



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

String Theory Origin of Dyonically N=8 Supergravity and Its Chern-Simons Duals

Adolfo Guarino, Daniel L. Jafferis, and Oscar Varela

Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 091601 — Published 27 August 2015

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.091601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.091601)

String theory origin of dyonic $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity and its Chern-Simons duals

Adolfo Guarino¹, Daniel L. Jafferis² and Oscar Varela²

¹*Nikhef, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

²*Center for the Fundamental Laws of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

We clarify the higher-dimensional origin of a class of dyonic gaugings of $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity recently discovered, when the gauge group is chosen to be $\text{ISO}(7)$. This dyonically-gauged maximal supergravity arises from consistent truncation of massive IIA supergravity on S^6 , and its magnetic coupling constant descends directly from the Romans mass. The critical points of the supergravity uplift to new AdS_4 massive type IIA vacua. We identify the corresponding CFT_3 duals as super-Chern-Simons-matter theories with simple gauge group $\text{SU}(N)$ and level k given by the Romans mass. In particular, we find a critical point that uplifts to the first explicit $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS_4 massive IIA background. We compute its free energy and that of the candidate dual Chern-Simons theory by localisation to a solvable matrix model, and find perfect agreement. This provides the first $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ precision match in massive type IIA string theory.

Introduction. Supergravity theories, the supersymmetric extensions of General Relativity, come in two varieties: gauged and ungauged. The former, unlike the latter, typically include non-Abelian gauge groups and a scalar potential. Gauged supergravities whose scalar potentials have supersymmetric anti-de-Sitter (AdS) critical points are particularly interesting since they can provide insights into the strong coupling behaviour of superconformal field theories (CFTs) through the AdS/CFT correspondence [1]. The relevant gauged supergravities should arise as consistently truncated compactifications of $D = 10$ or $D = 11$ supergravity. Only if consistency holds will their AdS vacua (and any other solution) uplift to string or M-theory backgrounds on which AdS/CFT can be formulated precisely.

Consider, for instance, the $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging of the maximally supersymmetric, $\mathcal{N} = 8$, supergravity in $D = 4$ [2]. It arises from consistent truncation of M-theory on S^7 [3, 4]. All its supersymmetric vacua uplift to $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^7$ M-theory backgrounds, some of which have known field theory duals. For example, the central critical point uplifts to the Freund-Rubin solution of $D = 11$ supergravity, which is dual to the ABJM superconformal field theory [5]: a super-Chern-Simons theory with non-simple gauge group $\text{U}(N) \times \text{U}(N)$ at (low) levels k and $-k$. The $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging of [2] is purely electric, in the sense that it only involves the vectors that appear in the lagrangian, and not their magnetic duals. It has been recently pointed out that, more generally, $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravities often admit dyonic gaugings [6, 7]. These are characterised by a dimensionless parameter, either continuous or discrete, that determines the linear combination of electric and magnetic vectors, in the adjoint of the gauge group, that participate in the gauging. This parameter shows up in the couplings of the gauged supergravity, particularly the scalar potential.

The questions arise: do these $\mathcal{N} = 8$ dyonic gaugings enjoy a string or M-theory origin, or are they just

a four-dimensional artifact? And, closely related for supergravities with supersymmetric AdS vacua, are these dual to any three-dimensional CFTs? In this note we show that these questions have precise answers for the dyonic gauging of a group closely related to $\text{SO}(8)$: its contraction $\text{ISO}(7) = \text{SO}(7) \times \mathbb{R}^7$. We find that $\text{ISO}(7)$ -dyonically-gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity arises as a consistent truncation of massive type IIA supergravity [8] on the six-sphere, with the magnetic coupling constant identified upon reduction with the Romans mass. This gauged supergravity has AdS critical points that uplift to new $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^6$ backgrounds, with deformed metrics on the S^6 and various amounts of supersymmetry. We also give quantitative evidence that massive IIA string theory on these backgrounds is dual to the simplest possible type of superconformal Chern-Simons theories: those, first considered by Schwarz [9] as potentially relevant for holography, with a simple gauge group $\text{SU}(N)$, adjoint matter and level k . As anticipated in [9] (see also [10]), the level coincides with the quantised Romans mass. The $D = 4$ magnetic coupling m , the $D = 10$ Romans mass $\hat{F}_{(0)}$ and the level k of the CFT_3 duals are thus related by

$$m = \hat{F}_{(0)} = k/(2\pi\ell_s) , \quad (1)$$

where $\ell_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$ is the string length.

