

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Exchange-Dominated Pure Spin Current Transport in Alq\_{3} Molecules

S. W. Jiang, S. Liu, P. Wang, Z. Z. Luan, X. D. Tao, H. F. Ding, and D. Wu
Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 086601 — Published 21 August 2015
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.086601

1	Exchange-dominated pure spin current transport in Alq <sub>3</sub> molecules
2	S. W. Jiang, <sup>1</sup> S. Liu, <sup>1</sup> P. Wang, <sup>1</sup> Z. Z. Luan, <sup>1</sup> X. D. Tao, <sup>1</sup> H. F. Ding, <sup>1,2,*</sup> , D. Wu <sup>1,2,*</sup>
3	
4	<sup>1</sup> National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics,
5	Nanjing University, 22 Hankou Road, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China
6	<sup>2</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University,
7	22 Hankou Road, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China
8	*Corresponding author: dwu@nju.edu.cn, hfding@nju.edu.cn
9	
10	We address the controversy over the spin transport mechanism in Alq <sub>3</sub> utilizing
11	spin pumping in the $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}/Alq_3/Pd$ system. An unusual angular dependence of the
12	inverse spin Hall effect is found. It, however, disappears when the microwave
13	magnetic field is fully in the sample plane, excluding the presence of the Hanle effect.
14	Together with the quantitative temperature-dependent measurements, these results
15	provide compelling evidence that the pure spin current transport in Alq <sub>3</sub> is dominated
16	by the exchange-mediated mechanism.
17	

- 18 P
- PACS numbers: 72.25.Dc, 72.25.Pn, 85.65.+h

The study of spin injection, transport and detection in organic semiconductors 1 2 (OSCs) has drawn great interest owning to their strong potentials in spintronics application as well as the fundamental understanding of the spin transport 3 mechanism.<sup>1</sup> The injection and detection of spin-polarized carriers in OSCs were 4 successfully demonstrated by various approaches such as two-photon photoemission,<sup>2</sup> 5 muon spin rotation,<sup>3</sup> spin-polarized organic light emitting diodes,<sup>4</sup> and isotope 6 effect.<sup>5</sup> Despite rapid experimental progress, the basic mechanism remains debated.<sup>6,7</sup> 7 For instance, even though the observation of giant magnetoresistance (MR) in organic 8 spin valves (OSV) requires spin injection, transport, and detection by electrical 9 means,<sup>8</sup> it has still been argued that the MR may originate from spin transport 10 through pinholes, tunneling MR, or tunneling anisotropic MR rather than giant 11 MR.<sup>9,10</sup> The presence of the Hanle effect is considered to be the proof of electrical 12 spin detection.<sup>11</sup> (The Hanle effect has been used to prove electrical spin detection in 13 inorganic materials.<sup>12,13,14</sup>) Despite many attempts, no clear evidence is shown for the 14 presence of the Hanle effect in OSV.<sup>15,16</sup> To explain this, a new theory was proposed<sup>17</sup> 15 that differs from prior hopping-based proposals, such as the hyperfine interaction 16 (HFI)<sup>18,19</sup> and the spin-orbit coupling (SOC).<sup>20</sup> It suggests that the spin transport is 17 due to an exchange-interaction between polarons, which is much faster than the 18 carrier mobility. Therefore, a much stronger magnetic field is needed to observe the 19 Hanle effect than that estimated from the carrier mobility. Experimental evidence of 20 the exchange-mediated mechanism, however, is still missing. 21

A relatively new development in spintronics is the generation, propagation and 22 detection of the pure spin current.<sup>21</sup> A pure spin current is a flow of spin angular 23 momentum without an accompanying charge current. It opens new opportunities to 24 create spin-based devices of low energy consumption.<sup>22,23</sup> Moreover, the pure spin 25 current can be efficiently injected into semiconductors to circumvent the conductivity 26 mismatch problem.<sup>24</sup> Recently, a pure spin current generated by ferromagnetic 27 resonance (FMR) excitation of a permalloy electrode, known as the spin pumping 28 effect, was demonstrated to be injected into and propagate in a semiconducting 29 polymer and then detected by Pt via the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE).<sup>25</sup> In the 30

