

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Exploring the Complex World of Two-Dimensional Ordering with Three Modes

S. K. Mkhonta, K. R. Elder, and Zhi-Feng Huang Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 035501 — Published 16 July 2013 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.035501

## Exploring the complex world of two-dimensional ordering with three modes

S. K. Mkhonta,<sup>1,2</sup> K. R. Elder,<sup>3</sup> and Zhi-Feng Huang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Swaziland, Private Bag 4, Kwaluseni, Swaziland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan 48309, USA

(Dated: June 24, 2013)

The world of two-dimensional crystals is of great significance for the design and study of structural and functional materials with novel properties. Here we examine the mechanisms governing the formation and dynamics of these crystalline or polycrystalline states and their elastic and plastic properties by constructing a generic multi-mode phase field crystal model. Our results demonstrate that a system with three competing length scales can order into all five Bravais lattices, and other more complex structures including honeycomb, kagome and other hybrid phases. In addition, nonequilibrium phase transitions are examined to illustrate the complex phase behavior described by the model. This model provides a systematic path to predict the influence of lattice symmetry on both structure and dynamics of crystalline and defected systems.

PACS numbers: 81.10.Aj, 81.16.Dn, 61.50.Ah

Two-dimensional (2D) crystalline materials have been of tremendous interest in both fundamental research and technological applications due to their extraordinary properties and functionalities that are absent in threedimensional materials. A typical and well-known example is graphene, which exhibits exceptional electronic, mechanical and thermal properties [1, 2]. Recent efforts have been extended to the search for and study of 2D monolayer sheets of graphene type or beyond, such as group IV elements of silicene [3] and germanane (GeH) [4], BN and BNC [5], and semiconducting  $MoS_2$  and  $MoSe_2$  [6]. On larger length scales much progress has been made in the self-assembly of 2D crystals using particles of nano or micron size that are easier to tailor for specific functionalities and to observe. Colloidal crystals, for example, play a vital role in the study of structural properties of crystalline systems and the development of engineered, functional materials [7–9]. In addition, another novel technique for artificial lattice ordering is built on the trapping of ultracold atoms (e.g., <sup>87</sup>Rb) in optical superlattices produced by overlaying laser beams [10, 11], as utilized for the study of many-body quantum physics.

These 2D systems involve a wide variety of constituent particles with very different types of microscopic interactions, but exhibit similar crystalline symmetries such as honeycomb (as for graphene [1], silicene [3], colloidal crystal [7], and lattice of ultracold atoms [10]), kagome (as realized for colloids [8] and ultracold <sup>87</sup>Rb [11]), and simple Bravais lattices like triangular and square [7, 11]. Thus it is of fundamental importance to identify the universal mechanisms underlying these distinct modes of crystallization, based on the general principle of symmetry [12]. It is also important to understand the nature of topological defects which occur frequently in such systems and are known to determine the electronic and mechanical properties of the sample [2]. Unfortunately it is very difficult to model and predict the nature of such defected states, due to multiple length and time scales involved in the non-equilibrium crystallization processes.

In this work we develop a dynamic model that can be applied to the study of crystallization with a variety of ordered and defected structures. We adopt the phase field crystal (PFC) formalism [13–16], in the spirit of the Alexander-McTague analysis of crystallization based on Landau theory [12]. The advantage of this PFC approach is that one can study polycrystal formation in terms of the atomic number density on diffusive time scales that are many orders of magnitude larger than that of classical microscopic models such as molecular dynamics. One can also apply renormalization techniques [17–19] on the PFC equation to study problems that involve both micro and meso scales such as epitaxial growth [20] and surface patterning in ultra-thin films [21].

Recently a great deal of progress has been made on generalizing the PFC formulation to include more crystal symmetries [22–25], although in 2D current PFC studies are restricted to triangular and square states. The basic idea is to incorporate interparticle interactions through a two-point direct correlation function that (i) has Npeaks in Fourier space (corresponding to N different characteristic length scales) and (ii) is isotropic. This allows one to systematically interpolate between different crystalline states without a priori assumptions about any orientation-dependent interactions and thus allows the study of polycrystalline materials. Here we exploit this idea and show that systems with three modes (i.e., N = 3) exhibit a surprisingly rich phase behavior of crystallization that covers symmetries of all five 2D Bravais lattices. Our results add to a growing list of structures that can be realized from the freezing of monatomic fluids with isotropic multi-well interaction potentials [26–29], and more importantly, provide a systematic approach for examining both structural and dynamic properties of 2D crystalline materials.