Dyonic $\text{ISO}(7)$ -gauged supergravity. The Romans mass is known to induce magnetic gaugings and mass terms for the NS two-form in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ compactifications of massive IIA on Calabi-Yau with fluxes [11, 12]. Non-semisimple gaugings also occur frequently in this context. Our construction can thus be regarded as an $\mathcal{N} = 8$ extension of those $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models. Magnetic couplings and non-trivial tensors in four dimensions come hand-in-hand, and the embedding tensor formalism [13], that we use, naturally incorporates both systematically.

The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ family of $\text{ISO}(7)$ gaugings is characterised completely by an embedding tensor $\Theta_{\mathbb{M}}^\alpha$ of the form [14]

$$\Theta_{[AB]{}^C}{}_D = 2\delta_{[A}^C\theta_{B]D} \quad , \quad \Theta^{[AB]C}{}_D = 2\delta_D^{[A}\xi^{B]C} . \quad (2)$$

We have split the adjoint index α of $\text{SL}(8)$ and fundamental index \mathbb{M} of $\text{E}_{7(7)}$ into fundamental $\text{SL}(8)$ indices $A = 1, \dots, 8$, and have defined

$$\theta = g \text{diag}(\mathbb{I}_7, 0), \quad \xi = m \text{diag}(0_7, 1), \quad (3)$$

with g and m the electric and magnetic coupling constants. The dyonically-gauging parameter mentioned in the introduction is simply the ratio $c = m/g$. For $g \neq 0$, this family of $\text{ISO}(7)$ gaugings is discrete. It contains, in fact, only two members [7]: the purely electric case $m = 0$ constructed long ago [15], and the $m \neq 0$ case (all $m \neq 0$ supergravities happen to be equivalent [7]). This form of the embedding tensor implies that the $\text{SO}(7)$ subgroup of $\text{ISO}(7)$ is gauged electrically only, while the seven translations are gauged dyonically.

Using (2), (3) in the general formalism of [13], we have constructed the bosonic sector of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory [16]. This contains the 70 scalars of $\text{E}_{7(7)}/\text{SU}(8)$, which can be packed in the symmetric matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{MN}}$; the $\text{ISO}(7)$ electric (and magnetic) vectors A^{IJ}, A^I (and $\tilde{A}_{IJ}, \tilde{A}_I$), $I = 1, \dots, 7$, with field strengths $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{IJ}, \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^I$; and other higher-rank tensors, including two-forms B^I , required by the vector-tensor hierarchy. The electrically-gauged $\text{SO}(7)$ rotations lead to a conventional $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{IJ}$, whereas the field strengths of the dyonically-gauged \mathbb{R}^7 translations,

$$\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^I = dA^I - g\delta_{JK}A^{IJ} \wedge A^K + \frac{1}{2}mA^{IJ} \wedge \tilde{A}_J + mB^I, \quad (4)$$

include couplings to the magnetic vectors and to B^I . The two-forms acquire a topological mass $gm\delta_{IJ}B^I \wedge B^J$, similar to that in [12]. Finally, the scalar covariant derivatives develop dyonic couplings, as expected, and the scalar potential features the terms in g^2 of the purely electric gauging [15] plus new gm and m^2 terms.

