "Simplified models for photohadronic interactions in cosmic accelerators," Astrophys. J. 721, 630–652 (2010), arXiv:1002.1310 [astro-ph.HE].
- [55] C. Lunardini and A. Yu. Smirnov, "High-energy neutrino conversion and the lepton asymmetry in the universe," Phys. Rev. D64, 073006 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0012056 [hep-ph].
- [56] Soebur Razzaque and A. Yu. Smirnov, "Flavor conversion of cosmic neutrinos from hidden jets," JHEP 1003, 031 (2010), arXiv:0912.4028 [hep-ph].
- [57] Sarira Sahu and Bing Zhang, "Effect of Resonant Neutrino Oscillation on TeV Neutrino Flavor Ratio from Choked GRBs," Res. Astron. Astrophys. 10, 943–949 (2010), arXiv:1007.4582 [hep-ph].
- [58] Yasaman Farzan and Alexei Yu. Smirnov, "Coherence and oscillations of cosmic neutrinos," Nucl. Phys. B805, 356–376 (2008), arXiv:0803.0495 [hep-ph].
- [59] Evgeny Akhmedov, Daniel Hernandez, and Alexei Smirnov, "Neutrino production coherence and oscillation experiments," JHEP 1204, 052 (2012), arXiv:1201.4128 [hep-ph].
- [60] B.J.P. Jones, "Dynamical pion collapse and the coherence of conventional neutrino beams," Phys. Rev. D91, 053002 (2015), arXiv:1412.2264 [hep-ph].
- [61] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics," Chin.Phys. C38, 090001 (2014).

- [62] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Michele Maltoni, and Thomas Schwetz, "Updated fit to three neutrino mixing: status of leptonic CP violation," JHEP 1411, 052 (2014), arXiv:1409.5439 [hep-ph].
- [63] R.H. Dalitz, "On the analysis of tau-meson data and the nature of the tau-meson," Phil. Mag. 44, 1068–1080 (1953).
- [64] Joachim Kopp, Pedro A. N. Machado, Michele Maltoni, and Thomas Schwetz, "Sterile Neutrino Oscillations: The Global Picture," JHEP 1305, 050 (2013), arXiv:1303.3011 [hep-ph].
- [65] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y.F. Li, and H.W. Long, "Pragmatic View of Short-Baseline Neutrino Oscillations," Phys. Rev. D88, 073008 (2013), arXiv:1308.5288 [hep-ph].
- [66] Sheldon L. Glashow, "Resonant Scattering of Antineutrinos," Phys. Rev. 118, 316–317 (1960).
- [67] V.S. Berezinsky and A.Z. Gazizov, "Cosmic Neutrinos and Possibility to Search for W Bosons Having 30-GeV-100-GeV Masses in Underwater Experiments," JETP Lett. 25, 254–256 (1977).
- [68] V.S. Berezinsky and A.Z. Gazizov, "Neutrino electron scattering at energies above the W boson production threshold," Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 33, 120–125 (1981).
- [69] H. Athar, G. Parente, and E. Zas, "Prospects for observations of high-energy cosmic tau neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D62, 093010 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0006123 [hep-ph].
- [70] Tyce DeYoung, S. Razzaque, and D.F. Cowen, "Astrophysical tau neutrino detection in kilometerscale Cherenkov detectors via muonic tau decay," Astropart. Phys. 27, 238–243 (2007), arXiv:astroph/0608486 [astro-ph].
- [71] Zhi-zhong Xing and Shun Zhou, "The Glashow resonance as a discriminator of UHE cosmic neutrinos originating from p-gamma and p-p collisions," Phys. Rev. D84, 033006 (2011), arXiv:1105.4114 [hep-ph].
- [72] Atri Bhattacharya, Raj Gandhi, Werner Rodejohann, and Atsushi Watanabe, "On the interpretation of Ice-Cube cascade events in terms of the Glashow resonance," (2012), arXiv:1209.2422 [hep-ph].
- [73] R. Abbasi et al. (IceCube), "A Search for UHE Tau Neutrinos with IceCube," Phys. Rev. D86, 022005 (2012), arXiv:1202.4564 [astro-ph.HE].
- [74] V. Barger, J. Learned, and S. Pakvasa, "IceCube PeV cascade events initiated by electron-antineutrinos at Glashow resonance," Phys. Rev. D87, 037302 (2013), arXiv:1207.4571 [astro-ph.HE].
- [75] Mattias Blennow and Davide Meloni, "Non-standard interaction effects on astrophysical neutrino fluxes," Phys. Rev. **D80**, 065009 (2009), arXiv:0901.2110 [hep-ph].
- [76] M. Bustamante, A.M. Gago, and Joel Jones Perez, "SUSY Renormalization Group Effects in Ultra High Energy Neutrinos," JHEP 1105, 133 (2011), arXiv:1012.2728 [hep-ph].
- [77] Pankaj Jain, Supriya Kar, Douglas W. McKay, Sukanta Panda, and John P. Ralston, "Angular dependence of neutrino flux in KM³ detectors in low scale gravity models," Phys. Rev. **D66**, 065018 (2002), arXiv:hepph/0205052 [hep-ph].
- [78] Shahid Hussain and Douglas W. McKay, "Energy and angular distribution of upward UHE neutrinos and signals of low scale gravity: Role of tau decay," Phys. Rev. D69, 085004 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0310091 [hep-ph].

