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We have explored the origin of unusual first-order type electronic and structural transitions in IrTe$_2$, based on the first-principles total energy density functional theory (DFT) analysis. We have clarified that the structural transition occurs through the interplay among the charge density wave-like lattice modulation with $q_{1/5} = (1/5, 0, 1/5)$, in-plane dimer ordering, and the uniform lattice deformation. The Ir-Ir dimer formation via a molecular-orbital version of the Jahn-Teller distortion in the Ir-Ir zig-zag stripe is found to play the most important role in producing the charge disproportionation state. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy reveals the characteristic features of structural transition, which are in good agreement with the DFT bands obtained by the band unfolding technique.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h, 74.25.Jb, 71.20.-b, 71.45.Lr

A lot of recent attention has been paid to IrTe$_2$, since Yang et al.$^{[1]}$ and Pyon et al.$^{[2]}$ discovered superconductivity (SC) in Pd and Pt doped IrTe$_2$. Besides the SC in doped IrTe$_2$, the parent compound IrTe$_2$ itself exhibits quite intriguing electronic and structural properties, which has not been fully comprehended yet. IrTe$_2$ crystallizes in a hexagonal structure of CdI$_2$ type ($P6_3/m1$) at room temperature ($T$). Upon cooling, IrTe$_2$ undergoes a structural transition around $T_S \approx 280$ K, exhibiting a sudden jump in the resistivity with large hysteretic feature of the first-order type. It was argued that this behavior stems from the partial gap opening due to a charge density wave (CDW) transition with $q_{1/5} = (1/5, 0, 1/5)$.$^{[1, 3]}$ With Pd doping, the CDW is suppressed and the SC emerges with T$_c$ up to 3 K at 4% Pd doping. The interplay between the CDW and the SC results in a dome-like phase diagram with respect to the Pd doping ratio.

The structural transition in IrTe$_2$, however, looks different from a standard CDW transition. Namely, the system has the first-order type transition to a commensurate structure and exhibits the heavy reconstruction of electronic structure over large energy window.$^{[4]}$ The phonon softening instability signifying the structural transition was not obtained in the phonon dispersion for hexagonal IrTe$_2$.$^{[8]}$ Furthermore, unlike other transition-metal dichalcogenide systems having the SC ground state, for which the pressure suppresses the CDW structural transition but enhances the SC transition, the opposite trend was observed for IrTe$_2$.$^{[5]}$ Hence, several different scenarios have been suggested as the origin of the structural transition in IrTe$_2$, such as charge/orbital density wave,$^{[1]}$ orbital induced Peierls instability,$^{[6, 7]}$ crystal field of Te $p$ orbital,$^{[4, 8, 9]}$ anionic depolymerization transition.$^{[10]}$

Using the single-crystal X-ray diffraction, Pascut et al.$^{[11]}$ refined the low $T$ crystal structure of IrTe$_2$ as a triclinic structure ($P\overline{1}$), as shown in Fig. 1 (a). They argued that Ir dimer formation occurs during the structural phase transition, and the resulting energy gain plays a crucial role in the structural transition. In fact, IrTe$_2$ is a rare system that exhibits charge ordering/disproportionation together with structural modula-

![FIG. 1: (color online) (a) High $T$ HEX unit cell (blue), and low $T$ 5X unit cell (black) of IrTe$_2$. The violet (grey) spheres are Ir (Te) atoms, yellow octahedra represent IrTe$_6$ octahedra, and red rods indicate Ir$_3$-Ir$_3$ dimers. (b) The near $E_F$ Ir wave function of $d_{xy}$ character forms the antibonding with Te wave functions located along diagonal directions. The bright (dark) red triangle describes the Te lattice above (below) Ir layer. $x$, $y$, and $z$ are local coordinate of Ir, approximately pointing toward Te sites. $x - y$ lies in the Ir plane. (c) The orbital projected FS of high $T$ phase of IrTe$_2$. The color of FS indicates the weight contribution from Ir $d_{(x-y)}$, which shows the clear 1D nature.$^{[12]}$]
lattice modulation with q. 