1

2

measurements, the authors found an interesting angular dependence of the ISHE voltage  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  and explained it with the Hanle effect.<sup>17</sup>

In this Letter, we demonstrate an exchange-dominated pure spin current transport in 3 the small molecule tris-(8-hydroxyquinoline) aluminum (Alq<sub>3</sub>) pumped from 4 Y<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (YIG) and detected by Pd via the ISHE. For a large sample placed on top of 5 a coplanar waveguide (CPW), we observed an unusual angle dependence of  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$ . For 6 7 a control sample with size smaller than the signal-line width, this unusual angle dependence disappeared. Only a cosine angular dependence is found when the 8 9 magnetic field *H* rotates out of the sample plane. When *H* rotates within the sample plane, it follows a cosine cubic function. The findings exclude the Hanle effect as the 10 origin of the unusual angle dependence of  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  in large samples. Furthermore, we 11 find that  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  is almost independent on temperature T=8-300 K, which is only 12 13 expected for exchange-mediated spin transport. Our findings evidence that the pure spin current transport in Alq<sub>3</sub> is dominated by the exchange-mediated mechanism. 14

We chose YIG as the pure spin current source due to its extremely low 15 damping.<sup>26,27</sup> A 4-µm-thick single-crystalline YIG film was grown on a Gd<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> 16 (GGG) (111) substrate by liquid phase epitaxy with a roughness of ~0.6 nm.<sup>28</sup> We 17 re-used the same YIG film multiple times without any apparent degradation in the 18 19 measurements after ultrasonically cleaning it in acetone, ethanol and deionized water in sequence. The Alq<sub>3</sub> films were thermally evaporated at room temperature at a rate 20 of 0.06 nm/s. Without breaking vacuum, a 10-nm-thick Pd stripe  $(0.1 \times 4 \text{ mm}^2)$  was 21 22 deposited through a shadow mask by indirect e-beam evaporation as it can significantly reduce the penetration of metal atoms into an OSC and improve the 23 sample reproducibility.<sup>43</sup> To rule out the possibility of the formation of pinholes in 24 Alq<sub>3</sub>, a La<sub>0.7</sub>Sr<sub>0.3</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>/Alq<sub>3</sub> (20 nm)/Pd control sample with the same active area was 25 fabricated. Similar as the previous reports,<sup>15</sup> the current-voltage curves exhibit linear 26 behavior at low voltage (<0.1 V), and non-linear behavior at high voltage (>0.1 V),<sup>28</sup> 27 28 indicating the pinhole-free Alq<sub>3</sub> layer. From the linear region, we estimate the polaron 1 concentration to be  $10^{18}$ - $10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, comparable to the estimation from the electron 2 spin resonance (ESR) measurements.<sup>28</sup>

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the spin pumping induced spin injection, 3 4 transport and detection in a YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub>/Pd device. The YIG magnetic moment Mprecesses upon microwave excitation. The precession pumps a pure spin current  $j_s$ 5 into the adjacent Alq<sub>3</sub> layer.<sup>24,25</sup> The pure spin current has its spin axis  $\sigma$  parallel to 6 7 precession axis. After propagation and relaxation in Alq<sub>3</sub>,  $j_s$  is converted into a charge current  $j_c$  via the ISHE in Pd. The lock-in amplifier picks up a voltage signal 8  $V_{\rm ISHE} \propto j_{\rm c}$ . The samples were placed upside down in the center of a CPW and 9 10 electrically isolated from CPW by a polymer solder resist layer. As depicted in Fig. 1,  $\theta_{\!_H}$  and  $\varphi_{\!_H}$  are defined as the angles between H and the x-axis in the xz-plane and 11 xy-plan, respectively. The CPW comprises a 1-mm-wide signal line with 12 0.12-mm-wide gaps between the signal- and ground-lines. The microwave signal was 13 modulated at 51.73 kHz. 14