It is often insightful to consider smaller sectors of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory. A useful one is obtained by truncating bosons and fermions to the singlets under the $\text{SU}(3)$ subgroups of the gauge group $\text{ISO}(7)$ and R-symmetry group $\text{SU}(8)$, respectively. This truncation results in an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ subsector, including one vector multiplet and one hypermultiplet, with a $\text{U}(1) \times \text{SO}(1, 1)$ gauging in the hyper sector. A further consistent truncation of this sector retains only the metric and the three scalars neutral under the gauge group, and is described by the Lagrangian

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = R - 2(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{3}{2}e^{2\varphi}(\partial\chi)^2 - V, \quad (5)$$

where the scalar potential reads

$$V = \frac{1}{2}g^2 \left(e^{4\phi-3\varphi} (1 + e^{2\varphi}\chi^2)^3 - 12e^{2\phi-\varphi} (1 + e^{2\varphi}\chi^2) - 24e^\varphi \right) - gm e^{4\phi+3\varphi}\chi^3 + \frac{1}{2}m^2 e^{4\phi+3\varphi}. \quad (6)$$

For $gm \neq 0$, this potential has three AdS critical points. Two of them have already been predicted [14] by a different method [14, 17]: they are non-supersymmetric, unstable, and respectively preserve $\text{SO}(7)$ and $\text{SO}(6)$ symmetry when embedded in the full $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $\text{ISO}(7)$ theory.

Curiously [14], their mass spectra coincide with those of the $\text{SO}(7)_\pm$ and $\text{SU}(4)_-$ points of the $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging. In addition, we find a new critical point located at

$$e^{6\varphi} = \frac{64}{27}g^2m^{-2}, \quad e^{6\phi} = 8g^2m^{-2}, \quad \chi^3 = -\frac{1}{8}g^{-1}m. \quad (7)$$

When embedded in the full $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $\text{ISO}(7)$ theory, this point preserves $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry and $\text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)$ bosonic symmetry. We have calculated its mass spectrum: again, it coincides with the spectrum [18] of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)$ point of the $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging.

Consistent truncation from massive IIA. We have built the $D = 10$ embedding of the full $\text{ISO}(7)$ theory using a similar strategy employed to embed the electric $D = 4$ $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging into $D = 11$ [3, 4] or the $D = 5$ $\text{SO}(6)$ gauging into type IIB [19]. Firstly, redefinitions of the IIA fields are performed that leave only a subgroup $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ of the full $\text{SO}(1, 9)$ local Lorentz symmetry manifest. Secondly, the supersymmetry variations of these redefined fields are manipulated so that they conform to the $\text{E}_{7(7)}$ -covariant vector-tensor hierarchy, and ‘generalised vielbeine’ can be read off. Finally, an ansatz is proposed that relates the generalised vielbeine and the hierarchy-compatible vectors and tensors with the $D = 4$ coset representative and vectors and tensors of the $\text{ISO}(7)$ theory, together with geometrical data from S^6 . We have verified the consistency of this ansatz at the level of the supersymmetry variations: all S^6 data drop out, yielding the variations of the $D = 4$ $\text{ISO}(7)$ theory.

Here we will only give the final result. Further details of this long analysis will be presented separately [16]. Leaving also for [16] the rather long expression for $\hat{A}_{(3)}$, the exact, non-linear consistent embedding reads, in the type IIA Einstein frame conventions of [20],

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{s}_{10}^2 &= \Delta^{-1} ds_4^2 + g_{mn} Dy^m Dy^n, \\ e^{-\frac{3}{2}\hat{\phi}} &= -g^{mn} A_m A_n + \Delta \mu_I \mu_J \mathcal{M}^{I8J8}, \\ \hat{B}_{(2)} &= -\mu_I (B^I + \frac{1}{2}A^{IJ} \wedge \tilde{A}_J) - g^{-1} \tilde{A}_I \wedge D\mu^I \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}B_{mn} Dy^m \wedge Dy^n, \\ \hat{A}_{(1)} &= -\mu_I A^I + A_m Dy^m, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with $\Delta^2 = (\det g_{mn})/(\det \hat{g}_{mn})$, where \hat{g}_{mn} is the round, $\text{SO}(7)$ -symmetric metric on S^6 . The μ^I parameterise S^6 as the locus $\mu_I \mu^I = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^7 , and y^m , $m = 1, \dots, 6$, are the S^6 angles. These have covariant derivatives