The multi-mode phase field crystal model we introduce here is based on a dimensionless free energy functional

$$\mathcal{F} = \int d\vec{r} \left\{ \frac{\psi}{2} \left( r + \lambda \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[ (Q_i^2 + \nabla^2)^2 + b_i \right] \right) \psi - \frac{\tau}{3} \psi^3 + \frac{\psi^4}{4} \right\},\tag{1}$$

as generalized from the two-mode form proposed before [24, 30], and a dynamic equation  $\partial \psi / \partial t = \nabla^2 \delta \mathcal{F} / \delta \psi$  on diffusive time scale, giving

$$\partial \psi / \partial t = \nabla^2 \{ (r + \lambda \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} [(Q_i^2 + \nabla^2)^2 + b_i]) \psi - \tau \psi^2 + \psi^3 \},$$
(2)

where  $\psi(\vec{r},t)$  is a rescaled particle number density field, and r,  $\lambda$ ,  $b_i$ , and  $\tau$  are phenomenological constants. The parameters  $b_i$  control the relative stability of different modes, and are determined by interparticle potential of a specific system. This PFC free energy functional can be approximately derived from a Landau-Brazovskii expansion of the free energy in classical Density Functional Theory (CDFT) of freezing [14, 18], and the gradient terms in Eq. (1) can be obtained from expanding the Fourier component of the pair correlation function in CDFT, which satisfies the (i) and (ii) requirements given above, up to its N peaks that are located at wave numbers  $Q_i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., N - 1).

In a crystalline state  $\psi$  can be expanded in terms of its Fourier components  $A_{\vec{q}}$  and the reciprocal lattice vectors (RLVs)  $\vec{q}$ :  $\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi_0 + \sum_{\vec{q}} A_{\vec{q}} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}$ , where  $\psi_0$  is the average rescaled density. In 2D,  $\vec{q} = n\vec{k}_1 + m\vec{k}_2$  where mand n are integers, and  $\vec{k}_1$  and  $\vec{k}_2$  are the principal RLVs. From Eq. (1) we can obtain a standard expansion form

$$\mathcal{F}/V = \sum_{\vec{q}} G_{\vec{q}} A_{\vec{q}} A_{-\vec{q}} - w \sum_{\vec{q}_1 \vec{q}_2 \vec{q}_3} A_{\vec{q}_1} A_{\vec{q}_2} A_{\vec{q}_3} \delta_{\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 + \vec{q}_3, 0} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\vec{q}_1 \vec{q}_2 \vec{q}_3 \vec{q}_4} A_{\vec{q}_1} A_{\vec{q}_2} A_{\vec{q}_3} A_{\vec{q}_4} \delta_{\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 + \vec{q}_3 + \vec{q}_4, 0},$$
(3)

where V is the system volume,  $w = \tau/3 - \psi_0$ , and

$$G_{\vec{q}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ r + \lambda \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} [(Q_i^2 - q^2)^2 + b_i] \right\} - \tau \psi_0 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_0^2.$$
(4)

When  $G_{\vec{q}}$  is small but negative, a crystalline state forms and the summation over cubic and quartic terms can be restricted to wavevectors with magnitude  $|\vec{q}| = Q_i$ , with higher order harmonics not needed. It was noted by Alexander and McTague [12] that close to the melting point the favored crystalline state is determined by the largest contribution of the cubic term which, according to Eq. (3), is given by a triplet of density waves with wavevectors forming a closed loop, i.e.,  $\vec{q_1} + \vec{q_2} + \vec{q_3} = 0$ .