Figure 2(a) presents the microwave absorption spectra extracted from the 15 transmission coefficient ( $\Delta S_{21}$ ) of the scattering parameters for YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Pd 16 at frequency f = 5 GHz and input power  $P_{in} = 1$  mW, with **H** applied along x-axis 17 at room temperature. Figure 2(b) shows  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  for the same sample at f = 5 GHz18 and  $P_{in} = 540 \text{ mW}$  at room temperature. A voltage signal is observed around the 19 resonance field  $H_r \approx 1.10 \text{ kOe}$ , while no signal was observed in a YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 20 nm)/Cu (10 nm) control sample [Fig. 2(c)], indicating that  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  is induced by the 21 spin pumping from YIG and ISHE of Pd.  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  is proportional to  $P_{\rm in}$  for 22 f = 5 GHz [Insert of Fig. 2(b)]. This is consistent with a direct-current 23 spin-pumping model and indicates that the system is in the linear regime.<sup>44,45</sup> 24

In spin pumping measurements, several artificial signals could be induced by either the magnetoelectric or thermoelectric effects.<sup>45-48</sup> We excluded these artifacts as

follows. First, since the Alq<sub>3</sub> layer between YIG and Pd is relatively thick, a 1 2 proximity-induced ferromagnetic Pd is unlikely; hence, magnetoelectric effects, such as the spin rectification effect, anomalous Hall effect, or anomalous Nernst effect in 3 Pd can be ruled out. Secondly, the Seebeck effect depends on the temperature gradient 4  $\nabla T$  but not **H**.  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  is observed to reverse sign when **H** changes its direction 180° 5 [Fig. 2(b)], ruling out the Seebeck effect. In fact, such behavior is a characteristic of 6 the spin-pumping-induced ISHE.49 Thirdly, a 20-nm-thick MgO layer is inserted 7 between YIG and Alq<sub>3</sub>, which is thick enough to block the spin current while the 8 in-plane  $\nabla T$  induced by the spin-wave heat conveyor<sup>50</sup> on YIG is maintained in Pd. 9 10 The voltage signal disappears with the MgO insertion [Fig. 2(c)], ruling out the 11 spin-wave heat conveyor effect induced Seebeck effect. In addition, the *f*-dependent measurement can be fitted to the Kittel formula:<sup>51</sup>  $f = (\gamma/2\pi)\sqrt{H_r(H_r + 4\pi M_s)}$ , 12 where  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio and  $M_s$  is the saturation magnetization.<sup>28</sup> 13  $\gamma = 1.72 \times 10^{11} \text{ T}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $4\pi M_{s} = 0.196 \text{ T}$  were obtained from the fitting, which are 14 consistent with the material parameters of YIG,<sup>52</sup> indicating that  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  is related to 15 the YIG FMR.  $\nabla T$  on YIG can be generated by the microwave heating in resonance 16 condition, resulting in the spin Seebeck effect (SSE) in YIG<sup>53</sup> and hence additional 17 ISHE voltage. Since  $\nabla T$  is sensitive to the environment, the SSE is expected to have 18 strong T dependence.<sup>28</sup> As will be discussed below, our measured signal is almost 19 independent on T, suggesting the negligible contribution from the SSE. Therefore, we 20 can identify the observed signal as being mainly caused by the spin-pumping-induced 21 ISHE. 22

Figures 3(a) and (b) show the angular dependent  $V_{ISHE}$  with H rotating within the *xz*-plane ( $\theta_H$ -scan) and *xy*-plan ( $\varphi_H$ -scan), respectively. In the  $\theta_H$ -scan, we find the differences from previous reports for inorganic systems.<sup>45</sup> When H is tilted out-of-plane, M is no longer collinear with H due to the shape anisotropy, *i.e.*,  $\theta_M \neq \theta_H$ , in which  $\theta_M$  is the angle between M and sample plane.<sup>28</sup> We take this into account and find that  $V_{ISHE}$  still cannot be described by a  $\cos\theta_M$  function expected for ISHE.<sup>45</sup> We note that a similar unusual angular dependence of  $V_{ISHE}$  was also observed in the previous report, which attributed it to the Hanle effect.<sup>25</sup> The findings were highlighted as "the first and clear fingerprint of the precessional nature of polaron spins in an applied magnetic field".<sup>54</sup> The Hanle effect would suggest that the spin transport is not caused by the exchange mechanism.<sup>17</sup> The authors, however, found a sizeable signal and attributed its origin to the exchange mechanism.<sup>25</sup>