- [79] E. Borriello, A. Cuoco, G. Mangano, G. Miele, S. Pastor, et al., "Disentangling neutrino-nucleon cross section and high energy neutrino flux with a km³ neutrino telescope," Phys. Rev. D77, 045019 (2008), arXiv:0711.0152 [astro-ph].
- [80] D. Marfatia, D. W. McKay, and T. J. Weiler, "New physics with ultra-high-energy neutrinos," Phys. Lett. B748, 113–116 (2015), arXiv:1502.06337 [hep-ph].
- [81] John F. Beacom, Nicole F. Bell, Dan Hooper, Sandip Pakvasa, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Decay of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos," Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 181301 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0211305 [hep-ph].
- [82] Michele Maltoni and Walter Winter, "Testing neutrino oscillations plus decay with neutrino telescopes," JHEP 07, 064 (2008), arXiv:0803.2050 [hep-ph].
- [83] Philipp Baerwald, Mauricio Bustamante, and Walter Winter, "Neutrino Decays over Cosmological Distances and the Implications for Neutrino Telescopes," JCAP 1210, 020 (2012), arXiv:1208.4600 [astro-ph.CO].
- [84] G. Pagliaroli, A. Palladino, F. Vissani, and F.L. Villante, "Testing neutrino decay scenarios with IceCube data," (2015), arXiv:1506.02624 [hep-ph].
- [85] Edward W. Kolb and Michael S. Turner, "Supernova SN 1987a and the Secret Interactions of Neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D36, 2895 (1987).
- [86] Kunihto Ioka and Kohta Murase, "IceCube PeV-EeV neutrinos and secret interactions of neutrinos," PTEP 2014, 061E01 (2014), arXiv:1404.2279 [astro-ph.HE].
- [87] Kenny C. Y. Ng and John F. Beacom, "Cosmic neutrino cascades from secret neutrino interactions," Phys. Rev. D90, 065035 (2014), arXiv:1404.2288 [astro-ph.HE].
- [88] Kfir Blum, Anson Hook, and Kohta Murase, "High energy neutrino telescopes as a probe of the neutrino mass mechanism," (2014), arXiv:1408.3799 [hep-ph].
- [89] John F. Cherry, Alexander Friedland, and Ian M. Shoe-maker, "Neutrino Portal Dark Matter: From Dwarf Galaxies to IceCube," (2014), arXiv:1411.1071 [hep-ph].
- [90] Ayuki Kamada and Hai-Bo Yu, "Coherent Propagation of PeV Neutrinos and the Dip in the Neutrino Spectrum at IceCube," (2015), arXiv:1504.00711 [hep-ph].
- [91] Anthony DiFranzo and Dan Hooper, "Searching for MeV-Scale Gauge Bosons with IceCube," (2015), arXiv:1507.03015 [hep-ph].
- [92] John F. Beacom et al., "Pseudo-Dirac neutrinos, a challenge for neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 011101 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0307151.
- [93] Arman Esmaili and Yasaman Farzan, "Implications of the Pseudo-Dirac Scenario for Ultra High Energy Neutrinos from GRBs," JCAP 1212, 014 (2012), arXiv:1208.6012 [hep-ph].
- [94] Anjan S. Joshipura, Subhendra Mohanty, and Sandip Pakvasa, "Pseudo-Dirac neutrinos via a mirror world and depletion of ultrahigh energy neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D89, 033003 (2014), arXiv:1307.5712 [hep-ph].
- [95] John R. Ellis, J.S. Hagelin, Dimitri V. Nanopoulos, and M. Srednicki, "Search for Violations of Quantum Mechanics," Nucl. Phys. B241, 381 (1984).
- [96] Tom Banks, Leonard Susskind, and Michael E. Peskin, "Difficulties for the Evolution of Pure States Into Mixed States," Nucl. Phys. B244, 125 (1984).
- [97] F. Benatti and R. Floreanini, "Open system approach to neutrino oscillations," JHEP 02, 032 (2000), arXiv:hepph/0002221.

