Strong localization of Majorana end states in chains of magnetic adatoms
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A recent experiment [Nadj-Perge et al., Science 346, 602 (2014)] gives possible evidence for Majorana bound states in chains of magnetic adatoms placed on a superconductor. While many features of the observed end states are naturally interpreted in terms of Majoranas, their strong localization remained puzzling. We consider a linear chain of Anderson impurities on a superconductor as a minimal model and treat it largely analytically within mean-field theory. We explore the phase diagram, the subgap excitation spectrum, and the Majorana wavefunctions. Owing to a strong velocity renormalization, the latter are localized on a scale which is parametrically small compared to the coherence length of the host superconductor.

Introduction.—There is currently great interest in Majorana bound states in condensed-matter systems which realize nonabelian quantum statistics [1, 2] and may have applications in topological quantum information processing [3]. Several platforms allow one to engineer topological superconducting phases supporting Majorana bound states, based on proximity coupling to s-wave superconductors (SC). These include topological insulators [4, 5], semiconductor quantum wires [6–8], and chains of magnetic adatoms [9–14] (see also [15–17]). All these proposals are being actively pursued in the laboratory [18–26].

A recent experiment [26] exhibits signatures of Majorana bound states in chains of magnetic adatoms placed on a Pb surface. Experiment suggests that the Fe chain orders ferromagnetically. The subgap spectrum is probed by scanning tunneling spectroscopy with both spatial and spectral resolution which shows zero-energy states near the ends of the chains. It is tempting to interpret these as Majorana bound states [26, 27] as the system combines the three essential ingredients: (i) Proximity-induced superconductivity; (ii) a finite Zeeman splitting due to the exchange field of the ferromagnetic Fe chains; and (iii) Rashba spin-orbit (SO) coupling (presumably from the surface of the Pb substrate).

However, the observed localization of the end states on the scale of a few adatom sites is puzzling [28, 29]. The Majorana localization length is typically estimated as $\xi_M = \hbar v_F / \Delta_{\text{top}}$, while the coherence length $\xi_0 = \hbar v_F / \Delta$. Here, we assume comparable Fermi velocities $v_F$ in the one-dimensional electron system (‘wire’) and the host SC. At the same time, the induced topological gap $\Delta_{\text{top}}$ is smaller than the host gap $\Delta$. Thus, one may expect $\xi_M \gtrsim \xi_0$. This contrasts with the observation that the localization length of the end states is orders of magnitude smaller than the coherence length of Pb. Here we address this puzzle by modeling the adatoms as a chain of Anderson impurities hybridized with a SC and show that it predicts Majorana localization lengths which are parametrically smaller than $\xi_0$ over wide regions of parameter space.

The physics underlying the topological phase in chains of magnetic adatoms has been discussed in two approaches. One approach [9, 10, 30–33] starts with the subgap Shiba states [34–37] induced by the individual magnetic adatoms. The adatom is described as a classical magnetic moment which is exchange coupled to the electrons in the substrate, but otherwise electronically inert. Such Shiba chains exhibit topological superconducting phases and hence Majorana end states. An alternative approach [26, 27] starts with exchange-split adatom states. While they are far from the Fermi energy for individual adatoms, hopping between the adatoms of the chain broadens these states into bands. For sufficiently strong hopping, these bands cross the Fermi energy and effectively realize a one-dimensional spin-polarized electron system. In this band limit, topological superconductivity is induced by proximity, in combination with SO coupling for ferromagnetic chains or helical magnetic order along the chain. As an additional benefit, our model unifies both of these approaches.

Heuristic considerations.—We start by discussing conventional proximity coupling of a free-electron ‘wire’ to a bulk s-wave SC. The ‘wire’ electrons are described by their Green function $G(k, E) = \left[ E - v_F k \tau_z - \Sigma(k, E) \right]^{-1}$, where $\tau_i$ denote Pauli matrices in particle-hole space. The self energy $\Sigma$ accounts for the coupling to the SC and takes the familiar form [38, 39]

$$\Sigma(k, E) = -\Gamma \frac{E + \Delta \tau_z}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + E^2}}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\Gamma$ measures the strength of hybridization between wire and SC. Far above the gap, $E \gg \Delta$, the SC behaves as a normal metal and the escape of electrons into the bulk SC is described by $\Sigma \simeq i \Gamma$. For subgap energies, electrons enter the SC only virtually and $\Sigma$ becomes real.