$$Dy^m \equiv dy^m + \frac{1}{2}gK_{IJ}^m A^{IJ}, \quad D\mu^I \equiv d\mu^I - gA^{IJ}\mu_J, \quad (9)$$

with $K_{IJ}^m = 2g^{-2}\hat{g}^{mn}\mu_{[I}\partial_n\mu_{J]}$ the Killing vectors of \hat{g}_{mn} . Finally, the internal (inverse) metric and forms in (8) are given in terms of $\text{SL}(7)$ -covariant blocks of the $D = 4$ scalar matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{MN}}$ and S^6 quantities as

$$\begin{aligned} g^{mn} &= \frac{1}{4}g^2 \Delta K_{IJ}^m K_{KL}^n \mathcal{M}^{IJKL}, \\ A_m &= \frac{1}{2}g \Delta g_{mn} K_{IJ}^n \mu_K \mathcal{M}^{IJK8}, \\ B_{mn} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Delta g_{mp} K_{IJ}^p \partial_n \mu^K \mathcal{M}^{IJK8}, \\ A_{mnp} &= A_m B_{np} + \frac{1}{8}g \Delta g_{mq} K_{IJ}^q K_{np}^{KL} \mathcal{M}^{IJKL}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We have included the expression for the internal components of $\hat{A}_{(3)}$, and have defined $K_{mn}^{IJ} = 4g^{-2}\partial_{[m}\mu^I\partial_{n]}\mu^J$.

The electric coupling g appears explicitly in these formulae, whereas the magnetic coupling m does not. As usual in spherical reductions, g becomes identified with the S^6 inverse radius and must be non-vanishing for (8)–(10) to be well defined. In order to see that m descends from the Romans mass $\hat{F}_{(0)}$, we compute from (8) the RR field strength [20] $\hat{F}_{(2)} = d\hat{A}_{(1)} + \hat{F}_{(0)}\hat{B}_{(2)}$, and similarly for the other forms. We obtain $\hat{F}_{(2)} = -\mu_I\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^I + \dots$, with $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^I \equiv dA^I - g\delta_{JK}A^{IJ} \wedge A^K + \frac{1}{2}\hat{F}_{(0)}A^{IJ} \wedge \tilde{A}_J + \hat{F}_{(0)}B^I$ (11)

and the dots denoting scalar-dependent terms. This expression coincides with the $D = 4$ field strength (4) provided the first identification in (1) holds. We have investigated further the correspondence between m and \hat{F}_0 in the SU(3) and other invariant sectors, where explicit expressions for the covariant derivatives and potential become available. Perfect matching between $D = 4$ and $D = 10$ is always found via (8)–(10) provided (1) holds.

Being independent of m , the formulae (8)–(10) hold for $m = 0$ as well, and thus also realise the embedding of the electric ISO(7) gauging [15] in massless IIA argued in [21]. Also, the discreteness [7] of the family of dyonic ISO(7) gaugings can be understood directly in $D = 10$: all non-vanishing values of $\hat{F}_{(0)}$ are classically equivalent.

