

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Quadrupole Transition Strength in the ^{74}Ni Nucleus and Core Polarization Effects in the Neutron-Rich Ni Isotopes

T. Marchi *et al.*

Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 182501 — Published 28 October 2014 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.182501

Quadrupole transition strength in the ⁷⁴Ni nucleus and core polarization effects in the neutron-rich Ni isotopes

T. Marchi,^{1,2} G. de Angelis,¹ J. J. Valiente-Dobón,¹ V. M. Bader,³ T. Baugher,³ D. Bazin,³ J. Berryman,³

A. Bonaccorso,⁴ R. Clark,⁵ L. Coraggio,⁶ H. L. Crawford,⁵ M. Doncel,⁷ E. Farnea,⁸ A. Gade,³ A. Gadea,⁹

A. Gargano,⁶ T. Glasmacher,³ A. Gottardo,¹ F. Gramegna,¹ N. Itaco,^{10,6} P. R. John,^{8, 2} R. Kumar,¹¹

S. M. Lenzi,^{8,2} S. Lunardi,^{8,2} S. McDaniel,³ C. Michelagnoli,^{8,2} D. Mengoni,^{8,2} V. Modamio,¹ D. R. Napoli,¹

B. Quintana,⁷ A. Ratkiewicz,³ F. Recchia,^{8,2} E. Sahin,¹ R. Stroberg,³ D. Weisshaar,³ K. Wimmer,³ and R. Winkler³

¹Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro (Padova), Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

³National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

⁴INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

⁵Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

⁶INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

⁷Ionizing Radiation Laboratory, University of Salamanca, Spain

⁸INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

⁹IFIC, CSIC-University of València, València, Spain

¹⁰Dipartimento di Fisica, Università "Federico II", Napoli, Italy

¹¹Deenbandhu Chhoturam University of Science and Technology, Haryana, India

(Dated: July 30, 2014)

The reduced transition probability $B(E2;0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ has been measured for the neutron-rich nucleus ⁷⁴Ni in an intermediate energy Coulomb excitation experiment performed at the NSCL facility at MSU. The obtained $B(E2;0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 642^{+216}_{-226} e^2 \text{ fm}^4$ value defines a trend which is unexpectedly small if referred to ⁷⁰Ni and to a previous indirect determination of the transition strength in ⁷⁴Ni. This indicates a reduced polarization of the Z=28 core by the valence neutrons. Calculations in the *pfgd* model space reproduce well the experimental result indicating that the B(E2) strength predominantly corresponds to neutron excitations. The ratio of the neutron and proton multipole matrix elements supports such interpretation.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Cs, 23.20.Lv, 25.70.Bc, 25.70.De

The study of neutron-rich nuclei with unusually large neutron/proton ratio is challenging the conventional description of the structure of nuclei. Decades of investigation have established that, moving from the β -stability towards the drip line, the shell structure undergoes important modifications with the possible disappearance of the usual shell gaps and the emergence of new magic numbers [1, 2]. This behavior has been attributed to the dynamic effects of the nucleon-nucleon interaction, to its density dependence, which is linked to the spin-orbit contribution reduction for more diffuse systems, and to the influence of the proton-neutron tensor force [3–6]. Recently, also three-nucleon forces have been invoked to justify the stabilization of the nuclear shells [7]. Unexpected shell modifications have been found all over the nuclear chart, together with the appearance of low-lying intruder states in supposedly semi-magic nuclei, giving rise to socalled islands of inversion. Examples are the neutronrich ¹²Be, ³²Mg and ⁴²Si nuclei which show a large collective behavior [8–10] even if their neutron numbers are well established magic numbers in the stable regions of the nuclear chart (N=8, 20 and 28 respectively).

