

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Enhanced $\gamma\text{-Ray}$ Emission from Neutron Unbound States Populated in β Decay

J. L. Tain *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 062502 — Published 6 August 2015 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.062502

Enhanced γ -Ray Emission from Neutron Unbound States Populated in β Decay

2	J. L. Tain, ^{1, *} E. Valencia, ¹ A. Algora, ¹ J. Agramunt, ¹ B. Rubio, ¹ S. Rice, ² W. Gelletly, ²
3	P. Regan, ² AA. Zakari-Issoufou, ³ M. Fallot, ³ A. Porta, ³ J. Rissanen, ⁴ T. Eronen, ⁴ J. Äystö, ⁵
4	L. Batist, ⁶ M. Bowry, ² V. M. Bui, ³ R. Caballero-Folch, ⁷ D. Cano-Ott, ⁸ VV. Elomaa, ⁴
5	E. Estevez, ¹ G. F. Farrelly, ² A. R. Garcia, ⁸ B. Gomez-Hornillos, ⁷ V. Gorlychev, ⁷ J. Hakala, ⁴
6	M. D. Jordan, ¹ A. Jokinen, ⁴ V. S. Kolhinen, ⁴ F. G. Kondev, ⁹ T. Martínez, ⁸ E. Mendoza, ⁸
7	I. Moore, ⁴ H. Penttilä, ⁴ Zs. Podolyák, ² M. Reponen, ⁴ V. Sonnenschein, ⁴ and A. A. Sonzogni ¹⁰
8	¹ Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (CSIC-Universitat de Valencia), Apdo. Correos 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain
9	² University of Surrey, Department of Physics, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom
10	³ SUBATECH, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de Nantes, Ecole des Mines, F-44307 Nantes, France
11	⁴ University of Jyväskylä, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland
12	5 Helsinki Institute of Physics, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
13	⁶ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, RU-188300 Gatchina, Russia
14	⁷ Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain
15	⁸ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnólogicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
16	⁹ Nuclear Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
17	¹⁰ NNDC, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
18	(Dated: July 6, 2015)

Total absorption spectroscopy was used to investigate the β -decay intensity to states above the neutron separation energy followed by γ -ray emission in ^{87,88}Br and ⁹⁴Rb. Accurate results were obtained thanks to a careful control of systematic errors. An unexpectedly large γ intensity was observed in all three cases extending well beyond the excitation energy region where neutron penetration is hindered by low neutron energy. The γ branching as a function of excitation energy was compared to Hauser-Feshbach model calculations. For ^{87}Br and ^{88}Br the γ branching reaches 57% and 20% respectively, and could be explained as a nuclear structure effect. Some of the states populated in the daughter can only decay through the emission of a large orbital angular momentum neutron with a strongly reduced barrier penetrability. In the case of neutron-rich ⁹⁴Rb the observed 4.5% branching is much larger than the calculations performed with standard nuclear statistical model parameters, even after proper correction for fluctuation effects on individual transition widths. The difference can be reconciled introducing an enhancement of one order-of-magnitude in the photon strength to neutron strength ratio. An increase in the photon strength function of such magnitude for very neutron-rich nuclei, if it proved to be correct, leads to a similar increase in the (n, γ) cross section that would have an impact on r process abundance calculations.

19

1

PACS numbers: 23.40.-s, 21.10.PC, 29.30.Kv, 26.50.+x

20 21 $_{23}$ decay energy window Q_{β} . Given the relative strengths $_{43}$ by neutron or γ emission. Radiative capture (n, γ) cross 24 of strong and electromagnetic interactions these states 44 sections for very neutron-rich nuclei are a key ingredient ²⁵ decay preferentially by neutron emission. Beta delayed ⁴⁵ in reaction network calculations used to obtain the yield ²⁷ in 1972 in the decay of ⁸⁷Br [1]. Since then it has been ⁴⁷ capture process occurring in explosive-like stellar events. ²⁸ observed in a handful of cases: ¹³⁷I [2], ⁹³Rb [3], ⁸⁵As [4], ⁴⁸ It has been shown [12–14] that the abundance distribu- $_{30}$ paucity of information is related to the difficulty of de- $_{50}$ (n, γ) cross sections. In the classical "hot" r process late $_{31}$ tecting weak high-energy γ -ray cascades with the ger- $_{51}$ captures during freeze-out modify the final element abun- $_{32}$ manium detectors that are usually employed in β -decay $_{52}$ dance. In the "cold" r process the competition between ³³ studies. This problem has become known as the *Pande*- ⁵³ neutron captures and β decays determines the forma-³⁴ monium effect [10] and it also affects the accuracy of the ⁵⁴ tion path. Cross section values for these exotic nuclei 35 data.