To crosscheck, we performed similar measurements with H rotating within the *xy*-plane. In such a geometry, M should be parallel to  $H_{\rm r}$ (>1 kOe), *i.e.*,  $\varphi_M \cong \varphi_H$ , because the crystalline anisotropy of YIG is weak. This means that the Hanle effect should disappear. Our measurements, however, show that  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  still cannot be fitted by a  $\cos \varphi_M$  function well [Fig. 3(b)]. This strongly suggests that the unusual angular dependence of  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  does not originate from the Hanle effect.

Organic materials typically cannot sustain the photolithography process, meaning 14 relatively large sample size. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the active area of our YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub>/Pd 15 device is  $\sim 4 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^2$ , which is much larger than the CPW signal-line width. The 16 17 microwave magnetic field h should be non-uniformed in the sample. To check this, we performed a numerical simulation, using HFSS (High Frequency Structure 18 Simulator, Ansoft Corp.), shown in Fig. 3(d). Indeed, we find that the magnitude and 19 direction of **h** varies dramatically around the gap between the signal- and ground-lines. 20 By assuming the YIG film is placed in the center of the CPW and  $\sim 0.1$  mm above it, 21 we estimate the ratio of the effective power with h acting on the y-direction and 22 z-direction  $P_y: P_z$  to be: 1:2.8, where  $P_{y(z)} \propto \int_{V_{y(z)}} h_{y(z)}^2 dV$ . 23

In FMR, the procession of M can only be excited by the component of hperpendicular to it,  $h_{\perp} = h \times M/M$ , with the corresponding microwave power  $P_{\perp} \propto \int_{V_{\text{exc}}} h_{\perp}^2 dV$ . Since  $j_s$  is along the z-direction and  $\sigma$  is parallel to M of YIG, 1  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  for **H** rotating in xz-plane and xy-plane can be expressed as:

2

4

3 and

 $V_{\rm ISHE} \propto P_{\perp} | \boldsymbol{J}_s \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} |_{y} \propto P_{y} \cos^3 \varphi_M + P_{z} \cos \varphi_M, \qquad (2),$ 

(1)

 $V_{\rm ISHE} \propto P_{\perp} | \boldsymbol{J}_{s} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma} |_{v} \propto P_{v} \cos \theta_{M} + P_{z} \cos^{3} \theta_{M},$ 

respectively. Utilizing Eq. (1) and (2), we fitted our measured data [Fig. 3(a) and (b)]. The fittings reproduce the measured data well. They yield  $P_y:P_z$  to be 1:2.9 and 1:2.3 for the  $\theta_H$ -scan and  $\varphi_H$ -scan, respectively. Both agree with the estimated value of 1:2.8, suggesting that the angular dependence of  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  originates from the non-uniform microwave field rather than from the Hanle effect.

From Eq. (1) and (2), we learn that the angular dependence of  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  would be 10 significantly different if the microwave is only excited in one direction. For instance, 11 if only  $P_y$  exists,  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  will have a  $\cos\theta_M$  dependence in a  $\theta_H$ -scan but a  $\cos^3\varphi_M$ 12 13 dependence in a  $\varphi_{H}$ -scan. To demonstrate this, the same device structure with an active area smaller than the signal line was fabricated. To achieve this, two 14 30-nm-thick MgO pads separated by a 0.3-mm-wide gap were deposited by e-beam 15 evaporation using a shadow mask before depositing  $Alq_3$  and Pd. This makes the 16 sample's active area to be  $\sim 0.3 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^2$ , which is smaller than the CPW signal line, 17 as depicted in Fig. 4(c). In this case, h should be almost uniform in the sample along 18 the y-direction. Figures 4(a) and (b) show the measured angular dependence of  $V_{\rm ISHE}$ 19 similar as in Figs. 3(a) and (b) but with smaller sample size. Indeed, the angle 20 dependence can be fitted by  $\cos\theta_M$  in the  $\theta_H$ -scan and  $\cos^3\varphi_M$  in the  $\varphi_H$ -scan, as 21 shown in Figs. 4(a) and (b). These results confirm that there is no Hanle effect in the 22 23 pure spin transport in Alg<sub>3</sub>. The absence of the Hanle effect suggests the pure spin transport is not dominated by the hoping transport based mechanisms, since the Hanle 24 effect would be expected. Instead, it is consistent with the recently proposed 25 exchange-mediated mechanism.<sup>17</sup> 26