A new $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS₄ massive IIA solution. The consistent embedding (8)–(10) allows one to uplift any solution of ISO(7) supergravity to massive IIA, preserving supersymmetry in the process if present. We have employed these formulae to uplift the critical point (7) to obtain the first explicit, analytic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS₄ solution of massive IIA supergravity we are aware of. In the IIA conventions of [20], the Einstein frame solution reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= L^2(3 + \cos 2\alpha)^{1/2}(5 + \cos 2\alpha)^{1/8} \left[ds^2(\text{AdS}_4) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{2}d\alpha^2 + \frac{6\sin^2\alpha}{3 + \cos 2\alpha}ds^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) + \frac{9\sin^2\alpha}{5 + \cos 2\alpha}\eta^2 \right], \\ e^{\hat{\phi}} &= e^{\phi_0} \frac{(5 + \cos 2\alpha)^{3/4}}{3 + \cos 2\alpha}, \\ L^{-2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi_0}\hat{H}_{(3)} &= 24\sqrt{2} \frac{\sin^3\alpha}{(3 + \cos 2\alpha)^2} \mathbf{J} \wedge d\alpha, \\ L^{-3}e^{\frac{1}{4}\phi_0}\hat{F}_{(4)} &= 6 \text{vol}(\text{AdS}_4) \\ &\quad + 12\sqrt{3} \frac{7 + 3\cos 2\alpha}{(3 + \cos 2\alpha)^2} \sin^4\alpha \text{vol}(\mathbb{CP}^2) \\ &\quad + 18\sqrt{3} \frac{(9 + \cos 2\alpha)\sin^3\alpha \cos\alpha}{(3 + \cos 2\alpha)(5 + \cos 2\alpha)} \mathbf{J} \wedge d\alpha \wedge \eta, \\ L^{-1}e^{\frac{3}{4}\phi_0}\hat{F}_{(2)} &= -4\sqrt{6} \frac{\sin^2\alpha \cos\alpha}{(3 + \cos 2\alpha)(5 + \cos 2\alpha)} \mathbf{J} \\ &\quad - 3\sqrt{6} \frac{(3 - \cos 2\alpha)}{(5 + \cos 2\alpha)^2} \sin\alpha d\alpha \wedge \eta, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

with $L^2 \equiv 2^{-\frac{5}{8}}3^{-1}g^{-\frac{25}{12}}m^{\frac{1}{12}}$ and $e^{\phi_0} \equiv 2^{\frac{1}{4}}g^{\frac{5}{6}}m^{-\frac{5}{6}}$, and the Romans mass given by the first equality in (1), namely, $\hat{F}_{(0)} = 3^{-\frac{1}{2}}L^{-1}e^{-\frac{3}{4}\phi_0}$. As a check on our uplifting formulae, we have explicitly verified that (12) solves all the massive IIA field equations.

The metrics on AdS₄ and Fubini-Study on \mathbb{CP}^2 are normalised so that the Ricci tensor equals -3 and 6 times the metric, respectively. The angle $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ locally foliates S^6 with S^5 leaves regarded as Hopf fibrations over \mathbb{CP}^2 , with fibers squashed as a function of α . Also, \mathbf{J} is the Kähler form of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\eta = d\psi + \sigma$, with $0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi$ a coordinate along the fiber and $d\sigma = 2\mathbf{J}$. The local internal metric can be alternatively regarded as one on an S^2 bundle over \mathbb{CP}^2 , with S^2 fibers parameterised by (α, ψ) and S^6 topology for the total space. The local geometry extends globally over S^6 in a smooth manner. The vector ∂_ψ is Killing, and also a symmetry of the supergravity forms, so that the full solution exhibits a cohomogeneity-one SU(3) \times U(1) symmetry. The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry manifests itself in the form of a local SU(2)-structure, or global SU(3) \times SU(3)-structure, of the type discussed in [22, 23]. Finally, a wider class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ solutions with other topologies or possibly singular may be obtained from (12) by replacing \mathbb{CP}^2 with any positive-curvature Kähler-Einstein manifold or orbifold.