36 ³⁷ neutron capture reactions which populate states in the 38 compound nucleus that re-emit a neutron (elastic chan-³⁹ nel) or de-excite by γ rays (radiative capture). Indeed the

Neutron unbound states can be populated in the β de- 40 reaction cross section is parametrized in terms of neutron cay of very neutron-rich nuclei, when the neutron separa- $_{41}$ and γ widths, Γ_n and Γ_γ respectively, which also detertion energy S_n in the daughter nucleus is lower than the $_{42}$ mines the fraction of β intensity above S_n that proceeds γ -ray emission from states above S_n was first observed 46 of elements heavier than iron in the rapid (r) neutron ¹⁴¹Cs [5], ⁹⁵Rb [6], ⁹⁴Rb [7], ⁷⁷Cu [8], and ⁷⁵Cu [9]. The ⁴⁹ tions in different astrophysical scenarios are sensitive to ⁵⁵ are taken from Hauser-Feshbach model calculations [15], ⁵⁶ which are based on a few quantities describing average There is an analogy [11] between this decay process and 57 nuclear properties: nuclear level densities (NLD), pho-⁵⁸ ton strength functions (PSF) and neutron transmission ⁵⁹ coefficients (NTC). Since these quantities are adjusted to

 $\mathbf{2}$

 $_{60}$ experiment close to β stability it is crucial to find means $_{116}$ thin optical reflector. The total efficiency for detecting to verify the predictions for very neutron-rich nuclei. 61

62 63 64 65 66 67 68 expected γ -branching is very small and located at rather 125 characterized accurately. 69 70 high excitation energies. As a matter of fact previous 126 71 72 73 74 75 76 stability. 77

78 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 91 92 93 94 95 be investigated. 96

97 98 qq 103 104 105 106 107 movable tape which periodically removed the activity to 164 event rate and the ADC gate length [33]. 108 minimize daughter contamination. Behind the tape was 165 109 110 111

117 a single γ ray is larger than 80%. The spectrometer has The Total Absorption Gamma-ray Spectroscopy ¹¹⁸ a reduced neutron sensitivity in comparison to NaI(Tl) (TAGS) technique aims at detecting cascades rather than ¹¹⁹ detectors, a key feature in the present application. It also individual γ rays using large 4π scintillation detectors. ¹²⁰ allows the measurement of multiplicities which helps in The superiority of this method over high-resolution ger- 121 the data analysis. In order to eliminate the detector inmanium spectroscopy to locate missing β intensity has 122 trinsic background and the ambient background we use been demonstrated before [16, 17]. However its appli- 123β -gated TAGS spectra in the present analysis. Neverthecation in the present case is very challenging, since the 124 less other sources of spectrum contamination need to be