To further understand the underlying mechanism, we performed T-dependent 1 2 measurements. The spin diffusion length  $\lambda_s$  for the HFI mechanism is expected to increase with increasing T,<sup>19,20</sup> while  $\lambda_s$  for the SOC mechanism is predicted to 3 decreases with increasing T when T<80 K for Alq<sub>3</sub>.<sup>20,55</sup> The exchange-mediated spin 4 diffusion mechanism relies on quantum mechanical exchange coupling of spins that 5 come close to each other on adjacent sites. It does not require physical carrier hopping, 6 meaning that  $\lambda_s$  is much less T-dependent. Therefore, we studied the Alq<sub>3</sub> thickness 7 (t) and T dependence of the normalized signal  $\tilde{V}_{\text{ISHE}}$ , defined as  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  normalized by 8 the microwave absorption.  $\tilde{V}_{\text{ISHE}}$  decreases significantly with increasing t at T=300 K, 9 shown in Fig. 5(a). The spin current is expected to decay exponentially with t,<sup>8</sup> 10  $j_s = j_s(0)e^{-t/\lambda_s}$ . From the fitting, we obtained  $\lambda_s \sim 50$  nm at T=300 K, which is 11 comparable with the value measured in Alq3-based OSV at low temperature.<sup>8</sup> 12 In Fig. 5(b), we show the typical *T*-dependent  $\tilde{V}_{ISHE}$  for samples with various Alq<sub>3</sub> 13 thickness (f=5 GHz,  $P_{\rm in}$ =540 mW and  $\theta_{\rm H}$ =0°). The results were normalized to  $\tilde{V}_{\rm ISHE}$ at 8 K. It remains almost unchanged with increasing T. We further extract  $\lambda_{\rm s}$  at different T and it is almost independent on T [Inset of Fig. 5(b)]. This finding excludes the SOC and the HFI as the dominant mechanism for the spin relaxation in Alq<sub>3</sub> since

14 15 16 17 both involve T-dependent carrier hopping.<sup>18,20</sup> Our results are consistent with the 18 exchange-mediated mechanism in which spin transport is via the exchange between 19 the localized carriers rather than hopping.<sup>17</sup> The estimated polaron concentration, 20 10<sup>18</sup>-10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, also fulfills the condition required for the exchange mechanism.<sup>17</sup> In 21 this model the spin is conserved and does not relax during the transport process, 22 similar to spin-wave spin current transport in a magnetic insulator.<sup>23</sup> Therefore,  $\lambda_s$  is 23 only determined by the spin relaxation time of the local carriers, which is 24 T-independent, as measured by ESR and spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  photoluminescence-detected 25 magnetic resonance.<sup>56,57</sup> Moreover, this mechanism suggests that the Hanle effect 26

1 cannot be observed,<sup>17</sup> consistent with our experimental finding.

2 In summary, we demonstrate the injection of a pure spin current into Alq<sub>3</sub> from the 3 ferromagnetic insulator YIG utilizing the spin pumping approach from 8 to 300 K.  $\lambda_s$ in Alq<sub>3</sub> is determined to be ~50 nm in this temperature range.  $V_{\rm ISHE}$  shows an unusual 4 angle dependence for large samples only. By comparing the results obtained with 5 small samples, we identified the unusual angular dependence as originating from the 6 7 non-uniformity of the microwave magnetic field of the CPW rather than the Hanle effect. The absence of the Hanle effect and temperature independence of  $\lambda_s$  strongly 8 9 support that the pure spin current transport in  $Alq_3$  is dominated by exchange coupling 10 between carriers.

