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We use scanning tunneling spectroscopy to investigate the filled and empty electronic states of superconducting single-unit-cell FeSe deposited on SrTiO$_3$(001). We map the momentum-space band structure by combining quasiparticle interference imaging with decay length spectroscopy. In addition to quantifying the filled-state bands, we discover a Γ-centered electron pocket 75 meV above the Fermi energy. Our density functional theory calculations show the orbital nature of empty states at Γ and explain how the Se height is a key tuning parameter of their energies, with broad implications for electronic properties.

The extraordinary potential of interface engineering to generate novel electronic properties is exemplified by a single unit cell (1UC) of FeSe deposited on SrTiO$_3$ [1], which exhibits an order-of-magnitude increase in its superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$) up to 110 K [2] compared to bulk FeSe ($T_c = 9.4$ K [3]). Not only does this finding elevate the $T_c$ of iron-based superconductors (Fe-SCs) above the liquid nitrogen temperature, it also opens the door to designing Fe-SC/oxide heterostructures with novel phases and yet higher $T_c$. A key to understanding and realizing these phases is a complete measurement of the electronic structure of filled and empty states.

Electronic band structure is pivotal in determining the pairing symmetry of Fe-SCs. The generic Fermi surface (FS) of Fe-SCs consists of electron pockets at the Brillouin zone (BZ) corner M and hole pockets at the zone center Γ [4]. A prevalent spin-fluctuation model suggests that repulsive antiferromagnetic excitations of wave vector ($\pi, \pi$) can give rise to pairing between the electron and hole pockets if the order parameter reverses sign, resulting in $s_{+-}$ superconductivity [5, 6]. However, in 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$, the Γ hole pocket sinks entirely below the Fermi energy ($E_F$) due to electron doping [7]. This challenges the $s_{+-}$ picture; nevertheless, functional renormalization group (FRG) calculations have shown that electronic bands lying within the spin fluctuation energy scale below $E_F$ can still influence the pairing channel. In fact, the energy of the sunken Γ hole pocket is predicted to toggle the relative stability between sign-preserving $s_{++}$ and sign-changing $d$ pairing symmetries [8, 9].

A natural question is whether low-lying bands above $E_F$ can similarly renormalize the effective interaction. In general, the landscape of empty states in Fe-SCs remains largely unexplored by experiment. A full band structure mapping is particularly crucial in 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$, where in addition to the usual Coulomb repulsion and spin fluctuations, even higher energy phonon modes may be at play [9–11], and the magnitudes of their energy scales relative to the near-$E_F$ bands determine the superconducting ground state.

Here we map the multiband electronic structure of 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$ by two complimentary scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) techniques: (1) quasiparticle interference (QPI) imaging [13] and (2) decay length spectroscopy [14]. In the first technique, impurity scattering of quasiparticles generates interference patterns with characteristic dispersive wave vectors $q(\omega)$ that can be inverted to reconstruct the band structure. Since $q$ is the momentum transfer, QPI imaging resolves only relative momentum coordinates between two states. In the second technique, the absolute, in-plane momentum
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FIG. 1. (color online) (a) Typical topography of in-situ-grown FeSe/SrTiO$_3$. Setpoint: 4 V, 5 pA. (b) Line cut along the arrow in (a). The inset illustrates the underlying crystal structure. (c) Atomically-resolved topography of single-unit-cell (1UC) FeSe/SrTiO$_3$. Setpoint: 50 mV, 250 pA. (d) $dI/dV$ spectrum of 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$, $T = 4.3$ K. Bias oscillation $V_{rms} = 0.7$ mV.
$k_\parallel$ of quasiparticles can be extracted from the decay of their tunneling current with increasing sample-tip separation. By combining the two momentum-resolved techniques, we discover a $\Gamma$ electron pocket $75$ meV above $E_F$. Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations reproduce the presence of empty states at $\Gamma$, and furthermore explain how their energies are tuned by the Se height $h_{\text{Se}}$.