In the first place the decay descendant contamination, attempts at LNPI [7] with a similar aim did not lead to 127 was computed using the Geant4 simulation toolkit [30]. clear conclusions. In this Letter we propose and demon- 128 In the case of the daughter decay we use an event generstrate for the first time the use of the TAGS technique ¹²⁹ ator based on the well known decay level scheme [23–25]. to study γ -ray emission above S_n in β -delayed neutron 130 The calculated normalization factor was adjusted to proemitters and extract accurate information that can be 131 vide the best fit to the recorded spectrum. The measureused to improve (n, γ) cross section estimates far from β_{132} ment of ⁸⁸Br was accidentally contaminated by ⁹⁴Y, the ¹³³ long-lived grand-daughter of ⁹⁴Rb, and was treated in Neutron capture and transmission reactions have been 134 the same manner. The case of the contamination due respectively used [18] to determine neutron and γ widths 135 to the β -delayed neutron branch is more challenging. (or related strength functions). An inspection of Ref. [18] ¹³⁶ The decay simulation must include the correct energy shows that in general Γ_n is orders-of-magnitude larger 137 sequence β -neutron- γ . Neutrons interact with detector than Γ_{γ} . In the decay of ⁸⁷Br, which is the best stud- ¹³⁸ materials producing additional γ rays through inelastic ied case [1, 19–21], a dozen states emitting single γ rays 139 and capture processes. An event generator was implewere identified within 250 keV above S_n collecting about 140 mented which reproduces the known neutron energy dis-0.5% of the decay intensity to be compared with a neu- 141 tribution, taken from [31], and the known γ -ray intensity tron emission probability of 2.6%. The observation of 142 in the final nucleus, taken from [23–25]. The event gensuch relatively high γ -ray intensity was explained as be- 143 erator requires the β intensity distribution followed by ing due to a nuclear structure effect: some of the levels $_{144}$ neutron emission $I_{\beta n}$ which was obtained from deconvopopulated can only decay by emission of neutrons with 145 lution of the neutron spectrum. Another issue is whether large orbital angular momentum l, which is strongly hin- $_{146}$ the interaction of neutrons with the detector can be simdered. In addition it has been pointed out [22] that a siz- 147 ulated accurately. We have shown recently [32] that this able γ -ray emission from neutron unbound states can be 148 is indeed the case provided that Geant4 is updated with a manifestation of Porter-Thomas (PT) statistical fluc- 149 the newest neutron data libraries and the original captuations in the strength of individual transitions. The 150 ture cascade generator is substituted by an improved one. role and relative importance of both mechanisms should $_{151}$ The normalization factor of the β -delayed neutron decay ¹⁵² contamination is fixed by the P_n value. Another impor-We present here the results of measurements for 153 tant source of spectrum distortion is the summing-pileup three known neutron emitters, ⁸⁷Br [23], ⁸⁸Br [24] and ¹⁵⁴ of events. If more than one event arrives within the same 94 Rb [25], using a newly developed TAGS spectrome- 155 ADC event gate, a signal with the wrong energy is stored ¹⁰⁰ ter. The results for ⁹³Rb, also measured, will be pre-¹⁵⁶ in the spectrum. Apart from the electronic pulse pile-up ¹⁰¹ sented later [26]. The measurements were performed ¹⁵⁷ effect for a single detector module [33] one must consider ¹⁰² at the IGISOL mass separator [27] of the University ¹⁵⁸ the summing of signals from different detector modules. of Jyväskylä. The isotopes were produced by proton- ¹⁵⁹ A new Monte Carlo (MC) procedure to calculate their induced fission of uranium and the mass-separated 160 combined contribution has been developed. The procebeam was cleaned from isobaric contamination using the 161 dure is based on the random superposition of two stored JYFLTRAP Penning trap [28, 29]. The resulting beam ¹⁶² events within the ADC gate length. The normalization was implanted at the centre of the spectrometer onto a 163 of the resulting summing-pileup spectrum is fixed by the

Several laboratory γ -ray sources were used to deplaced a 0.5 mm thick Si detector with a β -detection 166 termine the energy and resolution calibration of the efficiency of about 30%. The Valencia-Surrey Total Ab- 167 spectrometer. The highest calibration point was at ¹¹² sorption Spectrometer *Rocinante* is a cylindrical 12-fold ¹⁶⁸ 4.123 MeV. The measured singles spectra also served to $_{113}$ segmented BaF₂ detector with a length and external di- $_{169}$ verify the accuracy of the spectrometer response simu-¹¹⁴ ameter of 25 cm, and a longitudinal hole of 5 cm diam- ¹⁷⁰ lated with Geant4. The use of β -gated spectra in the ¹¹⁵ eter. The separation between crystals is provided by a ¹⁷¹ analysis required additional verifications of the simula-



FIG. 1. Relevant histograms for ⁸⁸Br: parent decay (dark grey filled), daughter decay (dot-dashed line), summingpileup (dashed line), β -delayed neutron decay (light grey filled), accidental contamination (thin continuous line), reconstructed spectrum (thick continuous line).