The supergravity solution (12) also extends to a well-defined string background upon flux quantisation. On our topologically S^6 solution, flux quantisation conditions can only be imposed on $\hat{F}_{(0)}$ and $\hat{F}_{(6)}$. These are respectively given by the second relation in (1) and

$$\frac{-1}{(2\pi\ell_s)^5} \int_{S^6} e^{\frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}} \hat{*}\hat{F}_4 + \hat{B}_2 \wedge d\hat{A}_3 + \frac{1}{6}\hat{F}_0(\hat{B}_2)^3 = N, \quad (13)$$

with N integer and $(\hat{B}_2)^3 = \hat{B}_2 \wedge \hat{B}_2 \wedge \hat{B}_2$. From an explicit evaluation of this integral using (12) and from (1), it is straightforward to solve for the classical parameters L , e^{ϕ_0} (or g , m) in terms of the quantum numbers N , k .

For later comparison with field theory, we conclude this section with the calculation of the gravitational free energy of our solution. This is inversely proportional to the effective $D = 4$ Newton's constant, $F = \pi/(2G_4)$ [24], which can be read off by inserting (12) in the ten-dimensional action. Denoting by e^{2A} the warp factor in the metric of (12), and expressing the result in terms of N and k , a straightforward calculation gives

$$F = \frac{16\pi^3}{(2\pi\ell_s)^8} \int_{S^6} e^{8A} \text{vol}_6 = \frac{\pi}{5} 2^{1/3} 3^{1/6} N^{5/3} k^{1/3}. \quad (14)$$

Dual field theories. We now ask whether there are large N 3d conformal field theories dual to the AdS₄ massive IIA solutions obtained upon uplift of critical points of the ISO(7) supergravity. Because the internal manifold has the topology of S^6 , it would be natural for these AdS₄ solutions to arise as the near horizon geometries of D2 branes in smooth backgrounds of massive IIA. Such

backgrounds, which must have curvature and RR and NS fields to be mutually supersymmetric with the D2 branes, have not been constructed. Nevertheless, we expect dual field theories with a single $SU(N)$ gauge group.

In flat space, the worldvolume theory of N D2 branes in massless IIA is the maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in three dimensions with $SU(N)$ gauge group. It has 7 adjoint scalars and 8 fermions transforming under an $SO(7)$ R-symmetry. At low energies, this flows to the M2 brane conformal field theory with $SO(8)$ R-symmetry. On the Coulomb branch, the $N - 1$ massless photons can be dualized in this three dimensional system to additional scalars, which complete the $SO(8)$ representation. Now, the presence of the Romans mass (1) induces a Chern-Simons term on the D2 brane, $\frac{k}{4\pi} \text{Tr} (A \wedge F + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A)$. By itself this would break all supersymmetry. However, we may take this together with additional couplings and preserve various numbers of supercharges, up to $\mathcal{N} = 3$.

We will be more interested in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons deformation. In $\mathcal{N} = 2$ notation, the maximal 3d super Yang-Mills has an adjoint vector multiplet (containing a real scalar and a complex fermion) and 3 chiral multiplets (containing a complex scalar and fermion). There is a superpotential $\mathcal{W} = \text{Tr} X[Y, Z]$. The Chern-Simons deformation gives a mass to all fields in the vector multiplet. This leaves 6 real massless scalars. This theory has $U(1)_R \times SU(3)$ symmetry, like the massive IIA solution (12). The superpotential fixes the R-charge of the adjoint chiral multiplets to be $2/3$.

These field theories with a single gauge group and only adjoint matter are of the type explored by Schwarz in [9] as possible duals to AdS_4 string theory backgrounds. We will now give strong evidence that at least some of these simplest 3d theories are dual to the massive IIA uplifts of the AdS critical points of $ISO(7)$ dyonic supergravity. We will match the gravitational free energy (14) of our solution (12) to the free energy of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons-matter theory that we have just described.