The Ni isotopic chain (Z=28) covers two doubly-closed shells with neutron numbers N=28 and 50, therefore allowing to test the evolution of the proton gap for neutron rich systems [11]. The Z=28 spherical shell gap lies between the occupied $\pi f_{7/2}$ and the valence $\pi p_{3/2}$, $\pi f_{5/2}$ orbitals. On average, its absolute value amounts to about 5 MeV, large enough to maintain the spherical shape of all Ni isotopes [12]. The filling of the neutron orbitals going towards the heavier isotopes is normally expected to polarize the proton core due to the strong protonneutron interaction. With an increased number of neutrons, such effect could be counterbalanced by enhanced configuration mixing due to many body neutron-neutron correlations.

The B(E2) values along a chain of semi-magic nuclei provide a sensitive signature of the shell evolution: according to the seniority scheme [13], the B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) values follow a parabolic trend where the maximum of the parabola corresponds to the middle of the shell and the height depends on the strength of the neutron-induced proton core polarization (for a given proton magic number). B(E2) values smaller than those of the expected parabolic curve indicate the building of a new sub-shell closure, bigger values suggest an increase of deformation induced by strong core polarization. The comparison of the transition probabilities measured with hadronic and electromagnetic probes can be used to test the nature of the 2^+ state, particularly in the single-closed shell nuclei where such excitations are likely dominated by the valence nucleons.

Transition strengths in the Ni isotopes between N=40and N=50 have been recently subject to extensive experimental and theoretical investigations (see [14, 36, 37] and references therein). Due to the difficulty of producing such exotic neutron-rich nuclei, experimental B(E2)values determined by means of Coulomb excitation measurements have been limited to 70 Ni [11]. In this nucleus, an increase of about a factor 3, as compared to ⁶⁸Ni, was found and interpreted as an evidence of the rapid polarization of the proton core, induced by the filling of the $g_{9/2}$ neutron shell. Furthermore, a recent inelastic proton scattering experiment performed for ⁷⁴Ni [15] has yielded a large deformation parameter $(\delta^{p,p'})$ that has been interpreted as another sign of enhanced quadrupole collectivity and therefore of the quenching of the Z=28shell gap for the neutron-rich Ni nuclei.

This letter reports on the result of an intermediateenergy Coulomb excitation experiment using a radioactive beam of ⁷⁴Ni, which is presently the heaviest Ni isotope that has been produced with enough intensity to allow the B(E2) measurement. The experiment was performed at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL), Michigan State University. The extracted B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) strength is compared with the results of state-of-the-art large-scale shell-model calculations. To probe the nature of the 2^+_1 excitation, an estimate of the proton-neutron multipole matrix elements ratio (M_n/M_p) has been carried out. This is done assuming the validity of the Bernstein approach described in [16] and exploiting the combined information of the newly determined transition strength and the one of the inelastic proton scattering experiment [15].

The radioactive ⁷⁴Ni ion beam was produced via fragmentation as described in Ref. [17]. A stable beam of 86 Kr with an intensity of 25 pnA was accelerated by the Coupled Cyclotron Facility to 140 MeV/nucleon and fragmented on a 399 mg/cm^2 thick Be foil at the target position of the A1900 fragment separator [18]. A combination of slits and a 240 mg/cm^2 Al wedge degrader were used to enhance the purity of the fragment of interest in the resulting cocktail beam. A momentum acceptance of $\Delta p/p = 3.0\%$ was used and resulted in a ⁷⁴Ni beam with an average yield of 0.7 pps and 1.5% purity out of the total. While this acceptance setting provided a sufficient rate of ⁷⁴Ni for performing the experiment, it added ambiguities in the event-by-event incoming beam identification as discussed later in this section. $^{74}\mathrm{Ni}$ ions impinged on a 642 mg/cm^2 thick 197 Au secondary target with a kinetic energy of 95.8 AMeV. The scattered particles were then delivered to the S800 spectrograph [19] and identified at the S800 focal plane using the energy loss versus time-of-flight technique. The mass and charge of the recoiling nuclei were extracted on an eventby-event basis from time of flight and energy loss information. The S800 cathode readout drift chamber (CRDC) detectors [20] were used to determine the scattered ions