We grew films of FeSe on Nb-doped SrTiO$_3$ (001) (0.5%) via molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). The substrates were pretreated with deionized water for 90 min and substrate temperature $520^\circ$C. Afterwards, we typically annealed the samples for an additional 2 h between three representative energies. We discuss each in turn:

- $\omega = 10$ meV, Figs. 3(b,e,h): Close to $E_F$, we observe 9 ring-like intensities in $|g(r, \omega)|$, centered about reciprocal lattice vectors $G = (0,0)$, $(\pm 2\pi/a, 0)$, $(0,\pm 2\pi/a)$, and $(\pm 2\pi/a, \pm 2\pi/a)$. These intensities arise from scattering, modulo $G$, within electron Fermi pockets at the zone corner M (labeled 1 in Fig. 3) [7].

- $\omega = -66$ meV, Figs. 3(a,d,g): Above $E_F$, we discover a third pocket. Intrapocket scattering (labeled 3 in Fig. 3) is clearly resolved in $|g(r, \omega)|$, but interpacket scattering with the M electron pockets (expected intensity at $|\pi/a, \pi/a|$ modulo $G$) appears to be suppressed. In general, the autocorrelation of $A(k, \omega)$ yields the set of all possible scattering channels, but more complex theories that encode spin [18] or orbital [19] selectivity in the scattering $T$-matrix are needed to explain their relative intensities. In this case, the empirical suppression of $\Gamma$–M scattering leaves some ambiguity as to the absolute moment of the new pocket.

- $\omega = 80$ meV, Figs. 3(a,d,g): Above $E_F$, we discover a third pocket. Intrapocket scattering (labeled 3 in Fig. 3) is clearly resolved in $|g(r, \omega)|$, but interpacket scattering with the M electron pockets (expected intensity at $|\pi/a, \pi/a|$ modulo $G$) appears to be suppressed. In general, the autocorrelation of $A(k, \omega)$ yields the set of all possible scattering channels, but more complex theories that encode spin [18] or orbital [19] selectivity in the scattering $T$-matrix are needed to explain their relative intensities. In this case, the empirical suppression of $\Gamma$–M scattering leaves some ambiguity as to the absolute moment of the new pocket.

To visualize the full QPI evolution, Fig. 3(j) shows an azimuthally-averaged intensity plot of $|g(q_r, \omega)|$, where $q_r$ is measured relative to $G = (2\pi/a, 0)$ as shown in Fig. 3(h). In total, we observe three dispersing branches: two electron-like (labeled 1 and 3) and one hole-like (labeled 2). Branches 1 and 2 correspond to a M electron...
Tip and a Γ hole pocket, while branch 3 awaits further identification. A parabolic fit to branch 1 over the given energy range in Fig. 3(j) yields an effective mass enhancement \( m^*/m = 2.0 \pm 0.1 \) and a carrier concentration of 0.08 e\(^-\) per Fe from a Luttinger count, assuming a degenerate pocket [7, 20].

To determine the absolute momentum \( \mathbf{k} \) of QPI branch 3, a complimentary momentum-resolved STM technique is needed. Here we utilize decay length spectroscopy [14, 21, 22], a general tool which allows the full reconstruction of \( \mathbf{k} \)-space band structure from STM. Tersoff and Hamman [23] showed that a sample state of in-plane momentum \( k_\parallel \) has density which decays towards the vacuum with length \( \lambda \) given by

\[
\frac{1}{(2\lambda)^2} = \frac{2m\Phi}{\hbar^2} + k_\parallel^2,
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the average of the sample and tip work functions. Figures 4(a,b) show the energy dependent decay length \( \lambda(\omega) \), extracted from exponential fits to the tunneling current as the sample-tip distance is increased at a fixed bias. Near \( E_F \), the sample states have large momentum near M and smaller decay length. Below \( E_F \), a steep increase in \( \lambda(\omega) \) accompanies the onset of a hole pocket at Γ, as states with low momentum become available for tunneling. The fact that a similar rise in \( \lambda(\omega) \) occurs above \( E_F \) indicates that branch 3 in Fig. 3(j) is also located at Γ. If we interpret the large-\( |\omega| \) value of \( \lambda = 0.462 \pm 0.001 \) Å as arising from states with \( k \approx 0 \), we find \( \Phi = 4.46 \pm 0.03 \) eV from Eq. (1), then we can compute the expected \( \lambda(\omega) = 0.318 \pm 0.001 \) Å for energies where the only states come from momenta near M. Indeed, the measured \( \lambda(\omega) \) at small \( |\omega| \) closely matches the
Experimentally, $h_{\text{Se}}$ is unknown. Simulations show that the binding geometry of 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$ varies with TiO$_2$ oxygen deficiency, which creates electropositive sites that distort Se positions [29]. Without microscopic knowledge of the buried interface, we calculate band structures for a range of $h_{\text{Se}}$ values and track the energies of the $\Gamma$ bands (Fig. 5(b)). While all bands shift slightly, the lowest-lying $\Gamma$ electron pocket in Fig. 5(a) undergoes a pronounced monotonic decrease in energy with increasing $h_{\text{Se}}$. Figure 5(c) shows the charge density isosurfaces at $k=0$ and orbital compositions for each band. Only the lowest-lying $\Gamma$ electron pocket carries significant Se 4$p$ character in addition to Fe 3$d$ character, so it is most affected by the Fe-Se distances. The charge density plot suggests an antibonding configuration of Fe $3d_{x^2−y^2}$ and Se $4p_z$ orbitals, which explains the increase in pocket energy with greater overlap of Fe and Se states. Our calculation reveals a crucial connection between $h_{\text{Se}}$ and empty electronic states.