¹⁷³ the Si detector (100 keV) the β -detection efficiency has a ¹⁷⁴ strong dependence with β -endpoint energy up to about ²³⁰ apply. Our choices, $3/2^-$ for ⁸⁷Br, 1^- for ⁸⁸Br and 3^- 175 verify that the MC simulation reproduces this energy de-177 pendence we use the information from a separate experi-178 ment [34] measuring P_n values with the neutron counter BELEN and the same β detector. Several isotopes with 179 different neutron emission windows $Q_{\beta} - S_n$ were mea-180 sured, resulting in variations of the neutron-gated β ef-181 ficiency as large as 25%. Geant4 simulations using the 182 above mentioned β -delayed neutron decay generator are 183 able to reproduce the isotope-dependent efficiency within 184 better than 4%. 185

Figure 1 shows the β -gated TAGS spectrum measured 186 during the implantation of ⁸⁸Br ions. Also shown is the 187 contribution of the daughter ⁸⁸Kr decay, the neutron de-188 cay branch populating ⁸⁷Kr, the summing-pileup contribution and the accidental contamination of ⁹⁴Y. About 190 30% of the emitted neutrons produce a signal (light grey ¹⁹² filled histogram). Most of the signals, concentrated be-¹⁹³ low 1 MeV, are due to inelastic scattering. Only 1.5% of the neutrons undergo capture depositing energy up to 194 10 MeV. Notice the presence of net counts beyond the 233 195 196 197 198 199 201 94 Rb. 202

203 204 $_{205}$ sity distribution $I_{\beta\gamma}$ is obtained by deconvolution of the $_{243}$ its impact on reactor decay heat [39] and antineutrino ²⁰⁶ TAGS spectrum with the calculated spectrometer re- ²⁴⁴ spectrum [40] summation calculations will be discussed ²⁰⁷ sponse to the decay. The response to electromagnetic ²⁴⁵ elsewhere [41]. Here we concentrate on the portion of

²⁰⁸ cascades is calculated from a set of branching ratios (BR) $_{209}$ and the MC calculated response to individual γ rays. Branching ratios are taken from [23–25] for the low en-210 ergy part of the decay level scheme. The excitation en-²¹² ergy range above the last discrete level is treated as a con-²¹³ tinuum divided into 40 keV bins. Average BR for each bin are calculated from NLD and PSF as prescribed by the Hauser-Feshbach model. We use NLD from Ref. [37] ²¹⁶ as tabulated in the RIPL-3 library [38]. The PSF is ob-²¹⁷ tained from Generalized Lorentzian (E1) or Lorentzian (M1, E2) functions using the parameters recommended 218 ²¹⁹ in Ref. [38]. The electromagnetic response is then con-²²⁰ voluted with the simulated response to the β continuum. ²²¹ The spin-parity of some of the discrete states at low exci-222 tation energy in the daughter nucleus is uncertain. They ²²³ are however required to calculate the BR from the states ²²⁴ in the continuum. The unknown spin-parities were varied ²²⁵ and those values giving the best reproduction of the spec-²²⁶ trum were adopted. There is also ambiguity in the spin-227 parity of the parent nucleus which determines the spin-172 tion. Due to the existence of an electronic threshold in 228 parity of the levels populated in the continuum. Here we 229 assume that allowed Gamow-Teller (GT) selection rules 2 MeV. This affects the region of interest (see Fig. 1). To ²³¹ for ⁹⁴Rb, are also based on which values best reproduce 232 the spectrum.



FIG. 2. Beta intensity distributions for ⁸⁸Br: TAGS result (continuous line), high-resolution γ spectroscopy (light grey filled histogram), from β -delayed neutron (hatched area).

As an example of the results of the analysis we show neutron separation energy, which can only be attributed $_{234}$ in Fig. 2 the $I_{\beta\gamma}$ intensity obtained for ^{88}Br . The specto the decay feeding excited states above S_n which de- 235 trum reconstructed with this intensity distribution reexcite by γ -ray emission. In this region the major back- 236 produces well the measured spectrum (see Fig. 1). The ground contribution comes from summing-pileup which 237 analysis for the other two isotopes shows similar quality is well reproduced by the calculation as can be observed. 238 in the reproduction of the spectra. We also include in Similar pictures were obtained for the decay of ⁸⁷Br and ²³⁹ Fig. 2 the intensity obtained from high-resolution mea-²⁴⁰ surements [24], showing a strong *Pandemonium* effect. The analysis of the β -gated spectra follows the method $_{241}$ The *Pandemonium* effect is even stronger in the case of developed by the Valencia group [35, 36]. The inten- $_{242}$ 94 Rb and somewhat less for 87 Br. The complete $I_{\beta\gamma}$ and