FIG. 1: Doppler-corrected γ -ray spectrum following Coulomb excitation obtained from the CAESAR detectors when incoming and outgoing particle selections are applied and with the "safe" Coulex impact parameter condition (see text for details). The peak at 1.024 MeV corresponding to the deexcitation of the 2^+_1 state is clearly visible. The spectrum is fitted with the result of a GEANT4 simulation of the response function of the apparatus (dot-dashed blue line) plus two exponential curves (dashed red and green lines) chosen in comparison to background spectra obtained from ⁷⁶Cu and ⁷⁷Zn present in the cocktail beam. In the inset the particle identification plot in the S800 focal plane is reported and the charge state of each isotope is indicated. It shows the energy loss in the focal plane detector versus the time of flight. ⁷⁴Ni, clearly separated in the plot, was produced with an average rate of 0.7 pps and a purity of 1.5% out of the total.

position and angle in dispersive and non-dispersive directions at the focal plane. This information was used to reconstruct the trajectories of the identified particles back to the target position and to determine the impact parameter exploiting the knowledge of the magnetic field in the S800 [19–21]. The reconstruction of the impact parameter is indeed crucial to disentangle the nuclear and the electromagnetic interactions and therefore to relate the Coulomb excitation cross section at intermediate energy to the reduced E2 transition probability [22]. The outlined procedure has been validated in a number of successful experiments at NSCL [22–25]. In this way a subset of particle-identified events with the impact parameter larger than 14 fm ("safe" Coulomb cut-off corresponding to the sum of the two nuclear radii plus 2 fm) was selected [24, 25]; the corresponding cut on the measured scattering angle was 40 mrad. Gamma rays emitted in the decay of the Coulomb excited ⁷⁴Ni nuclei were detected by the CAESAR array composed of 192 CsI(Na) scintillators [26] surrounding the target position. Doppler correction has been performed on an event by event basis using the measured velocity of the recoiling ions and the scattering angle relative to the emitted γ -rays. The latter was determined based on the position

of the crystal recording the highest energy deposition in a given event. The CAESAR detector was covering approximately 95% of the solid angle with a photo-peak efficiency of about 30% at 1 MeV. Energy calibrations and photo-peak efficiency were determined using standard sources and compared with GEANT4 simulations. Such simulations were used to derive the response function of the array and reproduces the measured efficiencies within 3%. This value was included as a systematic error in the final B(E2) result.

Figure 1 shows the γ -ray spectrum obtained selecting incoming and outgoing ⁷⁴Ni ions and applying the aforementioned conditions on the scattering angle to satisfy the safe Coulomb excitation requirements. Moreover, only promptly-emitted photons were selected through a gate in the γ -rays energy-time correlation. The peak at 1.024 MeV corresponding to the de-excitation of the 2_1^+ state is clearly visible. To determine the number of photons emitted, the spectrum was fitted using the already mentioned simulation. This allows to take into account the efficiency of the set-up, the absorption in the target and also the Lorentz boost of the emitted γ rays. A double-exponential curve was added to the simulated spectrum in order to account for the beam-induced low-energy bremsstrahlung and the smooth high-energy background. The decay constants of the two exponential curves where estimated from the γ -ray spectra of other nuclei contained in the cocktail beam with larger statistics (namely 76 Cu and 77 Zn).

