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Optimal waveform for fast entrainment of weakly forced nonlinear oscillators

Anatoly Zlotnik,† Yifei Chen,‡ István Z. Kiss,† Hisa-Aki Tanaka,§ Jr-Shin Li

1Electrical and Systems Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, USA
2Department of Chemistry, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, Missouri 63103, USA
3Department of Electronic Engineering, The University of Electro-Communications, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan

For many biological and engineered systems, a central function or design goal is to abbreviate the time required to synchronize a rhythmic process to an external forcing signal. We present a theory for deriving the input that effectively minimizes the average transient time required to entrain a phase model, which enables a practical technique for constructing fast entrainment waveforms for general nonlinear oscillators. This result is verified in numerical simulations using the Hodgkin-Huxley neuron model, and in experiments on an oscillatory electrochemical system.
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The entrainment process is fundamental to numerous scientific and engineering applications in which oscillating systems are asymptotically synchronized to an external periodic signal [1, 2]. The ability to optimize entrainment has important implications for achieving rapid cardiac re-synchronization [3] and quick adjustment from jet lag [4], maximizing the growth rate of plants [5], and implementing phase-locked loop circuits and injection-locked micro-integrated oscillators [6]. When the weak perturbation approximation is made, a re-scaling of the phase response curve (PRC) was shown to be the minimum energy signal for spiking or entraining oscillators at a given period [7–9], and a weighted sum of appropriately shifted PRCs maximizes the range of frequency detunings for which entrainment occurs [10, 11]. An alternative essential objective is to minimize the time to entrainment at a given forcing signal energy, in order to establish a fixed phase relationship between the system and forcing signal as soon as possible after the forcing is applied [12]. This notion of fast entrainment can also be used to minimize the time required to re-establish entrainment after interruptions caused by disturbances [13].

In this Letter, we use phase model reduction to derive an asymptotically optimal waveform that maximizes the average rate of entrainment for general weakly forced nonlinear oscillators. The rate of entrainment is characterized by the coefficient of exponential decay in the phase difference between the system and forcing signal. We present a theory by which the entrainment time scale is minimized for a specified forcing energy, where the optimal waveform is a sum of the PRC and its derivative [14], so that given this control the actual state of the system is guaranteed to remain in \( U \), and the PRC can be computed numerically [14, 22, 23].

The primary objective in entrainment design is to lock the system to an input with the desired frequency \( \Omega \) using a control \( u(t) = v(\Omega t) \) where \( v \) is 2\( \pi \)-periodic. We make the weak perturbation approximation, i.e., \( v = \varepsilon v_1 \) where \( v_1 \) has unit energy and \( \varepsilon << 1 \), so that given this control the actual state of the system is guaranteed to remain in \( U \), and the phase model (1) remains valid. We define a slow phase variable \( \phi(t) = \psi(t) - \Omega t \) that satisfies the dynamic equation \( \dot{\phi} = \psi - \Omega = \Delta \omega + Z(\Omega t + \phi)v(\Omega t) \), where \( \Delta \omega = \omega - \Omega \) denotes the detuning between the natural and forcing frequencies. To study the asymptotic behavior of the slow phase, we eliminate the explicit dependence on time on the right hand side by using formal averaging [17]. Given a periodic forcing with frequency \( \Omega \), we denote the forcing phase \( \theta = \Omega t \). We also define an averaging operator \( \langle \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R} \) on the set of 2\( \pi \)-periodic functions by \( \langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x(\theta) d\theta \). The weak ergodic theorem for measure-preserving dynamical systems on the torus [24] implies that for any periodic function \( v \), the
interaction function
\[ \Lambda_v(\phi) = (Z(\theta + \phi)v(\theta)) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} Z(\theta + \phi)v(\theta)d\theta \]
\[ = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Z(\Omega t + \phi)v(\Omega t)dt \tag{2} \]
is a smooth function in \( P \). Using the weak perturbation approximation and the formal averaging theorem [25], the time-averaged slow phase dynamics are, up to \( O(\varepsilon^2) \),
\[ \phi = \Delta \omega + \Lambda_v(\phi). \tag{3} \]

Equation (3) is used to study the asymptotic behavior of (1) under periodic forcing. We say that the system is entrained by a control \( u = v(\Omega t) \) when (3) satisfies \( \dot{\phi} = 0 \), which eventually occurs if there exists a phase \( \phi_* \) satisfying \( \Delta \omega + \Lambda_v(\phi_*) = 0 \). For non-zero control waveform \( v \) and non-zero PRC \( Z \), the function \( \Lambda_v(\phi) \) is not identically zero, so when the system is entrained there exists at least one \( \phi_* \in [0, 2\pi) \) that is an attractive fixed point of (3). We have shown that the minimum energy periodic waveform that entrains a single oscillator with natural frequency \( \omega \) to a target frequency \( \Omega \) is a re-scaling of the PRC, given by \( v(\theta) = -\Delta \omega Z(\theta)/\langle Z^2 \rangle \) [9, 11].