- [98] A.M. Gago, E.M. Santos, W.J.C. Teves, and R. Zukanovich Funchal, "A Study on quantum decoherence phenomena with three generations of neutrinos," (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0208166 [hep-ph].
- [99] Dean Morgan, Elizabeth Winstanley, Jurgen Brunner, and Lee F. Thompson, "Probing quantum decoherence in atmospheric neutrino oscillations with a neutrino telescope," Astropart. Phys. 25, 311–327 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0412618.
- [100] Dan Hooper, Dean Morgan, and Elizabeth Winstanley, "Lorentz and CPT invariance violation in high-energy neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D72, 065009 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0506091.
- [101] Luis A. Anchordoqui, Haim Goldberg, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Francis Halzen, Dan Hooper, et al., "Probing Planck scale physics with IceCube," Phys. Rev. D72, 065019 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0506168 [hep-ph].
- [102] Mauricio Bustamante, John F. Beacom, and Kohta Murase, In preparation.
- [103] Don Colladay and V. Alan Kostelecky, "Lorentz violating extension of the standard model," Phys. Rev. D58, 116002 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9809521 [hep-ph].
- [104] V. Alan Kostelecky and Matthew Mewes, "Lorentz and CPT violation in the neutrino sector," Phys. Rev. D70, 031902 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0308300 [hep-ph].
- [105] John Ellis and Nick E. Mavromatos, "Probes of Lorentz Violation," Astropart. Phys. 43, 50–55 (2013), arXiv:1111.1178 [astro-ph.HE].
- [106] M. Bustamante, A.M. Gago, and C. Pena-Garay, "Energy-independent new physics in the flavour ratios of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos," JHEP 1004, 066 (2010), arXiv:1001.4878 [hep-ph].
- [107] M. Gasperini, "Experimental Constraints on a Minimal and Nonminimal Violation of the Equivalence Principle in the Oscillations of Massive Neutrinos," Phys. Rev. D39, 3606–3611 (1989).
- [108] M.N. Butler, S. Nozawa, R.A. Malaney, and A.I. Boothroyd, "Gravitationally induced neutrino oscillations," Phys. Rev. D47, 2615–2618 (1993).
- [109] S.L. Glashow, A. Halprin, P.I. Krastev, Chung Ngoc Leung, and James T. Pantaleone, "Comments on neutrino tests of special relativity," Phys. Rev. **D56**, 2433–2434 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9703454 [hep-ph].
- [110] V. De Sabbata and M. Gasperini, "Neutrino Oscillations in the Presence of Torsion," Nuovo Cim. A65, 479–500 (1981).
- [111] Carlos A. Argelles, Teppei Katori, and Jordi Salvado, "New Physics in Astrophysical Neutrino Flavor," (2015), arXiv:1506.02043 [hep-ph].
- [112] Elke Aeikens, Heinrich Pas, Sandip Pakvasa, and Philipp Sicking, "Flavor ratios of extragalactical neutrinos and neutrino shortcuts in extra dimensions," (2014), arXiv:1410.0408 [hep-ph].
- [113] Kaustubh Agashe, Yanou Cui, Lina Necib, and Jesse Thaler, "(In)direct Detection of Boosted Dark Matter," JCAP 1410, 062 (2014), arXiv:1405.7370 [hep-ph].
- [114] Joachim Kopp, Jia Liu, and Xiao-Ping Wang, "Boosted Dark Matter in IceCube and at the Galactic Center," JHEP 1504, 105 (2015), arXiv:1503.02669 [hep-ph].
- [115] Poonam Mehta and Walter Winter, "Interplay of energy dependent astrophysical neutrino flavor ratios and new physics effects," JCAP **1103**, 041 (2011), arXiv:1101.2673 [hep-ph].
- [116] A. Kappes (KM3NeT), "KM3NeT: A Next Gen-