 $_{247}$ sizable TAGS intensity is observed above S_n extending $_{303}$ sponding Γ_{γ} and Γ_n to individual final states are sampled $_{248}$ well beyond the first few hundred keV where the low neu- $_{304}$ from PT distributions. The total γ and neutron widths $_{249}$ tron penetrability makes γ -ray emission competitive. For $_{305}$ are obtained by summation over all possible final states $_{250}$ comparison Fig. 2 also shows $I_{\beta n}$ deduced from the neu- $_{306}$ and the ratio computed. The ratio is averaged for all $_{251}$ tron spectrum [31] as explained above. The $I_{\beta\gamma}$ above $_{307}$ levels lying within each energy bin. In order to suppress $_{252}$ S_n adds up to $\sum I_{\beta\gamma} = 1.6(3)\%$, to be compared with $_{308}$ fluctuations in the calculated average, the sampling pro-²⁵³ the integrated $I_{\beta n}$ (or P_n) of 6.4(6)%. From the TAGS ³⁰⁹ cedure is repeated between 5 and 1000 times depending $_{254}$ analysis for the other two isotopes we find a $\sum I_{\beta\gamma}$ of $_{310}$ on level density. Very large average enhancement factors $_{255}$ 3.5(5)% (⁸⁷Br) and 0.53(16)% (⁹⁴Rb) to be compared $_{311}$ were obtained, reaching two orders-of-magnitude when $_{256}$ with P_n -values of 2.60(4)% and 10.18(24)% respectively. $_{312}$ the neutron emission is dominated by the transition to a ²⁵⁷ In the case of ⁸⁷Br we find 7 times more intensity than ³¹³ single final state. ²⁵⁸ the high-resolution measurement [21]. The uncertainty 259 quoted on $\sum I_{\beta\gamma}$ is dominated by systematic uncertain-260 ties. We did a careful evaluation of possible sources of ²⁶¹ systematic effects for each isotope. The uncertainty com- $_{262}$ ing from assumptions in the BR varies from 1% to 5% ²⁶³ (relative value) depending on the isotope. The impact of the use of different deconvolution algorithms [36] is 264 $_{265}$ in the range of 2% to 10%. The uncertainty in the en- $_{266}$ ergy dependence of the β efficiency contributes with 4%. The contribution of uncertainties in the width calibration 267 ranges from 2% to 6%. A major source of uncertainty 268 comes from the normalization of the background contri-269 bution, which at the energies of interest is dominated by 270 the summing-pileup. We estimated that reproduction of 271 $_{272}$ spectra could accommodate at most a $\pm 15\%$ variation 273 from the nominal value, which translates into uncertain-²⁷⁴ ties of 6% to 22%. The integral value $\sum I_{\beta\gamma}$ is affected also by the uncertainty in the integration range. The S_n 275 value is known to better than 8 keV for all three isotopes 276 and we estimate that the energy calibration in this re-277 278 gion is correct to about one energy bin. This represents an additional uncertainty ranging from 11% to 15%. 279

Figure 3 shows the ratio $I_{\beta\gamma}/(I_{\beta\gamma}+I_{\beta n})$ in the range of 280 energies analyzed with TAGS for all three cases. This ra-281 tio is identical to the average ratio $\langle \Gamma_{\gamma}/(\Gamma_{\gamma}+\Gamma_n)\rangle$ over all 282 levels populated in the decay. The shaded area around the experimental value in Fig. 3 serves to indicate the 284 sensitivity of the TAGS results to background normal-286 ization as indicated above. The average width ratio was ²⁸⁷ calculated using the Hauser-Feshbach model. The results ²⁸⁸ for the three spin-parity groups populated in GT decay are shown. The NLD and PSF values used in these cal-289 culations are the same as those used in the TAGS anal-290 ysis. The new ingredient needed is the NTC, which is 291 obtained from the Optical Model (OM) with the TALYS-292 1.4 software package [42]. OM parameters are taken from 293 the so-called local parametrization of Ref. [43]. Neutron 294 transmission is calculated for known final levels popu-314 295 296 297 298

246 that intensity located in the neutron unbound region. A 302 ated according to a Wigner distribution and their corre-



FIG. 3. Average gamma to total width ratio from experiment and calculated for the three spin-parity groups populated in allowed decays. The shaded area around the experimental value indicates the sensitivity to the background normalization (see text).