11

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB922103 and 2010CB923401), the NSF of China (11222435, 51471086 and 11374145) and the NSF of Jiangsu Province (BK20130054).

## 1 **References:**

- [1] V. A. Dediu, L. E. Hueso, I. Bergenti, and C. Taliani, Nat. Mater. 8, 707 (2009).
- [2] M. Cinchetti, K. Heimer, J.-P. Wüstenberg, O. Andreyev, M. Bauer, S. Lach, C. Ziegler, Y. Gao, and M. Aeschlimann, Nat. Mater. 8, 115 (2009).
- [3] A. J. Drew, J. Hoppler, L. Schulz, F. L. Pratt, P. Desai, P. Shakya, T. Kreouzis, W. P. Gillin, A. Suter, N. A. Morley, V. K. Malik, A. Dubroka, K. W. Kim, H. Bouyanfif, F. Bourqui, C. Bernhard, R. Scheuermann, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, T. Prokscha, and E. Morenzoni, Nat. Mater. 8, 109 (2009).
- [4] T. D. Nguyen, E. Ehrenfreund, and Z. V. Vardeny, Science 337, 204 (2012).
- [5] T. D. Nguyen, G. Hukic-Markosian, F. Wang, L. Wojcik, X.-G. Li, E. Ehrenfreund, and Z. V. Vardeny, Nat. Mater. 9, 345 (2010).
- [6] C. Boehme and J. M. Lupton, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 612 (2013).
- [7] V. A. Dediu and A. Riminucci, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 885 (2013).
- [8] Z. H. Xiong, D. Wu, Z. V. Vardeny, and J. Shi, Nature 427, 821 (2004).
- [9] T. S. Santos, J. S. Lee, P. Migdal, I. C. Lekshmi, B. Satpati, and J. S. Moodera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 016601 (2007).
- [10] M. Grünewald, M. Wahler, F. Schumann, M. Michelfeit, C. Gould, R. Schmidt, F. Würthner, G. Schmidt, and L. W. Molenkamp, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125208 (2011).
- [11] F. G. Monzon, H. X. Tang, and M. L. Roukes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5022 (2000).
- [12] M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1790 (1985).
- [13] X. Lou, C. Adelmann, S. A. Crooker, E. S. Garlid, J. Zhang, K. S. M. Reddy, S. D. Flexner, C. J. Palmstrøm, and P. A. Crowell, Nat. Phys. 3, 197 (2007).
- [14] N. Tombros, C. Jozsa, M. Popinciuc, H. T. Jonkman, and B. J. van Wees, Nature 448, 571 (2007).
- [15] A. Riminucci, M. Prezioso, C. Pernechele, P. Graziosi, I. Bergenti, R. Cecchini, M. Calbucci, M. Solzi, and V. A. Dediu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 092407 (2013).
- [16] M. Grünewald, R. Göckeritz, N. Homonnay, F. Würthner, L. W. Molenkamp, and G. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. B 88, 085319 (2013).
- [17] Z. G. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 016601 (2013).
- [18] P. A. Bobbert, W. Wagemans, F. W. A. van Oost, B. Koopmans, and M. Wohlgenannt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 156604 (2009).
- [19] Z. G. Yu, F. Ding, and H. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 87, 205446 (2013).
- [20] Z. G. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 106602 (2011).