As shown in the inset of Figure 1, the identification of the scattered ions at the S800 focal plane is straightforward and allows to clearly select and count ⁷⁴Ni nuclei. For the incoming cocktail beam tagging, due to the wide momentum acceptance setting of the A1900, a pure isotopic identification is not possible. Despite that, measuring the beam composition in a run without secondary target and applying the incoming particles selection, it was determined that the only relevant contaminant is ⁷⁶Cu, which overlaps in the incoming ions selection with the same intensity of ⁷⁴Ni. The 1.024 MeV γ -rays of interest can, therefore, be emitted from the de-excitation of 74 Ni produced by the ⁷⁶Cu 1p-1n knock-out reaction. For this reason a correction on the experimental data was applied. Using the EPAX code [27], the 1p-1n knock-out cross section was calculated to be 29 mbarn and a 50% population for the 1.024 MeV 2^+ state was assumed. A 50% error is considered for both values. Under these assumptions the ⁷⁶Cu contribution (number of knock out events with respect to the total number of observed ⁷⁴Ni ions) resulted to be of the order of 18 out of 3.4×10^5 . These ejectiles will yield $9\pm5 \gamma$ -rays to be subtracted from the efficiency corrected experimental peak area (where they contribute as 8 % of the total). In view of the later discussion, it is worth noticing that the presence of γ -rays coming from the knock-out reaction can only cause an over estimation of the Coulomb excitation cross-section since this number



FIG. 2: Experimental systematic of the B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) in the Ni isotopes [14]. The experimental point for ⁷⁴Ni corresponds to the result of the present Coulomb excitation experiment. From a proton inelastic scattering experiment [15], a model dependent B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) value of 1270±380 e²fm⁴ has also been deduced for ⁷⁴Ni [14]. The results are compared with the predictions of large-scale shell-model calculations in the *fpgd* model space and using empirical or derived residual interactions (see text).

is subtracted from the total intensity of the γ -ray peak.

The angle-integrated Coulomb excitation cross-section to the first 2⁺ state was determined as $\sigma_{0^+ \rightarrow 2^+} = 148^{+50}_{-52}$ mb. Such value includes the following uncertainties: the errors associated to the fit procedure and to the background modeling, the uncertainties due to the simulated efficiency, the contamination estimate and the assumption of a fraction of 10% of unobserved feeding from higher lying states. The excitation cross section was then translated into a B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) value based on the Coulomb excitation probability calculations performed with the DWEIKO code [28]. A B(E2;0⁺ \rightarrow 2⁺) = 642^{+216}_{-226} e²fm⁴ was obtained. The new experimental result is shown in Fig. 2 together with the measured $B(E2;0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ values in the Ni isotopes for N > 40 [14]. The same figure includes also the results of large-scale shell-model calculations using:

LNPS: the full *pf*-shell as proton valence space and the $p, f_{5/2}, g_{9/2}, d_{5/2}$ orbitals for neutrons, considering ⁴⁸Ca as an inert core (see Refs. [12, 30]). As effective interaction, the two body matrix elements described in Ref. [31] with the monopole changes described in Ref. [12, 30] have been used. Effective charges are taken as $(e_p, e_n) = (1.5, 0.5)e$. $\mathbf{V}_{\text{low}-k}$: the $f_{7/2}, p_{3/2}$ -shells for protons and the $p, f_{5/2}, g_{9/2}, d_{5/2}$ orbitals for neutrons. A two-body effective interaction derived within the framework of perturbation theory (see Refs. [32–34] and references

therein) is used starting from the CD-Bonn NN potential renormalized through the $V_{\rm low-k}$ approach [35] with a cutoff momentum $\Lambda=2.6 \text{ fm}^{-1}$. The matrix elements of the effective interaction are calculated using this potential plus a Coulomb force for protons by means of the *Q*-box folded-diagram expansion as described in Ref. [33, 34]. Effective charges are calculated self-consistently [34].

A3DA: a newly enhanced version of the Monte Carlo Shell Model method incorporating the conjugate gradient method and the energy-variance extrapolation taken from Ref. [36, 37]. Calculations include the $pfg_{9/2}d_{5/2}$ shells using ⁴⁰Ca as an inert core and are based on the A3DA residual interaction [38]. Effective charges are taken as $(e_p, e_n) = (1.5, 0.5)e$.