Within the five 2D Bravais lattices the least symmetric one is oblique, a chiral lattice, for which the triplet



FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystalline phases obtained via PFC simulations for  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{3}, 2$ , including one of three triangular phases (Tri0), kagome (Kag), honeycomb (Hon), dimer (Dim), rectangular (Rec), and also an intermediate phase (Int). Insets: The circularly averaged structure factor S(q) vs. q.

of the density waves must consist of wavevectors with different magnitudes forming a scalene triangular loop. Thus it is a candidate of preferred state for Eq. (3) when N = 3. The same argument holds for the rectangular lattice. The square or rhombic lattice can be considered as special cases of the rectangular or oblique lattice that are stabilized with N = 2 and with triads of wavevectors forming an isosceles triangular loop. This N = 2 limit was explored by Lifshitz and Petrich [30], showing stable patterns of 2-, 4-, 6-, and 12-fold symmetries. The N = 1 limit, with the basic wavevectors forming an equilateral triangular, corresponds to the favored 2D triangular phase as given in the classical work of Alexander and McTague [12]. Thus three modes (with different  $Q_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2) are enough for constructing a minimal model to cover all five 2D Bravais lattices. Furthermore, the selection and competition between these modes of different length scales will lead to much richer crystalline phases, an effect that goes beyond the classical Alexander-McTague type analysis. As shown below, we can tune the excitation level of the density waves of  $|\vec{q}| = Q_i$  via parameters  $b_i$  in our PFC model to systematically explore the stability of different phases that compete with a targeted crystalline state.

To verify our analysis we solved the PFC dynamic equation (2) with N = 3 via a pseudo-spectral algorithm [31, 32], using periodic boundary conditions in systems of sizes ranging from  $256^2$  to  $1024^2$ . We restricted our parameter space to  $\psi_0 = -0.2$ , r = -0.15,  $\lambda = 0.02$ , and  $\tau = 0$  for simplicity. To systematically determine the various steady states we chose  $Q_i$  such that the magnitudes of the critical wavevectors correspond to the three



FIG. 2. (Color online) Phases determined from the PFC model with  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{3}, 2$  and  $b_0 = 0$ .

shortest wavenumbers of a targeted lattice. For 2D Bravais lattice they are given by

$$|\vec{q}| = k_1 \sqrt{m^2 + \mu^2 n^2 + 2mn\mu\cos\theta},$$
 (5)

where  $\mu = k_2/k_1$  and  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\vec{k}_1$  and  $\vec{k}_2$ .

Steady-state solutions were obtained by monitoring the crystallization process until changes in the system free energy density are negligible (e.g.,  $\Delta f < 0.01\%$ ). In Fig. 1 we show a variety of ordered states obtained when  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{3}, 2$  (corresponding to the first three shortest RLVs for triangular lattice), at different regions of the  $b_i$  parameter space. They include: three triangular (Tri0, Tri1, Tri2), honeycomb (Hon), kagome (Kag), rectangular (Rec), dimer (Dim), and intermediate (Int) phases. We identified the observed regions of these different states by re-running the simulations (using different random initial conditions) at each point of the parameter space for more than 10 times and classifying the stable structure as the equilibrium phase. The results are depicted in Fig. 2.

These simulation results are consistent with the above crystallization analysis. The stable triangular states are characterized by a circularly averaged structure factor S(q) with one dominate peak, as shown in Fig. 1. The honeycomb phase corresponds to a superposition of two sets of triplet density waves with  $|\vec{q}| = Q_0$  and  $Q_1$ , respectively. Each set can maximize the cubic free energy term since the wave vectors can form a close loop (equilateral triangle). Similar arguments can be made for the kagome phase, but with each set having wave vectors  $|\vec{q}| = Q_0$  and  $Q_2$ , respectively. This has been demonstrated in the experiments of ultracold atoms [11], where two sets of three optical waves with  $|\vec{q}| = Q_0$  and  $Q_2 = 2Q_0$  were superimposed to create a kagome lattice. To further examine the formation condition of honeycomb phase we



FIG. 3. (Color online) Dynamic process of phase transformation. (a) Peak values of structure factor during a Tri1  $\rightarrow$ Hon  $\rightarrow$  Dim transformation, for  $(b_0, b_2) = (0, 0.1)$ . (b) and (c) show the dynamics of Hon  $\rightarrow$  Dim transformation from  $(b_0, b_1, b_2) = (0, -0.1, 0.04)$  to (0, 0.2, 0.04).

