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This Letter presents results from the first fully integrated experiments testing the Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion concept [S. A. Slutz et al., Phys. Plasmas 17, 056303 (2010)], in which a cylinder of deuterium gas with a pre-imposed 10 T axial magnetic field is heated by Z Beamlet, a 2.5 kJ, 1 TW laser, and magnetically imploded by a 19 MA, 100 ns risetime current on the Z facility. Despite a predicted peak implosion velocity of only 70 km/s, the fuel reaches a stagnation temperature of approximately 3 keV, with $T_e \approx T_i$, and produces up to $2 \times 10^9$ thermonuclear DD neutrons. X-ray emission indicates a hot fuel region with full width at half maximum ranging from 60-120 µm over a 6 mm height and lasting approximately 2 ns. Greater than $1 \times 10^9$ secondary DT neutrons were observed, indicating significant fuel magnetization given that the estimated areal density of the plasma is only $2 \text{ mg/cm}^2$.

Inertial confinement fusion (ICF) concepts rely on implosion velocities greater than 300 km/s and spherical convergence of fuel to achieve the high temperatures ($T > 4\text{ keV}$) and areal densities ($\rho R > 0.3 \text{ g/cm}^2$) required for hot spot ignition [1-3]. Magneto-inertial fusion (MIF) concepts attempt to significantly relax implosion velocity and pressure requirements while still achieving high temperatures through the use of insulating magnetic fields, which decrease thermal conductivity losses normal to the field and increase fusion product confinement [4,5]. This Letter discusses the successful demonstration of a MIF concept referred to as Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion [6,7], or MagLIF.

Recently, axial magnetic fields were applied to laser-driven ICF targets at the Omega facility [8,9], where they were found to suppress heat losses by approximately 50%, which increased ion temperatures by 15% and produced 30% greater neutron yield. This result demonstrated magneto-thermal insulation benefits, but the improvement was limited by the spherical capsule geometry. In MagLIF, the cylindrical implosion is complementary to the axial magnetic field; the large magnetic field and substantial axial fuel extent at stagnation are expected to efficiently trap alpha particles [6], thus a greater increase in performance due to the magnetic field is anticipated.

Figure 1 illustrates the three critical components of MagLIF: magnetization, laser heating, and compression. The axial magnetic field inhibits radial thermal conduction loss throughout the implosion. Laser heating brings the fuel to a pre-compression temperature on the order of 100 eV. Simulations indicate initial axial and azimuthal anisotropies in the heating profile smooth out over the remaining 50-60 ns of the implosion [10]. The magnetically-driven liner implosion [7] compresses and further heats the fuel to fusion-relevant conditions through PdV work.
In MagLIF, fuel areal density at stagnation is two orders of magnitude below the typical ICF value required for ignition, thus the concept relies on both magnetic flux compression and liner tamping of the fuel for confinement [11]. As a result, liner stability throughout the implosion is critical for effective target performance. Years of experiments studying liner stability, along with sufficient fidelity in related liner dynamics calculations, suggested magneto-Rayleigh-Taylor instability growth [12] would not preclude successful integrated experiments utilizing a liner with an aspect ratio \( \leq 6 \) (AR = \( \frac{R_{\text{outer}}}{\Delta R_{\text{wall}}} \)) [13-17].

In the first integrated experiments, the target was an AR 6 beryllium liner with an inner radius of 2.325 mm. The imploding portion of the liner was 7.5 mm tall, with a 0.5 mm tall aluminum cushion [17] above and a 1 mm tall nylon cushion below to mitigate the previously-observed wall instability [16]. The initial fuel densities used in these experiments were approximately 0.7 and 1.5 mg/cm\(^3\). The laser entrance hole (LEH) window was a polyimide foil with an initial thickness of 3.4 ± 0.2 \( \mu \)m, which was deformed into approximately a spherical cap with radius 1.5 mm and height approximately 0.6 mm. The LEH was located 1.5 mm above the imploding region of the target to avoid mixing laser-accelerated window material into the fuel [10].

The target was pre-magnetized to 10 T using the Applied B-field on Z (ABZ) system [18]. The Z Beamlet laser (ZBL), a 2.5 kJ, 1 TW, frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser [19], heated the deuterium fuel. The 100 ns risetime, 19 MA current of the Z Machine [20,21] drove the liner, which compressed the fuel. The experimental drive current, experimental laser power, and simulated liner trajectory [10] are plotted in Fig. 2. The laser energy was temporally split into two pulses. The 500 J pre-pulse led the main pulse by 4 ns and was intended to disassemble the LEH window in order to increase both the transmission fraction of the main laser pulse and the associated fuel heating [10].
A series of experiments were conducted in which nominally identical targets were fielded, but the use of laser heating and applied magnetic field was varied. The measured primary DD and secondary DT neutron yields and inferred ion and electron temperatures for these experiments are given in Fig. 3. In the best performing experiment, the ion and electron temperatures at stagnation were $2.5 \pm 0.8$ keV and $3.1 \pm 0.7/-0.5$ keV, respectively, and the DD yield was $2.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{12}$. In null experiments that did not incorporate both laser heating and an insulating magnetic field, the stagnation temperature was $\leq 1$ keV and the DD yield did not exceed $10^{10}$. The background for the DD yield measurement was approximately $3 \times 10^9$, which was on the same order as the yield in the null experiments. Note that one fully-integrated experiment (z2583) failed to produce significant yield. This experiment was nominally identical to the others but with a higher initial fuel density (1.5 mg/cm$^3$). This result is not fully understood nor reproduced at this time. The best performing integrated experiment produced a DD yield that exceeded the best performing non-fully integrated experiment by a factor of $> 200$.

