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We decompose the neutrinoless double-beta decay matrix elements into sums of products over the intermediate nucleus with two less nucleons. We find that the sum is dominated by the $J^\pi = 0^+$ ground state of this intermediate nucleus for both the light and heavy neutrino decay processes. This provides a new theoretical tool for comparing and improving nuclear structure models. It also provides the connection to two-nucleon transfer experiments.
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Many properties of the active neutrinos are measured, but it is not yet established whether they are Dirac or Majorana type particles and their absolute masses are not known. Left-right symmetric extensions to the standard model provide an explanation for the non-zero masses of the left-handed light neutrinos and also predict the existence of right-handed heavy neutrinos [1]. Neutrinoless double beta ($0\nu\beta\beta$) decay of nuclei provides unique information on these neutrino properties [2], [3], [4]. The $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay process and the associated nuclear matrix elements (NME) were investigated by using several approaches including the quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA) [2], the interacting shell model [5], [6], the interacting boson model (IBM) [7], [8], the generator coordinate method [9], and the projected Hartree-Fock Bogoliubov model [10]. It is critical to assess which nuclei are the best candidates for experimental study.

Assuming contributions from the light left-handed ($\nu$) neutrino-exchange mechanism and the heavy right-handed ($N$) neutrino-exchange mechanism, the decay rate of a neutrinoless double-beta decay process can be written as [2], [6]

$$\left[ T^{0\nu}_{1/2} \right]^{-1} = G^{0\nu} \left( |M_{0\nu}^\nu|^2 |\eta_\nu|^2 + |M_{0\nu}^N|^2 |\eta_N|^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

where $G^{0\nu}$ is the phase space factor for this decay mode [11], [12], $M_{0\nu}^\nu$ are the nuclear matrix elements (NME), and $\eta_{\nu, N}$ are the neutrino physics parameters [2, 6].

Since the experimental decay rate is proportional to the square of the calculated nuclear matrix elements, it is important to calculate these matrix elements with high accuracy to be able to extract the neutrino effective mass which can be used to determine the absolute scale of neutrino masses (Eq. (1) can also be used to identify the dominant decay mechanism [13]). However, the theoretical methods used give results that differ from one another by factors of up to 2-3. It is important to understand the nuclear structure aspects of these matrix elements and why the models give differing results. Previously the structure dependence of the NME have been analyzed in terms of the “charge-exchange” matrix elements with in the same mass chain, as illustrated for the decay of $^{76}$Ge by the intermediate nucleus $^{76}$As in Fig. 1, where a wide range of intermediate state spins are important (see, for example, Figs. 1 and 6 in [14]).

In this Letter we present a new theoretical tool for understanding $0\nu\beta\beta$ matrix elements by expanding them in terms of a summation over states in the nucleus with two less nucleons ($A-2$), as shown by the example of $^{74}$Ge in Fig 1. The dependence on the intermediate state angular momenta have been previously discussed [15], [16], [14]. We show that the NME are dominated by the contribution through the $J^\pi = 0^+$ ground state of the $(A-2)$ intermediate nucleus. This opens up new ways of comparing theoretical models and comparing to other experimental data to improve the accuracy of the NME for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay.

The $0\nu\beta\beta$ process can be naturally described in 2nd order perturbation theory, in which the energies of the virtual states of the intermediate nucleus $^{76}$As obtained by a single beta decay of the parent nucleus enter into the propagator. However, it has been known for some time (see e.g. [16], [17] and references therein) that these energies are small compared to the neutrino exchange energy, and therefore the widely used closure approximation replaces these energies by a constant value and sums-out the contribution of the intermediate states. It was shown...
that approximation provides matrix elements about 10% smaller. We recently found [16], [14] optimal closure energies for which the nuclear matrix elements in both approaches are the same (see e.g. Fig. 5 of Ref. [14]). Therefore in this letter, for the light neutrino exchange matrix elements we use closure approximation with the optimal closure energies, which are 0.5 MeV, 3.5 MeV and 3.5 MeV for $^{44}$Ca, $^{76}$Ge, and $^{82}$Se, respectively. The heavy neutrino exchange matrix elements [2], [6] do not depend on the energies of the intermediate states.