In this letter, we have explored the microscopic origin of the first-order type electronic and structural transitions in IrTe$_2$. As described above, there has been no consensus on the microscopic mechanism elucidating the first-order structural transition in IrTe$_2$ yet. Most of previous studies concentrated on the question which atom, Ir, or Te, is responsible for the structural transition. However, results of density functional theory (DFT) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy indicate that the Ir-Te hybridization is strong enough to yield the covalent phase of IrTe$_2$. This property suggests that the first-order type electronic and structural transitions occur through the interplay among the CDW-like transition, in-plane dimer ordering, and the uniform lattice deformation. In this situation, separating out the Ir and Te contributions is not possible. They would contribute together to the relevant physics in IrTe$_2$. We have found that the first-order type electronic and structural transitions occur through the interplay among the CDW-like lattice modulation with q$_{1/5}$ (hereafter 1/5 lattice modulation), in-plane dimer ordering, and the uniform lattice deformation. We have also analyzed ARPES data for low T phase of IrTe$_2$ for the first time employing the band unfolding technique, and demonstrated that our structural model is quite consistent with the ARPES band dispersions, Fermi surfaces (FSs), and spectral weights.

Electronic structures within the DFT were obtained by using the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave band method implemented in the Wien2k code.[14] For the structural relaxations, both the pseudopotential band method implemented in VASP code[15] and the Wien2k were employed. We optimized the hexagonal structure with the inclusion of the spin-orbit coupling, utilizing three exchange-correlation potentials: local density approximation (LDA), generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the PBE and PBEsol schemes.[16] Since the PBEsol gives the optimized volume closest to experimental values between the HEX5 and 5X, respectively. The horizontal and vertical axes represent the in-plane dimerization coupled to the 1/5 lattice modulation and the uniform lattice deformation, respectively, which are linearly interpolated between structural parameters of A and B on 13 × 13 mesh points.[18, 29] Energy zero corresponds to the energy of the HEX5 structure. C represents a saddle point where the energy barrier is the lowest. (b) Energy profile along the path connecting A and B directly. The 5X structure is seen to be lower in energy than the HEX5 by 6.25 meV per formula unit. (c) A schematic mechanical model describing the interplay between the Ir$_3$Ir$_3$ dimerization (x) and the uniform lattice deformation (y), which are coupled through Te$_1$ distortion (z).[18]

 FIG. 2: (color online) (a) Energy contour of IrTe$_2$ in the structural phase space. A and B stand for the structural parameters of HEX5 and 5X, respectively. The horizontal and vertical axes represent the in-plane dimerization coupled to the 1/5 lattice modulation and the uniform lattice deformation, respectively, which are linearly interpolated between structural parameters of A and B on 13 × 13 mesh points.[18, 29] Energy zero corresponds to the energy of the HEX5 structure. C represents a saddle point where the energy barrier is the lowest. (b) Energy profile along the path connecting A and B directly. The 5X structure is seen to be lower in energy than the HEX5 by 6.25 meV per formula unit. (c) A schematic mechanical model describing the interplay between the Ir$_3$Ir$_3$ dimerization (x) and the uniform lattice deformation (y), which are coupled through Te$_1$ distortion (z).[18]

We have first examined the energetics between the HEX and 5X phases of IrTe$_2$. The relaxed 5X structure was obtained starting from the experimental 5X structure refined by Pascut et al.[11] To compare total energies under the same condition, we considered a supercell of high T phase of IrTe$_2$ (HEX5), which is described with the same group symmetry as for 5X IrTe$_2$. It is expected that the structural transition occurs through the uniform lattice deformation (changes of lattice constants and angles between them) and the modulation of internal degrees of freedom (the 1/5 lattice modulation and the Ir dimerization). So, to separate out effects of the lattice deformation and the modulation, we considered artificial structural phase space in-between the HEX5 and 5X structures, which was obtained by linearly interpolating the lattice structures and the internal parameters (atomic positions) of HEX5 and 5X phases independently. Total energy calculations were performed on this simplified structural phase space, by using the Wien2k.