analyze the following transformation: Tri1  $\rightarrow$  Hon  $\rightarrow$ Dim. The Tri1  $\rightarrow$  Hon transformation is characterized by a sudden increase of the structure-factor peak at  $Q_0$ , leading to two prominent peaks in the honeycomb phase [see Fig. 3(a)]. A further increase in  $b_1$  creates an imbalances between the two sets of critical modes, inducing a compressed-honeycomb, i.e., dimer state. Fig. 3 shows the dynamics of the Hon  $\rightarrow$  Dim transformation. A pair of density maxima merge to form elongated regions of higher densities (i.e., dimers) during the transition.

As discussed above, three modes are needed to form a rectangular phase, which is verified in our results of Fig. 1. Our numerical results also reveal that one can interpolate between the two Bravais lattice symmetries, triangular and rectangular, by tuning the excitation levels of the dominant density waves via  $b_i$ . This is not surprising since from Eq. (5) one can see that the magnitudes of RLVs in a triangular lattice,  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{3}, 2$ , are the same as those of a rectangular lattice with  $\mu = \sqrt{3}$ . We have realized a stable intermediate state between these two lattices (see Fig. 1), which consists of rectangular domains separated periodically by triangular edges. An analogous phase has been observed in experiments involving commensurate phase ordering of colloid monolayers (i.e., archimedean-like tiling [9]).

We can also target the ordering into other 2D Bravais lattices: square, rhombic and oblique, by applying Eq. (5). The ratio of the three shortest wavevectors in a square lattice is given by  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{2}, 2$ , leading to two possible sets of density wave triplet: two with  $|\vec{q}| = 1$  and one with  $|\vec{q}| = \sqrt{2}$ , or two with  $|\vec{q}| = \sqrt{2}$ and one with  $|\vec{q}| = 2$ . Both have been obtained in our simulations, with an example shown in Fig. 4(a). We also observed other stable states with the same  $Q_i$  series, including three triangular states and a phase that



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Square and (b) pentagon-hexagon structures are obtained with  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{2}, 2$ . Example phases with  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, 2, \sqrt{5}$  are shown as (c) a rectangular phase and (d) a dimer-square crystal, with their square unit cells indicated. Also (e) a rhombic phase at  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1.35, 1.57, 2.2$  and (f) an oblique phase at  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, \sqrt{3}, 2.2$  are given.

consists of pentagons and hexagons [Fig. 4(b)] with dominant structure-factor peaks located at  $|\vec{q}| = Q_0$  and  $Q_1$ . A similar pentagon phase was found in recent molecular dynamics simulations using a double-well potential [27].

The square-type states can be also generated from the series  $Q_{i=0,1,2} = 1, 2, \sqrt{5}$ , although with multi-atom basis. Note that this  $Q_i$  series corresponds to a close loop of right-angled-triangle wavevectors, and thus a rectangular state, as seen in Fig. 4(c). However, such phase can be defined as a square lattice with a two-atom basis since this  $Q_i$  series also incorporates the ratio of the Bragg peak positions of a square structure. Another stable multi-atom square state, a square-dimer phase, is shown in Fig. 4(d).

To reproduce a rhombic or oblique phase, we note that in general the oblique state is favored by the cubic term of free energy expansion when  $|b_i| \ll 1$ , where all the three critical modes are excited, while the rhombic phase is favored when two different modes are dominant. As shown in our results of Figs. 4(e) and 4(f), the structure factor of oblique phase is characterized by three peaks and the rhombic phase by two dominant peaks, as expected.

Another focus of our work is on exploring the dynamics of different crystalline states that are generated by the three-mode PFC model. Starting from an unstable, homogeneous liquid state, the typical crystallization process of a large system involves the nucleation of crystal seeds, the formation and later the annihilation of topological defects which leads to the growth and coarsening of crystal grains. In Fig. 5 we show a variety of topological defects observed during the ordering process. This includes linear and point defects in the honeycomb phase, 4



FIG. 5. (Color online) Snapshots of defect configurations during dynamic simulations, for (a) honeycomb phase, (b) oblique phase, (c) coexisting phases, and (d) dimer phase.

grain boundaries in the oblique lattice, complex defected state associated with coexistence of different crystalline structures, and also disclinations in the dimer state.