The nuclear matrix element $M_{A}^{0\nu}$ can be presented as a sum of Gamow-Teller ($M_{GT}^{0\nu}$), Fermi ($M_{F}^{0\nu}$), and Tensor ($M_{T}^{0\nu}$) matrix elements (see, for example, Refs. [16], [18]),

$$M_{A}^{0\nu} = M_{GT}^{0\nu} - \left( \frac{g_{V}}{g_{A}} \right)^{2} M_{F}^{0\nu} + M_{T}^{0\nu},$$

where $g_{V}$ and $g_{A}$ are the vector and axial constants, correspondingly. In our calculations we use $g_{V} = 1$ and $g_{A} = 1.254$. The $M_{A}^{0\nu}$ are matrix elements of scalar two-body potentials. The most important are the Gamow-Teller that has the form $V_{GT}(r, A, \mu) = \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ and the Fermi that has the form $V_{F}(r, A, \mu) = \tau_{1} \tau_{2}$, where $\tau_{-}$ are the isospin lowering operators. The neutrino potentials depend on the relative distance between the two decaying nucleons, $r$, the mass number $A$, and the closure energy $\mu$ discussed above. The radial forms are given explicitly [16]. For the heavy-neutrino exchange, the potential does not depend on $\mu$ and it looks like a smeared-out delta function [2], [6].

The matrix element for a scalar two-body operator between an initial state $| i >$ and final state $| f >$ of the $n$-particle wave function can be expressed in the form of a product over two-body transition densities (TBTD) times two-particle matrix elements

$$< f | V | i > = \sum_{J_o, k_{o} \leq \beta, k_{\gamma} \leq k_{\delta}} T_{TBTD}(f, i, k, J_{o}) < k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o} | V | k_{\gamma}, k_{\delta}, J_{o} >,$$

where the $k$ stands for the set of spherical quantum numbers ($n, \ell, j$). The TBTD are given by

$$T_{TBTD}(f, i, k, J_{o}) =$$

$$= < f | [A^{+}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}) \otimes \hat{A}(k_{\gamma}, k_{\delta}, J_{o})]^{(0)} | i >,$$

where $A^{+}$ is a two-particle creation operator of rank $J_{o}$

$$A^{+}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}, M_{o}) = \frac{a^{+}(k_{o}) \otimes a^{+}(k_{\beta})} {\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{k_{o}, k_{\beta}})}} M_{o},$$

and $\hat{A}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}) = (-1)^{l_{1} - M_{o}} A^{+}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}, -M_{o})$. One can evaluate the TBTD by inserting a complete set of states for the $(n - 2)$ nucleon system

$$T_{TBTD}(f, i, k, J_{o}) =$$

$$= \sum_{m} < f | [A^{+}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o})]^{(m)} | i > < m | \hat{A}(k_{o}, k_{\delta}, J_{o}) | (2J + 1) >$$

$$= \sum_{m} T_{NA}(f, m, k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}) T_{NA}(i, m, k_{\gamma}, k_{\delta}, J_{o}),$$

where $m$ stands for the quantum numbers ($\omega_{m}, J_{m}$) of the intermediate state with $n - 2$ nucleons. $J_{o} = J_{m}$ when $J = 0$. The TNA are the two-nucleon transfer amplitudes given by

$$T_{NA}(f, m, k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o}) = \frac{< f | [A^{+}(k_{o}, k_{\beta}, J_{o})]^{(m)} | i >} {\sqrt{(2J + 1)}}.$$
cancellations from intermediate states with $J_m > 0$ up to about 6 MeV in excitation that are dominated by the $2^+$ contributions. This cancellation reduces the total matrix element by about a factor of two for light neutrinos and about 20% for heavy neutrinos.

The results for $^{48}$Ca and $^{82}$Se are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Here we only present the results for CD-Bonn short range correlations [18]. Numerical results can be found in Refs. [16] [14]. The overall patterns are the same as seen for $^{76}$Ge. The results for $^{48}$Ca are particularly simple with 80% of the total matrix elements coming from just the $0^+$ ground state and the first excited $2^+$ state. We have also calculated $^{48}$Ca with the addition of the isospin nonconserving Hamiltonian from [22]. This allows some mixing of $^{48}$Ti ground state with the IAS of the $^{48}$Ca ground in $^{48}$Ti. But the mixing matrix element of 20 keV does not lead to any significant change in the result. One can also expand over intermediate states in the nucleus with two extra nucleons $(n+2)$, for example, $^{78}$Se in the case of the $^{76}$Ge decay. We also find that the $J_m = 0^+$ is dominated by the ground state of the $(n+2)$ nucleus.