The energy contour in Fig. 2(a) shows that there are two energy minima in the structural phase space, which correspond to HEX5 and 5X structures. The energy of the 5X phase is lower than that of the HEX5 by 6.25 meV per formula unit. The double well structure with a saddle-shaped barrier in-between two minima indicates an existence of the first-order type structural transition. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the height of energy barrier is about 11 meV per formula unit. It has been suggested that the in-plane Ir dimerization plays a crucial role in
FIG. 3: (color online) (a)-(c) Partial DOSs for HEX5, no-DO, and 5X structures, where no-DO corresponds to an artificial structure without dimer ordering, as depicted in (e). (d)-(f) In-plane structures and corresponding schematic DOSs for HEX5, no-DO, and 5X. Yellow shade represents the compressed region due to the 1/5 lattice modulation. Large (small) circles denote Ir (Te) atoms. The red and white small circles are Te atoms located above and below Ir layer. Te atoms in the yellow shade are Te1. Two DOS peaks in blue and green correspond to bonding/antibonding states of dxy and dxz. Note that dxy and dxz orbitals participate in two different dimer orderings. One specific dimer ordering (red thick lines) is shown in (f), which is associated with the dz² orbital. It is worthwhile to note that the direction of the 1/5 lattice modulation and the direction of the in-plane dimerization do not coincide. Moreover there are two substructures depending on the Ir3-Ir3 dimer ordering patterns along the a direction (see Fig. 3). We expect that this feature arises from the cooperative Jahn-Teller (JT) type distortion that occurs with the 1/5 lattice modulation. In Fig. 3, we constructed an artificial structure with no dimer ordering (no-DO), lattice constants and atomic positions of which are identical to those of HEX5 except for Ir3 and Te1 positions. In fact, the no-DO phase results from the 1/5 lattice modulation. As shown in Fig. 3(e), in no-DO, Ir3-Ir3 dimer ordering is not realized yet, but Ir3-Ir3 distance becomes shorter uniformly and the Te1 atoms moved farther from the Ir layer, forming a Ir3 zigzag chain along the a direction. In HEX5, the densities of states (DOSs) for three t2g orbitals are degenerate, as shown in Fig. 3(d). But, as the 1/5 lattice modulation occurs, the degeneracy of t2g orbitals is lifted, separating out dxz orbital, which has weaker hopping in the in-plane zigzag chain.

Notice that the charge transfer to the Te p orbital occurs in this process,[6, 11] resulting in the increase of hole carriers in the degenerate antibonding 3d-Ir3 dz²/dxy molecular orbitals. These two degenerate orbitals, which belong to different molecules as depicted in Fig. 3(e), couple to two modulations in the Ir3 zig-zag chain, leading to a specific dimer ordering, as shown in Fig. 3(f). This is a molecular-orbital version of the JT effect in the zig-zag stripe comprised of Ir3 and Te1 atoms. As a consequence of the dimer ordering, Te1 moves away from Ir3-Ir3 center, and thereby Ir3 dimerization induces the uniform lattice deformation, as described in Fig. 2(c), giving rise to the first-order structural transition. Although we describe the process in sequence, they would occur simultaneously.

There are experimental evidences for charge disproportionation/ordering of Ir (3+/4+) in IrTe2,[6, 10] To inspect the charge disproportionation property in the 5X phase of IrTe2, we counted the number of Ir d (t2g) electrons inside the muffin-tin sphere. Table I shows that Ir3 has less electrons than Ir1 and Ir2, by about 0.5 electrons, reflecting that the valence state of Ir3 is close to 4+, while those of Ir1 and Ir2 close to 3+. Table I also presents the core level shift of 4f levels in the 5X phase with respect to that in the HEX phase of IrTe2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ir type</th>
<th>$n_{\text{Ir} , 5d , t_{2g}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{Ir} , 4f}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ir1</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir2</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>−0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir3</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>−0.432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ir$_3$ is deeper than those in Ir$_1$ and Ir$_2$ by about 0.4 eV, which indicates less screening of nuclear potential due to less number of valence electrons in Ir$_3$ than others. These values are consistent with experimental findings by Qian et al.[9] The properties in Table I show strong indication of charge disproportionation feature in the 5X phase of IrTe$_2$, which indeed arises from the Ir$_3$-Ir$_3$ dimerization.

To examine how the structural transition and the dimer formation are reflected in the electronic structure of the 5X structure, we compared the DFT band dispersions with ARPES data in Fig. 4.[18] For this purpose, we have utilized the band unfolding technique,[24–27] which takes into account the Bloch phase factor between 5X and HEX cells, and maps the wave function in 5X cell to that in HEX cell. The relation between the HEX and the 5X Brillouin-zone (BZ) is shown in Fig. 4(b) and (c).[18] Figure 4(a) presents Ir-projected band dispersions of 5X that are unfolded into a hexagonal in-plane BZ along $k$-path in Fig. 4(c).