For definiteness, consider energies far below the bulk gap, $E \ll \Delta$. Then, we can approximate $\Sigma \simeq -\frac{1}{\Delta} E - \Gamma \tau_z$, and $G(k, E) \simeq \left[ E - Z v_F k \tau_z - Z \Gamma \tau_z \right]^{-1}$ with a renormalized quasiparticle weight $Z = [1 + \Gamma / \Delta]^{-1}$, which describes the shift of the electrons’ spectral weight from the wire into the SC. The quasiparticle weight ensures [1] that the induced s-wave gap (described by the
pairing term \( \propto \tau_z \) interpolates between the hybridization strength \( \Gamma \) at weak hybridization, \( \Gamma \ll \Delta \), and the host gap \( \Delta \) at strong hybridization, \( \Gamma \gg \Delta \). It also renormalizes the Fermi velocity \( v_F \to \tilde{v}_F = Z v_F \) which controls the coherence length of the induced superconductivity in the wire. Physically, the fraction of time an excitation spends in the wire is suppressed by \( Z \), which reduces the effective velocity to \( Z v_F \).

In adatom chains, the SO coupling in the SC allows for induced \( p \)-wave pairing while the strong on-site repulsion and resulting spin polarization suppress \( s \)-wave correlations. The induced gap \( \Delta_{\text{top}} = \alpha \Delta \) is now \( p \)-wave and controlled by the (dimensionless) SO strength \( \alpha \). At the same time, it is natural to assume that the hybridization \( \Gamma \) modifies single-particle properties as before and the renormalization of \( v_F \) remains operative. This predicts a Majorana localization length

\[
\xi_M = \frac{\hbar \tilde{v}_F}{\Delta_{\text{top}}} = Z \hbar v_F / \Delta_{\text{top}}. \tag{2}
\]

For Fe adatoms in Pb, the hybridization is controlled by atomic scales so that \( \Gamma \sim 1 \text{eV} \) [26]. When compared to the host gap \( \Delta \sim 10 \text{K} \), we find \( Z \sim 10^{-3} \). This can dramatically suppress \( \xi_M \). Relative to the host coherence length \( \xi_0 \sim \hbar v_F / \Delta \), in fact, \( \xi_M \sim \xi_0 (\Delta / \Gamma) (\Delta / \Delta_{\text{top}}) \) so that for \( \alpha = \Delta_{\text{top}} / \Delta \sim 0.1 \), the Majorana localization length \( \xi_M \) becomes of the order of the spacing between adatoms, as observed in Ref. [26].

Model.—We now show that these heuristic arguments are borne out in a microscopic model. We model the system as a linear chain of Anderson impurities placed in an \( s \)-wave SC. Each adatom hosts a spin-degenerate level of energy \( \epsilon_d \) on-site Hubbard repulsion \( U \), representing the Fe \( d \)-levels. We include nearest-neighbor hopping of strength \( w \) between these \( d \)-levels as well as hybridization of strength \( t \) between the \( d \)-levels and the SC. The model Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_d + \mathcal{H}_s + \mathcal{H}_T \tag{3}
\]

contains the BCS Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_s \) of the SC [40], the chain of \( d \)-levels

\[
\mathcal{H}_d = \sum_{j,\sigma} (\epsilon_d - \mu) d_{j,\sigma}^\dagger d_{j,\sigma} + U \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger - w \sum_{j,\sigma} [d_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger d_{j+1,\sigma} + d_{j,\sigma}^\dagger d_{j+1,\uparrow}], \tag{4}
\]

and their hybridization with the SC,

\[
\mathcal{H}_T = -t \sum_{j,\sigma} [\psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_j) d_{j,\sigma} + d_{j,\sigma}^\dagger \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_j)]. \tag{5}
\]

Here, \( d_{j,\sigma} \) annihilates a spin-\( \sigma \) electron in the \( d \)-level at site \( \mathbf{R}_j = j a \mathbf{x} \) of the chain, \( n_{j,\sigma} = d_{j,\sigma}^\dagger d_{j,\sigma} \), and \( \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \) annihilates electrons at position \( \mathbf{r} \) (taken as continuous) in the SC.