In the case of ${}^{87}\text{Br} 3/2^-$ decay one can see in Fig. 3 lated in the decay [23–25]. In order to compute the av- $_{315}$ that the strong γ -ray emission above S_n can be explained erage width ratio we need to include the effect of statis- $_{316}$ as a consequence of the large hindrance of l = 3 neutron tical fluctuations in the individual widths [22]. We use $_{317}$ emission from $5/2^-$ states in 87 Kr to the 0⁺ g.s. of 86 Kr, $_{299}$ the MC method to obtain the average of width ratios. $_{318}$ as pointed out in Ref. [1]. In the case of 88 Br 1⁻ decay a $_{300}$ The sampling procedure is analogous to that described $_{319}$ similar situation occurs for 0^- states in 88 Kr below the $_{301}$ in Ref. [35]. Level energies for each spin-parity are gener- $_{320}$ first excited state in 87 Kr at 532 keV, which require l = 3

 $_{321}$ to populate the 5/2⁺ g.s. in 87 Kr. For a more quantitative assessment one should know the distribution of β 322 323 intensity between the three spin groups, which could be $_{324}$ obtained from β -strength theoretical calculations. The 376 $_{325}$ case of $^{94}\mathrm{Rb}$ 3⁻ decay is the most interesting. The fi-377 $_{326}$ nal nucleus 93 Sr is five neutrons away from β stability. $_{378}$ The γ intensity although strongly reduced, only 5% of 379 327 328 the neutron intensity, is detectable up to 1.5 MeV be-380 381 $_{329}$ youd S_n . The structure observed in the average width $_{330}$ ratio, is associated with the opening of β n channels to 331 different excited states. Note that the structure is re-³³² produced by the calculation, which confirms the energy 385 333 calibration at high excitation energies. In any case the 386 334 calculated average gamma-to-total ratio is well below the 387 388 335 experiment. In order to bring the calculation to the ex-³³⁶ perimental value one would need to enhance the PSF, or suppress the NTC, or any suitable combination of the 337 two, by a very large factor. For instance we verified that 338 a twenty-fold increase of the E1 PSF would reproduce 339 the measurement assuming a β -intensity spin distribu-340 tion proportional to 2J + 1. An enhancement of such 341 magnitude for neutron-rich nuclei, leading to a similar ³⁹⁶ 342 enhancement of (n, γ) cross sections, will likely have an 343 impact on r-process abundance calculations. Therefore 344 it will be important to investigate the magnitude of pos-345 sible variations of the NTC. 346

In conclusion, we have confirmed the suitability of the 347 TAGS technique to obtain accurate information on γ -ray 348 emission from neutron unbound states and applied it to 349 three known β -delayed neutron emitters. A surprisingly 350 $_{351}$ large γ -ray branching of 57% and 20% was observed for 87 Br and 88 Br respectively, which can be explained as a $_{409}$ 352 $_{\rm 353}$ nuclear structure effect. In the case of $^{87}{\rm Br}$ we observe 7 $_{\rm 410}$ 354 times more intensity than previously detected with high 411 $_{355}$ resolution γ -ray spectroscopy, which confirms the need of 412 $_{\rm 356}$ the TAGS technique for such studies. In the case of the $^{\rm 413}$ ³⁵⁷ more neutron-rich ⁹⁴Rb the measured branching is only 4.5% but still much larger than the results of Hauser-358 ³⁵⁹ Feshbach statistical calculations, after proper correction ₄₁₇ for individual width fluctuations. The large difference 418 360 between experiment and calculation can be reconciled by ⁴¹⁹ 361 $_{362}$ an enhancement of standard PSF of over one order-of- 420 ³⁶³ magnitude. To draw more general conclusions it will be ³⁶⁴ necessary to extend this type of study to other neutronrich β -delayed neutron emitters. Such measurements using the TAGS technique are already underway and addi-366 tional ones are planned. 367

This work was supported by Spanish Ministerio de 368 Economía y Competitividad under grants FPA2008-369 06419, FPA2010-17142 and FPA2011- 24553, CPAN 370 CSD-2007-00042 (Ingenio2010), and by EPSRC and 371 372 STFC (UK). Work at ANL was supported by the 433 ³⁷³ U.S Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-374 06CH11357.