The gravitational free energy is dual to the 3d $F = -\log Z$, where Z is the partition function of the SCFT on a Euclidean S^3 . In general, F is impossible to calculate in practice for strongly coupled field theories. However, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry allows one to localize the infinite dimensional path integral to a finite dimensional integral over only supersymmetric configurations [25–27]. The result is an integral, which is directly determined by the UV Lagrangian, over the eigenvalues of the adjoint scalar in the vector multiplet, i.e. Coulomb branch parameters λ_i ,

$$Z = \int \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d\lambda_i}{2\pi} \prod_{i < j=1}^N \left(2 \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2} \right) \right) \times \prod_{i,j=1}^N \left(\exp \left(\ell \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{i}{2\pi} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \right) \right) \right)^3 e^{\frac{i k}{4\pi} \sum \lambda_i^2}, \quad (15)$$

where $\sum \lambda_i = 0$ since $su(N)$ is traceless. The $1/3$ that appears in the argument of $\ell(z) = -z \log(1 - e^{2\pi iz}) + \frac{i}{2} (\pi z^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}_2(e^{2\pi iz})) - \frac{i\pi}{12}$ results from the chiral multiplets having R-charge of $2/3$. This result is exact, even at finite N .

To compare to gravity, we want to take the large N limit. Hence it is natural to describe the eigenvalues in terms of their density $\rho(\lambda)$. It is easy to check that the radius of curvature in string units of the string frame metric corresponding to (12) is of order $(\frac{N}{k})^{1/6}$, thus the supergravity solution is valid when $N \gg k$. In that limit, the range of λ will scale as $\lambda = N^\alpha (x + iy(x))$, with α to be determined [28, 29]. One finds an effective action for the eigenvalue density at large N

$$S = \frac{N^{1+2\alpha}}{4\pi} k \int dx \rho(x) (2xy(x) - i(x^2 - y^2)) + \frac{32}{27} \pi^2 N^{2-\alpha} \int dx \frac{\rho^2(x)}{1 + y'(x)}. \quad (16)$$

The existence of a saddle point requires the two terms to balance, so $\alpha = 1/3$ as in [29]. The saddle point equation is algebraic, and one can easily find the solution. The result for the free energy is then

$$F = \frac{3^{13/6} \pi}{40} \left(\frac{32}{27} \right)^{2/3} k^{1/3} N^{5/3}, \quad (17)$$

in exact agreement with the gravitational result (14)!

Final comments. This type of SCFTs with a simple gauge group and only adjoint matter has also been investigated in [30]. In fact, if we added a mass deformation $\text{Tr} Z^2$, our $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory would flow to the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ point discussed there. We conjecture that this field theory is dual to the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ $AdS_4 \times S^6$ massive IIA solution that arises from uplift via (8)–(10) of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ point [31] of the dyonic $ISO(7)$ theory. Interestingly, [30] demonstrated that there are light higher spin operators and an exponential growth in the spectrum of such simple theories with a larger number of adjoints. Thus the examples we have found appear to be the only possible such SCFTs with weakly curved supergravity duals.

Consistent truncations of $D = 11$ and IIB supergravities on S^n down to maximal supergravities have been extensively studied, with the usual $n = 7, 4, 5$ cases singled out [32–35] as special. Now we can add a new consistent IIA, $n = 6$ case. An interesting aspect in which the present $n = 6$ case differs from $n = 7, 4, 5$ is that, although the resulting $D = 4$ $ISO(7)$ theory does not have an $\mathcal{N} = 8$ vacuum that can possibly uplift to a (n in fact inexistent [36]) maximally supersymmetric $AdS_4 \times S^6$ type IIA background, a maximally supersymmetric truncation does still exist at the level of the supergravities. Similarly, the question about the existence of a massive IIA truncation on S^4 to maximal $D = 6$ supergravity could be addressed using the same approach.

The dyonic deformation of the ISO(7) gauging is directly inherited from a deformation that already exists in the higher dimension. The embedding of $D = 4$ dyonic gaugings in M-theory would require a different strategy, due to the absence of similar deformations of conventional $D = 11$ supergravity.