The experimental B(E2) value reported in Figure 2 for N=46 (present work) disagrees with the model dependent B(E2) value deduced in Ref. [14] from the (p,p')measurement[15]. The experimental uncertainties are large in both experiments as well as in the 70 Ni case. We note that our result is comparable, if not smaller, to the B(E2) measured in the ⁷⁰Ni case [11]. Despite the large error bars, the value deduced for ⁷⁴Ni in the Coulomb excitation experiment reported in this letter is lower than the one deduced from the inelastic proton scattering experiment [14, 15]. Moreover, the ⁷⁴Ni B(E2) value measured in the present work is in good agreement with the results of shell-model calculations using either empirical or microscopic residual interactions. In this context, it should be mentioned that the large B(E2) value of ⁷⁰Ni has been interpreted as an indication of the strong polarization of the proton core induced by the the monopole interaction between the neutron $g_{9/2}$ orbital and the proton $f_{5/2}$ one, see Ref. [11]. The same mechanism would be expected to induce a large transition strength also in ⁷⁴Ni which is neither found experimentally nor foreseen by the shell model results presented here. It is worth noting that the theoretical $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ values at N=50 increase with respect to lighter Ni nuclei, indicating the magicity of the N=50 shell. In fact, as a consequence of the shell closure at N=50 the 2^+ state will not only involve neutron excitations above N=50 but also proton excitations above the Z=28 shell gap in the fp orbits and will therefore have a larger B(E2) value. In order to probe the proton and neutron contributions to the low-lying 2^+ excitation strength of ⁷⁴Ni, we have used the combined information from Coulomb excitation and from inelastic proton scattering [15]. The proton multipole matrix element M_p is directly related to the reduced electric transition probability since $B(E2;0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = M_p^2$, whereas, assuming the validity of the Bernstein approach [16], the ratio of the neutron and proton multipole matrix elements is given by:

$$\frac{M_n}{M_p} = \frac{N}{Z} \left(\frac{\delta_{p,p'}}{\delta_{em}} + \frac{Zb_p}{Nb_n} \left(\frac{\delta_{p,p'}}{\delta_{em}} - 1 \right) \right) \tag{1}$$

where $\delta_{p,p'}(\delta_{em})$ is the deformation length for the hadronic (electromagnetic) probe. A deformation length of $\delta_{p,p'}=1.04(16)$ fm was measured in Ref. [15]. The corresponding value for δ_{em} extracted from the present B(E2) result is $\delta_{em} = 0.78 \pm 0.13$ fm (R=r₀A^{1/3}=5.04 fm) as in Ref. [15]. The interaction strengths of protons with protons (b_n) and with neutrons (b_n) have been chosen to be 0.3 and 0.9 respectively ([39, 40]) including an uncertainty in b_p/b_n of 0.3. This yields $M_n/M_p=2.4\pm0.8$ for the 2^+ state in ⁷⁴Ni much larger, even considering the large error, than the value of N/Z expected for a pure isoscalar collective excitation (as in the hydrodynamical model). This ratio, using the LNPS residual interaction, is predicted to be: $M_n/M_p = 1.8$. Large M_n/M_p values have been found in semi-magic nuclei with large neutron excess [39, 40] and can be qualitatively understood by considering that the valence neutrons are driving the nuclear oscillation and the core polarization is not sufficient to restore the isoscalar character of the excitation.