Corresponding author:tain@ific.uv.es

375

382

383

384

390

301

392

431

432

434

435

- [1] D.R. Slaughter et al., Phys. Lett. B 38, 22 (1972).
- F.M. Nuh et al., Phys. Lett. B 53, 435 (1975). [2]
- [3]C.J. Bischof et al., Phys. Rev. C 15, 1047 (1977).
- [4] K.L. Kratz et al., Nucl. Phys. A 317, 335 (1979).
- [5]H. Yamamoto *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 26, 125 (1982).
- K.L. Kratz et al., Z. Phys. A 312, 43 (1983). [6]
- G.D. Alkhazov et al., Leningrad Nuclear Physics Insti-[7]tute, Preprint 1497 (1989).
- [8] S.V. Ilyushkin et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 054304 (2009).
- S.V. Ilyushkin et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 014322 (2011). [9]
- [10]J. Hardy et al., Phys. Lett. B 71, 307 (1977).
- K.L. Kratz et al., Astron. Astrophys. 125, 381 (1983). [11]
- [12]S. Goriely, Phys. Lett. B 436, 10 (1998).
- 389 [13]R. Surman et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 035801 (2001).
 - [14]A. Arcones et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 045809 (2011).
 - T. Rauscher et al., Atom. Data and Nucl. Data Tables [15]**75**. 1 (2000).
- 393 [16]A. Algora et al., Nucl. Phys. A 654, 727c (1999).
- 394 [17]Z. Hu et al., Phys. Rev. C 60, 024315 (1999).
- S. Mughabghab, Atlas of Neutron Resonances (Elsevier 395 [18] Science, 2006).
- H. Tovedal et al., Nucl. Phys. A 252, 253 (1975). [19]397
 - [20]F.M. Nuh et al., Nucl. Phys. A 293, 410 (1977).
- S. Raman et al., Phys. Rev. C 28, 602 (1983). 399 [21]
- B. Jonson et al., Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Nuclei far from 400 [22]stability, CERN Report 76-13 (1976) 277 401
- [23]R. G. Helmer, Nucl. Data Sheets 95, 543 (2002). 402
- [24]E.A. McCutchan and A.A. Sonzogni, Nucl. Data Sheets 403 **115**, 135 (2014). 404
- D. Abriola and A.A. Sonzogni, Nucl. Data Sheets 107, [25]405 2423 (2006).
- A.-A. Zakari-Issoufou, Ph.D. Thesis, University of 407 [26]408 Nantes, 2015, to be published.
 - [27]J. Äystö, Nucl. Phys. A 693, 477 (2001).
 - [28]V. Kolhinen et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 528, 776 (2004).
 - [29]T. Eronen et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 46 (2012).
 - [30]S. Agostinelli et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- 415 [31] ENDF/B-VII.1, M.B. Chadwick et al., Nucl. Data Sheets **112**, 2887 (2011).
 - [32]J. L. Tain et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 774, 17 (2015).
 - D. Cano-Ott et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., [33] Sect. A 430, 488 (1999).
- [34]J. Agramunt et al., Nucl. Data Sheets 120, 74 (2014). 421
- [35] J. L. Tain et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., 422 423 Sect. A 571, 719 (2007).
- J. L. Tain et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., 424 [36]425 Sect. A 571, 728 (2007).
- S. Goriely et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 064307 (2008). [37]426
- RIPL-3, R. Capote et al., Nucl. Data Sheets 110, 3107 [38]427 (2009).428
- A. Algora et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 202501 (2010). [39]429
- M. Fallot et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 202504 (2012). 430 [40]
 - E. Valencia, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Valencia, to be [41] published.
 - A. J. Koning et al., Proceedings of the International Con-[42]ference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Nice, France, 2007, (EDP Sciences, 2008), p. 211.

⁴³⁶ [43] A. J. Koning *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **713**, 231 (2003).