- eration Neutrino Telescope in the Mediterranean Sea," *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on New Worlds in Astroparticle Physics*, (2007), arXiv:0711.0563 [astro-ph].
- [117] Svenja Hümmer, Philipp Baerwald, and Walter Winter, "Neutrino Emission from Gamma-Ray Burst Fireballs, Revised," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 231101 (2012), arXiv:1112.1076 [astro-ph.HE].
- [118] P. D. Serpico and M. Kachelriess, "Measuring the 13-mixing angle and the CP phase with neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 211102 (2005), hep-ph/0502088.
- [119] Walter Winter, "How astrophysical neutrino sources could be used for early measurements of neutrino mass hierarchy and leptonic CP phase," Phys. Rev. D74, 033015 (2006), hep-ph/0604191.
- [120] Kfir Blum, Yosef Nir, and Eli Waxman, "Probing CP violation in neutrino oscillations with neutrino telescopes," (2007), arXiv:0706.2070 [hep-ph].
- [121] Davide Meloni and Tommy Ohlsson, "Leptonic CP violation and mixing patterns at neutrino telescopes," Phys. Rev. D86, 067701 (2012), arXiv:1206.6886 [hep-ph].
- [122] Animesh Chatterjee, Moon Moon Devi, Monojit Ghosh, Reetanjali Moharana, and Sushant K. Raut, "Probing CP violation with the first three years of ultrahigh energy neutrinos from IceCube," Phys. Rev. **D90**, 073003 (2014), arXiv:1312.6593 [hep-ph].
- [123] Lingjun Fu and Chiu Man Ho, "Flavor Ratios and Mass Hierarchy at Neutrino Telescopes," (2014), arXiv:1407.1090 [hep-ph].
- [124] C. Adams *et al.* (LBNE), "The Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment: Exploring Fundamental Symmetries of the Universe," (2013), arXiv:1307.7335 [hep-ex].
- [125] John F. Beacom, Nicole F. Bell, Dan Hooper, Sandip Pakvasa, and Thomas J. Weiler, "Sensitivity to θ_{13} and δ in the decaying astrophysical neutrino scenario," Phys. Rev. **D69**, 017303 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0309267 [hep-ph].

FIGURES

- FIG. 1. Flavor content of the three active mass eigenstates. The regions are given by the best-fit values of the mixing parameters (light yellow), and their 1σ (darker) and 3σ (darkest) uncertainty regions [62], assuming a normal mass hierarchy (NH). The tilt of the tick marks indicates the orientation with which to read the flavor content.
- FIG. 2. Allowed flavor ratios at Earth with no new physics. The flavor ratios at the source are arbitrary (gray) or contain no tau flavor (red). The IceCube results are from Ref. [37].
- FIG. 3. Allowed flavor ratios at Earth for different choices of source ratios, assuming standard mixing. Projected 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ exclusion curves from IceCube-Gen2 are included for comparison (gray, dotted); see main text.

FIG. 4. Allowed flavor ratios at Earth in a general class of new-physics models. These produce linear combinations of the flavor content of ν_3 , ν_2 , and ν_1 , shown as yellow (dashed) curves, from left to right. The standard mixing 3σ region from Fig. 2 is shown as a magenta (dotted) curve.