The neutron diagnostic suite for these experiments consisted of indium and copper activation diagnostics as well as neutron time of flight (NTOF) detectors [22, 23]. Nine indium activation samples spread over three polar angles, 20, 90, and 170 degrees, were fielded to measure the DD yield. The difference in the yield between these locations was less than the uncertainty in the measurement (25%) indicating isotropic neutron generation. NTOF detectors were fielded at polar angles of 78 and 180 degrees to examine the neutron spectrum. The ion temperature of the stagnation plasma was inferred from a Gaussian fit to the high energy side of the DD neutron peak in each spectrum; the average from multiple detectors is reported. An example fit is shown in Fig. 3. In experiments with measurable yield, average ion temperatures were in the range of 2-2.5 keV. Secondary DT neutrons, a result of the tritons produced in the aneutronic branch of the DD reaction [23], were measured using copper activation and the NTOF spectra. DT yields (up to $5 \times 10^9$) were measurable only in experiments with significant DD yield.
Fig. 3. [Top] An example NTOF spectrum from z2591. The experimental data used to fit the Gaussian (dashed black line) are plotted in blue. Data on the low energy side of the peak (red) deviate from a Gaussian, which may be due to a variety of effects (e.g. neutron scattering); these points are not included in the fit. The uncertainty in the width of the energy distribution shown here is a conservative estimate based on the instrument response. [Bottom] The electron and ion temperatures inferred from x-ray and NTOF spectra, respectively, and the DD and DT neutron yields. Experiments using a magnetic field are labeled with a $B$, and experiments using laser heating are labeled with an $L$. All experiments used approximately 0.7 mg/cm$^3$ initial fuel density except z2481 and z2583, which used 1.5 mg/cm$^3$. The dashed line at 1 keV represents the approximate lower limit of the electron temperature measurement technique. The dashed line at 3e9 represents the approximate background for the DD measurement. The DT measurement floor is 7e7.

Filtered diamond photo-conducting detectors (PCDs) [24], silicon diode detectors (SiDs) [24], and time-resolved x-ray imaging [25] were fielded to record x-ray emission from the target. Sample data from these diagnostics are presented in Fig. 4. SiDs filtered for different photon energies indicate that there were two temporal components to the radiation pulse in fully-integrated experiments, first a high energy component that originated from the fusion plasma at the center of the target, and later a lower energy component due to emission from the exterior surface of the liner. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peak associated with the fuel stagnation was approximately 2 ns, which is consistent with the time-resolved x-ray images. During the first peak in the SiD signal there was a narrow column in the x-ray image, and during the second peak there was emission from the exterior of the liner. Emission from the liner exterior is observed in experiments without laser heating [26] as well as fully-integrated experiments. Emission from the fuel stagnation column was observed only in experiments with both laser heating and magnetization.
High energy (> 7 keV), time-integrated, 1D spatially-resolved x-ray spectra [27] were combined with absolutely calibrated PCD signals to infer additional details of the stagnation conditions. Axially-resolved spectra from experiments with both magnetic field and laser heating show an emission height of approximately 6 mm with mm-scale brighter regions that occupy about half of the axial extent. PCD signals indicate the approximately 2 ns burst associated with fuel stagnation produces 10-20 J of x-rays above $h\nu = 4$ keV and 12-25 J above 2 keV. The ratio of these x-ray yields and the continuum slope are both consistent with the substantial attenuation of a $T_e \approx 3$ keV continuum source through approximately 0.9 g/cm$^2$ of cool Be liner material ($T_e \approx 4$ keV if liner opacity is neglected). Observation of weak K-shell emission from mid-Z impurities in the Be alloy indicates less than 10% atomic Be mix. Absolute x-ray yields increase with both density and liner mix [28]; the inferred temperature and the measured x-ray emission volume, duration, and yields are consistent with a hot plasma density of $0.4 \pm 0.2$ g/cm$^3$ and < 10% atomic Be mix.