- [21] S. Maekawa, S. O. Valenzuela, E. Saitoh, and T. Kimura, *Spin Current*, (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- [22] I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323 (2004).
- [23] Y. Kajiwara, K. Harii, S. Takahashi, J. Ohe, K. Uchida, M. Mizuguchi, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, K. Ando, K. Takanashi, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Nature 464, 262 (2010).
- [24] E. Shikoh, K. Ando, K. Kubo, E. Saitoh, T. Shinjo, and M. Shiraishi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 127201 (2013).
- [25] S. Watanabe, K. Ando, K. Kang, S. Mooser, Y. Vaynzof, H. Kurebayashi, E. Saitoh, and H. Sirringhaus, Nat. Phys. 10, 308 (2014).
- [26] V. G. Harris, A. Geiler, Y. J. Chen, S. D. Yoon, M. Z. Wu, A. Yang, Z. H. Chen, P. He, P. V. Parimi, X. Zuo, C. E. Patton, M. Abe, O. Acher, and C. Vittoria, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321, 2035 (2009).
- [27] Y. Y. Sun, Y. Y. Song, H. C. Chang, M. Kabatek, M. Jantz, W. Schneider, M. Z. Wu, H. Schultheiss, and A. Hoffmann, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 152405 (2012).
- [28] See Supplemental Material [url], which includes Refs. [29-42].
- [29] T. Sakanoue, M. Yahiro, C. Adachi, K. Takimiya, and A. Toshimitsu, J. Appl. Phys. 103, 094509 (2008).
- [30] R. G. Kepler, P. M. Beeson, S. J. Jacobs, R. A. Anderson, M. B. Sinclair, V. S. Valencia and P. A. Cahill, Appl. Phys. Lett. 66, 3618 (1995).
- [31] J. Rybicki, R. Lin, F. Wang, M. Wohlgenannt, C. He, T. Sanders, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 076603 (2012).
- [32] A. Droghetti, S. Steil, N. Großmann, N. Haag, H. Zhang, M. Willis, W. P. Gillin, A. J. Drew, M. Aeschlimann, S. Sanvito, and M. Cinchetti, Phys. Rev. B 89, 094412 (2014).
- [33] W. Xu, J. Brauer, G. Szulczewski, M. Sky Driver, and a. N. Caruso, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 233302 (2009).
- [34] F. Burke, M. Abid, P. Stamenov, and J. M. D. Coey, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 322, 1255 (2010).
- [35] J. Laubender, L. Chkoda, M. Sokolowski, and E. Umbach, Synth. Met. 111, 373 (2000).
- [36] M. Rosay, L. Tometich, S. Pawsey, R. Bader, R. Schauwecker, M. Blank, P. M. Borchard, S. R. Cauffman, K. L. Felch, R. T. Weber, R. J. Temkin, R. G. Griffin,

and W. E. Maas, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12, 5850 (2010).

- [37] H. Tresp, M. U. Hammer, J. Winter, K.-D. Weltmann, and S. Reuter, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 46, 435401 (2013).
- [38] J. A. Weil and J. R. Bolton, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- [39] K. Vanheusden, W. L. Warren, C. H. Seager, D. R. Tallant, J. a Voigt, and B. E. Gnade, J. Appl. Phys. 79, 7983 (1996).
- [40] F. Reisdorffer, B. Garnier, N. Horny, C. Renaud, M. Chirtoc, and T.-P. Nguyen, EPJ Web Conf. 79, 02001 (2014).
- [41] K. Harii, T. An, Y. Kajiwara, K. Ando, H. Nakayama, T. Yoshino, and E. Saitoh, J. Appl. Phys. 109, 116105 (2011).
- [42] V. Castel, N. Vlietstra, B. J. van Wees, and J. B. Youssef, Phys. Rev. B 86, 134419 (2012).
- [43] S. Wang, Y. J. Shi, L. Lin, B. B. Chen, F. J. Yue, J. Du, H. F. Ding, F. M. Zhang, and D. Wu, Synth. Met. 161, 1738 (2011).
- [44] Y. Tserkovnyak, A. Brataas, and G. E. W. Bauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 117601 (2002).
- [45] K. Ando, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, Y. Kajiwara, H. Nakayama, T. Yoshino, K. Harii, Y. Fujikawa, M. Matsuo, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, J. Appl. Phys. 109, 103913 (2011).
- [46] Y. S. Gui, N. Mecking, X. Zhou, G. Williams, and C. M. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 107602 (2007).
- [47] Y. Shiomi, K. Nomura, Y. Kajiwara, K. Eto, M. Novak, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and E. Saitoh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 196601 (2014).
- [48] M. Agrawal, A. A. Serga, V. Lauer, E. T. Papaioannou, B. Hillebrands, and V. I. Vasyuchka, Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 092404 (2014).
- [49] Z. Feng, J. Hu, L. Sun, B. You, D. Wu, J. Du, W. Zhang, A. Hu, Y. Yang, D. M. Tang, B. S. Zhang, and H. F. Ding, Phys. Rev. B 85, 214423 (2012).
- [50] T. An, V. I. Vasyuchka, K. Uchida, A. V Chumak, K. Yamaguchi, K. Harii, J. Ohe, M. B. Jungfleisch, Y. Kajiwara, H. Adachi, B. Hillebrands, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Nat. Mater. 12, 549 (2013).
- [51] C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 73, 155 (1948).
- [52] H. Kurebayashi, O. Dzyapko, V. E. Demidov, D. Fang, J. Ferguson, and S. O.