Previous reports have predicted that Se/Te heights tune the Fe exchange constants in iron chalcogenides and hence the magnetic order [30], which is oddly absent in FeSe [31] and unknown in 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$. Here, we discuss another implication of $h_{\text{Se}}$. As seen in Fig. 5(b), the $\Gamma$ electron and hole pockets cross at large values of $h_{\text{Se}}$. Recently, Wu et al. have proposed that nontrivial $Z_2$ topology may be realized in 1UC FeTe$_{1−x}$Se$_x$ [32]. In particular, when the gap $\Delta$, between the $\Gamma$ electron and hole pockets falls below 80 meV, spin-orbit coupling can invert the bands. We measure $\Delta_n$ to be 140 meV from Fig. 4(c); thus, 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$ could possibly lie in proximity to a topological phase transition.

In summary, we have quantified both the filled and empty state band structure of 1UC FeSe/SrTiO$_3$, and discovered a new $\Gamma$-centered pocket emerging around 75 meV above the Fermi level. Our work has several important implications, both for superconductivity and for predicted topological order in FeSe/SrTiO$_3$. First, the new $\Gamma$ band will serve as an essential input for revised FRG calculations of the effective low-energy pairing interaction [9]. Second, the modest 140 meV gap we measured between filled and empty Gamma bands gives hope that inversion of these bands may be achievable, and may lead to a predicted topological phase [32]. Finally, our work introduces decay length spectroscopy as a general and complementary technique to QPI imaging, to map the absolute momentum-resolved electronic band structure of filled and empty states using STM. We suggest the use of these techniques in concert to track the $\Gamma$ pocket energies in future strain engineering experiments with FeSe.
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**Figure 5.** (color online) (a) Band structure of free-standing single-unit-cell (1UC) FeSe, calculated in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). Structural parameters: lattice constant $a = 3.90$ Å, Se height $h_{\text{Se}} = 1.45$ Å. (b) Energies of the five $\Gamma$ bands shown in (a) vs. $h_{\text{Se}}$ (the band represented by orange is degenerate). The GGA values of $h_{\text{Se}}$ for 1UC FeSe ($a = 3.90$ Å fixed) and bulk FeSe ($a = 3.68$ Å relaxed) are marked, as well as the experimental value for bulk FeSe. The Fermi energy $E_F$ expected from electron doping is marked in (a,b). (c) Charge density isosurfaces (yellow) at $k=0$ for the five $\Gamma$ bands, shown in two perspectives. The histograms depict the orbital compositions.
tiative through grant GBMF4536. Computations were run on the Odyssey cluster supported by the FAS Division of Science. Research Computing Group at Harvard University. D. H. acknowledges support from an NSERC PGS-D fellowship. C. L. S. acknowledges support from the Lawrence Golub fellowship at Harvard University. S. F. and E. K. acknowledge support by ARO-MURI W911NF-14-1-0247. J. E. H. acknowledges support from the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research.

* Present address: State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics, Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

† jhoffman@physics.harvard.edu


20. See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher], which includes Refs. [7, 12, 22, 33], for details pertaining to Fig. 3(j) and quantification of band parameters.