Acknowledgements. We thank F. Ciceri, B. de Wit, C. Hull, G. Inverso, A. Strominger, A. Tomasiello and X. Yin for helpful discussions. A.G. is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 246974, “Supersymmetry: a window to non-perturbative physics”. The work of D.L.J. is supported in part by NSF CAREER Award PHY-1352084. O.V. is supported by a Marie Curie fellowship and is grateful to the CPHT of École Polytechnique for managing the administration of his grant. O.V. is also supported in part by DOE grant de-sc0007870.

-
- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113 [*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200]].
- [2] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys. B* **208**, 323 (1982).
- [3] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys. B* **281**, 211 (1987).
- [4] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *JHEP* **1305**, 077 (2013) [arXiv:1302.6219 [hep-th]].
- [5] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0810**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].
- [6] G. Dall’Agata, G. Inverso and M. Trigiante, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 201301 (2012) [arXiv:1209.0760 [hep-th]].
- [7] G. Dall’Agata, G. Inverso and A. Marrani, *JHEP* **1407**, 133 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2437 [hep-th]].
- [8] L. J. Romans, *Phys. Lett. B* **169**, 374 (1986).
- [9] J. H. Schwarz, *JHEP* **0411** (2004) 078 [hep-th/0411077].
- [10] D. Gaiotto and A. Tomasiello, *JHEP* **1001** (2010) 015 [arXiv:0901.0969 [hep-th]].
- [11] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Phys. Lett. B* **388** (1996) 736 [hep-th/9510227].
- [12] J. Louis and A. Micu, *Nucl. Phys. B* **635** (2002) 395 [hep-th/0202168].
- [13] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, *JHEP* **0706** (2007) 049 [arXiv:0705.2101 [hep-th]].
- [14] G. Dall’Agata and G. Inverso, *Nucl. Phys. B* **859** (2012) 70 [arXiv:1112.3345 [hep-th]].
- [15] C. M. Hull, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 760.
- [16] To appear.
- [17] G. Dibitetto, A. Guarino and D. Roest, *JHEP* **1103** (2011) 137 [arXiv:1102.0239 [hep-th]].
- [18] I. Klebanov, T. Klose and A. Murugan, *JHEP* **0903** (2009) 140 [arXiv:0809.3773 [hep-th]].
- [19] F. Ciceri, B. de Wit and O. Varela, *JHEP* **1504** (2015) 094 [arXiv:1412.8297 [hep-th]].
- [20] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 5226 [hep-th/9906221].
- [21] C. M. Hull and N. P. Warner, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5**, 1517 (1988).
- [22] M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 107 [arXiv:0904.4915 [hep-th]].
- [23] D. Lust and D. Tsimpis, *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 098 [arXiv:0906.2561 [hep-th]].
- [24] R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 104001 [hep-th/9903238].
- [25] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, *JHEP* **1003**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4559 [hep-th]].
- [26] D. L. Jafferis, *JHEP* **1205**, 159 (2012) [arXiv:1012.3210 [hep-th]].
- [27] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, *JHEP* **1103**, 127 (2011) [arXiv:1012.3512 [hep-th]].
- [28] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and T. Tesileanu, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 046001 [arXiv:1011.5487 [hep-th]].
- [29] D. L. Jafferis, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and B. R. Safdi, *JHEP* **1106** (2011) 102 [arXiv:1103.1181 [hep-th]].
- [30] S. Minwalla, P. Narayan, T. Sharma, V. Umesh and X. Yin, *JHEP* **1202**, 022 (2012) [arXiv:1104.0680 [hep-th]].
- [31] A. Gallerati, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, *JHEP* **1412** (2014) 174 [arXiv:1410.0711 [hep-th]].
- [32] H. Nastase and D. Vaman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **583** (2000) 211 [hep-th/0002028].
- [33] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 064028 [hep-th/0003286].
- [34] K. Lee, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, arXiv:1401.3360 [hep-th].
- [35] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, *JHEP* **1501** (2015) 131 [arXiv:1410.8145 [hep-th]].
- [36] J. M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, *JHEP* **0303** (2003) 048 [hep-th/0211089].