For the light neutrino, the exact TBME in the model spaces are proportional within a few percent to those obtained with the schematic interactions $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 / r$ for GT and $1/r$ for Fermi, where $a$ and $b$ are constants. The $1/r$ form is the well studied Coulomb interaction whose matrix elements are dominated by a large diagonal term that conserves isospin and can only go to the double isobaric analogue state of the initial nucleus. The consequence of this is that the expansion of the Fermi NME term over intermediate states in $(A - 2)$ has positive and negative terms from that tend to cancel. Thus, the Fermi contribution is relatively small, and as observed in Figs. 2-4 the total from all terms (GT+F+T) is within about 20% of those obtained from GT only. For the purpose of un-
understanding the nuclear model dependencies, one can use \( \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 / r \) for the interaction and study the dependence of its NME on the sum over intermediate states in the nucleus with \((A - 2)\) nucleons. (The heavy-neutrino TBME are closely proportional to \( \delta(r) \) for Fermi and \( \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \delta(r) \) for Gamow-Teller).

A very simple schematic diagram for the nuclear structure changes involved in double-beta decay is shown by the top row in Fig. 5. The pairing interaction enhances the two-nucleon transfers between the ground states. The bottom row of Fig. 5 shows other configurations that can be admixed into the top row either within the model space or from outside the model space. When one removes two neutrons one can also go to the deeper hole states shown by term (b) in Fig. 5. But adding two protons results in a state that has no overlap with the final state on the top left-hand side. However, such configurations are important because they will mix with the dominant ones in the top row due to the pairing interaction. Some of this mixing is already contained in the \( pf \) and \( jj44 \) model spaces. But there will also be mixing with these configurations from outside the model space that will renormalize the \( 0\nu\beta\beta \) NME.

It is well known that the two-nucleon transfer cross sections are enhanced by admixtures in wavefunction due to the pairing interaction [23], [24], and it is important to test the wavefunctions for the nuclei involved in double-beta decay by such measurements [25]. The nuclear pairing interaction that enhances the two-particle transfer also enhances \( 0\nu\beta\beta \) NME. For example, in the case of \( ^{48}\text{Ca} \) the \( J_m = 0^+ \) term is dominated by the \( 0f_7/2 \) contribution (top row of Fig. 5). There are small admixtures of the \( 0f_5/2, 1p_{3/2} \) and \( 1p_{1/2} \) orbitals from term (c) in Fig. 5 that enhance the zero-range direct two-nucleon \( ^{48}\text{Ca} \) to \( ^{46}\text{Ca} \) transfer amplitude by a factor of 1.48. The (a) and (c) admixtures in Fig. 5 enhance the \( J_m = 0^+ \) NME by a factors of 1.46 \((M_N^{0\nu})\) and 1.24 \((M_N^{\nu})\).

There is also the issue of “quenching” relative to the model space. Single-particle transfer [26] and knockout [27] cross sections are usually smaller than those calculated using reaction models with shell-model spectroscopic strengths. This is attributed to short-ranged correlations [28] and particle-vibration coupling [29]. But the connection between quenching for reactions involving single-nucleon overlaps and those involving two-nucleon overlaps is not clear. Experimentally there is some indication from two-particle knockout reactions that there is quenching relative to the shell model for nuclei far from stability [30]. But one should perform knockout experiments for those nuclei involved in double-beta decay to arrive at a consistent picture with the two-particle transfer measurements in the same nuclei [25], [31].

Both the pairing enhancement and quenching issues relative to the model space used in the shell model should be treated consistently in many-body perturbation theory. The first such calculations show an enhancement for the light-neutrino NME of 20% for \(^{76}\text{Ge} \) and 30% for \(^{82}\text{Se} \) [32]. Other models such and QRPA and IBM treat the pairing aspect differently. Perhaps the QRPA NME are larger than the shell-model results since more orbits are included in the pairing [15]. The effect of including admixtures from pairing correlations outside of the traditional shell model spaces used for heavier nuclei should be considered. It is well known that about half of the effective pairing interaction within the model space comes from 2nd-order core-polarization admixtures (see Fig. 6 in [33]). It will be instructive to compare all models used for \( 0\nu\beta\beta \) in terms of the size of total NME relative to the \( J_m = 0^+ \) contribution from the \((A - 2)\) ground state.

In summary, we have decomposed the neutrinoless double-beta decay matrix elements into sums of products over the intermediate nucleus with two less nucleons. We find that the sum is dominated by the \( J^\pi = 0^+ \) ground state of this intermediate nucleus for both the light and heavy neutrino decay processes. We also explain why the light-neutrino NME is dominated by the Gamow-Teller term and show that its TBME are proportional to a simple schematic interaction. This provides new theoretical tools for comparing and improving nuclear structure models and for making connections to two-nucleon transfer and knockout reaction experiments.
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