The model in Eq. (3) generalizes the Shiba chain model considered in [9] and [10]. It reduces to the Shiba chain in the limit of negligible spin fluctuations and weak intersite hopping \( w \). Here, we include the hopping and the ensuing electronic dynamics of the magnetic adatoms within a mean-field treatment of the Hubbard term [41, 42],

\[
U n_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{j,\downarrow} \to \frac{U}{2} \sum_\sigma \left( (n_{j,\sigma} + m_{j,\sigma}) \sigma n_{j,\sigma} \right), \tag{6}
\]

where we defined the occupation \( n_j = \sum_\sigma n_{j,\sigma} \) and the site polarization \( m_j = n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j,\downarrow} \). The first term merely renormalizes \( \epsilon_d \) and will be absorbed in the following. The second term introduces a local exchange coupling in the adatom orbitals.

As we are predominantly interested in the localization of the Majorana modes, we do not aim at a self-consistent solution of the mean-field theory. Instead, we accept the formation of a spontaneous moment as experimental fact and explore its consequences. In experiment, the moments order ferromagnetically along the chain. In this case, topological superconductivity requires Rashba SO coupling in the substrate SC [26, 27, 43, 44]. For analytical tractability, we assume instead that the moments develop helical order \( \mathbf{S}_j = (\sin \theta \cos \phi_j, \sin \theta \sin \phi_j, \cos \theta) \) with \( \phi_j = 2k_{J0} a \) and \( \theta = \pi / 2 \). We emphasize that the model with helical order can be mapped to a ferromagnetic model with SO coupling in both, the adatom \( d \)-band and the substrate SC. Strictly speaking, the substrate SO coupling generated by the mapping differs from conventional Rashba coupling, but it does include the specific term that allows for proximity-induced \( p \)-wave pairing.

The mapping is effected by the unitary transformation \( d_j \to e^{-i k_{J0} j a \sigma_z} d_j \) and \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \to e^{-i k_{J0} x \sigma_z} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) which rotates the spin basis along the direction of the local impurity moments [45, 46].

Excitation spectrum and phase diagram.—In mean-field theory, we can describe the system equivalently by the corresponding Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = \hat{H}_d + \hat{H}_s + \hat{H}_T \) (after the above-mentioned unitary transformation) and consider the Green function \( G = (\mathcal{E} - H)^{-1} \). In view of the local nature of the hybridization \( H_T \), we can write a closed set of equations for the restricted Green function \( g_{ij} = G(\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_j) \) defined at the sites of the adatoms,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(g_0^{-1})^{-1} - t_{\tau_{\tau}} \\
- t_{\tau_{\tau}} & E - H_d
\end{pmatrix} g = 1. \tag{7}
\]

We use the Pauli matrices \( \tau_i \) \( (\sigma_i) \) in particle-hole (spin) space. The bare Green function of the SC restricted to the adatom sites and subgap energies is readily obtained within BCS theory [see 46] for more details],

\[
g_{0,ij}^{ss}(\mathcal{E}) = -\pi \nu_0 \exp(-i k h x_{ij} \sigma_z) \times \begin{cases} E + \Delta z \\
\sqrt{\Delta z^2 - E^2} \text{Im} f(v_{ij}) + \tau_z \text{Re} f(v_{ij}) \end{cases}, \tag{8}
\]

\[\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\
y & -x \end{pmatrix} \]
where $\nu_0$ is the normal density of state at the Fermi level, $f(r) = e^{i k F r / \xi_E} / \xi_E$ and $\xi_E = h c F / \sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}$. Eq. (8) is valid for $i \neq j$, but also applies to $i = j$ when dropping the $\text{Re} f$ term. Here, the factor $\exp(-i k h \sigma_z)$ is induced by the unitary transformation.

The subgap excitation spectrum may then be obtained from the poles of $g^{\sigma} = \tilde{g}^{\sigma} [1 - \Sigma_0^{\sigma}]^{-1}$ where we defined the self energy $\Sigma = \tau_0^{\dagger} t = t (E - H_0)^{-1} t$. As $\tilde{g}^{\sigma}$ has no poles at subgap energies, this yields the condition $\det(1 - \Sigma_0^{\sigma}) = 0$. In (lattice) momentum representation, the determinant involves a $4 \times 4$ matrix with [46]

$$g_0^\sigma(k, E) = \pi \nu_0 \left\{ \frac{E + \Delta \tau_x}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}} \lambda_{1,\sigma}^\sigma(k, E) + \tau_z L_{1,\sigma}^\sigma(k, E) \right\}.$$  

Here, $L_{1,\sigma}^\sigma$ and $L_{2,\sigma}^\sigma$ are real and imaginary parts of the function $L_{1,\sigma}^\sigma = F(k + \frac{\sigma}{2} \xi) - i$, respectively, with $F(k) = \frac{1}{k_F a} \ln \left\{ 1 - e^{i(E(k_F + k) a - \xi_E)} + (k \leftrightarrow -k) \right\}$. Computing the dispersions and identifying phase boundaries by closing the gap, we first obtain representative phase diagrams of the adatom chain as shown in Fig. 1.