The simplicity of our approach also makes it relatively straightforward to calculate the elastic properties of these crystalline states. As shown in Fig. 1, the structure factor of dimer phase has a dominant single peak and thus a one-mode approximation [13, 16, 33] can be utilized. Note also that the dimer structure results from the merging of two density peaks in a honeycomb phase, as demonstrated in Fig. 3. Hence here we can consider  $\psi(\vec{r})$  as a two-particle (dimer) density, with each constituent dimer molecule (basis) consisting of two atoms, one at the origin and the other at  $(\kappa d, 0)$ , where  $0 < \kappa < 1/2$  and d is the lattice constant of the corresponding triangular lattice they occupy. Following the standard procedure [16], we obtain the shear modulus of the system  $\mu_s = C_{44} = 3\alpha_t [\cos(2\kappa\pi) + 1]/8$ where  $\alpha_t = (b_1 + 4q^4)(b_2 + 9q^4)\lambda A^2 q^4$ , A is the amplitude of  $\psi$  expansion, and  $q = 4\pi/(\sqrt{3}d) = Q_0$ , and the anisotropic Poisson ratio parallel and perpendicular to the dimer molecular axis:

$$\nu_x = \frac{C_{12}}{C_{22}} = 3 \left[ \frac{\cos(2\kappa\pi) + 1}{\cos(2\kappa\pi) + 17} \right] \text{ and } \nu_y = \frac{C_{12}}{C_{11}} = \frac{1}{3}.$$
(6)

When  $\kappa \to 0$  the dimer state changes to a triangular one (Tri0). In this limit the Poisson ratio becomes isotropic as obtained from Eq. (6), which is expected for an elastically isotropic triangular lattice. Our calculations also show that the shear modulus of the dimer state is smaller than that of the triangular phase. This is a consequence of the additional degree of freedom in this state, i.e., the dimers can rotate [33]. When  $\kappa \to 1/2$ , the simple one-mode approximation used here breaks down and more harmonics (modes) are required. This would correspond to the instability of the dimer phase towards the formation of the honeycomb phase (which is described by two modes) around the point of  $\kappa = 1/2$ .

All our results presented above show that crystallization is not only a general problem of symmetry as was first argued by Alexander and McTague three decades ago [12], but also a problem involving competition and coupling between different length scales of the system. As demonstrated, three modes are enough to produce all 5 Bravais lattices in 2D as well as many of the non-Bravais structures, including honeycomb and kagome phases that have been found in novel 2D crystalline materials, and also predictions of more complex phases. The minimal model presented here can be exploited to study not only the non-equilibrium formation of crystals and polycrystals with a large variety of crystalline symmetries, but also the elastic and plastic properties of such systems [34–36]. Our results can also serve as a guide to experiments on producing or self-assembling a variety of ordered phases that can form in systems with competing multiple scales, such as the ordering process of surfacefunctionalized colloidal particles or of ultracold atoms in tunable commensurate optical lattices. The study of such self-assembly process and the evolution of defected state requires a dynamic modeling method at time scales of experimental relevance, for which the multi-mode PFC model described here is much more applicable than conventional atomistic techniques. Furthermore, our modeling framework can be readily extended to a systematic study of three-dimensional crystalline and polycrystalline materials or self-assembled systems.

We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-0845264 (Z.-F.H.) and DMR-0906676 (K.R.E.).