Our goal here is to entrain the system (1) to a target frequency \( \Omega \) as quickly as possible by using a periodic control \( v \) of fixed power \( P = \langle v^2 \rangle \). Ideally, the interaction function would be of a piecewise-constant form, so that the averaged slow phase \( \phi \) converges to a fixed point \( \phi_* \) at a uniform rate from any initial value. However, the discontinuity as \( \phi \to \phi_* \) would result in a singularity in the control \( v \), making it infeasible in practice. An alternative is to maximize \( |\phi_*| \), the rate of convergence of the averaged slow phase in the neighborhood of its attractive fixed point \( \phi_* \). The calculus of variations can then be used to obtain a smooth optimal candidate solution that also performs well in practice. When the system (3) is entrained by a control \( v \), there exists an attractive fixed point \( \phi_* \) satisfying \( \Lambda_v(\phi_*) + \Delta \omega = 0 \) and \( \Lambda'_v(\phi_*) < 0 \), where \( \prime \) is the differentiation operator. In order to maximize the rate of entrainment in a neighborhood of \( \phi_* \), using a control of power \( P \), the value of \( |\phi_*| \) should be maximized for values of \( \phi \) near \( \phi_* \), which occurs when \( -\Lambda'_v(\phi_*) \) is large. This results in the following problem formulation for fast entrainment:

\[ \max_{v \in P} \mathcal{J}[v] = -\Lambda'_v(\phi_*) \tag{4} \]
\[ \text{s.t.} \quad \langle v^2 \rangle = P \tag{5} \]
\[ \Lambda_v(\phi_*) + \Delta \omega = 0. \tag{6} \]

The constraints can be adjoined to the objective using multipliers \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) to yield the formulation
\[ \mathcal{J}[v] = -\Lambda'_v(\phi_*) + \lambda(\langle v^2 \rangle - P) + \mu(\Lambda_v(\phi_*) + \Delta \omega) \]
\[ = -\Lambda'_v(\phi_*) + \lambda(\langle v^2 \rangle - P) \]
\[ + \mu(\Lambda_v(\phi_*) + \Delta \omega) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (v(\theta)) \mu Z(\theta + \phi_*) - Z'(\theta + \phi_*) + \lambda v(\theta)) \]
\[ - \lambda P + \mu(\Delta \omega))d\theta. \tag{7} \]

The associated Euler-Lagrange equation is
\[ \mu Z(\theta + \phi_*) - Z'(\theta + \phi_*) + 2\lambda v(\theta) = 0, \tag{8} \]
and solving for \( v \) yields the candidate solution
\[ v(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}[Z'(\theta + \phi_*) - \mu Z(\theta + \phi_*)]. \tag{9} \]

The multipliers \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) can be found by substituting (9) into the constraints (5) and (6). This yields the equations
\[ \frac{1}{4\lambda^2}[(\langle Z' \rangle^2) - 2\mu(\langle Z \rangle^2) + \mu^2(\langle Z^2 \rangle)] = P, \tag{10} \]
\[ \frac{1}{2\lambda}[(\langle Z' \rangle^2) - \mu(\langle Z^2 \rangle)] = -\Delta \omega. \tag{11} \]