These phase diagrams plot the topological (BDI [48]) index and make the interpolation between the band and Shiba limits explicit. The Shiba limit corresponds to weak hopping $w$ between d-levels. Here, topological superconductivity requires deep Shiba states so that the Shiba bands cross the chemical potential at the center of the host gap [10]. The band limit corresponds to weak hybridization $\Gamma = \pi \nu_0 t^2$ and thus Shiba states with energies $E$ near $\Delta$ [42, 46]. Then, topological superconductivity requires that one spin-polarized d-band crosses the Fermi energy. The range over which this happens depends on the asymmetry of the bare exchange-split adatom states $E_{d,\sigma} = \epsilon_d - \sigma U(m)/2$ about the chemical potential (set to $\mu = 0$). Fig. 1(a) shows the symmetric case $E_{d,\uparrow} = -E_{d,\downarrow}$. There is only a narrow topological interval in $w$ for small $\Gamma / (E \sim \Delta)$ because despite the large exchange splitting of the d-levels, the spin-split d-bands cross $\mu$ at the same hopping strength $w$. As the asymmetry between $E_{d,\uparrow}$ and $E_{d,\downarrow}$ about $\mu$ increases, the d-bands cross $\mu$ at different values of $w$, and the adatom states are perfectly spin polarized at the chemical potential over a substantial region in $w$, cf. Fig. 1(b).

For fully analytical results, we consider the limit of strong asymmetry with $E_{d,\uparrow} \rightarrow -\infty$ at fixed $E_{d,\downarrow}$. In this limit, only the spin-down band $E_d = E_{d,\downarrow} - w \sum_k \cos(k \pm k_h) a$ of the d-levels is relevant. A detailed but straightforward calculation [46] now shows that the condition $\det(1 - \Sigma_0^{\sigma}) = 0$ can be reduced to the determinant of a $2 \times 2$-matrix and written in the form

$$(\Delta^2 - E^2)[E_d + \Gamma L_{\uparrow}]^2 + E^2[\sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2} - \Gamma L_{\downarrow}]^2 + \Gamma^2 \Delta^2 (\delta L_{\uparrow})^2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

Here, we introduced the shorthand notations $L_{\uparrow,\downarrow} = (L_{\uparrow,\downarrow} - L_{\downarrow,\uparrow})/2$ and $\delta L_{\uparrow} = (L_{\uparrow} - L_{\downarrow})/2$. Eq. (10) is an implicit equation for the subgap excitation spectrum.
$E_k$ of the adatom chain in the strongly asymmetric limit. [Note that we have suppressed all $k$ labels in Eq. (10).] In the limits $\Gamma \ll \Delta$ and $\Gamma \gg \Delta$, Eq. (10) gives explicit analytical expressions for the excitation spectrum throughout the entire Brillouin zone. These are obtained by keeping only the respective dominant term in the second square brackets on the left hand side, in excellent agreement with the full Green-function solution in Fig. 2(a)-(c). We note that there is a single subgap state for every lattice momentum $k$, i.e., there is one subgap state per adatom, as in the Shiba limit (small $w$).

Majorana wavefunction.—Eq. (10) also encapsulates the localization of the Majorana wavefunctions. In the Shiba limit of small $w$, the Majorana localization was addressed previously [49]. Here, we focus on the band limit of large $w$ where the spin-down $d$-band $E_d$ crosses the Fermi energy of the SC, as is presumably the case in the experiment [26, 27]. $E_d$ crosses $\mu = 0$ at momenta $k_0$, so that $E_d \simeq v_F(k - k_0)$, where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity of the $d$-band at the chemical potential of the SC. Similarly, $E_d + \Gamma L_r \simeq v_F(k - k_0)$ where we simply absorb the parametrically small shifts in $v_F$ and $k_0$ due to $\Gamma L_r$ into their definitions.