Demokritov, Nat. Mater. 10, 660 (2011).

- [53] C. W. Sandweg, Y. Kajiwara, A. V. Chumak, A. A. Serga, V. I. Vasyuchka, M. B. Jungfleisch, E. Saitoh, and B. Hillebrands, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 216601 (2011).
- [54] B. Koopmans, Nat. Phys. 10, 249 (2014).
- [55] Z. G. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 85, 115201 (2012).
- [56] B. Kanchibotla, S. Pramanik, and S. Bandyopadhyay, and M. Cahay, Phys. Rev. B 78, 193306 (2008).
- [57] F. J. Wang, C. G. Yang, Z. V. Vardeny, and X. G. Li, Phys. Rev. B 75, 245324 (2007).

## Figure Captions:



Fig. 1. Schematic of the spin pumping induced spin injection, transport and detection in a YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub>/Pd device.



Fig. 2. (a)  $\Delta S_{21}$  as a function of H for YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Pd (f = 5 GHz,  $P_{in}=1 \text{ mW}$  and  $\theta_{H}=0^{\circ}$ ). The electric voltage as a function of H for (b) YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Pd and (c) YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Cu and YIG/MgO (20 nm)/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Pd (f=5 GHz,  $P_{in}=540 \text{ mW}$  and  $\theta_{H}=0^{\circ}$ ). The curves in (c) are vertically offset for clarity. Inset of (b): Microwave power dependence of  $V_{ISHE}$ , where the solid line is a linear fitting.



Fig. 3. Normalized  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  as a function of (a)  $\theta_{\text{H}}$  and (b)  $\varphi_{\text{H}}$  in YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (50 nm)/Pd (f=5 GHz,  $P_{in}=540 \text{ mW}$  and T=300 K). The blue dash lines are the calculated results for  $\cos \theta_{M}$  and  $\cos \varphi_{M}$ . The solid red lines are the fits utilizing Eq. (1) and (2), respectively. (c) Schematic of the experimental geometry for measurements with large samples. (d) Simulation of *h* distribution in the CPW.



Fig. 4. Normalized  $V_{\text{ISHE}}$  as a function of (a)  $\theta_{\text{H}}$  and (b)  $\varphi_{\text{H}}$  for sample size smaller than the signal line of the CPW (*T*=300 K). The dash blue lines are the calculated curve of  $\cos \theta_{M}$  and  $\cos^{3} \varphi_{M}$ . (c) Schematic of the experimental geometry for measurements with small samples.



Fig. 5. (a) Normalized  $\tilde{V}_{ISHE}$  as a function of the Alq<sub>3</sub> thickness (*T*=300 K). The error bars are statistical errors due to the averaging of many samples. (b) *T* dependences of normalized  $\tilde{V}_{ISHE}$  for YIG/Alq<sub>3</sub> (*t*)/Pd with *t*=30, 50, 70 and 100 nm (*f*=5 GHz,  $P_{in}$ =540 mW and  $\theta_{H}$ =0°). Inset of (b):  $\lambda_{s}$  as a function of *T*.