Because \( Z \) is 2\pi-periodic, one can show, e.g., using Fourier series, that \( \langle Z' \rangle = 0 \), so that (11) easily yields \( \mu = 2\Delta \omega \lambda/\langle Z^2 \rangle \). Substituting this result into (10) leads to a quadratic equation (10) for \( \lambda \). Now, by substituting (9) into \( \Lambda'(\phi_*) = \langle Z(\theta + \phi_*)v(\theta) \rangle \) we obtain \( \Lambda'(\phi_*) = \langle (Z')^2 \rangle/(2\lambda) \), so we choose \( \lambda < 0 \) when solving (10) for \( \lambda \) in order to maximize the objective in (4). Thus the optimal waveform and multiplier simplify to
\[ v(\theta) = \frac{Z'(\theta)}{2\lambda} - \frac{\Delta \omega Z(\theta)}{\langle Z^2 \rangle}, \quad \lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\langle (Z')^2 \rangle}{\langle Z^2 \rangle - \Delta \omega^2}}, \tag{12} \]
where we disregard the phase shift \( \phi_* \), because the entrainment process is asymptotic. For zero frequency detuning, the optimal waveform is a re-scaling of the derivative \( Z' \) of the PRC. As \( \Delta \omega \) increases, \( v \) continuously transforms towards \( Z \), which is the minimum energy waveform for frequency control [9]. This transition reflects the conceptual trade-off between the fast entrainment objective (4) and frequency control constraint (6), which can be satisfied only when \( P > (\Delta \omega)^2/\langle Z^2 \rangle \).

Consider a system with a sinusoidal PRC, given by \( Z(\theta) = a \sin(\theta) \). Using angle sum identities and the fact that in this case \( \langle Z' \rangle^2 = \langle Z^2 \rangle \), one can show that \( v \) is of form \( v(\theta) = P \sin(\theta) \). Indeed, for the case of a sinusoidal PRC, a sinusoidal input optimizes the minimum energy [9] and rapid phase-locking objectives simultaneously. However, the utility of our approach is most evident for oscillating systems with complex dynamics, in particular those that exhibit relaxation, and hence higher harmonics in the PRC. As an example, consider the Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) system [26], which is a
FIG. 1. (a) PRC of the HH model; (b) Optimal fast entrainment waveform \( v \) for HH system at \( \Omega = \omega \) and \( P = 0.1 \) mW.

FIG. 2. Simulations with the HH model: (a) Convergence of phase difference \( \Delta \phi_n \) between inter-spike intervals; (b) Exponential fit for \( k \) when \( \Omega = 1.01 \omega \) and \( P = 0.5 \). The \( k \)-values are 0.5415, 0.9510, and 1.4139 for sine, PRC, and optimal waveforms, respectively. (c) Initial convergence rates \( k \) (in color) for 5 cycles with \( \Omega \in [0.98\omega, 1.02\omega] \) and \( \theta(0) \in [0, 2\pi] \) when using the optimal waveform. (d) Average initial \( k \) on \((\Omega, \theta(0)) \in [0.98\omega, 1.02\omega] \times [0, 2\pi]\) for sine, PRC, and optimal waveforms is 0.3933, 0.5365, and 0.7691, resp. Initial divergence takes place in 7.69%, 12.73%, and 6.04% of initial conditions for sine, PRC, and optimal waveforms, respectively.

The fundamental model used in the study of neural dynamics [11]. When the baseline current \( I_b \) injected into the axon is sufficiently high, the voltage \( V \) spikes repeatedly. Our goal is to modulate the additional injected current \( I(t) \) to entrain the spiking frequency to a desired target \( \Omega \) in as short a time as possible. We first reduce the HH system to a phase model as in (1) where \( u = I(t) \), where \( \omega \approx 0.429 \) rad/sec, and the PRC \( Z \) is given in Figure 1a. After selecting the control power \( P \) and the target frequency \( \Omega \), we use (12) to compute the optimal waveform \( v \), which is shown in 1b. Numerically, we use the Fourier series coefficients of \( Z \) to evaluate expressions derived from the PRC, such as \( Z', \langle Z' \rangle \), and so on. In this computational example, we focus on initial convergence rates for fast entrainment, which can be quantified by the rate \( k \) at which the phase difference between successive inter-spike intervals converges exponentially to zero, according to \( \Delta \phi_n = e^{-kn} \), as shown in Figure 2. The optimal waveform (12) achieves a significantly greater average rate \( k \) for all values of \( \Omega \) and initial states on \( \Gamma \).