The decay of the Majorana wavefunction is controlled by the behavior of the dispersion near the minimal gap at $k_0$. Assuming that the pitch of the spin helix (or, equivalently, the strength of SO coupling) is not too large, this topological gap will be small compared to the gap $\Delta$ of the superconducting host. Then, $E$ is small compared to $\Delta$ in the relevant region and Eq. (10) simplifies significantly. Consider first the limit of weak hybridization $\Gamma \ll \Delta$. In this limit, Eq. (10) reduces to

$$E_k = \pm \sqrt{[v_F(k - k_0)]^2 + \Gamma^2(\delta L_i)^2}, \quad (11)$$

where $\delta L_i$ should be evaluated at $k_0$. We identify the topological gap $\Delta_{\text{top}} = \Gamma(\delta L_i)_{k = k_0}$ which is small compared to $\Delta$. The Majorana wavefunction is expected to decay on the characteristic length scale of this dispersion, i.e., we find the Majorana localization length $\xi_M = \hbar v_F/\Gamma \Delta_{\text{top}}$, consistent with the heuristic argument above for $\Gamma \ll \Delta$. For the numerical parameters of Fig. 2(c), $\xi_M$ is larger than the length of the chain, making a direct comparison impossible.

The experiment is in the limit of large hybridization $\Gamma \gg \Delta$, where Eq. (10) predicts a low-energy dispersion

$$E_k = \pm \sqrt{[(\Delta/\Gamma L_i)v_F(k - k_0)]^2 + [\Delta(\delta L_i/L_i)]^2}. \quad (12)$$

In this limit, the induced gap $\Delta_{\text{top}} = \Delta(\delta L_i/L_i)_{k = k_0}$ is independent of $\Gamma$ and saturates to a value which is smaller than $\Delta$ by a factor measuring the effective strength of the SO coupling. The strong hybridization with the SC also induces a dramatic downward renormalization of the Fermi velocity of the excitations, $v_F \rightarrow \tilde{v}_F = (\Delta/\Gamma L_i)v_F$. These features are in excellent agreement with the numerical subgap spectra as shown in Figs. 2(a) and (b) and vindicate our introductory heuristic arguments. Indeed, Eq. (12) predicts a Majorana localization length $\xi_M = \hbar v_F/((\Gamma \delta L_i)$, which coincides with Eq. (2) from heuristic consideration. We see that $\xi_M$ is independent of the host gap $\Delta$ and controlled instead by the hybridization $\Gamma$. This result is in excellent agreement with numerical Majorana wavefunctions for $\Gamma \gg \Delta$, see Figs. 2(d) and (e).

The topological gaps in Eqs. (11) and (12) are both enabled explicitly by the SO coupling in the substrate which enters via the $L$-factors in $g_0^\alpha$. In contrast, the SO coupling in the $d$-band is fully ineffective due to the strong spin polarization. Parametrically, one finds $\delta L_i \simeq \delta L_i/L_i \simeq k_h/k_F$ in the limit $k_F a \gg 1$.

Notice that Eqs. (12) and (2) require the condition $\Gamma \ll v_F/a$. This condition ensures that the in-band propagation between adjacent sites, taking time $\tau \sim a/\tilde{v}_F$, is faster than hopping via the host SC, taking time $(\Delta L_r)^{-1}$ [10]. Then, the $k = k_0$ minimum described by Eq. (12) dominates over the additional features of the quasiparticle spectrum associated with logarithmic divergencies in $L_r$. They induce power-law tails in the Majorana wavefunctions [cf. Fig. 2(d)] which become correspondingly more pronounced as $\Gamma$ increases.

Local density of states.—We have also numerically computed [46] the local density of states of the adatom chain, see Fig. 3. The zero-bias peak grows more pronounced with increasing $\Gamma$, reflecting the stronger localization of the Majorana wavefunction. In addition to the zero-energy Majorana peak, one discerns additional peaks at finite energies which arise from van Hove singularities in the subgap Shiba band and which approach the center of the gap as the hybridization $\Gamma$ increases. The zero-energy features and their strong localization as well as the van Hove peaks are consistent with the experimental observations [26].
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[40] We assume that the bulk gap Δ remains unaffected by the adatoms. While we expect that the renormalization of vF as a single-particle quantity remains operative, studying self-consistent effects on Δ may be an interesting problem for future research, see I. Reis, D.J.J. Marchand, and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 90, 085124 (2014); T. Meng, J. Klinovaja, S. Hoffman, P. Simon, D. Loss, arXiv:1501.07901 (2015).
[46] See Supplementary Material, which includes Ref. [50].
[47] The function L is plotted in [46]. Roughly, L^a_s (and hence L^a) is of order unity. L^a_s (and hence L^a) is of order 1/kFa, except at isolated values of k where it has logarithmic singularities. δFa should be thought of as a dimensionless measure of the effective SO strength, which is also of order 1/kFa for our spin-helix model.