The photoionization cross section of Ir 5$d$ is 11 times stronger than Te 5$p$ at 75 eV.[28] So we considered Ir-projected bands in Fig. 4(a).[18] It is seen that the band splittings appear at some $k$-points near $E_F$. For example, there appears an abrupt change/splitting in the near-$E_F$ band along K1-$\Gamma$, which is quite different from that along K2-$\Gamma$. Another noticeable feature is the appearance of flat bands around $-1.4$ eV near $\Gamma$ (see along K2-\Gamma-M3). According to our TB model analysis along the in-plane Ir chain, this unique dispersion comes from the different on-site energy term of dimerized Ir$_3$ from those of other Ir atoms, which happens due to the charge transfer and/or the crystal field effect (see supplement).[18]

In Fig. 4(d), unfolded 5X FSs are plotted in the HEX BZ for various $k_z$ cuts. For $k_z = 0$, one of the lobes in outer FS almost disappears. It is due to the gap opening in this part of the FS of 5X. Note that this gap opens not by the 1/5 lattice modulation but by the lifting of orbital degeneracy due to the JT distortion (see Fig. 3(f)). In actual ARPES data, however, the gap opening at one of outer FS lobes is not clearly observed. Instead, the reduction of ARPES intensity is observed at all the outer FS regime for 5X with respect to that for HEX. It is because of the existence of three types of domains, which yields the domain averaged ARPES intensity.[18]

Figures 4(e) and (f) provide the comparison of DFT band structures and ARPES data. In Fig. 4(e), ARPES data measured at $T=290$ K are compared with DFT bands of HEX, while, in Fig. 4(f), those at $T=260$ K are compared with DFT unfolded bands of 5X. Quite good agreements in the spectral weights as well as the dispersions are revealed at both $T$’s, which indeed verifies the formation of Ir$_3$ dimer ordering upon cooling. Furthermore, it supports the reliability of the structural data employed in this study.[11]

Finally, it is worthwhile to examine the doping and pressure effects on the structural and SC transitions in IrTe$_2$ based on the present model. We have found that, under the positive pressure, the peak structure in the susceptibility $\chi(q)$ of HEX IrTe$_2$ becomes enhanced at $q_1$/5, while, under the negative pressure, that becomes reduced. The reduction in the peak structure of $\chi(q)$ is also obtained when considering the carrier doping of Pd and Pt and associated structural relaxations. Even though more detailed study is needed, this feature provides qualitative clarification of the intriguing pressure effects on the structural and SC transitions in IrTe$_2$.[5] and also the doping-induced SC transition in doped IrTe$_2$.[2, 21] The $c/a$ ratio as well as the internal structural parameters will strongly depend on the pressure. In fact, the angle between atoms in the Ir-Te-Te-Ir chain is found to be changed sensitively with varying the pressure. Then the 1D-ness in IrTe$_2$, which is essential ingredient of the structural transition, is to be altered, and accordingly to induce the SC transition.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that there is an energy barrier in-between the high $T$ (HEX5) and low $T$ (5X) phases of IrTe$_2$, which explains the first-order type electronic and structural transitions in IrTe$_2$. This
feature explains the limitation of linear response-based calculations, such as phonon calculation, in describing the structural transition in IrTe$_2$. We have clarified that the structural transition in IrTe$_2$ originates from the interplay among the CDW-like lattice modulation with $q_{11,5} = (1/5,0,1/5)$, the in-plane Ir$_3$-Ir$_3$ dimer formation, and the uniform lattice deformation, all of which are coupled through the molecular-orbital version of the JT distortion. With Ir$_3$-Ir$_3$ dimerization, the charge disproportionation state is realized. Quite good agreement between the unfolded DFT bands and FSs and the ARPES data for both HEX and 5X phases of IrTe$_2$ supports our analysis of the structural transition, especially the formation of Ir$_3$-Ir$_3$ dimerized states.
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[3] (1/5,0,1/5) and (1/5,0,-1/5) are the same considering the relative angle between them is 30°.
[17] The LDA and PBE give 1.5% smaller and 2.0% larger volumes than the experimental volume, while the PBEsol gives only slightly larger (0.5%) volume.
[18] See the Supplemental Materials, which include Refs. [30–32], for the model TB analysis to describe a quasi-1D FS, the effect of the charge disproportionation on the band dispersion, the mechanical model describing the dimer formation and the associated lattice deformation, the full unfolded bands at the Γ and A planes, the relation between BZs, the detailed descriptions on structures and ARPES experiments.
[25] We have used Wannierized Löwdin orbitals from 110 bands in the energy window from $-7.0 \, eV$ to $4.0 \, eV$: $5 \times (10 \, Ir \, 5d \, orbitals \, + \, 2 \times 6 \, Te \, 5p \, orbitals \, )$.
Nudged elastic band calculation can be the alternative to simulate such energy barrier; G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga, H. Jonsson, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 9901 (2000).