The experimental utility of the phase model technique for fast entrainment is demonstrated by manipulating an oscillatory chemical process [27]. A standard three-electrode setup was used with a 1 mm diameter nickel working, a Hg/HgSO\(_4\) /sat.K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) reference, and a Pt coated Ti rod counter electrode immersed in 3 mol/L sulfuric acid solution at 10 °C. The nickel working electrode was polarized with a potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, Reference 600) at a circuit potential \( V = V_0 + AF(\theta) \), where \( A \) and \( F \) are the forcing amplitude and waveform, respectively, and \( V_0 \) is the base potential. Each forcing waveform \( F \) has power \( P = 0.5 \). The current, proportional to the dissolution rate, was measured by the potentiostat at a rate of 200 Hz. When 1 kOhm resistance was attached to the nickel wire, nonlinear current oscillations with a period of 2.11 s were obtained at \( V_0 = 1.15 \) V as shown in the inset of Figure 3. In each instance of the experiment, the PRC, such as the example in Figure 3a, was obtained using the pulse perturbation method [10, 28]. The phase of the oscillation was obtained using the linear interpolation technique [2] by setting the phase of the \( n \)-th current peak to \( 2\pi n \). The transformation of the PRC as the circuit potential increases has been previously analyzed in detail [28].

Using (12), an optimal fast entrainment waveform was constructed for equal forcing and natural frequencies, \( \Omega = \omega \), in order to remove the effect of the frequency control constraint (6). When the optimal waveform with amplitude of \( A = 12.5 \) mV was applied to entrain the free-running chemical oscillator, the phase difference between the current oscillations and the forcing signal, shown in Figure 4a, monotonically decreased until a final phase difference of \( \phi_f = 5.51 \) rad was attained after 30 seconds.

The behavior of the phase difference \( \Delta \phi(t) = \phi(t) - \phi_f \) after 20 seconds can be closely described by an exponential decay \( \ln[\Delta \phi(t)]/\Delta \phi(0) = -kt \), which is shown in Figure 4b, with a rate of entrainment \( k = 0.243 \) s\(^{-1}\) for the optimal waveform. This rate was found to be lower for other waveforms such as sine and the PRC \( Z \) itself, as shown in Figures 4a and 4b. To compensate for measurement errors and data processing inaccuracies, we measured the rate \( k \) at 7 amplitudes \( A \) between 2.5 and 15 mV. The slopes \( \kappa \) of the \( k \) vs. \( A \) plots in Figure 4c
FIG. 4. Electrodissolution experiments: (a) Phase difference \( \Delta \phi(t) \) for sine (dashed), PRC (thin), and optimal (thick) forcing at \( A = 12.5 \) mV. (b) Semilog plot of \( \Delta \phi(t) \) from (a). For \( t > 20 \) seconds after forcing is applied, \( \Delta \phi(t) \) decays exponentially to zero. Rates of entrainment (slope of the linear fits): \( k(\text{sine}) = 0.1093 \) s\(^{-1}\), \( k(Z) = 0.167 \) s\(^{-1}\), \( k(\text{optimal}) = 0.243 \) s\(^{-1}\). (c) Rate of entrainment as a function of forcing amplitude for \( \sin(\phi) \), PRC (\( \Delta \)), and optimal (\( \square \)) forcing. The slopes \( \kappa \) in s\(^{-1}\)mV\(^{-1}\) of the fitted lines characterize the performance of the waveforms for fast entrainment. (d) Normalized entrainment rates predicted from PRC estimates (blue: \( \kappa(\text{sine})=0.68, \kappa(\text{PRC})=0.89, \kappa(\text{optimal})=2.00 \)) and measured experimentally (red: \( \kappa(\text{sine})=1.19, \kappa(\text{PRC})=1.39, \kappa(\text{optimal})=2.38 \)) are highest for the optimal waveform.

The proposed technique for constructing optimal fast entrainment waveforms can be applied to any nonlinear oscillator, and requires no knowledge about its initial state. Entrainment is achieved over the minimum number of cycles possible for a given control energy such that phase model approximation and averaging remain valid. The conditions required for such approximations to be appropriate for entrainment have been explored in previous work [11, 21]. When the initial slow phase of the system is far from a stable fixed point, several cycles may be required for convergence to the phase-locked state to be realized, and this occurs least on average for the optimal waveform. In contrast to previous studies on the control of oscillators using phase models [7–10, 20, 21], the derivative of the phase response curve (PRC) plays an important role in addition to the PRC itself. The methodology is promising for fast re-establishment of entrainment in oscillators that intermittently break phase locking due to environmental or internal effects, such as biological systems with fluctuations in chemical reaction rates due to the small number of molecules in a cell [29]. Finally, observe that our methodology is suitable for weak phase resetting, while strong resetting requires control approaches that do not depend on averaging but involve substantial changes to the state of the oscillator.
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