- A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nature Mater. 6, 183 (2007).
- [2] S. T. Pantelides, Y. Puzyrev, L. Tsetseris, and B. Wang, MRS Bulletin 37, 1187 (2012).
- [3] P. Vogt, P. De Padova, C. Quaresima, J. Avila, E. Frantzeskakis, M. C. Asensio, A. Resta, B. Ealet, and G. Le Lay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 155501 (2012).
- [4] E. Bianco, S. Butler, S. Jiang, O. D. Restrepo, W. Windl, and J. E. Goldberger, ACS Nano (2013), DOI: 10.1021/nn4009406.
- [5] L. Ci, L. Song, C. Jin, D. Jariwala, D. Wu, Y. Li, A. Srivastava, Z. F. Wang, K. Storr, L. Balicas, F. Liu, and P. M. Ajayan, Nature Mater. 9, 430 (2010).
- [6] S. Tongay, J. Zhou, C. Ataca, K. Lo, T. S. Matthews, J. Li, J. C. Grossman, and J. Wu, Nano Lett. **12**, 5576 (2012).
- [7] N. Osterman, D. Babic, I. Poberaj, J. Dobnikar, and P. Ziherl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 248301 (2007).
- [8] Q. Chen, S. C. Bae, and S. Granick, Nature 469, 381 (2011); X. Mao, Q. Chen, and G. S., Nature Mater. 12, 217 (2013).
- [9] J. Mikhael, J. Roth, L. Helden, and C. Bechinger, Nature 454, 501 (2008).
- [10] P. Soltan-Panahi, J. Struck, P. Hauke, A. Bick, W. Plenkers, G. Meineke, C. Becker, P.Windpassinger,

M. Lewenstein, and K. Sengstock, Nature Phys. 7 (2011).

- [11] G.-B. Jo, J. Guzman, C. K. Thomas, P. Hosur, A. Vishwanath, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 045305 (2012).
- [12] S. Alexander and J. McTague, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 702 (1978).
- [13] K. R. Elder, M. Katakowski, M. Haataja, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 245701 (2002); K. R. Elder and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. E 70, 051605 (2004).
- [14] K. R. Elder, N. Provatas, J. Berry, P. Stefanovic, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. B 75, 064107 (2007).
- [15] H. Emmerich, H. Löwen, R. Wittkowski, T. Gruhn, G. I. Tóth, G. Tegze, and L. Gránásy, Adv. Phys. 61, 665 (2012).
- [16] N. Provatas and K. R. Elder, *Phase Field Methods in Ma*terials Science and Engineering (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010).
- [17] N. Goldenfeld, B. P. Athreya, and J. A. Dantzig, Phys. Rev. E 72, 020601(R) (2005).
- [18] Z.-F. Huang, K. R. Elder, and N. Provatas, Phys. Rev. E 82, 021605 (2010).
- [19] Z.-F. Huang, Phys. Rev. E 87, 012401 (2013).
- [20] Z.-F. Huang and K. R. Elder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 158701 (2008); Phys. Rev. B 81, 165421 (2010).
- [21] K. R. Elder, G. Rossi, P. Kanerva, F. Sanches, S.-C. Ying, E. Granato, C. V. Achim, and T. Ala-Nissila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 226102 (2012).
- [22] A. G. Khachaturyan, private communication.
- [23] M. Greenwood, N. Provatas, and J. Rottler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 045702 (2010).
- [24] K.-A. Wu, A. Adland, and A. Karma, Phys. Rev. E 81, 061601 (2010).
- [25] K.-A. Wu, M. Plapp, and P. W. Voorhees, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 364102 (2010).
- [26] M. C. Rechtsman, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 228301 (2005).
- [27] M. Engel and H.-R. Trebin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 225505 (2007).
- [28] E. Edlund, O. Lindgren, and M. N. Jacobi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 085503 (2011); 108, 165502 (2012).
- [29] R. D. Batten, D. A. Huse, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato, Soft Matter 7, 6194 (2011).
- [30] R. Lifshitz and D. M. Petrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1261 (1997).
- [31] M. Cheng and J. A. Warren, J. Comp. Phys. 227, 6241 (2008).
- [32] X.-F. Wu and Y. A. Dzenis, Phys. Rev. E 77, 031807 (2008).
- [33] S. K. Mkhonta, D. Vernon, K. R. Elder, and M. Grant, EPL **101**, 56004 (2013).
- [34] G. Tegze, G. I. Tóth, and L. Gránásy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 195502 (2011).
- [35] J. Berry, K. R. Elder, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. E 77, 061506 (2008).
- [36] P. Y. Chan, G. Tsekenis, J. Dantzig, K. A. Dahmen, and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 015502 (2010).