In summary, we have studied the quadrupole collectivity in the neutron-rich ⁷⁴Ni nucleus (N=46) via intermediate energy Coulomb excitation. The present result provides for the first time a direct measurement of the $B(E2;0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ in this nucleus. The new finding is at variance with the value deduced, under the assumption of identical proton and neutron density distribution, from a recent proton inelastic scattering experiment and does not confirm the step rise trend observed for the B(E2)value in 70 Ni. The experimental B(E2) value obtained is very well reproduced both by large-scale shell-model calculations using the LNPS effective interaction or the V_{low-k} approach and the Monte Carlo Shell Model calculations using the A3DA interaction. The small transition strength observed in 74 Ni restores the normal core polarization picture in the neutron rich Ni isotopic chain and suggests that the low-energy B(E2) strength predominantly corresponds to neutron excitations. The large M_n/M_p value estimated based on Ref. [16] supports such interpretation even if a more detailed analysis will have to be performed with the help, for example, of up-to-date QRPA calculations (see Ref. [41]). The extension of the B(E2) and $\delta(p, p')$ systematics in this region will be of high interest to pin down the shell evolution towards the expected doubly magic ⁷⁸Ni nucleus.

We would like to thank the NSCL operations staff for stable operation during the experiment. One of the authors, T. M., is also grateful to CEI for the financial support. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. PHY-1102511 and No. PHY-0722822 and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Grant No. DEFG02-08ER41556.

- O. Sorlin, M.G. Porquet, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 61, 602 (2008).
- [2] D. Steppenbeck et al., Nature 502, 207 (2013)
- [3] J. Dobaczewski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 981 (1994).
- [4] T. Otsuka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 012501 (2010).
- [5] T. Otsuka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 232502 (2005).
- [6] T. Otsuka et al., Nucl. Phys. A 788, 3 (2007).
- [7] T. Otsuka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 032501 (2010).
- [8] H. Iwasaki *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **491**, 8 (2000).
- [9] T. Motobayashi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **346**, 9 (1995).
- [10] S. Takeuchi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 182501 (2012).
- [11] O. Perru et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 232501 (2006).
- [12] K. Sieja and F. Nowacki, Phys. Rev. C 85, 051301(R) (2012).
- [13] I. Talmi, in Simple Models of Complex Nuclei, The Shell Model and the Interacting Boson Model, Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, (1993).
- [14] B. Pritychenko *et al.*, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tab. **98**, 798 (2012).
- [15] N. Aoi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **692**, 302 (2010).
- [16] A.M. Bernstein *et al.*, Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys. **11**, 203 (1983).
- [17] A. Stolz *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res., Sect. B 241, 858 (2005).
- [18] D.J. Morrissey *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res., Sect. B **204**, 90 (2003).
- [19] D. Bazin et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res., Sect. B

204, 629 (2003).

- [20] J. Yurkon *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **422**, 291 (1999).
- [21] A. Winther and K. Alder, Nucl. Phys. A **319**, 518 (1979).
- [22] T. Glasmacher, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 48, 1 (1998).
- [23] J.M. Cook et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 024315 (2006).
- [24] A. Gade *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 014302 (2003).
- [25] A. Gade and T. Glasmacher, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 60, 161 (2008).
- [26] D. Weisshaar *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **624**, 615 (2010).
- [27] K. Summerer and B. Blank, Phys. Rev. C 61, 034607 (2000).
- [28] C. Bertulani, Computer Physics Communications 116, 345 (1999).
- [29] C. Zhou, Nucl. Data Sheets **76**, 399 (1995).
- [30] K. Sieja and F. Nowacki, arXiv:1201.0373v1 (2012).
- [31] S. M. Lenzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054301 (2010).
- [32] L. Coraggio et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 62, 135 (2009).
- [33] L. Coraggio *et al.*, Ann. Phys. (NY) **327**, 2125 (2012).
- [34] L. Coraggio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 024319 (2014).
- [35] S. Bogner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 051301 (2002).
- [36] N. Shimizu *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. issue 1, 01A205 (2012).
- [37] Y. Tsunoda et al., Phys. Rev. C 89, R031301 (2014).
- [38] M. Honma et al., unpublished.
- [39] J.H. Kelley et al., Phys. Rev. C 56, R1206 (1997).
- [40] M.A. Kennedy et al., Phys. Rev. C 46, 1811 (1992).
- [41] E. Khan et al., Nucl. Phys. A 694, 103 (2001).