A time-integrated, spherical crystal optic diagnostic was used to image x-ray emission from the full target height at stagnation. The diagnostic is similar to the bent crystal mono-chromatic imaging system used for radiography on Z [29]. This new system differs from the radiography system in that there is no backlighting source and a Ge (220) crystal is used. The diagnostic is sensitive to $n \times 3.12$ keV photons where n is a positive integer representing the crystal diffraction order. The axial and radial resolutions of the system are approximately 80 and 60 µm, respectively.
The image from z2613 is shown in Fig. 5. The image shows a weakly helical stagnation column approximately 6 mm tall with a radial displacement of 0.05 ± 0.02 mm and an axial wavelength of 1.3 ± 0.3 mm. The FWHM of the stagnation column cross-section varies between approximately 60 and 120 µm with axial location. Small levels of asymmetry, which are at the resolution limit of the diagnostic, are present in the transverse lineouts.

The liner opacity was sufficient to eliminate the 3.1 keV contribution to the image, and based on the high energy x-ray spectra and the energy-dependent crystal reflectivity, the signal intensity for n=4 and above was negligible as well. The result is an image primarily composed of 6.2 and 9.4 keV photons. In Fig. 5 an axial lineout of the image is compared to axial lineouts of the x-ray spectrum at 9.4 ± 0.5 keV and 13 ± 1 keV. The origin of the axial structure in the lineouts is still under investigation, but it is likely a combination of variations in both fuel emission intensity and liner opacity.

A critical component of any MIF concept is flux compression of the seed magnetic field. In these experiments, significant magnetic flux compression is demonstrated by the ratio of the DT yield to the DD yield and by the DT neutron spectra. In non-magnetized, spherical DD implosions, the ratio of the DT yield to the DD yield has been proposed as a diagnostic for the effective $\rho R$ of the fuel [30]. For the present experiments with hot fuel density of approximately 0.4 g/cm³, 50 ± 20 µm radius, around 6 mm height, and $T_e \approx 3$ keV, the expected DT/DD ratio is below $10^{-3}$. The measured ratio, however, is above $10^{-2}$, indicating that the magnetic field is extremely effective at trapping the fast tritons. The measured DT/DD ratios are also consistent with relatively small mix fractions of Be ($<10\%$) in the hot neutron producing fuel. A detailed explanation of this analysis for an idealized plasma
column, as well as the relationship between the shape of the DT spectra and the magnetic field are given in a companion paper [31].

The results from these experiments validate key features of the MagLIF concept. The NTOF and x-ray spectra indicate that the stagnation plasma reached fusion-relevant temperatures only when both magnetization and laser heating were utilized. This is consistent with expectations since the implosion velocity (70 km/s) is too low to generate such high temperatures in a non-magnetized, non-preheated target. The isotropic, near-Gaussian DD NTOF spectra, DD yield isotropy, high ion and electron temperatures (with $T_i \approx T_e$), and the large secondary DT yield provide evidence for a thermonuclear origin of the yield rather than beam-target reactions, which have been suggested as a significant source of yield in other magnetically-driven implosions [32-34]. The range of measured DD yields ($5\times10^{11}-2\times10^{12}$) is encompassed by the $2\times10^{11}-6\times10^{13}$ thermonuclear yield range estimated based on the 0.2-0.6 g/cm$^3$ fuel density (from x-ray yield), 2-3.1 keV temperature (from NTOF and x-ray spectra), 0.02-0.05 mm$^3$ volume (from x-ray imaging), and 1-2 ns duration (from x-ray emission history). Given these stagnation parameters, the calculated electron-ion collision time is $<< 1$ ps, which supports the thermal equilibrium of electrons and ions observed in the x-ray and NTOF spectra.

The MagLIF concept relies on the combination of laser-heating the fuel to 100s of eV and cylindrical compression to reach multi-keV temperatures. Liner stagnation begins when the plasma pressure exceeds the drive pressure. In simulations, the radius at which stagnation occurs increases with the pre-implosion temperature [6,10]. The successful target performance in these experiments is promising since the designs given in [6] utilize greater laser energy, thus those targets are predicted to converge a factor of 1.5-2 times less [10].

While these initial experiments demonstrated thermonuclear yields, fusion-relevant stagnation temperatures, magnetic flux compression, and self-consistent results, pre-shot simulations predicted DD yields in excess of $10^{13}$ [10]. Possibilities for this discrepancy include liner-fuel mix and 3D effects, but the leading hypothesis is poor laser coupling through the LEH. The simulations [10] did not account for laser-plasma interaction losses in the window or gas, used local diffusion models, and assumed a spatially-smooth laser beam profile, which is expected to transmit a greater fraction of the laser energy through the LEH than a non-smoothed laser beam, as was used in these experiments. Yields comparable to experiments are obtained in simulations that assume transmission of approximately 5-10% of the laser energy into the fuel [10]. Experiments to test laser transmission through the LEH and improvements to the laser are presently underway. Enhanced target performance due to improved laser heating may be possible in future experiments.
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